MATH70062: Lie Algebras

Lecturer: Ambrus Pal

Scribe: Sidharth Hariharan

Imperial College London - Autumn 2024

Contents

Chapter 1

An Introduction to the Theory of Lie Algebras

While many of the definitions and constructions we shall see in this course can easily be adapted to any field, we will work over $\mathbb{C}$ for simplicity, unless otherwise stated.

1.1 Important Definitions and First Examples

We will begin by defining the fundamental objects of study in this course. We will then provide some examples of these objects and discuss means of constructing them.

1.1.1 Algebras

We begin by recalling the notion of a bilinear map.

Definition 1.1.1 (Bilinear Map). Let V and W be vector spaces. We say that a map $f : V \times W \to \mathbb{C}$ is **bilinear** if it is linear in each argument. That is, for all $v, v' \in V$, $w, w' \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$f(v + v', w) = f(v, w) + f(v', w)$$
$$f(v, w + w') = f(v, w) + f(v, w')$$
$$f(\lambda v, w) = \lambda f(v, w) = f(v, \lambda w)$$

We will be particularly interested in bilinear maps from a vector space to itself.

> **Definition 1.1.2** (Algebra). An **algebra** is a vector space A equipped with a bilinear map $\cdot : A \times A \to A$.

> **Convention.** Given any algebra A, we will often refer to the corresponding bilinear map $\cdot$ as the **multiplication** map of A, and denote $\cdot(x, y)$ as simply $x \cdot y$ or even xy (where the definition of $\cdot$ is clear from the context) for any $x, y \in A$.

There are many different kinds of algebras. We will be particularly interested in Lie algebras and associative algebras.

> **Definition 1.1.3** (Associative Algebras). We say that an algebra A is **associative** if the multiplication map $\cdot$ is associative. That is, for all $x, y, z \in A$, we have
>
> $$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

We have all seen associative algebras before.

> **Example 1.1.4** (The Matrix Algebra). The set $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ forms an associative algebra under matrix multiplication, known as the Matrix Algebra.

We will come back to associative algebras soon enough. We will now define the main object of study in this module.

> **Definition 1.1.5** (Lie Algebras). A **Lie algebra** is an algebra L whose bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfies the following properties:
>   1. For all $x \in L$, we have $[x, x] = 0$.
>   2. For all $x, y, z \in L$, we have
>
> $$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0 \qquad (1.1.1)$$
>
> Such a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is known as a **Lie Bracket**, and (1.1.1) is known as the **Jacobi**

> **Identity**.

Remark. We immediately notice that the first condition (over not just $\mathbb{C}$ but any field) implies the fact that

$$[x, y] = -\,[y, x] \tag{1.1.2}$$

One simply needs to apply bilinearity and the first condition to evaluate $[x + y, x + y]$. This argument reverses nicely as well, but only over fields of characteristic $\neq 2$.

One may recall that the $[\cdot, \cdot]$ notation is often used in group theory to denote the **commutator** of two elements. The reason why the same notation is used for the Lie bracket is the following.

Lemma 1.1.6. *Let A be an associative algebra. Then, the commutator map $[x, y] = xy - yx$ is a Lie bracket on A.*

Proof. Clearly, $[x, x] = xx - xx = 0$ for all $x \in A$. We now show that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies (1.1.1): for all $x, y, z \in A$, we have

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = [x, yz - zy] + [y, zx - xz] + [z, xy - yx]$$
$$= 6xyz - 6xyz = 0$$

where we skip over some of the intermediate computations because they are tedious and uninteresting. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 1.1.6 gives us a large class of examples of Lie algebras. One of the most important of these is the following.

> **Example 1.1.7** (General Linear Lie Algebra). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all $n \times n$ matrices forms a Lie algebra under the commutator bracket: this follows immediately from applying Lemma 1.1.6 to Example 1.1.4. We call this the **General Linear Lie Algebra**, denoted $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

Convention. We will denote by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of all $n \times n$ matrices, viewed (interchangeably) as a *set*, a *vector space* or an *associative algebra*. When viewing it as a *Lie algebra under the commutator bracket*, we will adopt the notation $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{C}$ can be replaced by any field. We will usually abbreviate this to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, because we will primarily work over $\mathbb{C}$.

Lastly, we will define the notion of an abelian Lie algebra.

Definition 1.1.8 (Abelian Lie Algebra)**.** A Lie algebra A is said to be **abelian** if for all $x \in A$, we have $[x, x] = 0$.

The reason for this terminology is that if A is an associative algebra whose multiplication map is commutative, then its commutator bracket is identically zero, making the corresponding Lie algebra abelian.

Example 1.1.9. Clearly, $\mathfrak{gl}(1)$ is abelian: for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}(1) = \mathbb{C}$, we have $xy - yx = 0$.

We will now define subalgebras and homomorphisms of algebras, which will allow us to construct more examples of algebras (Lie and otherwise).

1.1.2 Subalgebras and Homomorphisms

As with objects in any category, we have subobjects and morphisms. We will define these over general algebras and apply them to get more examples of Lie algebras.

Definition 1.1.10 (Subalgebras)**.** Let A be a vector space. A **subalgebra** of A is a subspace $B \subseteq A$ such that B is closed under the multiplication map of A. That is, for all $x, y \in B$, we have $x \cdot y \in B$.

Convention. Given an algebra A and a subset $B \subseteq A$, we will denote the statement that B is a subalgebra of A by $B \leq A$.

> **Definition 1.1.11** (Homomorphisms). Let A and B be algebras. A **homomorphism** $\phi : A \to B$ is a linear map that respects the multiplication maps of A and B. That is, for all $x, y \in A$, we have
>
> $$\phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$$

> **Convention.** We will define Lie subalgebras to be subalgebras with respect to the algebra structure given by the Lie bracket, and we will define Lie algebra homomorphisms to be homomorphisms that respect the Lie bracket (ie, that are algebra homomorphisms with respect to the algebra structure given by the Lie bracket).

We have the following unsurprising result.

Lemma 1.1.12. *Let A and B be algebras, and let $\phi : A \to B$ be a homomorphism. Then,*

1. $\operatorname{im}(\phi) \leq B$
2. $\ker(\phi) \leq A$

Proof. These are standard results, but we will prove them for completentess.

1. Fix $x, y \in \operatorname{im}(\phi)$. Then, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $\phi(a) = x$ and $\phi(b) = y$. Since ϕ is a homomorphism, we have

$$x \cdot y = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b) = \phi(a \cdot b) \in \operatorname{im}(\phi)$$

   so $\operatorname{im}(\phi)$ is closed under the multiplication map of B.

2. Let $x, y \in \ker(\phi)$. Then, we have

$$\phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

   where the last equality follows from the fact that $\cdot$ is bilinear. Therefore, $x \cdot y \in \ker(\phi)$, and $\ker(\phi)$ is closed under the multiplication map of A.

$\square$

This allows us to construct another matrix Lie algebra.

Example 1.1.13 (The Special Linear Lie Algebra). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the trace map $\text{Tr} : \mathfrak{gl}(n) \to \mathfrak{gl}(1)$. This is a (Lie) algebra homomorphism: for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$,

$$\text{Tr}([A, B]) = \text{Tr}(AB - BA) = \text{Tr}(AB) - \text{Tr}(BA) = 0 = [\text{Tr}(A), \text{Tr}(B)]$$

because the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(1)$ is abelian (see Example 1.1.9). By Lemma 1.1.12, its kernel, the set of all $n \times n$ matrices of trace zero, is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. We call this the **Special Linear Lie Algebra**, denoted $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$.

Remark. In Example 1.1.13, we have indirectly shown that

$$\text{im}([\cdot, \cdot]) = [\mathfrak{gl}(n), \mathfrak{gl}(n)] \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}(n)$$

because of the unique property of the trace that $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$ for any $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

The very natural relationship between associative and Lie algebra structures given by Lemma 1.1.6 gives us an elegant criterion for proving that a subspace is a subalgebra of a Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is the commutator of an associative bilinear map.

Proposition 1.1.14. *Let $(A, \cdot_A)$ be an associative algebra and let $(B, \cdot_B)$ be a subalgebra of A. Denoting by $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ the Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is the commutator of the multiplication map of A and by $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B)$ the Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is the commutator of the multiplication map of B, we have $B' \leq A'$. In other words, the following diagram commutes:*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(A, \cdot_A) & \longrightarrow & (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A) \\
\big\uparrow \text{\scriptsize Associative Subalgebra} & & \big\uparrow \text{\scriptsize Lie Subalgebra} \\
(B, \cdot_B) & \longrightarrow & (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B)
\end{array}
\qquad (1.1.3)
$$

Proof. First, observe that $[\cdot, \cdot]_B = [\cdot, \cdot]_A |_B$ (ie, the Lie bracket obtained from $\cdot_B$ agrees with the

one obtained from $\cdot_A$ on B): for all $T_1, T_2 \in B$,

$$[T_1, T_2]_B = T_1 \cdot_B T_2 - T_2 \cdot_B T_1 = T_1 \cdot_A T_2 - T_2 \cdot_A T_1 = [T_1, T_2]_A$$

Therefore, since B is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]_B$ (which, by definition, is a map from $B \times B$ to B), B must be closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$. $\qquad\square$

This allows us to construct more examples still.

> **Example 1.1.15** (The Upper-Triangular Lie Algebra). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the **Upper-Triangular Lie Algebra** to be the set of all $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices (with respect to some predetermined basis), denoted $\mathfrak{t}(n)$. Given that the product of upper-triangular matrices is upper-triangular, $\mathfrak{t}(n)$ forms an associative subalgebra of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and therefore, a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

1.1.3   Ideals

Throughout this subsection, we will denote by L an arbitrary Lie algebra.

> **Definition 1.1.16** (Ideal). We say that $I \subseteq L$ is an **ideal** of L, denoted $I \trianglelefteq L$, if I is a linear subspace of L and $[x, y] \in I$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in I$.

> **Convention.** We will use the notation $[I, L]$ to denote the subspace of L spanned by all elements of the form $[i, \ell]$ for $i \in I$ and $\ell \in L$.

Remark. We could equivalently require that $[I, L] \le L$ in the definition of an ideal instead of requiring that $[x, y] \in I$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in I$. Similarly, we can observe that it doesn't matter whether we require $[x, y] \in I$ or $[y, x] \in I$ because of (1.1.2) and bilinearity.

> **Example 1.1.17** (Trivial Ideals). Given any Lie algebra L, both $\{0\}$ and L are ideals of L.

In certain respects, despite their name, ideals of Lie algebras are more like normal subgroups of a group than they are like ideals of a ring.

Lemma 1.1.18. *Any ideal* $I \trianglelefteq L$ *is also a subalgebra of* L.

Proof. This is clear from Definition 1.1.16. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 1.1.19. *For any Lie algebra* K *and homomorphism* $\phi : L \to K$, *we have* $\ker(\phi) \trianglelefteq L$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.1.12, we know that $\ker(\phi)$ is a linear subspace of L. We now need to show that $[x, y] \in \ker(\phi)$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in \ker(\phi)$. To that end, fix $x \in \ker(\phi)$ and $y \in L$. Then,

$$\phi([x, y]) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)] = [0, \phi(y)] = 0$$

proving that $[x, y] \in \ker(\phi)$ as required. $\qquad\square$

We come back to the theme of the Lie bracket being some sort of 'commutator' when we define the notion of the centre of a Lie algebra: the terminology and notation match those from group theory, where the centre consists of elements that commute with every other element of the group (making its commutator with every other element the identity).

> **Definition 1.1.20** (The Centre of a Lie Algebra). We define the **centre** of L to be
>
> $$Z(L) := \{x \in L \mid \forall y \in L, \ [x, y] = 0\}$$

Lemma 1.1.21. $Z(L)$ *is an ideal of* L.

Proof. The fact that $Z(L)$ is a subspace of L follows from the fact that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear. Now, fix $x \in Z(L)$ and $y \in L$. Clearly, $[x, y] = 0$, and it is easily seen that $0 \in Z(L)$. $\qquad\square$

> **Example 1.1.22.** For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
>
> $$Z(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n) \mid \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } A = \lambda I\}$$
>
> *Proof.* Let $S := \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n) \mid \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } A = \lambda I\}$. It is clear that $S \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$. Now, fix $A \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$. Then, for all $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, we have that $[A, B] = AB - BA = 0$. In particular, this implies that A commutes with all the elementary matrices E_{ij}, which are the matrices

with a 1 in the ij-th position and 0 elsewhere. Therefore, A must be a diagonal matrix. □

It turns out that ideals are well-behaved under several operations.

> **Proposition 1.1.23** (The Behaviour of Ideals). *Let* $I, J \trianglelefteq L$. *Then,*
>
> 1. $I + J \trianglelefteq L$
> 2. $I \cap J \trianglelefteq L$
> 3. $[I, J] := \mathsf{Span}(\{[i, j] \mid i \in I, j \in J\}) \trianglelefteq L$

Proof. sorry   □

We end by defining a special kind of ideal, which will become rather important.

> **Definition 1.1.24** (Derived Subalgebra). The **derived subalgeba** of L, denoted L', is the ideal (and subalgebra) $[L, L]$.

Note that L' is, indeed, an ideal, by the third property proven in Proposition 1.1.23.

> **Convention.** Though L' is an ideal, we will often refer to it as either the **derived subalgebra** or the **commutator subalgebra** of L. Indeed, Lemma 1.1.18 tells us that this is a reasonable, if not the most completely descriptive, thing to do.

1.1.4   Quotients

We now define the notion of a quotient (Lie) algebra. For the remainder of this subsection, let L be a Lie algebra and I an arbitrary ideal of L. Given that we already have a notion of L/I—recall that I is a subspace of L, meaning we can take the quotient in a linear algebraic sense—it seems only natural to attempt to define a Lie bracket on this vector space. It turns out that the definition of an ideal allows us to do this in a very natural way.

Proposition 1.1.25. *Consider the vector space L/I. The map $[\cdot,\cdot]: L/I \times L/I \to L/I$ given by*

$$[x+I, y+I] := [x,y] + I \qquad (1.1.4)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ is a Lie bracket on L/I.

Proof. We begin by showing that the Lie bracket on L/I is well-defined. Fix $x, x', y, y' \in L$ with $x - x' = i \in I$ and $y - y' = j \in I$, so that $x + I = x' + I$ and $y + I = y' + I$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[x,y] - [x',y'] &= [x'+i, y'+j] - [x',y'] \\
&= \cancel{[x',y']} + [i,y'] + [x',j] + [i,j] - \cancel{[x',y']} \\
&= [i,y'] + [x',j] + [i,j] \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

because I is an ideal, proving that $[x,y] + I = [x',y'] + I$, making the choice of representative irrelevant and the bracket on L/I well-defined.

From the definition of $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on L/I, it is clear that $[x+I, x+I] = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Now, for all $x, y, z \in L$, notice that

$$[x+I, [y+I, z+I]] = [x+I, [y,z]+I] = [x, [y,z]] + I$$

The Jacobi identity follows immediately. $\qquad \square$

Definition 1.1.26 (Quotient Algebra). The **quotient algebra** of L with respect to I is the vector space L/I equipped with the bracket defined in (1.1.4), which we showed to be a Lie bracket in Proposition 1.1.25 above.

Indeed, we can show that the map $x \mapsto x + I : L \to L/I$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. More generally, we have the following important theorem.

Theorem 1.1.27 (First Isomorphism Theorem). *Let K be a Lie algebra and $\phi : L \to K$ a*

Lie algebra homomorphism. Then,

$$L \big/ \ker(\phi) \cong \mathrm{im}(\phi) \tag{1.1.5}$$

1.1.5   Adjoints

Throughout this subsection, V will refer to a finite-dimensional vector space.

We begin with a general Lie algebra construction.

Definition 1.1.28 (General Linear Lie Algebra over an Arbitrary Vector Space). We define the **General Linear Lie Algebra over** V to be the set of all linear maps from V to V, viewed as a Lie algebra under the commutator bracket. We denote it $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

That this is, indeed, a Lie algebra should come as no surprise. Given that this construction is well-defined over *any* vector space, we can, in particular, apply it to Lie algebras.

For the remainder of this subsection, let L denote an arbitrary Lie algebra. It turns out that we can define a rather nice map that relates L with $\mathfrak{gl}(L)$: the adjoint.

Definition 1.1.29 (Adjoint Map). To every $x \in L$, we can associate the linear map

$$\mathrm{ad}(x) : L \to L : y \mapsto [x, y]$$

We call this map the **adjoint map** associated to x.

Proposition 1.1.30. *The adjoint map* $\mathrm{ad} : L \to \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ *is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. That ad is linear follows from the fact that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear. Now, fix $x, y \in L$, and consider the map $\mathrm{ad}([x, y]) \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$. We need to show that

$$\mathrm{ad}([x, y]) = \mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y) - \mathrm{ad}(y)\,\mathrm{ad}(x)$$

because the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{gl}(L)$ is the commutator with respect to composition of linear maps.

To that end, fix $z \in L$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y) - \mathrm{ad}(y)\,\mathrm{ad}(x))(z) &= \mathrm{ad}(x)(\mathrm{ad}(y)(z)) - \mathrm{ad}(y)(\mathrm{ad}(x)(z)) \\
&= \mathrm{ad}(x)([y, z]) - \mathrm{ad}(y)([x, z]) \\
&= [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] \\
&= [x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] && \text{(by (1.1.2))} \\
&= -[z, [x, y]] && \text{(by the Jacobi Identity)} \\
&= [[x, y], z] \\
&= \mathrm{ad}([x, y])(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

Furthermore, we make the following observation:

> **Lemma 1.1.31.** $\ker(\mathrm{ad}) = Z(L)$

Proof. This is immediate. We only state the result to highlight it. $\square$

1.1.6   Derivations

Throughout this subsection, let A be an arbitrary algebra with multiplication $\cdot$.

> **Definition 1.1.32.** We say that a linear map $D : A \to A$ is a **derivation** if it satisfies the Leibniz rule, ie, if
> $$D(x \cdot y) = x \cdot D(y) + D(x) \cdot y \tag{1.1.6}$$
> for all $x, y \in A$.

> **Convention.** We will denote the set of all derivations of an algebra A by $\mathrm{Der}(A)$.

Recall that since A is a vector space, $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator bracket (cf. Definition 1.1.28). It turns out there is a relationship between $\mathrm{Der}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$.

> **Proposition 1.1.33.** Der(A) *is a Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$.

Proof. That Der(A) is a subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$ is not too difficult to show: it is clear that the zero map satisfies (1.1.6), and it readily follows from the bilinearity of $\cdot$ that Der(A) is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

We now need to show that Der(A) is closed under the commutator bracket. Fix $D, E \in$ Der(A). We need to show that $[D, E] = DE - ED$ satisfies (1.1.6). Indeed, for all $x, y \in A$,

$$(DE - ED)(x \cdot y) = D(E(x \cdot y)) - E(D(x \cdot y))$$
$$= D(x \cdot E(y) + E(x) \cdot y) - E(x \cdot D(y) + D(x) \cdot y)$$

which can be simplified, if tediously, to the desired form. $\qquad\square$

Most readers will have encountered derivations before. We give below a classic example (over $\mathbb{R}$, for the first time so far) that the reader is sure to recognise.

> **Example 1.1.34.** The space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of smooth $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ functions is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra under pointwise addition and multiplication. The differentiation map $D : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : f \mapsto f'$ is easily seen to be a derivation.

We have also encountered a slightly more sophisticated derivation. For the remainder of this subsection, let L be an arbitrary Lie algebra.

> **Proposition 1.1.35.** *For all $x \in L$, the adjoint map* ad$(x) : L \to L : y \mapsto [x, y]$ *associated with x is a derivation.*

Proof. We already know that ad$(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$. It only remains to show that ad(x) satisfies (1.1.6) with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$. To that end, fix $y, z \in L$. Then, we have that

$$\text{ad}(x)([y, z]) = [x, [y, z]]$$
$$= -[y, [z, x]] - [z, [x, y]]$$
$$= [y, [x, z]] + [[x, y], z]$$

$$= [y, \text{ad}(x)(z)] + [\text{ad}(x)(y), z]$$

as required. □

Abbreviating the set $\{\text{ad}(x) \mid x \in L\}$ of all adjoint maps on L to $\text{ad}(L)$, we have the following chain of Lie subalgebras:

> **Lemma 1.1.36.** $\text{ad}(L) \leq \text{Der}(L) \leq \mathfrak{gl}(L)$

Proof. sorry □

1.1.7 Structure Constants

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let L be an n-dimensional Lie algebra. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of L. Given the fundamentally linear algebraic nature of Lie algebras, it is natural to study what happens when we apply the Lie bracket to elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

> **Definition 1.1.37** (Structure Constants). Fix $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We know that there exist unique constants $s_{ij1}, s_{ij2}, \ldots, s_{ijn}$ such that
>
> $$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_{ijk} e_k$$
>
> We call the scalars $\{s_{ijk}\}_{1 \leq i,j,k \leq n}$ the **structure constants** of L with respect to $\mathcal{B}$.

1.2 Lie Algebras of Dimension ≤ 3

It turns out that we do not need any particularly sophisticated machinery to classify all Lie algebras of dimension less than or equal to 3.

1.2.1 Abelian Lie Algebras and Lie Algebras of Dimension 1

We begin with a simple observation about abelian Lie algebras.

> **Proposition 1.2.1.** *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, any abelian Lie algebra of dimension n is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the zero bracket.*

Proof. Let L be a Lie algebra of dimension n. We know there exists a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\phi : L \to \mathbb{C}^n$. It follows immediately that for any $x, y \in L$,

$$\phi([x, y]) = \phi(0) = 0 = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$$

A similar argument will show that $\phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^n \to L$, viewed as a linear map, is a Lie algebra homomorphism as well, proving that $L \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. □

The classification of Lie algebras in 1 dimension is then straightforward. We will begin by a rather strong but straightforward result on one-dimensional subspaces of Lie algebras.

Proposition 1.2.2. *Let L be a Lie algebra. Any 1-dimensional subspace of L is an abelian Lie subalgebra.*

Proof. Let K be a sub-vector space of dimension 1. We know any $\mathbb{C}$-basis of K consists of a single, nonzero element. Consider such a basis element x. For any $y_1, y_2 \in L$, there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $y_1 = \lambda_1 x$ and $y_2 = \lambda_2 x$. Then,

$$[y_1, y_2] = [\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_1 x_2] = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 [x, x] = 0$$

proving that $[\cdot, \cdot] = 0$. Since K is a subspace, $0 \in K$, proving that K is a Lie subalgebra. □

The classification of Lie algebras of dimension 1 is then immediate.

> **Corollary 1.2.3.** *Any Lie algebra of dimension 1 is abelian, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the zero bracket.*

Proof. Let L be a Lie algebra of dimension 1. That L is abelian follows from applying Proposition 1.2.2 to L viewed as a subspace of itself. The isomorphism then follows immediately from Proposition 1.2.1. □

We can now turn our attention to the slightly more non-trivial problem of classifying non-abelian Lie algebras of dimension 2 and 3.

1.2.2   Lie Algebras of Dimension 2

From Proposition 1.2.1, we already know that there is only one abelian Lie algebra of dimension 2. The question remains, how many non-abelian Lie algebras of dimension 2 are there?

We begin by giving an example.

Example 1.2.4 (A Two-Dimensional Non-Abelian Lie Algebra). Consider the set

$$L := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \mathrm{Span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(2)$$

Clearly, L is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$. Furthermore, One can show that

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

proving that L is closed under the commutator bracket. It follows that L is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$, and therefore, a 2-dimensional Lie algebra in its own right.

The reason we are interested in the above example will become clear imminently. For the remainder of this section, we will reserve the notation L for the Lie algebra described in Example 1.2.4 and denote by K an arbitrary non-abelian Lie subalgebra of dimension 2.

We will begin by describing the derived subalgebra K' (cf. Definition 1.1.24) of K.

Lemma 1.2.5. *For any $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\{u, v\}$ of K, we have that $K' = \mathrm{Span}([u, v])$.*

Proof. Let $\{u, v\}$ be a basis of K. Define $x := [u, v]$. Since K is non-abelian, $x \neq 0$, making $X := \mathrm{Span}(x)$ a 1-dimensional subspace of K. Seeing as $K' = [K, K] = \mathrm{Span}(\{[x, y] \mid x, y \in K\})$, it is clear that $K' \supseteq X$. It remains to show that $K' \subseteq X$.

It suffices to show that $\{[x, y] \mid x, y \in K\} \subseteq X$. To that end, fix $a, b \in K$. We know there exist

$\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a = \lambda_1 u + \mu_1 v$ and $b = \lambda_2 u + \mu_2 v$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[a, b] &= [\lambda_1 u + \mu_1 v, \lambda_2 u + \mu_2 v] \\
&= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \underbrace{[u, u]}_{=0} + \lambda_1 \mu_2 [u, v] + \mu_1 \lambda_2 [v, u] + \mu_1 \mu_2 \underbrace{[v, v]}_{=0} \\
&= (\lambda_1 \mu_2 - \mu_1 \lambda_2) [u, v] \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. $\square$

This tells us, in particular, that the span of the commutator of any basis of K is an ideal. We now have everything we need to describe K.

> **Proposition 1.2.6.** K *is isomorphic to* L.

Proof. It suffices to show that K admits a basis $\{x, y\}$ such that $[x, y] = y$, as this will readily yield the right structure constants.[1]

Let $\{u, v\}$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-basis of K. Let $y := [u, v]$. Since K is non-abelian, $y \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists some $z \in K \setminus \{0\}$ that is linearly independent of y. Since $\mathrm{Span}(y) = K' \trianglelefteq K$, we know that $[z, y] \in K'$. In particular, $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $[z, y] = \lambda y$. Furthermore, since y and z are linearly independent and K is non-abelian, $\lambda \neq 0$. So, define $x := \lambda^{-1} z$. Then, x is still linearly independent of y, making $\{x, y\}$ a basis of K, and $[x, y] = y$, as required. $\square$

Yes, it's true! Up to isomorphism, there is <u>only one</u> non-abelian Lie algebra of dimension 2. Therefore, there are <u>only two</u> Lie algebras of dimension 2: one non-abelian one and one abelian one.

We can now turn our attention to the classification of Lie algebras in dimension 3.

---

[1]Alternatively, if we can show that $[x, y] = y$, it will follow immediately that the linear isomorphism sending x to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and y to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is, indeed, a Lie algebra isomorphism.

1.2.3   Lie Algebras of Dimension 3

1.3   Solvability and Nilpotency

We now begin discussing some nontrivial objects in the theory of Lie algebras. Throughout this section, L will denote an arbitrary Lie algebra.

1.3.1   Descending Series of Ideals

Definition 1.3.1 (Derived Series). The **derived series** of L is the descending series of ideals

$$L = L^{(0)} \supseteq L^{(1)} \supseteq L^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots$$

where $L^{(i)} := \left[L^{(i-1)}, L^{(i-1)} \right]$ for $i \geq 1$.

Definition 1.3.2 (Solvability). L is said to be **solvable** if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^{(n)} = 0$.

Definition 1.3.3 (Lower Central Series). The **lower central series** of L is the descending series of ideals

$$L = L^0 \supseteq L^1 \supseteq L^2 \supseteq \cdots$$

where $L^i := \left[L, L^{i-1} \right]$ for $i \geq 1$.

Convention. Elements of the derived series are denoted $L^{(i)}$, with parenthesised superscript indices, whereas elements of the lower central series are denoted L^i, with no parentheses around the indices.

Definition 1.3.4 (Nilpotency). L is said to be **nilpotent** if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^n = 0$.

Indeed, there is the following relationship between solvability and nilpotency.

Lemma 1.3.5. *For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $L^i \supseteq L^{(i)}$.*

Proof. sorry   □

> **Corollary 1.3.6.** *If L is nilpotent, then L is solvable.*

1.3.2   Ideals, Quotients and Subalgebras

Throughout this section, let $I \trianglelefteq L$ and $K \leq L$. Recall that I is a Lie subalgebra of L (cf. Lemma 1.1.18), meaning we can impose solvability and nilpotency conditions on I as well.

Definition 1.3.7 (Solvability of Subalgebras). We say a subalgebra of L is solvable if it is solvable as a Lie algebra in its own right.

> **Proposition 1.3.8** (Solvability Conditions).
>   1. *If L is solvable, then so is L/I.*
>   2. *If L is solvable, then so is K.*
>   3. *If I and L/I are solvable, then so is L.*

Proof. Let $\phi : L \twoheadrightarrow L/I$ be the quotient map. sorry   □

We have similar results for nilpotency.

> **Proposition 1.3.9** (Nilpotency Conditions).
>   1. *If L is nilpotent, then so is L/I.*
>   2. *If L is nilpotent, then so is K.*

We will not prove these results here, as they are very similar to the corresponding results for solvability.