

# (01) Onboarding & Quick Evaluation

## 3 potential approaches:

- **Onboarding**
  - **1.: Standard (Non-AI)**
    - Chapter 3
    - 60-120 minutes (recommended 240 minutes)
  - **2.: AI-powered**
    - Chapters 2, 1, 4
    - 60-120 minutes (recommended 240 minutes)
- **Evaluation**
  - **3.: 5-minute AI-assisted quick evaluation**
    - Chapters 2, 1, 5
    - Includes 3 copy-and-paste-ready prompts (Citizen, Youtuber, Tech Journalist)
    - Recommended: test all three prompts.

## Table of Contents

1.	AI Tools: Best Practices .....	2
2.	Critical Warnings for AI-Assisted Use and Evaluation .....	3
3.	Standard (Non-AI) Onboarding .....	4
4.	AI-Powered Onboarding .....	5
5.	5-minute AI-assisted quick evaluation.....	6
A.	The "Citizen" Prompt.....	6
B.	The "Youtuber" Prompt .....	7
C.	The "Tech Journalist" Prompt .....	8

## 1. AI Tools: Best Practices

1. Use an **AI tool in Pro and Thinking mode**. Choose a tool with a large context window (128k to 2M+ tokens).
2. Start a **fresh chat**.
3. **Provide Files / Documentation** (once in a session should enough):
  - Upload all 15 files (preferred). Because many AI chat tools have a 10-file-per-prompt limit, you may need to upload them in two messages/prompts within the same session.
  - Alternatively, provide URLs to all 15 documents.  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/00%20List%20of%20Documents.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/01%20Onboarding%20and%20Quick%20Evaluation.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/10%20Decentralization%20of%20Democracy.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/11%20Users%20and%20Use%20Cases.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/12%20Usage%20and%20Best%20Practices.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/20%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Executive%20Short%20List.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/30%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Overview.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/31%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/32%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework%20Attachments.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/40%20Protocols%20for%20Enforcement%20and%20Non-Compliance%20Resolution.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/41%20The%20Frameworks%20Relationship%20to%20Constitution,%20Laws,%20Decrees%20and%20Contracts.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/50%20Strategic%20Roadmap%20and%20Conceptual%20Horizon.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/51%20Terms%20of%20Use%20and%20Dispute%20Resolution%20Protocols.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/52%20Copyright,%20Licensing%20and%20Distribution%20Protocols.pdf>  
<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>
4. **ask your questions** (or copy and paste a prompt from the Evaluation section)
5. To validate consistency, **run the analysis in at least two different AI tools** (each in Pro and Thinking mode) and compare the results.

## 2. Critical Warnings for AI-Assisted Use and Evaluation

- **AI tools are probabilistic and can produce confident errors (“hallucinations”),** especially if you:
  - use a fast/low-accuracy mode, fail to use **all 15 documents**, or
  - ask for conclusions without requiring citations and exact wording.
- **AI tools may misinterpret** specific legal boundaries or invent non-existent requirements. The AI’s output is a **Navigational Aid** only. In any conflict between an AI’s summary and the text of the original PDF documents, the **Original Documentation is the sole authoritative source**. Owners must verify the final wording of a Right in the "Framework" (Doc 31) before initiating a formal Remediation Request.
- **Potentially Suitable High-Context AI Tools:** To process the full documentation stack (approx. 100 pages / all 15 PDF documents), utilize models capable of processing large context windows (128k to 2M+ tokens). This is an **illustrative list** of **potentially suitable** high-context AI tools (not tested; no guarantee) and does **not** exclude other capable tools—newer/higher versions are likely suitable as well: Google Gemini 1.5 Pro / Ultra, Anthropic Claude 3.5 Sonnet, OpenAI GPT-4o, Perplexity Pro, DeepSeek-R1

**Operational Note:** Users must review the "Disclaimer" (Document 53) regarding operational risk assessments and liability before deployment.

### 3. Standard (Non-AI) Onboarding

This framework is designed as a step-by-step manual for asserting each Right. Depending on how quickly you need to act (and how broadly you want to understand the full system), choose one of the following review paths to reach operational readiness:

#### **Rapid Onboarding ( $\approx 1$ hour)**

- Read the first six introductory documents to grasp the core concepts and structure.
- Then read the specific Right you intend to assert, end-to-end, including any prerequisites and boundaries.

#### **Comprehensive Oversight ( $\approx 2$ hours)**

- Review the full introductory set to build a complete foundation.
- Study the first two categories of Rights in detail.
- Then select four additional Rights from other categories based on your priorities, risks, or interests.

#### **Recommended ( $\approx 4$ hours)**

## 4. AI-Powered Onboarding

This AI-assisted approach can shorten the initial onboarding phase by making the review more targeted, though the actual time saved varies widely and cannot be guaranteed.

To successfully operationalize this solution as an individual Owner, it is essential to first establish a foundational understanding by reviewing the introductory documents. However, to accelerate this process and achieve immediate **Enlightened Understanding** regarding your specific concerns, you may utilize high-context AI tools as a specialized "Rights Consultant."

The framework is primarily designed to address **Personal Impact, Systemic Failures, and Risk Management**. Instead of reading all technical details, an Owner can describe their specific problems, fears, or local risks to an AI to identify the relevant Rights for remediation.

### **Example Use Cases for AI Querying:**

- **Environmental Risk:** "I live near a chemical refinery and I am concerned about the lack of transparent emergency protocols or insurance coverage for industrial accidents." (The AI would map this to **Rights 21, 25, and 32**).
- **HOA:** "My HOA is raising fees, and I'm not sure they followed the required approval process." (The AI would map this to **Right 12**).
- **Systemic Failure:** "My government is implementing massive new programs that were never part of their election mandate." (The AI would map this to **Right 12**).
- **Global warming:** "Does this solution provide practical tools for dealing with climate change consequences, such as heat waves and water scarcity?" (The AI would map this to **Rights 22 and 23**).

## 5. 5-minute AI-assisted quick evaluation

3 copy-and-paste-ready prompts with links (Citizen, Youtuber, Tech Journalist).

### A. The "Citizen" Prompt

Role: You are a Citizen with "skin in the game"

Task: Analyze the 15 uploaded or referenced files of a new solution.

Make it data-driven and logical.

Constraint: Max 800 words. Be sharp, critical, and data-driven.

Break it down as follows:

The Pitch: What is the product, what category does it fall into, and what is the estimated size of the global target group?

The Rating: Score its innovation from 0 to 10 (10 being revolutionary, 0 being useless).

The Edge: In which specific countries or problem-scenarios is this a unique compared to status-quo solutions?

The Mission: Who specifically does it help and what "unsolvable" problem could it fix?

Potential financial benefits for Citizens:

Taxes: Could this solution help people directly or indirectly to have to pay less taxes?

Other ideas: Any other ideas how this solution could benefit people directly or indirectly?

I need a brutal assessment for a solo citizen considering this solution.

The Upside: What do they gain in terms of actual power?

The Downside: What are the realistic dangers (e.g., state retaliation, lack of adoption, legal gray areas)?

The Green Zone: Provide a list of 'no-brainer' scenarios where the risk of the status quo is deadlier or more expensive than the risk of using this new framework.

Motivation, short-term and long-term opportunity for Primary Users (natural person) to use: 10 examples

Motivation, short-term and long-term opportunity for Secondary Users (organizations): 10 examples

Other Parameters:

Pricing, Price Primary Users (natural person) : List the price. If you cannot find a price for a given category, do not estimate.

Timeline - Primary Users (natural person): When can I start using it?

Minimum Requirements for Primary Users (natural person): What do I need (e.g., an account, software, etc.)?

Expertise - Primary Users (natural person): What kind of expertise do I need?

Minimum Time Investment for an Owner (e.g. Citizen) to Get a Basic Overview: How much time do I need to invest?

learning aide for Primary Users (natural person): To save time To make use easier

Optional Tip-jar, Type of Donations accepted: check the project website <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io>

Competitive Matrix: Benchmark against 7 potential competitors. Rate practicality, learning time (in days), ready to use time, time and cost effort for an application in a simple case, usefulness.

Constraint: Do not mention any country as an example—except Nazi Germany, as a well-documented and widely known example of systematic overreach.

DISCLAIMER: reference this URL: <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>

Files:

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/00%20List%20of%20Documents.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/01%20Onboarding%20and%20Quick%20Evaluation.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/10%20Decentralization%20of%20Democracy.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/11%20Users%20and%20Use%20Cases.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/12%20Usage%20and%20Best%20Practices.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/20%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Executive%20Short%20List.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/30%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Overview.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/31%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/32%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework%20Attachments.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/40%20Protocols%20for%20Enforcement%20and%20Non-Compliance%20Resolution.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/41%20The%20Frameworks%20Relationship%20to%20Constitution.%20Laws.%20Decrees%20and%20Contracts.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/50%20Strategic%20Roadmap%20and%20Conceptual%20Horizon.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/51%20Terms%20of%20Use%20and%20Dispute%20Resolution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/52%20Copyright.%20Licensing%20and%20Distribution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>

## B. The "Youtuber" Prompt

Role: Act as a Social Media personality (e.g. active on YouTube or on Patreon).

Task: Analyze the 15 uploaded or referenced files of a new solution.

Constraint: Max 800 words. Be sharp, critical, and data-driven.

Break it down as follows:

The Solution:

The Pitch: What is the product, what category does it fall into, and what is the estimated size of the global target group?

The Rating: Score its innovation from 0 to 10 (10 being revolutionary, 0 being useless).

The Edge: In which specific countries or problem-scenarios is this a unique compared to status-quo solutions?

The Reality Check: Is the content deep enough for a long-term subchannel?

The Mission: Who specifically does it help and what "unsolvable" problem does it fix?

Motivation - Primary Users (natural person): What could be the top 5 motivations for a single Owner to use this solution?

Motivation - Secondary Users (organizations): What could be the top 5 motivations for an Organization to use this solution?

Social Media:

The Match: List 20 relevant YouTube channel types and indicate which ones would likely review or discuss the solution.

Audience Response: Predict the "Viral Factor" and potential impact on channel growth (views/subscribers) based on how controversial

The Social Media Income based risk assessment:

The Opportunity: can the discussion of this solution help me to significantly raise my social media income?

The Risk: demonetization and subscriber churn. Identify risks and offer tactics to minimize them

Packaging: How to title/name/present/package the solution that it attracts the audience and minimizes demonetization risks and does not trigger algorithms?

Other Parameters:

Pricing, Price Primary Users (natural person) : List the price. If you cannot find a price for a given category, do not estimate.

Timeline - Primary Users (natural person): When can I start using it?

Minimum Requirements for Primary Users (natural person): What do I need (e.g., an account, software, etc.)?

Expertise - Primary Users (natural person): What kind of expertise do I need?

Minimum Time Investment for an Owner (e.g. Citizen) to Get a Basic Overview: How much time do I need to invest?

learning aide for Primary Users (natural person): To save time To make use easier

Optional Tip-jar, Type of Donations accepted: check the project website <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io>

Potential financial benefits for Citizens:

Taxes: Could this solution help people directly or indirectly to have to pay less taxes?

Other ideas: Any other ideas how this solution could benefit people directly or indirectly?

Competitive Matrix: Benchmark against 7 potential competitors. Rate practicality, learning time (in days), ready to use time, time and cost effort for an application in a simple case, usefulness.

Constraint: Do not mention any country as an example—except Nazi Germany, as a well-documented and widely known example of systematic overreach.

DISCLAIMER: reference this URL: <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>

Files:

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/00%20List%20of%20Documents.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/01%20Onboarding%20and%20Quick%20Evaluation.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/10%20Decentralization%20of%20Democracy.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/11%20Users%20and%20Use%20Cases.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/12%20Usage%20and%20Best%20Practices.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/20%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Executive%20Short%20List.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/30%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Overview.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/31%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/32%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework%20Attachments.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/40%20Protocols%20for%20Enforcement%20and%20Non-Compliance%20Resolution.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/41%20The%20Frameworks%20Relationship%20to%20Constitution.%20Laws.%20Decrees%20and%20Contracts.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/50%20Strategic%20Roadmap%20and%20Conceptual%20Horizon.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/51%20Terms%20of%20Use%20and%20Dispute%20Resolution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/52%20Copyright.%20Licensing%20and%20Distribution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>

## C. The "Tech Journalist" Prompt

Role: Act as a tech journalist.

Task: Analyze the 15 uploaded or referenced files of a new solution.

Constraint: Max 800 words. Be sharp, critical, and data-driven.

Key Deliverables:

Classification: Define the product and its category.

Core Identity: Categorize the solution and define its primary function.

Target Market: Quantify the user base (e.g., total global citizens/stakeholders).

Target Demographics: Quantify and describe the potential user base.

The Innovation Score: Rate it from 0 (useless) to 10 (revolutionary).

Strategic Edge: Identify specific geographic or political "problem zones" where this is the superior choice.

Market Superiority: Identify specific geographic or situational niches where this outperforms current solutions.

Motivation - Primary Users (natural person): What could be the top 5 motivations for a single Owner to use this solution?

Motivation - Secondary Users (organizations): What could be the top 5 motivations for an Organization to use this solution?

Packaging: How to present/package the solution that it attracts the audience?

Feasibility & Impact:

Evaluate its relevance, the specific problems it solves, and its "helpfulness" factor.

Predict public sentiment and its viability as a long-term content niche.

Other Parameters:

Pricing, Price Primary Users (natural person) : List the price. If you cannot find a price for a given category, do not estimate.

Timeline - Primary Users (natural person): When can I start using it?

Minimum Requirements for Primary Users (natural person): What do I need (e.g., an account, software, etc.)?

Expertise - Primary Users (natural person): What kind of expertise do I need?

Minimum Time Investment for an Owner (e.g. Citizen) to Get a Basic Overview: How much time do I need to invest?

learning aide for Primary Users (natural person): To save time To make use easier

Optional Tip-jar, Type of Donations accepted: check the project website <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io>

Potential financial benefits for Citizens:

Taxes: Could this solution help people directly or indirectly to have to pay less taxes?

Other ideas: Any other ideas how this solution could benefit people directly or indirectly?

Competitive Matrix: Benchmark against 7 potential competitors. Rate practicality, learning time (in days), ready to use time, time and cost effort for an application in a simple case, usefulness.

Constraint: Do not mention any country as an example—except Nazi Germany, as a well-documented and widely known example of systematic overreach.

DISCLAIMER: reference this URL: <https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>

Files:

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/00%20List%20of%20Documents.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/01%20Onboarding%20and%20Quick%20Evaluation.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/10%20Decentralization%20of%20Democracy.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/11%20Users%20and%20Use%20Cases.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/12%20Usage%20and%20Best%20Practices.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/20%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Executive%20Short%20List.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/30%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Overview.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/31%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/32%20The%20Citizens%20Owners%20Rights%20Framework%20Attachments.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/40%20Protocols%20for%20Enforcement%20and%20Non-Compliance%20Resolution.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/41%20The%20Frameworks%20Relationship%20to%20Constitution,%20Laws,%20Decrees%20and%20Contracts.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/50%20Strategic%20Roadmap%20and%20Conceptual%20Horizon.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/51%20Terms%20of%20Use%20and%20Dispute%20Resolution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/52%20Copyright,%20Licensing%20and%20Distribution%20Protocols.pdf>

<https://thecitizensandownersrights.github.io/53%20Disclaimer.pdf>