

Flexible structures enhance fluid mixing in a channel flow

Gaurav Singh*,¹ Arahata Senapati,¹ Abhishek Sharma,² Arnab Atta,² and Rajaram Lakkaraju³

¹*Advanced Technology and Development Centre, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, West Bengal, India -721302*

²*Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, West Bengal, India -721302*

³*Turbulent Interfaces And Dispersion (TRIAD) Group, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, West Bengal, India -721302*

(*Electronic mail: thegauravonline@gmail.com)

(Dated: 27 November 2023)

Fluid mixing in channel flows is an important aspect in various sectors. In this work, we have used the passive mixing method by employing rigid and flexible wall-mounted plates in a channel and compared the mixing performance between them. Early fluid mixing in channel flows without incurring much drop in the pressure head is desired in industrial applications. In this work, we show the enhanced mixing performance in a channel flow by using wall-mounted flexible plates as obstacles. Using fluid-structure-scalar interaction simulations, we investigate the oscillations of the flexible plates under the flow, which serve as vortex generator and help increase the mixing. The channel flow consists of a scalar field with two distinct concentrations, which are initially separated across the channel and inter-mix over the time due to several scales of vortical structures. We have used the ‘Mixing Index’ and mechanical ‘Head Loss’ metrics along the channel length to assess the mixing quality when plates with different flexibility (characterized by the Cauchy number, Ca) are used. We have also investigated the effect of pulsatile fluid inlet (quantified by the Strouhal number, St_f) on mixing. To investigate the efficacy of our setup based on the equivalent mixing and head loss in an empty channel case (with no obstacles) and deduced a comprehensive criteria called ‘co-efficient of performance’. On aggregating all our cases on this scale, we conclude that the case with $Ca = 0.06$ with $St_f = 32$ offers the best mixing performance downstream in the channel when estimated against the head loss as the cost. A major conclusive takeaway from this work is that the plates with increased flexibility result in better mixing outcomes, and using a pulsatile inlet can marginally enhance this performance in certain instances.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fluid mixing plays a crucial role as a pretreatment process in various industrial applications, including fuel emulsification in the petrochemical sector, the blending of raw materials in the food industry, and the mixing of different chemical components in chemical engineering domains^{1–4}. To enhance the mixing of fluids in a channel flow, a commonly employed strategy involves modifying the structural and dimensional aspects of the mixing channels. For instance,⁵ introduced ribbed configurations of varying dimensions into micromixers, resulting in a significant 32.2% reduction in the mixing path.⁶ developed a channel design inspired by the three-dimensional Tesla design, demonstrating a robust mixing index of 0.97 (where 0 meant no-mixing and 1 meant perfect-mixing case) within a Reynolds number range of 0.1 to 100. Additional studies, such as those by⁷, illustrated that topological alterations in serpentine mixer channels could induce chaotic convective motions and molecular diffusion, consequently en-

hancing mixing outcomes.⁸ provided valuable insights into the relationship between channel geometry and the critical Reynolds number that dictates the transition from stable symmetric to asymmetric flow regimes, with the latter resulting in significant improvements in mixing efficiency.

Apart from modifications in channel design, the incorporation of vortex generators within mixing channels has emerged as a key approach to enhancing the mixing process^{9–16}. A fundamental method within this approach involves the placement of shaped obstructions that act as catalysts for Kármán vortex streets and flow agitation, thereby facilitating enhanced mixing^{17–20}. However, in the case of such vortex generators, the vortex mainly concentrates in the small wake zone behind the obstacle, resulting in weak mixing near the channel wall, especially when the channel dimension greatly exceeds the obstacle size²¹. A promising solution to this limitation is to employ flexible structures as vortex generators^{22–26}. When fluid flows over flexible structures, it induces hydrodynamic and structural instabilities that manifest as various

flow scales, self-induced structural oscillations, and acoustic noise^{27–31}. These instabilities arise when there is an imbalance between destabilizing hydrodynamic forces and stabilizing elastic restoring forces^{28,32–36}. Typically, such instabilities lead to flow agitation in a channel, which is highly advantageous in applications like micro-total analysis³⁷ and other applications such as heat exchangers or chemical reactors where enhanced mixing is crucial. In addition to these strategies, leveraging inertial effects in fluid mixing within a channel is also a popular method^{38,39} such as utilizing unsteady time-periodic flow methods or pulsatile flows⁴⁰, to take advantage of time-varying pressure, velocity, shear stresses. These strategies have also been applied for enhanced separation and mixing⁴¹, microdroplet pinch-off and control^{42,43}, efficient on-chip process automation, and clog mitigation⁴⁴.

Drawing inspiration from previous research on microchannel flow^{45–51}, our study involves two-dimensional numerical simulations to investigate the flow past two flexible plates, each occupying nearly half of the channel height and mounted on the opposite channel walls (as shown in Figure 1). Our investigation focuses on an approach to inertial micromixing that capitalizes on the flow-induced bending and oscillations of the flexible plates to enhance mixing. We also examine the impact of wall-mounted plates on fluid mixing in a channel under both steady inlet conditions and pulsatile flow inlets at various periodicities. The objective is to determine whether flexible wall-mounted plates outperform rigid ones in the Reynolds number regime of $\mathcal{O}(100)$. This study aims to assess optimal fluid mixing and the associated head loss in the channel to identify the optimal configuration that offers the best mixing performance.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION AND COMPUTATIONAL SETUP

A schematic of our model as a two-dimensional channel geometry of height h and length $14h$ is shown in Fig. 1. Two




FIG. 1. Schematic of the flow past wall-mounted flexible plates. Flow enters from the inlet (left), passes through the gap between the two oppositely mounted plates, and leaves the channel from the outlet (right). The channel is pre-filled with a scalar concentration field ϕ , which is distinctly separated as $\phi = 1$ on top and $\phi = 0$ in the bottom half of the channel as an initial condition. The inlet flow is considered as pulsatile, and the flow oscillation is shown in the bottom panel. Also, a zoom-in of the typical mesh grid used in the simulation is shown.

flexible plates, each of length $l \approx 0.5h$ ($0.425h$), are fixed on the opposite walls at a distance of $2h$ from the channel inlet. A gap of $0.15h$ is spared between the tip edges of the two plates to prevent frictional effects as suggested in⁵² and also to facilitate numerical ease. The chosen geometry is inspired by the works of^{52–54}, where flow past one flexible plate mounted on a channel wall is studied. Our model is a symmetric form of the same as it employs two wall-mounted plates. The thickness and width of each plate are $b = 0.05h$ and $w = 0.125h$ (into the plane), respectively. A flow enters from the left, interacts with the wall-mounted structures and forms vortices into the downstream, generating intricate flow features. We have carried out the fluid (incompressible and Newtonian) and structure (linear elastic) interaction simulations in this geometry using a partitioned approach, where the fluid and solid solvers are run separately, and their solutions are exchanged. The mathematical framework consists of the Navier-Stokes equations for the fluid and the equations of motion for the solid, which are formulated using the Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) framework^{55–57}. The governing equations for the mass and momentum conservation for the fluids and the




FIG. 2. (a) Stroboscopic images showing the plate reconfiguration for the steady inlet case with $Ca = 0.04$. The thick blue line represents the steady-state reconfigured shape, and the red circles mark the plates' tip position. (b) Time history of gap-width between the tip of the two plates normalized by the channel height (δ_g/h).

structural deformation are,

$$\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{u}_m)] = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_f}{\partial t} + [(\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{u}_m) \cdot \nabla] \mathbf{u}_f = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_f,$$

$$\rho_s \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_s}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_s$$

where $\mathbf{u}_f = (u_x, u_y)$ is the flow velocity, \mathbf{u}_m is the mesh velocity, p is the pressure, ρ_s is the structure density, \mathbf{u}_s is the structural displacement, t is the time and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s$ is the Cauchy stress tensor. The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ represents the time derivative, and $\nabla \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$ is the spatial gradient, and ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator. Re is the Reynolds number i.e., $Re = Uh/\nu_f$ where U is the mean inlet flow velocity, and ν_f is the fluid kinematic viscosity. The subscripts f , s , and m correspond to fluid, solid, and mesh, respectively. As the plates' deform under the fluid load, the strain rate is defined as $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla \mathbf{u}_s + \nabla \mathbf{u}_s^T) + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_s - \nabla \mathbf{u}_s^T)]$. The stress and the strain tensors are related by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s = 2\mu_s \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s + \lambda(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s)\mathcal{I}$, where $\mu_s = \mathcal{E}/[2(1 + \kappa)]$ and $\lambda = \kappa\mathcal{E}/[(1 + \kappa)(1 - 2\kappa)]$ are the Lamé's constants, κ is the Poisson's ratio, \mathcal{E} is the Young's modulus, and \mathcal{I} is the identity tensor of rank two. The superscript T denotes the transpose of a given tensor. The flow stress information and the structural displacement are mutually dependent across the interface by no-slip boundary conditions, and the mesh velocity is considered equal to the structure velocity, i.e., $\mathbf{u}_m \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_s}{\partial t}$.

We have tracked an additional scalar concentration field ϕ

which is governed by an advection-diffusion equation as:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{u}_m) \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{1}{Re \cdot Sc} \nabla^2 \phi,$$

where ϕ is the scalar concentration field, which ranges from 0 to 1, and $Sc = \nu_f/D$ is the Schmidt number, where D is the mass diffusivity of the scalar concentration field. To solve the governing equations, we employed the finite volume method with a second-order accurate Euler-implicit scheme for temporal discretization, a second order vanLeer TVD scheme for spatial discretization of the convection and central differencing scheme with Gaussian integration to resolve diffusion terms. In our work, we ensure that the Courant number, based on the local velocity magnitude, the time-step of integration, and the length of the computational cell, remains below 0.2. Our solution approach predicts the interface displacement and calculates the initial interface residual. This involves estimating the movement of the interface between the fluid and structure domains and determining the deviation from the initial position. Once the initial interface residual has been calculated, the algorithm initiates the fluid-structure interaction via a strongly coupled iterative procedure until the convergence criterion is met^{57,58}. This iterative process is repeated under the Aitken dynamic relaxation approach until the desired tolerance level is achieved. For our investigation on fluid mixing, we have varied Young's Modulus \mathcal{E} such that the plates's flexibility can be varied as a parameter. This parameter, Cauchy Number, $Ca = \rho_f U^2 h^3 b / \mathcal{E} I$ is defined as the ratio between fluid inertial force to the structural restoring force of the plate where ρ_f is the fluid density, and $I = bw^3/12$ is the area moment of inertia of the structure. Due to the significance of structural forces and following prior works on microchannels involving flexible structures³⁰, we have varied Ca in the range from 0 to 0.06, where $Ca = 0$ is referred to as a rigid plate case. The time scale in this work is based on the fluid convection measure as $\tau \approx h/U$. The ratio of fluid density to structure density, in our case, is $\beta = \rho_s b / \rho_f l = 5$. A pulsatile




FIG. 3. Instantaneous (a) iso-contour plots of spanwise vorticity (ω_z) and (b) scalar concentration field for steady inlet flow case. The top to bottom panels are cases with rigid and flexible wall mounted plates with $Ca = 0.001 - 0.06$.

flow enters from the left (see Fig. 1) composed of (a) a steady flow of Reynolds number, $Re_s = 500$, based on channel height h and mean free-stream inlet velocity U and (b) an oscillatory flow such that the inlet Reynolds Number, $Re(t)$ pulses with a sinusoidal functional shape of the waveform:

$$Re(t) = Re_s \{1 + 0.5 \sin(2\pi St_f \tau)\}$$

where $St_f (= f_f h / U)$ is the Strouhal number for an applied flow inlet frequency f_f . We have varied St_f in the range 0 to 32, where $St_f = 0$ represents a steady inlet condition. Such single-harmonic pulse waveform is previously used in many other experimental and numerical works about unsteady flows in channel and tube constrictions^{59–61}. The inlet flow profile across the channel is set to mimic a fully developed parabolic nature as in Poiseuille channel flow and is expressed as $u_f(y) = 6U \left(\frac{y}{h}\right) \left(1 - \frac{y}{h}\right)$. The channel domain comprises a grid of 42480 hexahedral cells as 360 cells along the channel length and 118 cells along its height. The thin plate structures are also computationally discretized into a hexahedral grid as 12 cells along the thickness and 50 cells along the plate height for each of the two plates. A zoom-in of the domain mesh grid at a section near the plates is shown in Figure 1. To

establish the most appropriate grid size for our simulations, we ascertain grid convergence analysis. We conducted a base simulation with $Re_s = 500$ and steady flow conditions past the flexible plates with $Ca = 0.04$ and $Sc = 1$. In the simulation setup, the resolution in each direction was increased by a scale factor of 1.5, leading us to utilize a total grid size of 480×177 cells, thus an increase in the overall number of points by approximately 3 times. Consequently, we noted that head loss and the mixing index differed insignificantly with less than a variance rate amounting to no more than 1%, while the mixing index only varied very slightly with less than 1% variation in results. Upon analyzing the results, we found that the flow and structural dynamics are well resolved at the present grid size. The grid refinement test and validation study on a similar simulation setup has been carried out extensively and exhibited in our prior work⁶². The velocity boundary conditions applied to the channel walls and flexible structure surfaces are no-slip and impermeable. The velocity gradients at the channel outlet boundary (on the right side) are set to zero, whereas the inlet velocity is set to pulsatile inflow, as described previously. Additionally, the interface velocity of the solid structure and the flow are the same. The pressure boundary conditions are set to zero gradients at channel walls, channel inlet and the




FIG. 4. Time-averaged (a) mixing index MI and (b) head loss HL along the channel length in the downstream for steady inlet flow. The grey rectangle marks the location of plates in the channel.

fluid-solid interface and the channel outlet has ambient pressure (*zero*) conditions as a reference. We have focussed our study at the streamwise location $x = 8h$ such that there would be no boundary reflections and the properties cushion out before leaving the channel.

III. RESULTS

We have studied the mixing performance in a channel flow with passively oscillating flexible plates under impact the flow. Such plates under the action of an incoming flow may deform as lodging mode, regular VIV mode (vortex-induced vibration or flutter) or static reconfiguration mode as suggested by⁶³. Based on the parameter range used, our cases lie in the phase space that exhibits static reconfiguration of the plates as shown in⁶³ where the plates comply with the flow, the projected area perpendicular to the flow reduces, and negligible flutter can be observed at later time (steady-state). In Figure 2(a), stroboscopic visualization of the plates for steady inlet flow and $Ca = 0.04$ are shown. The plate bends maximum at the tip in the streamwise direction, represented as the red circle in the figure. After the initial transients die down, the plates' tips flutter at very small amplitudes about a mean state (shown as the thick blue line). The gap opened between the plates creates spatial variation in the flow. In Figure 2(b), the

amplitude of gap between the two plates' tips, (δ_g) is shown with the time for different cases for reference. We can observe the effect of tip oscillations in different cases as varying gap amplitude due to pulsatile inlet conditions. We can also note the higher bending state for increased Ca cases.

In Figure 3(a), instantaneous iso-contours of flow vorticity (into the plane, $\omega_z = \nabla \times u_f$) for rigid plate and flexible plate cases are shown. The flow accelerates through the gap between the plates to form a jet-like flow. In rigid plate cases, this jet-like flow is observed for long lengths beyond which the flow instabilities take place. However, this jet length breaks up earlier in flexible plate case due to the plates' tiny tip-oscillations, which act as a source for perturbation into this jet-like flow and generate oppositely rotating vortex structures which spread out in the channel downstream in alternating fashion. These vortex structures, under the effect of inlet inertia, get advected in the downstream direction and lose momentum by diffusion into the flow, which in turn act as a 'stirring effect' and induce fluid mixing. In Figure 3(b), the scalar concentration field is shown which, initially, is distinctly separated by an interface about the midline along the channel length, but as the flow progresses, the scalar field diffuses into one another across the interface layer. In the rigid plate case, the scalar fields remain unmixed until jet-like flow oscillates at a later downstream location when the vortex structures be-




FIG. 5. Instantaneous contour plots of (a) spanwise vorticity and (b) scalar concentration field for $Ca = 0.02$ case. The top to bottom panels are cases with pulsatile inlet at $St_f = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32$ and steady flow inlet.

gin to detach. The vortex structures agitate the flow, and the layer separating the two scalars stretches in length. The larger ‘interface length’ causes the diffusion process to act more efficiently and thereby increases the mixing process. With the increase in plate flexibility ($Ca = 0.001 - 0.06$), the jet-like flow breakups early in the downstream larger count of advecting vortex structures can be observed. The aforementioned ‘stirring effect’ caused by these vortex rolls due to increased interface layers induces the mixing of the scalars towards homogeneity. The alternate rolling of clockwise and counter-clockwise vortices entrain scalar alternately from the top wall side and bottom wall side. This entrainment from both sides evolves into localised mixing zones, which advect under the effect of inertia. Such local mixing zones cause agitation in the flow and hence accelerate the diffusive mixing. To evaluate the mixing performance in channel flows, various metrics have been used in the past^{64–66}. In our work, we have used one of the most widely used metrics called ‘Mixing Index’ (MI),

which is evaluated along the streamwise location as:

$$MI(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(x)^2}{\sigma_{max}^2}}$$

$$\text{where } \sigma(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [\phi(x, y) - \phi_m]^2 dy}$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the standard deviation of the vertically integrated scalar field across the channel height, ϕ_m is the scalar concentration value for a completely mixed solution or mean of the scalar concentration field range and σ_{max} is the maximum deviation in the scalar concentration field within the fluid domain. As per the expression, $MI = 0$ represents a completely unmixed field, whereas $MI = 1$ means full mixing with completely homogenised scalar concentration. We have also computed vertically integrated mechanical head loss (HL) in the flow evaluated along the streamwise location during the




FIG. 6. Time averaged (a) mixing index MI and (b) head loss HL along the channel length in the downstream for flexible plate case with $Ca = 0.04$. The grey rectangle marks the location of plates in the channel.

mixing process as:

$$\mathcal{H}^*(x) = \frac{\mathcal{H}(x)}{\rho_f U^2} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [p(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} u^2(x, y)] dy$$

$$\text{Head Loss, } HL(x) = \mathcal{H}^*(0) - \mathcal{H}^*(x)$$

In Figure 4(a), $MI(x)$ for a steady inlet flow is shown for rigid and flexible plate cases. The grey shaded rectangle at $x/h = 2$ marks the location of the plates in the channel. The MI suddenly shoots high next to the plates ($2 < x/h < 4$) for flexible plate cases, unlike the rigid plate case, where the MI increases gradually along the channel length. This is due to the lower entrainment of the scalar concentration field in the rigid plate case because of the inertia-driven jet-like flow next to the plates, as discussed above. With increasing Ca , the MI shows an even steeper curve and exhibits the fluid mixing early in the channel location. We have also shown the MI along the channel length in an empty channel, i.e. with no obstacles for reference. In Figure 4(b), the total mechanical head loss, HL , is shown along the channel streamwise direction. The HL jumps suddenly at the plates' location to a value based on the plates' flexibility. Most flexible case ($Ca = 0.06$) show minimum HL , whereas the rigid plates case offers the highest HL among all the cases.

To understand the effect of pulsatile inlet conditions in the

flow dynamics and mixing performance, we have shown the instantaneous vorticity contour in Figure 5 for $Ca = 0.04$ with $St_f = 1 - 32$, and steady inlet. In comparison to the steady inlet conditions, an increase in flow pulsation generates even more complex vorticity dynamics due to the plates' tip oscillation. In Figure 6, MI and HL are shown along the downstream location. MI increases earliest in the channel in the case with flow inlet at $St_f = 2$ whereas, the HL remains nearly indifferent to the effect of pulsatile inlet flow.

In Figure 7(a), we have summarised the mixing performance of all the cases studied in our work. We have shown MI and HL attained at the channel length $x/h = 8$ for cases with different Ca and St_f . The clusters of data points arranged according to the Cauchy numbers (Ca) can be observed where the solid marker point corresponds to the steady inlet conditions. Among these summarized data points, the most flexible plate case ($Ca = 0.06$) offers significant mixing at considerably low HL compared to all other cases. However, even more mixing can be achieved at the cost of a slight increase in HL in the case of $Ca = 0.04$. This fluid mixing can be fine-tuned to the best performance by modulating the inlet flow pulsation, such as in the case with $Ca = 0.06$, $St_f = 32$. In contrast, the mixing performance of the flexible plate cases is significantly better than that of rigid plate cases.




FIG. 7. (a) Performance comparison in terms of mixing index and head loss HL for the different cases in this work. The solid mark corresponds to the steady inlet flow case. A zoom-in of each of the clusters based on the plates' flexibility is shown separately in the Appendix section for St_f cases. (b) Coefficient of performance CoP for increasing Ca and different St_f cases.

A primary goal when designing a small mixer is to make it as small as possible while ensuring effective mixing. To find out the "compactness" of the channel mixer with wall-mounted plates, we can look at how long a mixer channel without any plates (or an empty channel) would need to be to achieve the same mixing level as the channel with plates at the streamwise location $x/h = 8$. Similarly, to understand efficiency losses in such channel mixers is to find the length of the empty channel that experiences the same loss as one with plates. These equivalent channel lengths for an empty channel case are separately quantified for mixing length E_M and for head loss E_H . To estimate these equivalent lengths, we find that the mixing index and head loss in the empty channel with steady inlet flow (i.e., with no plate) can be very accurately fit as a linear profile, i.e., $MI = 0.015x/h$ and $HL(x) = 0.0032x/h$, in our setup (see Figure 4). From this understanding, we can introduce a Coefficient of Performance (CoP) for the mixer, calculated as the ratio of equivalent lengths for mixing and loss (i.e., $CoP = E_M/E_H$)⁶⁷. This coefficient helps us see how much the mixing improves in relation to the increase in efficiency loss for a mixer compared to a design without any plates. We have provided the equivalent lengths and Coefficient of Performance for some instances in Table 1. This data shows how adding plates can make a mixer

more compact and offers a way to compare different mixer designs. In Figure 7(b), we have shown the CoP for different Ca and St_f cases. We can confirm that the flexible plates offer better mixer performance. In contrast, the pulsatile inlet does not offer any significant improvement in overall mixing performance compared to the steady inlet cases because of the head loss incurred by the pulsatile inlet conditions. However, among all the cases, $Ca = 0.06$ with $St_f = 32$ offers the best fluid mixing in our setup.

IV. SUMMARY

We have conducted computer simulations to analyze the mixing of two initially separated fluids in a channel mixer characterized by the parameters Reynolds number, $Re = 500$ and Schmidt number, $Sc = 1$. The design of this channel incorporates two flexible plates affixed to its walls. As the fluid flows, these plates experience bending and demonstrate tip oscillations (about a mean position), contributing to the mixing process. Additionally, we have also examined the impact of periodic inlet conditions on mixing outcomes. We have used standard metrics to determine both the efficiency of mixing and the associated mechanical head loss. Our observations reveal that flexible plates not only enhance the mixing quality

TABLE I. Equivalent lengths for mixing and head loss and corresponding coefficient of pressure for our cases.

| Case | | MI(8) | HL(8) | E_M | E_H | CoP |
|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| rigid | steady | 0.886 | 1.625 | 59.08 | 507.80 | 0.116 |
| 0.01 | steady | 0.973 | 1.660 | 64.87 | 518.85 | 0.125 |
| 0.04 | steady | 0.962 | 0.875 | 64.16 | 273.35 | 0.235 |
| 0.06 | steady | 0.908 | 0.453 | 60.51 | 141.53 | 0.427 |
| 0.06 | $St_f=8$ | 0.887 | 0.459 | 62.53 | 143.44 | 0.412 |
| 0.06 | $St_f=32$ | 0.896 | 0.445 | 59.73 | 138.90 | 0.430 |

when compared to rigid plates but also lead to reduced head loss. Whereas, in scenarios with pulsatile inlet conditions, mixing results varied based on the St_f value and were accompanied by an increased head loss. To provide a comprehensive evaluation of mixing performance, taking into account both the quality of mixing and the head loss, we have compared our configuration with a scenario involving an empty channel (with no obstacles). We utilized equivalent empty channel lengths (E_M and E_H) for this comparison, aiming to achieve metrics comparable to the channel equipped with plates. Subsequently, we computed the coefficient of performance, defined as $CoP = E_M/E_H$, for all examined scenarios to rank our setups based on their mixing performance. The optimal mixing performance was observed in the scenario characterized by $Ca = 0.06$ and $St_f = 32$, followed closely by $Ca = 0.06$ with a steady inlet condition. In conclusion, greater flexibility in the plates leads to better mixing performance. This performance can be marginally refined by using pulsatile inlet in some cases. Nevertheless, our study has its limitations. Primarily, the geometric design of the channel mixer used is simplistic, representing a straight, two-dimensional channel. In practical applications, mixers might possess more intricate, duct-like channels where three-dimensional flow dynamics are significant. Such three-dimensional attributes could potentially alter the dynamics of the elastic plates and the overarching flow dynamics. Future research could explore the influence of plates' geometry and their location within the channel on mixing efficiency.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank our fellow members of TuRbulent Interfaces And Dispersion (TRIAD), IIT Kharagpur, for their support. We acknowledge research grants from the Board of Research and Nuclear Science(BRNS), INSPIRE and MATRICS sponsored by the Science and Engineering Research Board of the Government of India. We sincerely thank Param Shakti for computing resources developed under the National Supercomputing Mission at IIT Kharagpur, India.

- ¹M. Peterwitz and G. Schembecker, "Evaluating the potential for optimization of axial back-mixing in continuous pharmaceutical manufacturing," *Comput. Chem. Eng.* **147**, 107251 (2021).
- ²J. Wang and Y. Zhen, "Application and patent of static mixer in plastic processing," *Recent Pat. Mech. Eng.* **14**, 94–104 (2021).
- ³A. Núñez-Flores, A. Sandoval, E. Mancilla, A. Hidalgo-Millán, and G. Ascanio, "Enhancement of photocatalytic degradation of ibuprofen contained in water using a static mixer," *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* **156**, 54–63 (2020).
- ⁴S.-I. Yeh, H.-J. Sheen, and J.-T. Yang, "Chemical reaction and mixing inside a coalesced droplet after a head-on collision," *Microfluid. Nanofluidics* **18**, 1355–1363 (2015).
- ⁵B. S. Kim, B. S. Kwak, S. Shin, S. Lee, K. M. Kim, H.-I. Jung, and H. H. Cho, "Optimization of microscale vortex generators in a microchannel using advanced response surface method," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **54**, 118–125 (2011).
- ⁶A.-S. Yang, F.-C. Chuang, C.-K. Chen, M.-H. Lee, S.-W. Chen, T.-L. Su, and Y.-C. Yang, "A high-performance micromixer using three-dimensional tesla structures for bio-applications," *Chem. Eng. J.* **263**, 444–451 (2015).
- ⁷X. Chen and T. Li, "A novel design for passive micro-mixers based on topology optimization method," *Biomed. Microdevices* **18**, 57 (2016).
- ⁸A. S. Lobasov, A. V. Minakov, V. V. Kuznetsov, V. Y. Rudyak, and A. A. Shebeleva, "Investigation of mixing efficiency and pressure drop in

- t-shaped micromixers,” *Chem. Eng. Process.* **134**, 105–114 (2018).
- ⁹S. Ali, C. Habchi, S. Menanteau, T. Lemenand, and J.-L. Harion, “Heat transfer and mixing enhancement by free elastic flaps oscillation,” *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **85**, 250–264 (2015).
- ¹⁰V. V. Khatavkar, P. D. Anderson, J. M. J. den Toonder, and H. E. H. Meijer, “Active micromixer based on artificial cilia,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **19**, 083605 (2007).
- ¹¹A. Dadvand, S. Hosseini, S. Aghebatandish, and B. C. Khoo, “Enhancement of heat and mass transfer in a microchannel via passive oscillation of a flexible vortex generator,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **207**, 556–580 (2019).
- ¹²S. Ali, S. Menanteau, C. Habchi, T. Lemenand, and J.-L. Harion, “Heat transfer and mixing enhancement by using multiple freely oscillating flexible vortex generators,” *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **105**, 276–289 (2016).
- ¹³K.-Y. Hsiao, C.-Y. Wu, and Y.-T. Huang, “Fluid mixing in a microchannel with longitudinal vortex generators,” *Chem. Eng. J.* **235**, 27–36 (2014).
- ¹⁴S. Hosseini, S. Aghebatandish, A. Dadvand, and B. C. Khoo, “An immersed boundary-lattice boltzmann method with multi relaxation time for solving flow-induced vibrations of an elastic vortex generator and its effect on heat transfer and mixing,” *Chem. Eng. J.* **405**, 126652 (2021).
- ¹⁵R. van Loon, P. D. Anderson, F. N. van de Vosse, and S. J. Sherwin, “Comparison of various fluid–structure interaction methods for deformable bodies,” *Comput. Struct.* **85**, 833–843 (2007).
- ¹⁶H. Eizadi, H. An, T. Zhou, H. Zhu, and L. Cheng, “Wake transitions of six tandem circular cylinders at low reynolds numbers,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **34**, 023605 (2022).
- ¹⁷T. Abdelhamid, M. M. Alam, and M. Islam, “Heat transfer and flow around cylinder: Effect of corner radius and reynolds number,” *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **171**, 121105 (2021).
- ¹⁸P. K. Yadav, K. Sourav, D. Kumar, and S. Sen, “Flow around a diamond-section cylinder at low reynolds numbers,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **33**, 053611 (2021).
- ¹⁹D. Jing and X. Zhan, “Numerical studies on the hydraulic and mixing performances of fluid flow around a cylinder in microchannel with vertical flexible flag,” *Chem. Eng. J.* **430**, 133009 (2022).
- ²⁰Y. Yu, Y. Liu, and Y. Chen, “Vortex dynamics behind a self-oscillating inverted flag placed in a channel flow: Time-resolved particle image velocimetry measurements,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **29**, 125104 (2017).
- ²¹S. Wang, J. Ryu, J. Yang, Y. Chen, G.-Q. He, and H. J. Sung, “Vertically clamped flexible flags in a poiseuille flow,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **32**, 031902 (2020).
- ²²Y. Chen, J. Ryu, Y. Liu, and H. J. Sung, “Flapping dynamics of vertically clamped three-dimensional flexible flags in a poiseuille flow,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **32**, 071905 (2020).
- ²³J. W. Park, J. Ryu, and H. J. Sung, “Effects of the shape of an inverted flag on its flapping dynamics,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **31**, 021904 (2019).
- ²⁴H. Saleh, Z. Siri, and I. Hashim, “Role of fluid-structure interaction in mixed convection from a circular cylinder in a square enclosure with double flexible oscillating fins,” *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **161–162**, 105080 (2019).
- ²⁵M. Zhao, “Effects of natural frequency ratio on vortex-induced vibration of a circular cylinder in steady flow,” *Phys. Fluids* (1994) **32**, 073604 (2020).
- ²⁶J.-L. Pfister and O. Marquet, “Fluid–structure stability analyses and nonlinear dynamics of flexible splitter plates interacting with a circular cylinder flow,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **896** (2020).
- ²⁷J. Robichaux, S. Balachandar, and S. P. Vanka, “Three-dimensional Floquet instability of the wake of square cylinder,” *Physics of Fluids* **11**, 560–578 (1999).
- ²⁸J. Zhang, S. Childress, A. Libchaber, and M. Shelley, “Flexible filaments in a flowing soap film as a model for one-dimensional flags in a two-dimensional wind,” *Nature* **408**, 835–839 (2001).
- ²⁹M. Argentina and L. Mahadevan, “Fluid-flow-induced flutter of a flag,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 1829–1834 (2005).
- ³⁰N. Vandenbergh, J. Zhang, and S. Childress, “Symmetry breaking leads to forward flapping flight,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **506**, 147–155 (2004).
- ³¹M. Shelley and J. Zhang, “Flapping and bending bodies interacting with fluid flows,” *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **43**, 449–465 (2011).
- ³²T. Sadatoshi, “Waving motions of flags,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **24**, 392–401 (1968).
- ³³Y. Watanabe, S. Suzuki, M. Sugihara, and Y. Sueoka, “An experimental study of paper flutter,” *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **16**, 529–542 (2002).
- ³⁴C. Eloy, R. Lagrange, C. Souilliez, and L. Schouveiler, “Aeroelastic instability of cantilevered flexible plates in uniform flow,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **611**, 97–106 (2008).
- ³⁵L. Zhu and C. S. Peskin, “Simulation of a flapping flexible filament in a flowing soap film by the immersed boundary method,” *Journal of Computational Physics* **179**, 452–468 (2002).
- ³⁶S. Alben and M. Shelley, “Flapping states of a flag in an inviscid fluid: Bistability and the transition to chaos,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 74301 (2008).
- ³⁷D. R. Reyes, D. Iossifidis, P.-A. Auroux, and A. Manz, “Micro total analysis systems. 1. introduction, theory, and technology,” *Analytical Chemistry* **74**, 2623–2636 (2002).
- ³⁸D. Di Carlo, “Inertial microfluidics,” *Lab Chip* **9**, 3038–3046 (2009).
- ³⁹D. D. Carlo, D. Irimia, R. G. Tompkins, and M. Toner, “Continuous inertial focusing, ordering, and separation of particles in microchannels,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 18892–18897 (2007).
- ⁴⁰G. Cai, L. Xue, H. Zhang, and J. Lin, “A review on micromixers,” *Micro-machines* **8** (2017).

- ⁴¹K. Ward and Z. H. Fan, "Mixing in microfluidic devices and enhancement methods," *Journal of micromechanics and microengineering : structures, devices, and systems* **25** (2015).
- ⁴²P. Zhu and L. Wang, "Passive and active droplet generation with microfluidics: a review," *Lab Chip* **17**, 34–75 (2017).
- ⁴³A. Senapati, G. Singh, and R. Lakkaraju, "Numerical simulations of an inline rising unequal-sized bubble pair in a liquid column," *Chemical Engineering Science* **208**, 115159 (2019).
- ⁴⁴N. Mehendale, O. Sharma, S. Pandey, and D. Paul, "Clogging-free continuous operation with whole blood in a radial pillar device (rapid)," *Biomedical Microdevices* **20** (2018).
- ⁴⁵V. Khatavkar, P. Anderson, J. Toonder, and H. Meijer, "Active micromixer based on artificial cilia," *Physics of Fluids* **19** (2007).
- ⁴⁶R. A. Lambert and R. H. Rangel, "The role of elastic flap deformation on fluid mixing in a microchannel," *Physics of Fluids* **22**, 52003 (2010).
- ⁴⁷J. P. Gleeson, "Transient micromixing: Examples of laminar and chaotic stirring," *Physics of Fluids* **17**, 100614 (2005).
- ⁴⁸F. R. Phelan, N. R. Hughes, and J. A. Pathak, "Chaotic mixing in microfluidic devices driven by oscillatory cross flow," *Physics of Fluids* **20**, 23101 (2008).
- ⁴⁹S. Kim, W.-X. Huang, and H. J. Sung, "Constructive and destructive interaction modes between two tandem flexible flags in viscous flow," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **661**, 511–521 (2010).
- ⁵⁰K. P. Selverov and H. A. Stone, "Peristaltically driven channel flows with applications toward micromixing," *Physics of Fluids* **13**, 1837–1859 (2001).
- ⁵¹H. A. Stone, A. D. Stroock, and A. Ajdari, "Engineering flows in small devices: Microfluidics toward a lab-on-a-chip," *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **36**, 381–411 (2004).
- ⁵²C. Hernández-Badillo, J. Guzmán, and R. Zenit, "Effect of the curvature of elastic plates on the evolution of pulsatile flow fields," *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **56**, 177–189 (2015).
- ⁵³R. Ledesma-Alonso, J. E. V. Guzmán, and R. Zenit, "Experimental study of a model valve with flexible leaflets in a pulsatile flow," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **739**, 338–362 (2014).
- ⁵⁴J. Guzmán, C. Hernandez, and R. Zenit, "Experimental study of the deflections of curved plates exposed to pulsating cross-flows," *Acta Mechanica* **227** (2016).
- ⁵⁵V.-T. Nguyen, "An arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian discontinuous Galerkin method for simulations of flows over variable geometries," *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **26**, 312–329 (2010).
- ⁵⁶A. K. Slone, K. Pericleous, C. Bailey, and M. Cross, "Dynamic fluid–structure interaction using finite volume unstructured mesh procedures," *Computers & Structures* **80**, 371–390 (2002).
- ⁵⁷R. L. Campbell and E. Paterson, "Fluid–structure interaction analysis of flexible turbomachinery," *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **27**, 1376–1391 (2011).
- ⁵⁸Z. Tukovic and H. Jasak, "Updated lagrangian finite volume solver for large deformation dynamic response of elastic body," *Transactions of FAMENA* **31**, 55 (2007).
- ⁵⁹S. A. Ahmed and D. P. Giddens, "Pulsatile poststenotic flow studies with laser doppler anemometry," *Journal of Biomechanics* **17**, 695–705 (1984).
- ⁶⁰M. Ojha, R. S. C. Cobbold, K. W. Johnston, and R. L. Hummel, "Pulsatile flow through constricted tubes: an experimental investigation using photochromic tracer methods," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **203**, 173–197 (1989).
- ⁶¹J. A. Isler, R. S. Gioria, and B. S. Carmo, "Pulsatile flow in a constricted channel: flow behaviour and equilibrium states," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **866**, R4 (2019).
- ⁶²G. Singh and R. Lakkaraju, "Wall-mounted flexible plates in a two-dimensional channel trigger early flow instabilities," *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 023109 (2019).
- ⁶³X. Zhang, G. He, and X. Zhang, "Fluid–structure interactions of single and dual wall-mounted 2d flexible filaments in a laminar boundary layer," *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **92**, 102787 (2020).
- ⁶⁴P. V. Danckwerts, "The definition and measurement of some characteristics of mixtures," *Flow Turbulence and Combustion* **3**, 279–296 (1952).
- ⁶⁵N. Kockmann, T. Kiefer, M. Engler, and P. Woias, "Convective mixing and chemical reactions in microchannels with high flow rates," *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **117**, 495–508 (2006).
- ⁶⁶D. Liscinsky, B. True, and J. Holdeman, "Experimental investigation of crossflow jet mixing in a rectangular duct," (1993).
- ⁶⁷A. Rips and R. Mittal, "Flutter-enhanced mixing in small-scale mixers," *Physics of Fluids* **31**, 107107 (2019).
- ⁶⁸N. Kevlahan, J. C. R. Hunt, and J. Vassilicos, "A comparison of different analytical techniques for identifying structures in turbulence," *Applied Scientific Research* **53**, 339–355 (1994).
- ⁶⁹J. Jeong and F. Hussain, "On the identification of a vortex," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **285**, 69–94 (1995).
- ⁷⁰P. Holmes, J. L. Lumley, and G. Berkooz, "Turbulence, coherent structures, dynamical systems and symmetry," *Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics*, 20–85 (1996).
- ⁷¹M. S. Hemati, M. O. Williams, and C. W. Rowley, "Dynamic mode decomposition for large and streaming datasets," *Physics of Fluids* **26**, 111701 (2014).

APPENDIX

In Figure 8, instantaneous streamwise velocity contour (left) and Q -criterion based vortex structures are shown. Using Q -criterion, strong vortices in the flow (with $Q > 0$) can be detected during run time calculations^{68–71}. The vortex dominated zones and the strained zones can be identified by $Q > 0$ and $Q < 0$, respectively. We can observe accelerated flow through the gap and multiple recirculation zones attached in the downstream side. Figure 9 indicates the amplitude of flow speed up and subsequent decay along the channel length. Figure 8 shows the zoomed-in view of each clusters of data points shown in 7(a) which indicates effect of St_f on mixing performance.




FIG. 8. (a) Instantaneous streamwise velocity contour for different cases. (b) Q -criterion based vortex structures are shown.




FIG. 9. (a) Time-averaged velocity profiles across the channel at six different streamwise locations. (b) Time-averaged center-line Reynolds number (Re_c) along the channel's length normalized with (Re_S).




FIG. 10. Zoom-in of each clusters of data points shown in Figure 7(a). The solid marker corresponds to the steady inlet case, whereas the rest hollow markers are for periodic inlet condns and St_f value is shown near each data point.