

Algorithmic Trading of Coffee Futures with Machine Learning

Laura H. Kahn

School of Informatics and Computing

Indiana University

Bloomington, IN USA

lkahn@indiana.edu

Abstract—Data science techniques are applied to financial commodities prices. Machine learning algorithms are used to predict daily closing price of coffee futures with a maximum percent prediction error of 0.00328%.

Keywords—*econometrics; learning (artificial intelligence); pricing; commodity futures; machine learning; coffee trading; statistical analysis*

I. INTRODUCTION

Coffee is the second largest traded commodity worldwide, with about \$100 billion in volume traded annually [4, 10]. Coffee futures are standardized, exchange-traded contracts in which the contract buyer agrees to take delivery, from the seller, a specific quantity of coffee at a predetermined price on a future delivery date. Coffee futures are traded on average 252 days on the New York Stock Exchange from 9:30 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. daily [3].

The research will attempt to forecast daily closing prices of coffee (arábica variety) futures using machine learning algorithms. This research is meaningful since there is no known research of this type to date that predicts *daily Closing* coffee futures prices with a low prediction error using data science principles. This research could be used to increase profits for anyone trading coffee futures.

The *hypotheses* are that:

- a. *Open, High and Low* prices are related to *Closing* price,
- b. Historical data of daily coffee futures commodities can be used to more accurately predict daily *Closing* price.

A. Related Work

Montague uses neural networks, random forest, linear ridge regression and gradient-boost decision tree to predict 27 commodity futures using 3800 days of high, open, low and closing prices with the highest model goodness of fit of 0.713 [6]. Abdullah uses back propagation neural networks and decision tree to predict crude oil prices with a minimum error of 0.035 [1].

Kim uses artificial neural networks to predict commodity prices using 2,348 days of data with a minimum error of 31.03% [5]. Ticklavilca uses Bayesian regression to forecast commodity prices of corn and hogs with 21 years worth of monthly data and a minimum error of 4.8% [11]. Shahwan uses artificial neural networks from 535 daily prices to forecast future prices of hog and canola oil with a minimum error of 0.0219% [8].

II. DATA

A. Acquisition

The final data set includes four input features: *Open, High and Low* and one target output feature: *Closing* price. Daily historical price data of *Closing, Open, High and Low* from January 1, 2010 - November 15, 2017 of coffee futures was obtained from the “Historical Data” section of the investing.com website in US dollars [12].

B. Pre-processing

Creating a CSV [file](#) with all of the variables from the website was the first step in the data acquisition process. The data contains 2,805 daily observations for each of the four variables from January 1, 2010 - November 15, 2017 measured to two decimal places. Minimal data processing was needed including formatting the date column and renaming the *Price* column to a more descriptive *Closing*. Since the data was already in numerical format, there was no need to represent the data with numbers or normalize it.

C. Exploratory Analysis

Exploratory data analysis included creating a correlation matrix to see if and how the variables were correlated (see Appendix). This visualization confirms the variables are highly positively correlated to each other, ranging from 0.998 to 0.9992. A highly positively correlated set of variables means that as one variable increases so will the other one. For example, as *Open* price increases, so does *High*. The distribution of data was also plotted visually with a histogram and kernel density estimate. The variables had close enough values that the histograms and kernel density estimates were almost exactly the same.

III. METHODS

Figure 1 presents an overview of the data science analysis framework method used from start to finish.

Analysis Framework




Fig. 1. Data Analysis Framework - Adapted from [1]

The objective as mentioned in the Introduction section was to predict daily coffee futures closing price using historical data and machine learning. Information was gathered including learning what coffee futures prices represent and which possible factors affect the prices. Since the data is publically available and not proprietary in any way, the data is not considered private. The reuse for this research does not violate any data ethics principles.

During the Input-Output Analysis phase, it was determined that coffee production variables such as temperature and rain would not be included as inputs for the models. Although these variables may affect coffee futures prices, since no subject matter was available to model the exact quantitative relationship of these variables, they were excluded to prevent drawing any false conclusions

Initially, a Linear regression, Decision tree regression, and Decision tree regression with AdaBoost models were chosen since these models are transparent and easy to interpret. Furthermore, since the problem is a regression rather than classification or clustering problem, these models were a good choice for the analysis. A regular decision tree model would

not work since there was continuous numerical data. After using these three models and seeing their performance, the Scikit-learn machine learning cheat sheet was used to choose one more model [9]. Since there were more than 50 but less than 100,000 samples, there was no category to predict, the objective was to predict a quantity for the *Closing* price, and it was unknown if the features were important, a Ridge Regression model was also selected.

An 80/20 training and test data set was created from the processed CSV file and each of the models was fitted to this training data set. There were 2,805 observations from this time period in the analysis.

IV. RESULTS

A sampling of how many times any of the *Open*, *High*, *Low* or *Closing* prices were the same as one another on the same day. In the year 2010, duplication of prices happened 47 out of 270 trading days, or about 17.4% of the time.

For the first part of the results discussion, the R^2 values - goodness of fit values are calculated for each model to see how well the data fits to the model. The assumption is that, with a high R^2 value, the model is expected to predict the value well. Each of the models has a very high goodness of fit, R^2 value. Linear Regression had an R^2 value of 0.996, Decision Tree Regression = 0.799, Decision Tree with AdaBoost = 0.749 and Ridge Regression = 0.996. The best fitting models were Linear Regression and Ridge Regression. Now that each classifier showed a very goodness of fit, the predicted values were calculated.

The predicted values for each regression algorithm were calculated and combined as shown in Table 1.

	Date	Closing	Open	High	Low	LR Predicted	DT Regr Predicted	AdaBoost Predicted	Ridge Predicted
0	15-Nov-17	126.80	126.85	127.53	125.28	125.946209	126.80	126.718889	125.946272
1	14-Nov-17	127.05	127.55	129.00	124.25	127.454415	127.05	127.254545	127.454415
2	13-Nov-17	127.60	127.50	128.15	125.65	126.553729	127.60	126.718889	126.553795
3	10-Nov-17	127.55	126.05	128.35	125.50	126.883475	127.55	126.209661	126.883403
4	9-Nov-17	126.40	125.55	127.40	125.00	125.912623	126.40	125.969611	125.912587
5	8-Nov-17	125.75	125.05	125.90	124.20	124.356366	125.75	124.382836	124.356412
6	7-Nov-17	124.70	125.50	125.80	123.10	124.213658	124.70	124.514756	124.213751
7	6-Nov-17	125.55	123.95	126.40	122.25	124.977213	125.55	124.310816	124.977126
8	3-Nov-17	123.95	127.15	127.40	125.25	125.783661	123.95	126.718889	125.783760
9	2-Nov-17	126.40	123.35	127.60	122.55	126.302820	126.40	124.716800	126.302583
10	1-Nov-17	122.95	124.70	126.20	121.20	124.703887	122.95	124.514756	124.703880

TABLE I. PREDICTED CLOSING PRICES

Prediction error (%) was calculated for each algorithm with the following equation [7]:

$$\text{Prediction error} = \frac{(\text{measured value} - \text{predicted value})}{\text{measured value}} * 100$$

where *Closing* is the measured value and is the daily *Closing* price.

The mean percent prediction errors (%) are shown in Table II.

Algorithm	Goodness of Fit	Prediction Error (%)
Linear Regression	0.996	0.00009736
Decision Tree Regression	0.799	0.00000542
Decision Tree Regression with AdaBoost	0.749	0.00328
Ridge Regression	0.996	0.00009738

TABLE II. GOODNESS OF FIT AND PREDICTION ERROR

V. DISCUSSION

Since all of the regression algorithms had less than 0.004% prediction error, these results could be implemented for trading coffee futures. The worse prediction error (0.00328) is more than six times more accurate than existing research (0.0219). Since the models are such good fits and the data is highly correlated, this explains a very low prediction error.

The algorithms sufficiently meet the objective of predicting the daily *Closing* price of coffee futures with *Open*, *High* and *Low* price inputs. The hypothesis that *Open*, *High*, *Low* are related to the *Closing* price can be accepted. The hypothesis that historical price data can be used to predict the daily *Closing* price can also be accepted.

VI. CONCLUSION

One or more of these algorithms should be implemented to predict the next day's coffee futures closing prices. Scaling this static process would allow businesses to predict daily *Closing* price of coffee futures in near real-time. The Alpha Advantage API would be an open source way to get real-time commodity prices [2].

To meet future business needs, the granularity of the analysis could be changed to predict the price every minute of a trading day. This would add up to an additional 98,280 (252 trading days * 390 minutes per trading day) prices to the data.

APPENDIX

[Source Data](#)

[Supporting Python Code](#)

	Closing	Open	High	Low
count	2805.000000	2805.000000	2805.000000	2805.000000
mean	169.241907	169.447355	171.432980	167.379430
std	48.736788	48.758244	49.428461	48.087293
min	101.500000	101.500000	103.750000	100.950000
25%	131.550000	131.800000	133.000000	130.050000
50%	153.700000	154.150000	156.400000	151.850000
75%	196.700000	197.400000	200.650000	193.700000
max	304.900000	305.300000	306.250000	304.000000

TABLE III. SUMMARY STATISTICS




Figure 3. Box Plot of Coffee Futures, 2010-2017




Figure 4. Line Plot of Coffee Futures, 2010-2017

Summary of Results




Figure 5. Line Plot of Closing Price over Time




Figure 6. Histogram and Kernel Density Estimate of Closing




Figure 7. Histogram and Kernel Density Estimate of Open




Figure 8. Histogram and Kernel Density Estimate of High




Figure 9. Histogram and Kernel Density Estimate of Low




Figure 10. Correlation Matrix




Figure 11. Linear Regression Plot




Figure 12. Decision Tree Regression




Figure 13. Decision Tree Regression with Adaboost




Figure 14. Ridge Regression




Figure 15. Classifier Performance - Goodness of Fit




Figure 16. Classifier Performance - Prediction Error (%)

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdullah, Siti and X. Zeng. [Machine Learning Approach for Crude Oil Price Prediction with Artificial Neural Networks-Quantitative model](#). Accessed 25 October 2017.
- [2] Alpha Vantage API. <https://www.alphavantage.co/documentation/>. Accessed 29 November 2017.
- [3] Coffee C Futures. Intercontinental Exchange. <https://www.theice.com/products/15/Coffee-C-Futures>. Accessed 27 November 2017
- [4] *Economics of coffee*. Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economics_of_coffee. Accessed 3 October 2017.
- [5] Kim, K. and W. Lee. Stock market prediction using artificial neural networks with optimal feature transformation. *Neural Computing and Applications* (2004), 13: 225-260.
- [6] Montage, D. Algorithmic Trading of Futures via Machine Learning. Stanford University CS229 Course material. <http://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2014/David%20Montague,%20Algorithmic%20Trading%20of%20Futures%20via%20Machine%20Learning.pdf>. Accessed 11 September 2017.
- [7] Prediction Accuracy. Stat897D, Applied Data Mining and Statistical Learning. <https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat857/node/160>. Accessed 29 November 2017.
- [8] Shahwan T., Odening M. Forecasting Agricultural Commodity Prices using Hybrid Neural Networks. (2007) *Computational Intelligence in Economics and Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72821-4_3. Accessed 12 October 2017.
- [9] Choosing the Right Estimator. http://scikit-learn.org/stable/tutorial/machine_learning_map/index.html. Accessed 1 December 2017.
- [10] Seven Things You Must Know about Coffee Futures. <https://tradingsim.com/blog/7-things-you-must-know-about-coffee-futures/>. Accessed 12 September 2017.
- [11] Ticiava, A.M., Dillon M. Feuz and M. McKee. 2010. Forecasting Agricultural Commodity Prices Using Multivariate Bayesian Machine Learning Regression. www.farmdoc.illinois.edu/nccc134. Accessed 2 December 2017.
- [12] US Coffee Futures (KCH8). www.investing.com/commodities/us-coffee-c. Accessed 12 October 2017.