



CS 3110

Induction in Coq

Prof. Clarkson
Fall 2017

Today's music: *Pictures of Pandas Painting* by They Might Be Giants



Review

Previously in 3110:

- Functional programming in Coq
- Logic in Coq
- Curry-Howard correspondence (proofs are programs)

Today:

- Induction in Coq

REVIEW:

INDUCTION ON NATURAL NUMBERS

Structure of inductive proof

Theorem:

for all natural numbers n , $P(n)$.

Proof: by induction on n

Case: $n = 0$

Show: $P(0)$

Case: $n = k+1$

IH: $P(k)$

Show: $P(k+1)$

QED

Sum to n

```
let rec sum_to n =  
  if n=0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1)
```

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i$$

Theorem:

for all natural numbers n,
sum_to n = n * (n+1) / 2.

Proof: by induction on n

$$P(n) \equiv (\text{sum_to } n = n * (n+1) / 2)$$

Base case

```
let rec sum_to n =  
  if n=0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1)
```

Case: $n = 0$

Show:

$P(0)$

$$\equiv \text{sum_to } 0 = 0 * (0+1) / 2$$

$$\equiv 0 = 0 * (0+1) / 2$$

$$\equiv 0 = 0$$

Inductive case

```
let rec sum_to n =  
  if n=0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1)
```

Case: $n = k+1$

IH: $P(k) \equiv \text{sum_to } k = k * (k+1) / 2$


Show:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(k+1) \\ \equiv & \text{sum_to } (k+1) & = & (k+1) * (k+2) / 2 \\ \equiv & (k+1) + \text{sum_to } (k+1-1) & = & (k+1) * (k+2) / 2 \\ \equiv & (k+1) + \text{sum_to } k & = & (k+1) * (k+2) / 2 \\ \equiv & (k+1) + k * (k+1) / 2 & = & (k+1) * (k+2) / 2 \end{aligned}$$

and that holds by algebraic reasoning

QED

Yup, induction



INDUCTION ON LISTS

Structure of inductive proof

Theorem:

for all natural numbers n , $P(n)$.

Proof: by induction on n

Case: $n = 0$

Show: $P(0)$

Case: $n = k+1$

IH: $P(k)$

Show: $P(k+1)$

QED

Structure of inductive proof

Theorem:

for all `lists lst`, $P(\text{lst})$.

Proof: by induction on `lst`

Case: `lst = []`

Show: $P([])$

Case: `lst = h::t`

IH: $P(t)$

Show: $P(h::t)$

QED

Append nil

```
let rec (@) lst1 lst2 =  
  match lst1 with  
  | []      -> lst2  
  | h::t    -> h :: (t @ lst2)
```

Theorem:

for all lists lst , $lst @ [] = lst$.

Proof: by induction on lst

$P(lst) \equiv lst @ [] = lst$

Base case

```
let rec (@) lst1 lst2 =  
  match lst1 with  
  | [] -> lst2  
  | h::t -> h :: (t @ lst2)
```

Case: $lst = []$

Show:

$$P([])$$
$$\equiv [] @ [] = []$$
$$\equiv [] = []$$

Inductive case

```
let rec (@) lst1 lst2 =  
  match lst1 with  
  | [] -> lst2  
  | h::t -> h :: (t @ lst2)
```

$$P(\text{lst}) \equiv \text{lst} @ [] = \text{lst}$$

Case: $\text{lst} = h::t$

IH: $P(t) \equiv t @ [] = t$

Show:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(h::t) \\ \equiv & (h::t) @ [] = h::t \\ \equiv & h::(t @ []) = h::t \\ \equiv & h::t = h::t \end{aligned}$$

QED

Append nil in Coq

Theorem app_nil :

```
forall (A:Type) (lst : list A),  
  lst ++ nil = lst.
```

Proof.

```
intros A lst.
```

```
induction lst as [ | h t IH].
```

```
- trivial.
```

```
- simpl. rewrite -> IH. trivial.
```

Qed.

Append nil in Coq

++ is append operator in Coq

```
Theorem app_nil :  
  forall (A:Type) (lst : list A)  
    lst ++ nil = lst.
```

base case: nothing to name

Proof.

```
  intros A lst.  
  induction lst as [ | h t IH].  
  - trivial.  
  - simpl. rewrite -> IH. trivial.
```

Qed

rewrite -> tactic
replaces LHS of
equality with RHS

inductive case: name
head, tail, and
inductive hypothesis

Append is associative

Theorem app_assoc :

```
forall (A:Type) (l1 l2 l3 : list A),  
l1 ++ (l2 ++ l3) = (l1 ++ l2) ++ l3.
```

Proof.

```
intros A l1 l2 l3.
```

```
induction l1 as [ | h t IH].
```

```
- trivial.
```

```
- simpl. rewrite -> IH. trivial.
```

Qed.

INDUCTION ON NATS

Inductive types

induction works on inductive types, e.g.

```
Inductive list (A : Type) : Type :=  
  | nil : list A  
  | cons : A -> list A -> list A
```

Need an inductive definition of natural numbers...

Naturals

```
Inductive nat : Set :=  
  | 0 : nat          (* zero *)  
  | S : nat -> nat    (* succ *)
```

```
type nat = 0 | S of nat
```

```
0 is 0
```

```
1 is S 0
```

```
2 is S (S 0)
```

```
3 is S (S (S 0))
```

- unary representation
- Peano arithmetic

Induction on nat(ural)s

Theorem:

for all $n:\text{nat}$, $P(n)$

Proof: by induction on n

Case: $n = 0$

Show: $P(0)$

Case: $n = S\ k$

IH: $P(k)$

Show: $P(S\ k)$

QED

Theorem:

for all naturals n , $P(n)$

Proof: by induction on n

Case: $n = 0$

Show: $P(0)$

Case: $n = k+1$

IH: $P(k)$

Show: $P(k+1)$

QED

Goal: redo this proof in Coq

```
let rec sum_to n =  
  if n=0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1)
```

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i$$

Theorem:

for all natural numbers n,
sum_to n = n * (n+1) / 2.

Proof: by induction on n

Defining sum_to

```
Fixpoint sum_to (n:nat) : nat :=  
  if n = 0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1).
```

Error: The term "n = 0" has type "Prop" which is not a (co-)inductive type.

```
Fixpoint sum_to (n:nat) : nat :=  
  if n =? 0 then 0  
  else n + sum_to (n-1).
```

Recursive definition of sum_to is ill-formed.

...

Recursive call to sum_to has principal argument equal to "n - 1" instead of a subterm of "n".

No infinite loops

```
Fixpoint inf (x:nat) : nat :=  
  inf x.
```

Recursive definition of inf is ill-formed.

...

Recursive call to inf has principal argument equal to "x" instead of a subterm of "x".

Why no infinite loops?

In OCaml:


```
# let rec inf x = inf x  
val inf : 'a -> 'b = <fun>
```

By propositions-as-types, these are the same:

- $'a \rightarrow 'b$
- $A \Rightarrow B$

What if $A=\text{True}$, $B=\text{False}$?


Infinite loops prove False!



Defining sum_to

```
Fixpoint sum_to (n:nat) : nat :=  
  match n with  
  | 0      => 0  
  | S k    => n + sum_to k  
  end.
```

sum_to is defined



k is a subterm of n,
because $n = S\ k$,

Sum to n in Coq

```
Theorem sum_sq_no_div :  
  forall n : nat,  
    2 * sum_to n = n * (n+1).
```

Proof.

```
  intros n.  
  induction n as [ | k IH].  
  - trivial.  
  - rewrite -> sum_helper.  
    rewrite -> IH.  
    ring.
```

Qed.

base case: nothing
to name

inductive case: name
inner nat and
inductive hypothesis

tactic that finds
proofs for algebraic
equations on rings

Helper theorem

Lemma and
Theorem are
synonymous

```
Lemma sum_helper :  
  forall n : nat,  
    2 * sum_to (S n) = 2 * S n + 2 * sum_to n.
```

Proof.

```
  intros n. simpl. ring.
```

Qed.

Induction and recursion

- Intense similarity between inductive proofs and recursive functions on variants
 - In proofs: one case per constructor
 - In functions: one pattern-matching branch per constructor
 - In proofs: uses IH on "smaller" value
 - In functions: uses recursive call on "smaller" value
- Proofs = programs
- Inductive proofs = recursive programs

Upcoming events

- [next Wed] MS1 due

This is inductive.

THIS IS 3110