

Homework 3 For Numeric II

1) Proof:

Since f is continuous and by the definition of K_i , we know

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} K_i(t, y, h) = f(t, y), \quad \forall (t, y) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then, for $t = t_k$, $y = y(t_k)$ it means

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |f(t_k, y(t_k)) - \Phi(t_k, y(t_k), h)| = 0 \text{ since } f(t, y) = \Phi(t, y, h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |f(t_k, y(t_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i K_i(t_k, y(t_k), h)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |f(t_k, y(t_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i f(t_k, y(t_k))|$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(t_k, y(t_k)) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i\right)| = 0$$

$$\text{Thus, } \sum_{i=1}^s b_i = 1.$$

□

$$2) Y_{k+1} = Y_k + \frac{1}{6} h (K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4)$$

$$\text{where, } K_1 = f(t_k, Y_k)$$

$$K_2 = f\left(t_k + \frac{1}{2}h, Y_k + \frac{1}{2}h K_1\right)$$

$$K_3 = f\left(t_k + \frac{1}{2}h, Y_k + \frac{1}{2}h K_2\right)$$

$$K_4 = f(t_k + h, Y_k + h K_3)$$

a) The Butcher tableau is

0	0	0	0	0
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0
$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

b) We know $y'(t) = f(t, y) = \lambda y$. Then

$$k_1 = f(t_k, y_k) = \lambda y_k$$

$$k_2 = f\left(t_k + \frac{1}{2}h, y_k + \frac{1}{2}h k_1\right) = \lambda\left(y_k + \frac{1}{2}h(\lambda y_k)\right) = \lambda y_k \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_3 &= f\left(t_k + \frac{1}{2}h, y_k + \frac{1}{2}h k_2\right) = \lambda\left(y_k + \frac{1}{2}h \lambda y_k \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \lambda y_k \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2} + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_4 &= f(t_k + h, y_k + h k_3) = \lambda\left(y_k + h \lambda y_k \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2} + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{4}\right)\right) \\ &= \lambda y_k \left(1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} + \frac{(h\lambda)^3}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The Runge Kutta form is

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k+1} &= y_k + \frac{h}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) \\ &= y_k + \frac{h}{6} \lambda y_k \left[1 + 2\left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2}\right) + 2\left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{2} + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{4}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} + \frac{(h\lambda)^3}{4}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y_{k+1}}{y_k} = 1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(h\lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(h\lambda)^3 + \frac{1}{24}(h\lambda)^4.$$

$$\text{Thus, } y_{k+1} = \left(1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2}h^2\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{6}h^3\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{24}h^4\lambda^4\right) y_k.$$

$$y(t_{k+1}) = y(t_k + h) = y(t_k) + h y'(t_k) + \frac{h}{2} y''(t_k) + \frac{h^3}{6} y'''(t_k)$$

$$\text{Taylor expansion for } t=t_k \quad \frac{h^4}{24} y^{(4)}(t_k) + O(h^5)$$

The basic difference is that the classical Runge-Kutta method doesn't include $O(h^5)$ term, but Taylor expansion includes $O(h^5)$ terms.