

(10) „Decentralization of Democracy”

Decentralization of Democracy is a controlling solution designed for citizens in any country to protect their core interests quickly and effectively. It equips individual Owners with a simple, peaceful way to assert their rights against Organizations they co-own (for example, a state, city, or company).

Wouldn't it be useful to have a solution that:

- **enables fast protection of an individual Owner's basic rights and interests**

- **any Owner can use independently**

- **And has the following features?:**

- **usage without special knowledge and any software or even internet connection**

- **easy to understand and to apply**

- **a single person can use it from his/her apartment**

- **fast/much faster results than commonly known solutions offer**

- **peaceful**

- **it is absolutely non-violent**

- **it does not include any revolutionary or uprising-related content**

- **the country/organization does not even have to be a democratic one**

- **applicable in almost any country (99%)?**

Our product delivers these capabilities—and more. It is practical for everyone: a single person can start using it from home after investing at least 1 hour (with an optimal ramp-up of about 4 hours). No software or special knowledge is required—only reading.

The solution is built on the controlling framework “The citizens’ / owners’ rights.” Using the framework in practice is what we call “Decentralized Democracy.” In short: the framework is the tool; its application is the method.

“The citizens’ / owners’ rights” governs the relationship between Owners and their Organizations (e.g., a country, state, city, town, company). It places a clear instrument in Owners’ hands to stop intended or unintended violations of their rights by co-owned Organizations—regardless of whether the violator is an elected official or an employee. Owners invest very little time, avoid legal costs, and can expect fast outcomes.

This is not about majority decisions or management discretion. It addresses violations of individual Owners’ rights. Because of that, no majority approval is needed: every Owner can and should act independently.

Neither “Decentralized Democracy” nor “The citizens’ / owners’ rights” is an ideology. Together they function as: a safeguard for Owners; a controlling solution that can enable also future compliance ratings; and a motivational system arising from control plus rating. An ideology prescribes how an

Organization should operate. The framework sets boundaries and gives Owners a tool. Accordingly, both the framework and its use are non-ideological. They do not dictate how a state or Organization should be run (capitalism, socialism, or otherwise).

The solution is absolutely non-violent. In the worst case, consequences within this framework are dismissal from roles and, in rare cases, financial remedies for employees. It is peaceful and non-revolutionary because the relationship remains Owner \leftrightarrow Organization. An Owner does not start a revolution; if necessary, an Owner asserts their rights.

Nothing about the framework is extremist. Its core premise is that employees of an Organization must respect Owners—not the other way around.

What extreme could be in this relationship is an Organization in which employees may violate Owners' core rights without immediate consequences.

Tanaka Hirokazu

Jacques de Gautier

Juan Carlos González