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Abstract

A historiated initial depicting the Old Testament prophet Micah, cut probably in the nineteenth century from a twelfth-century illuminated manuscript and acquired by the J. Paul Getty Museum in 1989, is here placed in its temporal, geographical, and religious context through an examination of its decoration, script, and text, in combination with the evidence provided by fifty further cuttings from the same manuscript in Berlin—most of them unpublished—and one in a private collection. From this study it emerges that the cuttings come from a Bible written in the third quarter of the twelfth century in southeastern France for a Carthusian monastery in the orbit of the Grande Chartreuse.

## Introduction

About thirty-five years ago in 1989, the Department of Manuscripts of the J. Paul Getty Museum acquired a cutting with a historiated initial from a fine twelfth-century French manuscript Bible **(fig. 1)**.[[1]](#endnote-1) It had come onto the market from an anonymous seller to be sold at Sotheby’s, London, on 2 December 1986.[[2]](#endnote-2) The description in the auction catalog noted that some other cuttings from the same manuscript are in the Kupferstichkabinett, Berlin, and that these had been attributed to southeastern France in the first half of the twelfth century. The Sotheby’s cataloger suggested that they might instead have been made in northeastern France or southern Flanders, however, and refined the date to the second quarter of the twelfth century.[[3]](#endnote-3) Since the Getty acquisition, the museum’s attribution has always been to northeastern France in the middle decades of the twelfth century.[[4]](#endnote-4) This article revisits that date and place of production to show that, through an examination of the sister cuttings, most of which are entirely unpublished, the Getty cutting can be situated in a specific religious context.

## The Getty Initial

The Getty cutting embodies most of the kinds of evidence that will be used in the ensuing discussion, so it is worth directing the reader’s attention to its salient features. The initial depicts a barefoot, bearded, and haloed male figure holding a scroll—typical iconography for an Old Testament prophet. The outlines are drawn in dark-brown ink, and the figure’s draperies, neck, and feet are modeled in two tones of blue and an orange-red, allowing the bare parchment to act as highlights; the visible hand, face, and hair are modeled in shades of brown, also with bare parchment for highlight areas. The prophet stands against a deep-red background within a green initial *U* (the letter is interchangeable with *V* in medieval Latin) with simple foliate motifs, which opens the Old Testament book of Micah: “Verbum domini quo factum est ad Micheam Morastiten” (The word of the Lord that came to Micah the Morasthite). The lower left and right corners of the green letter and its red background show that, as usual, the artist had to fit his design into spaces left in the text by the scribe. Above the initial are the closing words of the book of Jonah, “Explicit Ionas propheta” ([Here] ends Jonah the prophet), and a line of stylized majuscules against a green background, “Incipit Mich[eas]. P[ro]pheta” ([Here] begins Micah the prophet), above which the same words are written in more easily legible twelfth-century script in red ink. The scribe who added this line in red also altered the spelling of the word “Micheam” a few lines down, because the original scribe had omitted the *e*. The writing is guided by horizontal rulings in gray plummet (often called lead point, the medieval equivalent of pencil). The second-from-bottom line of writing is placed between two such horizontal lines rules that extend all the way to the left edge of the cutting. Where they meet the extreme left edge, a small oblique stroke is visible, resembling the hyphens in the right-hand margin that mark word breaks at the ends of some lines of script: this suggests that the cutting was originally the right-hand side of a two-column page. In the upper-left margin of the cutting, in line with the prophet’s head, is a large red *S* flanked by dots, while the lower-right corner has an ink stamp in the form of a circle enclosing a letter *M*, or an upside-down *W*; the significance of these will be explained below. The cutting is stuck down onto a piece of card, so the reverse is not clearly legible, but a few lines of text are partially visible through the translucent parchment.

## The Corpus of Known Cuttings

In 1931 art historian Paul Wescher published the first catalog of the Berlin Kupferstichkabinett collection of illuminated manuscripts, which includes twelve cuttings from a Bible “from the South East of France, dating to the first half of the twelfth century.”[[5]](#endnote-5) It was more than half a century later that the cutting now held by the Getty Museum appeared for sale in London, but only three years after this sale another appeared at auction in Cologne; the latter is now in a private collection.[[6]](#endnote-6) Thus, at the end of the twentieth century, the total number of known cuttings stood at fourteen. Then, in June 2004, art historian Robert Schindler, as part of a project to search the storerooms of the Kupferstichkabinett for uncataloged material, found thirty-eight more unlisted cuttings, more than tripling the known corpus, but he did not have the opportunity to analyze them further.[[7]](#endnote-7) Nearly twenty years later, these cuttings still remain practically unknown and largely unpublished, except for four that were reproduced in print in 2010 by art historian Beate Braun-Niehr, who attributed them to the Meuse region of Belgium and dated them to the second quarter of the twelfth century.[[8]](#endnote-8) Since then, the Berlin and Getty cuttings have been discussed briefly in our research.[[9]](#endnote-9) The goal of the present article is to reconsider in much greater detail the entire group—including the private-collection cutting—which we will refer to collectively as the Getty-Berlin cuttings. Specifically, our aims include making the unpublished cuttings better known; shedding light on their date and origin through a stylistic analysis; examining their modern provenance; and proposing a partial reconstruction of both the original mise-en-page of individual full leaves and of their original sequence in the multivolume Bible from which they come. An appendix lays out this reconstruction, detailing their textual contents, decorations, and more.

## Reconstruction of the Original Layout and Dimensions

The original layout and page dimensions can be extrapolated with some confidence. Many cuttings show that the text was laid out in two columns (as was, and still is, conventional for Bibles), while measurements from individual cuttings reveal that the column width is about 10.5 centimeters, the space between the columns about 0.28 centimeters, and the space between each horizontal line about 0.9–1 centimeters.

A first clue to the overall layout comes from the horizontal rulings on each cutting.[[10]](#endnote-10) Throughout the medieval period it was very common for the one or two top and bottom horizontal lines to be ruled across the full width of the page, while most of the remaining lines extended to the width of the text column. In large twelfth- and thirteenth-century manuscripts, the middle horizontals were often ruled all the way across the page as well; or instead, sometimes it was three or four ruled lines that were so extended. Among the current group, the prologue cuttings of Acts, I Chronicles, and the Minor Prophets show that the top three horizontal lines were ruled across the page; the prologue cuttings of Malachi, Zephaniah, and Chronicles show that the bottom three lines were ruled across the page; and the initials of Hosea, Obadiah, Malachi, Habakkuk, Ezekiel, Esther, Zacharias, Isaiah, II Chronicles, Romans, Daniel, and Micah all show that the middle three lines were ruled across the page.[[11]](#endnote-11) The cutting with the prologue to the Pauline Epistles has twenty-seven lines in total: sixteen are above the three at the midpoint of the page (and eight are below them); so there must be at least sixteen lines between the top and midpoint rulings; each column must have had at least thirty-five (16 + 3 + 16) lines of text.

We can be more specific. The large Esther cutting preserves the last four lines of the book of Tobit and the first thirteen of the book of Esther itself, decorated with a fine historiated initial *I* **(fig. 2)**. Because the incipit to the prologue occurs at the bottom of the left column, the prologue itself must have begun at the top of the right column. Given the incipit of the main text begins fourteen lines from the bottom of the right column, we can deduce that the missing prologue must have occupied all but fifteen lines of a full column. The surviving text of Esther (after the incipit in large red and blue display majuscules, which occupy twice the height of a line of regular script) fills thirteen lines and is seventy-two words long—that is, between five and six words per line. The missing prologue text (according to a printed version) is about 143 words long.[[12]](#endnote-12) Thus, the missing text would have occupied almost exactly twice the space occupied by the surviving text: if 72 words occupy 13 lines, then 143 words should occupy 26 lines. The prologue’s explicit may have occupied one additional line, judging by some of the other cuttings. These numbers suggest a column height of about 40 lines (prologue text [26 lines] + Esther incipit [1] + Esther text [13]), or 41 lines, if the explicit was written on a separate line.

The Esther cutting is about 23 centimeters wide, but it has been shorn of its side margins; we may therefore estimate that the page including the margins would have originally been approximately 30–35 centimeters wide. We have calculated that there were probably about forty lines per column, and measurement shows that ten lines occupy about 9.5 centimeters, so forty lines would have been about 38 centimeters high. Adding an estimate for the upper and lower margins to this calculation, we may provisionally suggest an overall leaf height between 45 and 60 centimeters.

These extrapolated dimensions, although imprecise, are consistent with the grand scale of French Romanesque illuminated Bibles. Often referred to as lectern Bibles, these volumes would have been too heavy to move around with ease and were therefore typically kept on a lectern in a monastery’s choir for the prescribed biblical readings of the Divine Office or in the refectory to be read aloud during meals.[[13]](#endnote-13) If we take as a sample the French Bibles cataloged by Walter Cahn in 1982, we find that the leaves of most of them (with a few outliers) range from 45.5 to 55.5 centimeters in height and from 33 to 38 centimeters in width.[[14]](#endnote-14) We should not make too much of these broad comparisons of dimensions, however, as most medieval manuscripts have been trimmed at least once during rebinding. The main point is that the dimensions proposed here for the Bible from which the Getty-Berlin cuttings derive are consistent with what we would expect.

## Decoration

Looking at the whole group of initials, each from twelve to twenty lines high, the most impressive are the eleven depicting standing or seated figures. They can be identified, thanks to their adjacent texts, as the Old Testament prophets Isaiah, Daniel, Hosea, Amos, Obadiah, Micah, and Zacharias; the heroines Ruth and Esther; King Solomon enthroned; and—the sole New Testament figure—Saint Paul writing.[[15]](#endnote-15) The protagonists are set against a red, blue, green, or yellow background, occasionally ornamented by white dots in geometric patterns. The same solid color backgrounds are used for nonfigurative initials, such as the initial *O* of “Onus,” for Nahum **(fig. 3)**. The contrast between the colors of the frame, ground, and body of the initials creates a vivid mosaic. In addition to the eleven historiated initials, there are forty-one large decorated letters with intricate spirals of heart-shaped acanthus leaves, brightly colored with red, blue, green, and yellow ink, the inner parts often filled by small dots and striations. In one case, the initial *I* for “In principio” at the beginning of Genesis contains burnished gold **(fig. 4)**.[[16]](#endnote-16) The bodies of the initials are sometimes divided into geometric sections with a double contour line and decorated with vegetal elements symmetrically arranged; in one case, one side of the initial letter is formed of a dragon with long neck and tail (Min. 4679). Six more other small cuttings have portions of script, sometimes introduced by pen-flourished initials drawn in red or blue ink.[[17]](#endnote-17)

Basing his observations on the relatively meager body of reproductions available at the time of his writing, Wescher noted that the style of the Berlin cuttings can be compared with the famous Legendary from the Cistercian abbey of Cîteaux, south of Dijon in eastern France, and a Bible from the Benedictine abbey of Talloires, on the banks of Lake Annecy in southeastern France.[[18]](#endnote-18) Nearly a century later, now that innumerable possible comparanda have been published, an analysis of the decoration reveals even closer similarities to manuscripts produced for Carthusian communities in this region. In 1084, Saint Bruno, the founder of the order, established the Grande Chartreuse in southeastern France between Grenoble and Chambéry, and the life of the monks came to be regulated by the Consuetudines (Customs) composed by the fifth prior, Guigo, between 1121 and 1128.[[19]](#endnote-19) As reported by Guibert, abbot of Nogent-sous-Coucy, who visited the Grande Chartreuse between 1115 and 1117, the community lived in poverty, and the church had no embellishment other than a silver goblet and the library. The monks had already gathered a conspicuous number of decorated manuscripts, and the library was continuously enriched thanks to the activity of copyists, mainly the monks themselves.[[20]](#endnote-20) During the twelfth century, thirty-six Carthusian sister houses were founded in Europe, among which was the Chartreuse (charterhouse) of Liget, in the French diocese of Tours: it was founded by King Henry II of England, perhaps in atonement for the murder of Thomas Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury, in 1170.[[21]](#endnote-21)

A first comparison with our fragments can be seen with the so-called Liget Bible in five volumes, dating from the last third of the twelfth century. Written by four scribes, it was painted by two illuminators, one responsible for the first three volumes and part of the fifth (up until fol. 129v), and the second for the rest.[[22]](#endnote-22) If we look at the pages completed by the first illuminator, we can easily recognize how the decorative patterns of the incipit letters, made of symmetrical, heart-shaped vegetal spirals and colorful tendrils set on red, green, blue, or yellow backgrounds, are the same as the Berlin cuttings—for example, the initial *O* for “Osculetur” **(fig. 5)**.[[23]](#endnote-23) Moreover, the initial *L* for “Liber” at the beginning of the Gospel of Matthew,[[24]](#endnote-24) with a blue ground ornamented with white dots, is identical to the *I* at the beginning of Genesis in Berlin **(see fig. 4)**. In addition, the figures that inhabit the initials of the Liget Bible, especially in the third volume (Ms. Latin 11508), show more than a passing resemblance to those of the Getty-Berlin group: the robust, cylindrical forms of the bodies, showing the limbs; the shapes underlined by garments falling down in the so-called damp fold;[[25]](#endnote-25) the mantles ending in a zigzag pattern; the essential facial features; the solemn gestures inherited from classical antiquity through Byzantine art, typical of the Romanesque products from the late eleventh century onward. Eloquent comparisons can also be established between Isaiah in the Kupferstichkabinett example **(fig. 6)** and the *V* for “Vir” representing Job in the Liget Bible **(fig. 7)**; and between Zacharias in the Kupferstichkabinett cutting (Min. 1905) and the *O* for “Omnis” with Christ and a Personification of Wisdom from the Liget Bible **(fig. 8)**. We recognize the same physiognomies, with curved eyebrows and irregular profiles; Christ and Daniel have long heart-shaped noses, and big noses in the case of the men and Isaiah. As other scholars have pointed out, the Liget Bible is very closely connected to manuscripts from the Grande Chartreuse, especially the Bible of Notre-Dame de Casalibus, which was made by 1132 and has almost the same textual prologues.[[26]](#endnote-26) The Great Bible of the Grande Chartreuse has been dated circa 1170–1174 by Mielle de Becdelièvre on the basis of it being written partly by the same scribe as a homiliary of the Grande Chartreuse, which itself can be dated on the basis of whether saints are present (some of whom were added to the Carthusian calendar circa 1170) or absent (notably Thomas Becket, who was added to the Carthusian calendar circa 1174).[[27]](#endnote-27) It was written by two main scribes and illuminated by fine artists led by the so-called Genesis Master, who is none other than the artist responsible for the parts of the Liget Bible written by its second scribe.[[28]](#endnote-28)

These manuscripts demonstrate a close relationship between different Carthusian monasteries in their book production, and the same goes for a copy of Gratian’s *Decretum* of the last third of the twelfth century, ornamented by twenty-six initials and possibly from the Grande Chartreuse:[[29]](#endnote-29) the initial *Q* of “Quidam” **(fig. 9)** shows exactly the same decorative patterns as the Genesis initial in the Kupferstichkabinett cutting **(see fig. 4)**, and so do the other decorated initials.

However, this style is not found in the majority of Carthusian manuscripts; for example, if we look at the Great Bible of the Grande Chartreuse, a difference can be seen in the design of the vegetal tendrils—softer and less nervous—and in the way the colors are applied on the letter bodies and ornaments: while the illuminators of the Bible favor thick, uniform layers of painted color, the artist of the Getty-Berlin cuttings, with the exception of the Genesis initial, applied colors in thin, close strokes with a pen. In fact, scholars have long recognized that this way of drawing sprouts, with the veins of the leaves clearly visible, derives from Cistercian manuscripts made for Cîteaux and Clairvaux in the first half of the twelfth century. If we look at the *De civitate dei* (City of God) of the third quarter of the twelfth century **(figs. 10, 11)**,[[30]](#endnote-30) for example, the similarity of the scrolling vegetal tendrils filled with pen striations symmetrically arranged in a figure-eight shape, with most of the letters set on a monochrome field, is quite evident. The same goes for the *Lectionarium officii‏ Cisterciense* (Lectionary of the Cistercian office) and the *Opus imperfectum in Matthaeum* (Incomplete work on Matthew’s Gospel), both now in Troyes.[[31]](#endnote-31) Another comparison is possible with the famous Bible of Stephen Harding, dated 1109 in the second of four volumes (which was originally the end of the first of two volumes), and perhaps finished a year or two later **(fig. 12)**.[[32]](#endnote-32) Besides the use of pen drawing, the shape of the acanthus leaves and the colors filling the interstices are also basically the same as those seen in the Carthusian manuscripts; when analyzing the books originally from Cîteaux (such as Mss. 32, 131, 159, 180, 641, now at the Bibliothèque Municipale in Dijon[[33]](#endnote-33)), it is immediately clear that their decorative patterns heavily influenced the illuminators active for the Grande Chartreuse.

The stylistic relationship between Cistercian and Carthusian production can be easily explained in light of the devotion that Saint Bruno showed to Saint Bernard of Clairvaux and his motherhouse.[[34]](#endnote-34) Correspondence between Guigo and Peter the Venerable, abbot of Cluny, records the request of the prior of the Grande Chartreuse to borrow some volumes from the library of Cluny.[[35]](#endnote-35) While the monks from the Grande Chartreuse visiting Cîteaux and other Cistercian foundations were likely the intermediaries for exchanges of books, the artists themselves may have traveled on the route that connected the two abbeys.[[36]](#endnote-36) A famous example from this melting pot is Ms. 616 at the Bibliothèque Municipale in Dijon. Containing two Carthusian texts (the *Consuetudines Cartusiae* and the first part of the *Supplementa ad Consuetudines Cartusiae*), it was produced at the Grande Chartreuse but was owned by the abbey of Cîteaux during the twelfth century, perhaps as a gift from Abbot Antelme to Abbot Goswin, who headed the Cistercian abbey of Bonnevaux from 1141 to 1151 and then Cîteaux from 1151 to 1155.[[37]](#endnote-37)

Even though we no longer have the whole Bible, it is clear that the Getty-Berlin cuttings represent some of its most important incipits. Despite the facts that there are no full-page miniatures (as found in a few of the most lavishly decorated Bibles), and that ocher and yellow usually have to stand in for gold, the biblical books and their prologues are introduced by elegant vegetal knots, and many have figures magnificently staged within the initials, as in the Liget Bible or Great Bible. These Bibles exceed the cuttings in quality and complexity of representation: in the Great Bible, the beginning of Genesis is ornamented by a full-page historiated initial *I* (“In principio”) under an arch, depicting the Creation, other Old Testament scenes, and the Incarnation;[[38]](#endnote-38) and in the Liget Bible, the Creation story is depicted within a giant historiated initial of five medallions.[[39]](#endnote-39) The cutting in Berlin, however, merely offers scrolling acanthus leaves forming four circular loops one above the other, somewhat analogous to the round medallions in which Creation scenes are often arranged in Genesis initials.[[40]](#endnote-40) In both the Liget and Great Bibles, there are many initials depicting groups of figures or events—such as the representations of Solomon enthroned[[41]](#endnote-41) and of Job suffering (see fig. 7)—while in the cuttings, we have only single figures.

Scholars have studied the evolution of book decoration within the Grande Chartreuse library, recognizing a few exceptional cases in which the texts are accompanied by a rich ornamental program, including the Bible of Notre-Dame de Casalibus, completed before 1132, and the Great Bible of circa 1170. Especially in this latter case, copiously illustrated, the function of the decoration could be either a tool for the meditation of the reader or a literal representation of the contents.[[42]](#endnote-42) As for the cuttings, the figured initials are portraits of prophets, Saint Paul, Solomon, Ruth, and Esther, while the decorated initials have a functional purpose—marking the incipits—as well as an ornamental one. The Bible from which the cuttings were taken can thus be put in a relative chronological sequence between the Bible of Notre-Dame de Casalibus and either the Great Bible or the Liget Bible, offering a good mix of decorated and figured initials, superseding the older pattern of the first Bible but not reaching the pictorial complexity of the second.

However, only the style allows us to establish a link with the Grande Chartreuse or, more precisely, with a Carthusian monastery. There is neither an ownership inscription nor documentary evidence connecting the cuttings with any of the charterhouses; there are no inventories of the Liget monastery library; and those of the Grande Chartreuse library are too vague to allow us to positively identify our now-dismembered Bible.[[43]](#endnote-43) Two historical facts may be relevant here. First, when the monks of the Grande Chartreuse were expelled from the monastery in 1792 in the wake of the French Revolution, the manuscripts of its library were mainly transferred to the municipal library in Grenoble. Second, the famous manuscript thief Guglielmo Libri visited the library at Grenoble in October 1842, where he was left unsupervised, and library stamps cut from Grenoble volumes were discovered among his papers when he was brought to trial in 1850 for his thefts from many French libraries.[[44]](#endnote-44) It is also possible that our Bible came from one of the other major Carthusian monasteries, such as the Chartreuse of Portes, Écouges, Currière, Pierre-Châtel, or Liget.[[45]](#endnote-45) Moreover, the style itself is no guarantee that the original manuscript belonged to the Grande Chartreuse; in fact, the peculiar decoration of the Grande Chartreuse books became, by the end of the twelfth century, typical of many other workshops active for religious communities in the southeast of France.[[46]](#endnote-46)

## Script

Analysis of the handwriting does not (in the current state of our knowledge) connect the cuttings to a precise Carthusian foundation; it simply confirms that the Bible was written around about the 1160s. The writing shows the typical characteristics of late Caroline minuscule script, in the transitional phase, sometimes called pre-Gothic or proto-Gothic, before the emergence of the fully Gothic *littera textualis.* Features of this period are the use, in combination, of the following:

the round *s* at the end of words (see, for example, fig. 1, last line, “eius”; “dominus” abbreviated to “dns”; and “deus” abbreviated to “ds”) and the tall *s* used at the beginning of the words “samariam” and “super,” three and four lines from the bottom

both uncial *d* (with the ascender slanting to the left) and *d* with an upright ascender (both forms are found on the bottom two lines of fig. 1: “audite, “attendite,” and “plenitudo”; cf. “dns” and “ds”)

both “et” and the ampersand (*&*) (the Genesis cutting, fig. 4, mostly uses “et,” but the ampersand also occurs once; see also the bottom two lines of the Getty cutting, fig. 1)

a few ligatures persist, such as *st* (see, for example, “testem,” the very last word of the Getty cutting, fig. 1)

Some differences in the writing among the cuttings suggest the participation of at least two scribes. If we compare, for example, the Esther cutting **(see fig. 2)** with the Getty cutting **(see fig. 1)**, several differences are readily apparent. Overall, the former’s script is more laterally compressed, with letters and their individual strokes closer together; the common abbreviation mark to indicate a missing *m* or *n* is a horizontal stroke with serifs (very unlike the curved form found, for example, twice on the last line of the Getty cutting); the Tironian symbol for “et” (shaped somewhat like a *7*) appears (for example, twice in the first line of the left column) alongside the ampersand (for example in lines 4 and 9 of the right column); the *ct* ligature is still joined, not broken as in the Getty cutting (line 2 of the main text, “factum”); and other letterforms have small differences. Punctuation also provides corroborating evidence as to the date: we see an abundant use of the *punctus elevatus, punctus interrogativus,* and *punctus versus,*[[47]](#endnote-47) but the *punctus flexus,* introduced by “early Cistercian scribes to assist readers in deciphering the sense of unfamiliar texts”[[48]](#endnote-48) and later adopted by Carthusian scribes, is absent. As noticed by Dominique Mielle de Becdelièvre, the *punctus flexus* features in the Great Bible from the Grande Chartreuse but not in the older Notre-Dame de Casalibus one,[[49]](#endnote-49) again suggesting that the Bible to which the cuttings belong was a product of about the 1160s.

It is not possible to understand with certainty how the task of writing the manuscript was divided, in part because of an almost complete lack of evidence concerning the original quire structure. In her magisterial study of French Romanesque Carthusian manuscripts, Mielle de Becdelièvre notes that many books from the Grande Chartreuse were written by more than one scribe, and her comparative analysis of the texts demonstrates that there was no systematic division of the work, not even for the rubrics. She suggests that in some cases the differing styles of script might be attributed to a master and a student.[[50]](#endnote-50) Concerning the production of manuscripts within the Carthusian Order, in general, we know that writing was recommended in the *Consuetudines* by Guigo:[[51]](#endnote-51) the monks were supposed to copy books during the day in their own cells, as attested also by Peter the Venerable.[[52]](#endnote-52) Given the strict rule of anonymity of the scribes, it is almost impossible to find names of the copyists who spent three or four daylight hours in winter and eight or nine hours in summer doing the *opus manum* (manual work), using the writing instruments listed by Guigo including quill pens and a penknife for cutting, pumice stones and chalk for preparing the surface of the parchment, and ink horns and a sharp knife or razor for erasing mistakes.[[53]](#endnote-53)

## Text

Before analyzing the text of the cuttings, it is necessary to know what to expect of a twelfth-century Bible in general terms. The Bible in use today is based, in many important ways, on an “edition” of the Bible commonly known as the Paris Bible, which was formulated in the early thirteenth century and disseminated across Europe from Paris by the middle of the same century. The Paris Bible has a specific selection of biblical books, in a fixed sequence, most of them preceded by specific prologues, and each book is divided into chapters at fixed points. The Paris Bible also set a new standard for the authority of the words of the text itself, earlier copies having become more and more corrupted by the compounding effect of successive scribal errors from one copy to the next. Important to our present purposes is that, prior to establishment of the Paris Bible, Bibles varied considerably; the selection of books, their prologues, their texts, their sequences, and their divisions into chapters were not standardized. In addition, earlier Bibles often included features that are not found in the Paris Bible, notably capitula lists—that is, brief summaries of the subject matter of the chapters, placed before individual books, similar to tables of contents. Modern Bibles often have a short summary at the beginning of each chapter, such as “Christ’s sermon upon the mount; the eight beatitudes,” but in capitula lists, such summaries are grouped together at the beginning of each biblical book.

It follows from this that in order to reconstruct and understand the original form of the Bible from which the Getty-Berlin cuttings come, we must use all available clues to its textual contents, which may not follow a standard sequence. The appendix to this article attempts to present this reconstruction in detail, but it may be useful to provide an example here. The recto of one cutting (Min. 159) has a portion of text from near the end of the book of Ecclesiasticus (alias Sirach) and, on its verso, a prologue to the book of Job. Another cutting (Min. 138) has part of a different prologue to Job on its recto, and part of Job chapter 1 on its verso. The recto of a third cutting (Min. 140) has text from near the end of the book of Job, with a prologue to Tobit on its verso. From these three cuttings we can deduce that the parent volume had the following sequence of six texts: Ecclesiasticus, followed by a prologue to Job; another prologue to Job, followed by the book of Job itself; and a prologue to Tobit, which was doubtless followed by the book of Tobit itself. This is entirely unlike the order of texts found in the Paris Bible and modern editions, in which Job is adjacent neither to Ecclesiasticus nor Tobit but is instead between Esther and the Psalms. As we will see in due course, the sequence of these three biblical books as represented by the cuttings is highly significant.

Some sequences of biblical books, as represented by the Getty-Berlin cuttings, are typical. For example, the first eight books of the Bible (known collectively as the Octateuch) run in the standard sequence: Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy, Joshua, Judges, and Ruth.[[54]](#endnote-54) Similarly, the Twelve Minor Prophets appear as a group in their usual sequence. Some cuttings provide no clues as to what preceded or followed them: examples are cuttings with portions of the books of Wisdom (Min. 168) and Acts (Min. 132). Large parts of the Bible, including most of the Pauline Epistles and all of the Gospels, are not represented at all in the known cuttings, suggesting the possibility that they were in one or more separate volumes that are entirely lost (large-scale twelfth-century Bibles were typically bound in two, three, or four volumes).

Lectern Bibles written for use in Carthusian houses have the individual books arranged in an apparently eccentric sequence; the reason for this is that they were intended to correspond more closely to (but rarely the same as) the order of the liturgical Matins and refectory readings of the year, as stipulated in the Carthusian Statutes, as follows (here in simplified summary form):[[55]](#endnote-55)

Advent to Christmas Eve: Isaiah, Daniel (Statutes 2.2)  
Epiphany to Septuagesima: Pauline Epistles (Statutes 4.1)  
Septuagesima to Passion Sunday: Genesis to Judges (The Heptateuch) (Statutes 4.4)  
Passion Sunday to Maundy Thursday: Jeremiah (Statutes 4.13)  
Triduum (Last Supper to Easter evening): Lamentations  
Easter to Pentecost: Acts, Catholic Epistles, Revelation (Statutes 4.32)  
Pentecost to August: I–II Samuel, I–II Kings, I–II Chronicles (Statutes 5.1)  
August to September: Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus (Statutes 5.2)  
September to October: Job, Tobit, Judith, Esther (Statutes 5.3)  
October to November: I–II Maccabees (Statutes 5.4)  
November to Advent: Ezekiel, the Twelve Minor Prophets, Daniel (Statutes 2.2)

The Great Bible, for example, has almost exactly this sequence:[[56]](#endnote-56)

Vol. I: Ezekiel, Daniel, Minor Prophets, Isaiah, Pauline Epistles  
Vol. II: Heptateuch, Jeremiah, Lamentations  
Vol. III: Acts, Catholic Epistles, Revelation, I–II Samuel, I–II Kings, I–II Chronicles  
Vol. IV: Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, Job, Tobit, Judith, Esther, I–II Maccabees

The biggest differences between this order of books and the order in which we usually find them in Bibles from the thirteenth century onward are that

Jeremiah and Lamentations follow the Heptateuch, rather than following Isaiah;

Acts, the Catholic Epistles, and Revelation, which usually occur at the end of the New Testament, occur instead between the Old Testament books of the prophet Jeremiah and the historical books of Samuel, Kings, and Chronicles;

Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Wisdom, and Ecclesiasticus precede, rather than follow, Job, Tobit, Judith, and Esther;

I–II Maccabees follow Job, Tobit, Judith, and Esther, rather than occurring at the end of the Old Testament;

Isaiah follows the Twelve Minor Prophets, rather than preceding the other Major and the Minor Prophets;

the Pauline Epistles follow Isaiah (and Daniel); and

the Gospels are absent.

In the Getty-Berlin cuttings we find that, as above,

Job follows Ecclesiasticus;

Job precedes, not follows, Tobit, Judith, and Esther;

Daniel follows the Twelve Minor Prophets; and

the Gospels are absent (or at least not represented by any known cutting).

## Carthusian Lection Markings

Beyond stylistic analyses of script and decoration and the peculiar sequence of the biblical books, other features of the Getty-Berlin cuttings demonstrate conclusively that the parent Bible was used by Carthusians. In our description of the Getty cutting at the beginning of this article, we noted the presence of a red *S* in the left margin, next to the start of the text (see fig. 1). Similar marginal notations occur on other cuttings in Berlin, including majuscule letters *P* (Proverbs 1:29, Zechariah 1:1), *S* (Joel 2:18), and *T* (Haggai 1:1); Roman numerals *I* (Daniel 1:1, Joel 1:1), *II* (Isaiah 1:1, Nahum 1:1, Malachi 1:1), and *III* (Habakkuk 1:1); and minuscule letters *a* (II Samuel 1:1, Proverbs 1:29, Ezekiel prologue), *b* (II Samuel 1:5, II Samuel 2:18, Tobit 1:1, Ezekiel 1:1, Romans 1:1, Corinthians 1:1), *c* (Judith 1:5, II Samuel 2:22), *d* (Ecclesiasticus 50:15), *e* (Proverbs 30:15), *f* (Romans 16:17), *g* (I Samuel 1:28?), and *h* (Tobit 12:20). All these forms of annotation are characteristic of Carthusian Bibles. The letters *P, S,* and *T* stand for *primus, secundus,* and *tertius* (or *prima lectio, secunda lectio,* and *tertia lectio*) and indicate the start of the three biblical lections read on weekdays by Carthusians. Sometimes *I, II,* and *III* are used in their place. Also Carthusian is the presence in the margins of the first eight letters of the alphabet, *a* to *h,* to indicate the eight biblical lections to be read on Sundays and major feast days.[[57]](#endnote-57) (This is not to be confused with the method, probably developed by the Paris Dominicans in the thirteenth century, of dividing parts of a work into subsections using the first seven letters of the alphabet, *a* to *g.*)[[58]](#endnote-58) The three different types of markings in the Getty-Berlin cuttings may represent successive stages of annotation: the cutting with the decorated initial at the start of Proverbs, for example, has on its verso a Roman numeral *I* in brown ink, overwritten with a *P* in red, next to which is an *a,* also in red.

## Modern Provenance

When or whence the Berlin cuttings entered the Kupferstichkabinett is not certain. They are not recorded in the collection’s accession inventories, and the only evidence is an oval ink stamp on the reverse of each item, with an imperial shield and crown in the center, surrounded by the legend “KUPFERSTICH=SAMMLUNG DER KONIGL: MUSEEN”; this corresponds to a stamp in Frits Lugt’s reference work *Marques de collections,* no. 1606.[[59]](#endnote-59) The stamp is generally found on works acquired by the Kupferstichkabinett, founded in 1831, as part of its foundation collections and acquisitions of the first few decades. Its successor, Lugt no. 1607, was certainly in use by 1881, but unfortunately this does not provide a terminus ante quem for the use of Lugt no. 1606, which continued to be applied at later dates to items that were believed by later curators—rightly or wrongly—to have been early acquisitions; Lugt no. 1606 often appears alongside stamps of private collections that were demonstrably acquired later.[[60]](#endnote-60)

If we tabulate the accession numbers of illuminated cuttings with known dates of acquisition, we see how unreliable the numbers are as a guide to the dates of acquisition of our Bible cuttings. One group, for example, is numbered from Min. 1904 to Min. 1908: they thus fall between Min. 1902, which was acquired in 1856, and Min. 1915, which was acquired in 1835, while a much lower number, Min. 1250, was acquired forty years later, in 1875.[[61]](#endnote-61) While we cannot say for certain, the likelihood is that the Bible cuttings were acquired at an early date. It is probably significant that Wescher, who was usually very careful to record provenance in his 1931 catalog, does not suggest anything for the present cuttings.

Neither the Getty cutting nor the one in a private collection has a Kupferstichkabinett stamp, and there is no reason to imagine that they ever formed part of the museum collection in Berlin. Nothing is known of the pre-1989 provenance of the cutting in a private collection, but the Getty one has a stamp (Lugt no. 5551) showing that it was owned by Wescher, probably before he moved from Berlin to the US in 1948, where he was employed by J. Paul Getty as the very first curator of the J. Paul Getty Museum, which would eventually become the permanent home of his cutting.[[62]](#endnote-62) Wescher perhaps bought it precisely because he recognized it as a sibling of the group he had cataloged at the Kupferstichkabinett.

## Conclusion

We hope to have shown that the Getty-Berlin cuttings come from a large Bible produced in the third quarter of the twelfth century, perhaps in the 1160s, for a French Carthusian house in the orbit of the Grande Chartreuse. A few corrections, additions, and erasures to the cuttings can be found, while some later notes and reading marks by a slightly later hand bear witness to a prolonged, or at least somewhat later, use of the Bible.[[63]](#endnote-63)

The initials were excised from the Bible probably in the first half of the nineteenth century, possibly by Guglielmo Libri in France, or more likely in Germany, where most of them came to light. Many of the cuttings were then acquired by the Kupferstichkabinett, perhaps as early as the 1830s or 1840s, and perhaps in two or three tranches, of which one large group remained forgotten and unaccessioned until 2004. Two others are known, including the one at the Getty Museum, both of which came onto the auction market in the 1980s; it is to be hoped that more emerge as a result of this article bringing them to wider scholarly attention.

Appendix

Descriptions of the Cuttings in Their Probable Original Sequence

Because most of the cuttings are completely unpublished, what follows is a detailed account of their textual contents and the different types of script used.

*Italics* = rubrics in red

Small caps = majuscules

*Small caps in Italics* = majuscules in color(s)

[Square brackets] = missing text

## Septuagesima

### Genesis

18 lines of text, with 15-line foliate illuminated initial, with gold and body-color

recto: “*Incipit liber Bresit id est Genesis*. In principiocreauit deus cęlum . . . ab aquis. Et fecit deus” **(see fig. 4)**

verso: “cęli & cunctas bestias terrę . . . ligno paradisi. Cui res[pondit]”

Genesis 1:1–7; 2:20–3:2

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 30490

### Exodus, preceded by a capitula list

13 lines of text, with 10-line (+ stem = 13-line) foliate initial

recto: “Mortem mittit dominus in omnia peccora egyptiorum . . . Consecutus est pharao israel et cooperuit egyptios mare” (part of capitula list; Donatien de Bruyne, *Sommaires, divisions, et rubriques de la bible latine* (Namur: A. Godienne, 1914), 10, series A, XVI.5–XXIII)

verso: “*Incipit liber Ellesmoth qui est exodus*. Haec sunt nomina filiorum israhel”

Exodus 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 145

### Leviticus, preceded by capitula explicit

18 lines, with 10-line foliate initial

recto: “Explicivnt capitula. Incipit liber / uagecra id est / Leviticvs. Uocavitautem moysen . . . uictimas. si holocaustum”

verso stuck down, but partially legible and starting: “[adole]bit sacerdos super altare in holocaustum”

Leviticus 1:1–3, verso: 1:13–(?)

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4678

### Numbers, preceded by capitula

18 lines of text, with 16-line foliate initial

recto: “ex populo xiiii milia dcc quod murmurauerunt aduersus moysen . . . et fecit ei sicut fecit seon regi amorreorum”

verso: “Nouem tribubus et dimidię tributi manasse . . . plebis patris sui. Explicivnt capitula. Incipit Vagedaber quod est Numerorvm liber. Locvtvsque est dominus ad Moysen in deserto sy[nai]”

Capitula (De Bruyne, *Sommaires,* 29–30, series A, XLIII–LIIII; 32, series A, LXX–LXXIIII)

Numbers 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 127

### Deuteronomy, preceded by the capitula explicit

16 lines of text, with 7-line (+ stem = 13-line) foliated initial

recto: “Explicivnt capitula. Incipit liber Helleadarbarim id est Devteronomivm Hęc svnt verba quę locutus est moyses . . . campestri. con[tra]”

verso: “solitudinem; per uiam maris rubri . . . nec uoci uestrę uoluit”

Deuteronomy 1:1; 1:40–45

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 30491

### Prologue to Joshua, preceded by end of Deuteronomy and Joshua capitula

9 lines of text

recto: “israel. Explicit liber Helleadabarim id est Devteromimivm. Incipit prologus beati Iheronimi presbiteri in librum Iosue bennun.”

verso: “Vnde natus sit abraham. . . . alloquitur eos iosue. Explicivnt capitula Incipit liber Iosve Bennvn”

Deuteronomy (last word only); Joshua capitula (De Bruyne, *Sommaires,* 42, series A, XXXIII).

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 157

### Joshua prologue

9 lines of text, with 6-line foliate initial

recto: “Tandem finito pentateuco moysi . . . nominibus effe[runt]”

verso: “iudeos; quod calumniandi . . . quare danielem iuxta”

Stegmüller, Fredericus. *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi.* Vol. I, *Initia biblica, apocrypha, prologi.* Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Instituto Francisco Suárez, 1950. Printed in Robert Weber and Roger Gryson, eds., *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 402, lines 1–3, 18–22. 5th ed. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2007.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 166

### Ruth, preceded by the end of Judges

22 lines of text, with 13-line historiated initial

Ruth, with halo, holding and pointing to a book

recto stuck down, but partially legible, starting at “sunt eis uxores de filiabus Jabes”

verso: “Explicit liber Sophtim idem Ivdicvm. Incipit liber Rvth. In diebvs vnivs iudicis . . . ipsa cum filiis qui”

recto: Judges 21:14–20(?); verso: Ruth 1:1–4

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4683

## Easter to Ascension

### Acts, preceded by capitula list

32 lines of text, with 11-line (+ stem = 23-line) foliate initial

recto: “Et quia [sic] descendentes de iudea docebant fratres . . . Distulit autem illos certissime sciens de ui.”

verso: “Incipit liber Actvvm apostolorum. Primvm qvidem sermonem feci . . . interrogabant eum dicentes. Domine”

Capitula (similar to De Bruyne*, Sommaires,* 377–79, series “In,” XL–LXIIII)

Acts 1:1–6

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 132

## Pentecost to August

### I Samuel prologue

12 lines of text, with 8-line foliate initial

recto: “Viginti dvas esse litteras . . . litteras scripitant;”

verso: “hebraicę omne quod loquium. . . . addabarim qui deuterono[mium]”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 323. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 510, lines 1–4, 18–23.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 137

### I Samuel

19 lines of text, with 8-line (+ stem = 16-line) foliate initial

recto: “Fvit vir unus de ramathan . . . dies et immola[vit]”

verso: “omnibus diebus vitę eius; . . . peticionem quam”

I Samuel 1:1–4; 1:11–17

Carthusian letter “*.G.*” next to I Samuel 1:16 (or, more probably, the text in the adjacent, now-missing column)

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 150

### II Samuel

28 lines of text

recto: “Incipit liber Regvm .II. Factvm est autem postquam mortuus est saul. . . . et equites; appropin[quabant]”

verso: ergo et transierunt . . . Percussit ergo eum abner”

II Samuel 1:1–6; 2:15–23

Carthusian letters “*.a.p.*” and “*.b.*” next to II Samuel 1:1 and 1:5; and “*.b.*” and “*.c.*” next to II Samuel 2:18 and 2:22

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 149

### I and II Chronicles prologues

14 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial

“Si septvaginta interpretum . . . erat etiam nostro silen[tio]”

Stegmüller, Repertorium biblicum medii aevi, no. 328. Printed in Weber and Gryson, Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem, 772, lines 1–4.

“hystorię de quibus in regnorum libro dicitur . . . nomina non vocabula homi[num]”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 327 (not in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem*).

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 160

### I and II Chronicles prologues

4 lines of text, with 3-line decorated initial

recto: “ceterorum. *Explicit prologus.* *Item alius.* Eusebius Ieronimus Donationi et rogatiano . . . Quando Grecorum historiam”

verso: “[applicati]ones non homines plerique . . . quedam narrantur”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* nos. 328 (last word only) and 327; cf. this appendix, previous entry (I and II Chronicles prologues).

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 158

### I Chronicles

15 lines of text, with 11-line foliate initial

“Adam Seth henos [sic] . . . dondanim. Filii autem cham.”

stuck down: reverse not readily legible

I Chronicles 1:1–8

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 4679

### II Chronicles

11 lines of text, with 10-line historiated initial:

King Solomon seated, with halo, crown, and scepter

recto: “Confortatus est ergo Salomon . . . Precepitque Salomon”; verso “erant in terra . . . quam dinumerauit . . . David in area”

II Chronicles 1:1–2; 2:17–3:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4684

## August to September

### Proverbs

18 lines of text, with 9-line line (+ stem = 17-line) foliate initial

recto: “*Incipiunt Parabole Salomonis*. Parabolę salomonis filii dauid . . . Sapientiam atque doctrinam”

verso: “proferam uobis spiritum meum. . . . paruulorum interficiet eos;”

Proverbs 1:1–7; 1:23–32

Carthusian letters “.*a.*” and “*P*” and number “.*I.*” next to Proverbs 1:29

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 136

### Ecclesiastes, preceded by the end of Proverbs

13 lines of text, with a 13-line foliate initial

recto: “quę patri suo maledicit; . . . sufficit; Oculum”

verso: “*Incipit coeleth quem greci dicunt ecclesiasten latine concionatorem.* Uerba ęcclesiastes filii dauid . . . homo de uniuerso”

recto: Proverbs 30:11–17; verso: Ecclesiastes 1:1–3

Carthusian letter “*e*” at Proverbs 30:15

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 148

### Wisdom, preceded by explicit of capitula

13 lines of text, with 11-line foliate initial

recto: “*Expliciunt capitula; Incipit liber sapientię.* Diligite iusticiam . . . apparet autem”

verso: “nationes orbis terrarum; . . . fuerimus; quoniam fu[mus]”

Wisdom 1:1–2; 1:14–2:2

Carthusian letters “.*G.c.*” next to Wisdom 2:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 168

## September to October

### Job prologue, preceded by Ecclesiasticus

11 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial

recto: “amictum; In accipiendo . . . de sanguine uve. et”

Ecclesiasticus 50:12–17

verso: “*Incipit prologus beati ieronimi presbiteri in librum iob.* Cogor per singulos . . . meam reprehensionem”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 344. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 1011, lines 1–2.

Carthusian letter “*d*” (? – cropped) at Ecclesiasticus 50:15 (Oblatio autem)

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 159

### Job prologue, followed by incipit to Job

4 lines of text, with 4-line decorated initial

recto: “*Explicit prologus.* Si aut ficellam [sic] . . . in sudore uultus mei”

verso: “quam ex aliorum negocio; *Incipit liber Iob*”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 357 (not in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem*).

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 154

### Job, preceded by a prologue

14 lines of text, with 12-line foliate initial

recto: “corrosusque liber. . . . huius uolumine liddeum”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 344. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 1011, lines 13–19.

verso: “Uir erat in terra hus; . . . septem milia ouium;”

Job 1:1–3

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 138

### Tobit prologue, preceded by Job

10 lines of text, with 7-line foliate initial

recto: “Ecce beemoth . . . Sub umbra dor[mit]”

Job 40:10–16

verso: “*Incipit prologus sancti ieronimi presbiteri in librum tobię;* Chromacio & heliodoro . . . Mirari non desino; . . . ad latinum stilum”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 332. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 944, lines 1–3.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 140

Tobit

15 lines of text, with 11-line foliate initial

recto: “*Incipit liber Tobie*. Tobias ex tribu & ciuitate neptalim . . . esset in diebus salma[nassar]”

verso: “dominis suis. quia non licet . . . mortem et in fabu[lam]”

Tobit 1:1–2; 2:21–3:4

Carthusian letters “.*b.*” at Tobit 1:1 and “.*d.s.*” at Tobit 3:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 139

### Esther prologue, preceded by the end of Tobit

15 lines of text, with 13-line foliate initial

recto: “te & saram uxorem . . . eorum ablatus”

Tobit 12:14–21

verso: “Librum hester uariis translatoribus . . . comprobastis. tenentes”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 341. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 988, lines 1–7.

Carthusian letter “.*h.*” next to Tobit 12:20

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 141

### Esther, preceded by the end of Tobit and a heading for a prologue

2 columns of 15 lines of text, with a 14-line historiated initial: Esther, full-length, standing on the back of a lion(?), with crown, scepter, and orb-like object

recto stuck down, but partially legible: “quadraginta duobus et uidit . . . non enim excidit verbum Dei”

verso, col. 1: “ei et omnis generatio ei; . . . habitatoribus terrę; Explicit liber Tobie. *Incipit prologus beati Ieronimi presbiteri in librum Hester.*”

verso, col. 2: “*Incipit liber Hester*. In diebus assueri . . . Cumque implerentur”

Recto includes Tobit 14:1–6; verso: Tobit 14:16 (end); Esther 1:1–5

Carthusian letters “*c.s*” at Esther 1:5 (“Cumque implerentur”)

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1904

### Judith prologue, preceded by the end of Esther

15 lines of text, with 10-line foliate initial

recto: “[sangui]ne & pietatem nostram . . . sed e contrario”

Esther 16:10–15

verso: “*Incipit prologus beati Ieronimi presbiteri in librum Iudith.* Apud hebreos . . . sanctarum scriptu[rarum]”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 335. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 962, lines 1–3.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 163

### Judith, preceded by end of Esther

22 lines of text, with 11-line foliate initial

recto: “elevasset faciem & ardentibus oculis furorem pectoris indicasset . . . quam pro iudeis ad totas”

Esther 15:10–16 (heading)

verso: “[insuperabi]lem superaret. *Incipit liber Iudith*. *Expli*[ . . . ]. Arfaxaz [sic] itaque rex medorum . . . regni sui nabuchodo[nosor]”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 335 (last words); Judith 1:1–5

Carthusian letters “*c*” and “*ds*” at Esther 15:15 (“Cumque illa”) and 16 (heading) (“Exemplar espistolę”), and “.*b.s.*” and “.*c.*” at Judith 1:1 and 1:5

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 131

## October to November

### I Maccabees, preceded by a capitula list

11 lines of text, with 10-line foliate initial

recto: “Vbi symonem loco fratrum eius exercitus ducem constituit. . . . & uiuum comprehendit”

(De Bruyne, *Sommaires,* 160, series A, XLVIII–LII)

verso: “Et / factum est / [added] postquam percussit alexander . . . munitiones. et”

I Maccabees 1:1–2

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 130

### II Maccabees

16 lines of text, with 10-line (+ stem = 16-line) foliate initial

recto: “*Incipit liber machabeorum secundus;* Fratribus qui sunt . . . corde magno &”

verso: “perside esset dux . . . templi neccessarium”

II Maccabees 1:1–3; 1:13–18

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 164

### End of II Maccabees, followed by incipit of a prologue to Ezra

6 lines of text

recto: “[redi]rent cognoverunt nicanorem . . . ciuibus paratus”

II Maccabees 15:28–30

verso: “*Incipit prefatio Eusebii Ieronimi in librum Ezdram*”

Carthusian letters “.*f.t*” next to II Maccabees 15:29

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 155

### Ezra prologue, preceded by II Maccabees

17 lines of text, with 14-line initial

recto: stuck down, but legible words include “[fa]cta . . . [mnip]otente . . . [adl]ocutus . . . etiam cer[taminum] . . . promptio[res] . . . [anim]is eorum . . . [fa]llaciam et . . . [sin]gulos . . .”

### II Maccabees 15:8–11

verso: “Vtrum difficilius sit facere . . . contra se”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 330. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 886, lines 1–4.

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4681

### I Ezra

16 lines, with a 13-line foliate initial

recto: “*Incipit liber Esdre*. Anno primo cyri . . . regno suo; etiam”

verso: “[Mithri]dati filii gazabar . . . saraia. rahelaia.”

Ezra 1:1; 1:8–2:2

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 162

## November to December

### Nehemiah (II Ezra)

11 lines of text, with 8-line foliate initial

recto: “Et factum est in mense chasleu . . . ierusalem; et dixerunt”

verso: “[edi]ficaverunt filii asnaa; . . . filius besodia;”

Nehemiah 1:1–3; 3:3–6

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 142

### Ezekiel prologue, preceded by the end of Nehemiah

16 lines of text, with 11-line foliate initial

recto: stuck down, and thus only partially legible, but apparently including as the first and third lines “[dimid]ia pars m[agistratuum mecum. Et s]acerdo[tes . . .]” and “et semeia . . .”

Nehemiah 12:39–40, 41

verso: “*Explicit liber Ezdre.* *Incipit prologus sancti Ieronimi presbiteri in Hiezechielem prophetam.* Hiezechiel propheta cum ioachim . . . tradidissent;”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 492.

Carthusian(?) marking “*a*” next to the beginning of the prologue.

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4680

### Ezekiel

13 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial.

recto: “*Incipit liber Hiezechiel prophete*. Et factvm est in tricesimo anno. . . . quintus trans[migrationis]”

verso: “pactum meum; . . . Loqueris ergo uer[ba]”

Ezekiel 1:1–2; 2:3–7

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 146

### The explicit of Ezekiel, followed by a heading for a prologue to the Minor Prophets, and part of Hosea

7 lines of text

recto: “Explicit Ihezechiel propheta. *incipit prologus sancti Ieronimi presbiteri in librum duodecim prophetarum*”

verso: “& ibat post amatores suos; . . . iuuentutis suę”

Hosea 2:13–15

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 156

### Prologue to the Minor Prophets, followed by part of Hosea

15 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial

recto: “Non idem ordo est duodecim prophetarum . . . de omnibus dicere.”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 500. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,*1907, lines 1–5.

verso: “gomer filiam debelaim . . . absque misericordia.”

Hosea 1:3–8

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 161

### Hosea, preceded by prologue

13 lines of text and a 9-line historiated initial: Hosea holding a scroll

recto: “titulos prophetaverunt. *Explicit prologus.* *Incipit Osee propheta*. Verbum domini quod factum est ad osee . . . Et dixit dominus ad.”

End of prologue (Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 500. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 1907, lines 8–9), and Hosea 1:1–2 (a few words of 1:11 visible on the verso due to show-through)

Private collection

### Joel, preceded by the end of Hosea

16 lines of text and an 11-line foliate initial

recto: “Explicit Osee Propheta. Incipit Iohel propheta. Verbvm domini quod factum est . . . generationi alterę. Resi[duum]”

verso: “et dicent. Parce domine . . . et lętare; quoniam”

Joel 1:1–4; 2:17–21

Carthusian number “.*I.*” next to Joel 1:1 and letter “*S*” next to Joel 2:18

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 133

### Amos, preceded by the end of Joel

14 lines of text, with 8-line historiated initial: Amos, with halo, leaning on a tau-topped staff, and two sheep, one with horns

recto: “locutus est. Clamate . . .” (the cutting is stuck down, but the first line of text is legible as show-through)

Joel 3:8–?

verso: “Incipit Amos propheta. Uerba Amos . . . speciosa pastorum”

Amos 1:1–2

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1907

### Obadiah

14 lines of text, with 9-line historiated initial: Obadiah, with halo and scroll

recto: “Incipit Abdias propheta. Visio Abdie. Hęc dicit dominus . . . in sci / s / suris petrę.”

Obadiah 1:1–3

verso: “Et non despicies . . . caput tuum. Quomodo”

Obadiah 1:12–16

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 30493

### Jonah, preceded by Amos and the explicit of Obadiah

14 lines of text and 9-line foliate initial

recto: “in fundo maris; . . . Nunquid non”

Amos 9:3–7

verso: “Explicit Abdias propheta. Incipit Ionas propheta. Et factvm est uerbum domini . . . in Tharsis; a facię domini.”

Jonah 1:1–3

Carthusian(?) number “*V*” next to Jonah 1:1.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 128

### Micah, preceded by Jonah

14 lines of text, with 9-line historiated initial: Micah, with halo and scroll (fig. 1)

recto: stuck down; partially legible text includes “[po]pulo es tu. Et d[ixit] . . .” (Jonah 1:8)

verso: “Explicit Ionas propheta; *Incipit micheas propheta*. Incipit Micheas propheta. Uerbum domini quod factum est ad Mich / e / am . . . uobis in testem.”

Micah 1:1–2

Carthusian letter “.*S.*” next to Micah 1:1

J. Paul Getty Museum, Ms. 38

### Nahum, preceded by the end of Micah

11 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial

recto: “die illa dicit dominus . . . in omnibus gentibus”

Micah 5:10–14

verso: “*Incipit naum propheta*. Onvs Niniuę . . . irascens ipse inimi[cis]”

Nahum 1:1–2

Carthusian number “.*II.*” next to Nahum 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 31812

### Habakkuk, preceded by the end of Nahum

13 lines of text, with 10-line foliate initial

recto: “[tribulati]onis et sciens sperantes . . . non affligam te ul[tra]”

Nahum 1:7–12

verso: “*Incipit Abacuc propheta*. Onvs quod uidit abacuc propheta. . . . in iusticiam contra me? Quare respicis con[temptores]” (This verse is not present in all versions of the Bible.)

Habakkuk 1:1–3

Carthusian number “.*III.*” at Habakkuk 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 144

### Habakkuk, chapter 3

8 lines of text, with 5-line decorated initial

recto: “consurgent qui mordeant te. . . . ut sit in ex[celso]”

verso: “terra. *Oratio abacuc prohete* [sic] *pro ignorationibus.* Domine audiui . . . Deus ab au[stro]”

Habakkuk 2:7–9; 2:20–3:3

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 167

### Zephaniah, preceded by Habakkuk

8 lines of text, with 7-line foliate initial

recto: “[sage]nam suam; et semper . . . & apparebit”

Habakkuk 1:17–2:3

verso: “Explicit Abacvc propheta. *Incipit sophonias propheta*. Uerbum domini quod factum est . . . filii ammon re[gis]”

Zephaniah 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 153

### Haggai, preceded by the end of Zephaniah and followed by Zechariah

28 lines of text, with a 14-line foliate initial and a 17-line historiated initial: Zechariah, with halo and scroll

recto: “[oculis vestris di]cit dominus. Explicit Sophonias propheta. *Incipit Aggeus propheta*. In anno secundo darii regis . . . ob causam dicit dominus exercituus quia”

Zephaniah 3:20 (end); Haggai 1:1–9

verso: “[quad]drigam et ascensorem eius . . . Explicit Aggeus propheta. Incipit Zacharias propheta. IN mense octauo . . . comprehenderunt pa[tres]”

Carthusian letter “.*T.*” and plummet numbers “*vi*” at Haggai 1:1 and “*vii*” at Haggai 1:9

Haggai 2:23–24 (end); Zechariah 1:1–6.

Carthusian letter “P” at Zechariah 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1905

### Malachi, preceded by the end of Zechariah

15 lines of text and 9-line foliate initial

recto: “planctus ad remmon [sic] . . . Et pseudo [sic] prophetas; et”

Zacharias 12:11–13:2

verso: “*Incipit Malachias propheta*. Onus uerbi domini ad israel . . . destructi sumus sed”

Malachi 1:1–4

Carthusian number “*II*” next to Malachi 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 143

### Daniel, preceded by the end of Malachi and a prologue

19 lines, with 10-line foliate initial (verso), and 10-line historiated initial (recto): Daniel, with halo and scroll, standing at the gate of a city

recto: “[ser]ui mei. quam mandaui . . . terram anathemate. Explicit Malachias propheta. *Incipit prologus sancti hieronimi presbiteri in danielem prophetam;* Danielem prophetam iuxta lxxta . . . chaldaicus est. et qui”

verso: “*Incipit Daniel propheta*. Anno tercio regni ioachim . . . & doctos dis[ciplina]”

Malachi 3:4–6 (end), prologue (Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 494); Daniel 1:1–4

Carthusian number “.*I.*” next to Daniel 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1908

## Advent to Christmas Eve

### Isaiah prologue

10 lines, with 9-line foliate initial

recto: “*Incipit prologus sancti Ieronimi presbiteri in Ysaiam prophetam*. Nemo cum prophetas . . . hebreos ligari”

verso: “[Sci]ens ergo et prudens . . . ex iuditio sed ex”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 482. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 1530, lines 1–2, 16–21.

Carthusian number “*III*” adjacent to the last line on the verso, but probably meant to refer to the adjacent (missing) text in the other column

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 135

## Isaiah, preceded by the end of Daniel and a prologue

12 lines, with 10-line historiated initial: Isaiah, with halo and scroll

recto: stuck down; visible text includes “Et dixit rex daniel”

Daniel 14:23

verso: “[insul]tarent. Explicit prologus. [rubric, apparently subsequently effaced and later partially re-inked] *Incipit ysaias propheta.* Visio Isaiaę filii amos . . . terra; quoniam”

End of prologue (Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 482); Isaiah 1:1–2

Carthusian number “.*II.*” next to Isaiah 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1906

## Epiphany to Septuagesima

### Prologue to the Pauline Epistles

27 lines, with 9-line foliate initial (+ stem = 21-line)

recto: “*Incipit argumentum epistolarum Pauli apostoli*. Primvm quęritur quare post euangelia . . . nostram memoriam transmi[serunt]”; verso: “tabulas lapideas . . . Nam hanc se proficis[centem]”

Stegmüller, *Repertorium biblicum medii aevi,* no. 670. Printed in Weber and Gryson, *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 2448, lines 1–8, 13–27.

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 134

### Romans

21 lines of text, with 9-line (+ stem = 19-line) historiated initial: Saint Paul with halo, seated, writing at a desk

recto: “*Incipit epistola ad Romanos*. Paulvs seruus christi ihesu uocatus apostolus. . . . omnibus qui sunt rome”

verso: “et Graeci. Gloria autem et honor et pax omni operanti bonum”

Romans 1:1–7; verso includes 2:9–(?)

Carthusian letters “*b.s.*” next to the incipit

Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 4682

### I Corinthians, preceded by the end of Romans

20 lines of text, with 9-line foliate initial (+ stem = 18-line)

recto: “qui sunt in domino. Salutate . . . sapientes esse in bo[no]”

Romans 16:11–19

Carthusian letters “.*d.s.*” next to Romans 16:15; “*f*” adjacent to Romans 16:17, but perhaps referring to text in the adjacent (missing) column

verso: “*Incipit epistola ad Coronthios* prima. Paulus uocatus apostolus christi ihesu per uoluntatem dei. . . . testimonium christi con[firmatum]”

I Corinthians 1:1–6

Carthusian letter “*b*” next to I Corinthians 1:1

Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 165
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Captions

**Fig. 1. — Historiated initial *V* (*Verbum*) with the prophet Micah, ca. 1160s, tempera colors and ink, leaf: 13.7 x 13.5 cm.** Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, Ms. 38 (89.MS.45).

**Fig. 2. — Historiated initial *I* (*In diebus*) with Esther, ca. 1160s.** Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1904. Image © Kupferstichkabinett, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin.

**Fig. 3. — Decorated initial *O* (*Onus*), ca. 1160s.** Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 31812. Image © Kupferstichkabinett, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin.

**Fig. 4. — Decorated initial *I* (*In principio*), ca. 1160s.** Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 30490. Image © Kupferstichkabinett, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin.

**Fig. 5. — Decorated initial *O* (*Osculetur*), last third of the twelfth century.** From the Liget Bible, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Ms. Latin 11508, fol. 35r. Image: BnF.

**Fig. 6. — Historiated initial *V* (*Visio Isaie*) with the prophet Isaiah, ca. 1160s.** Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett, Min. 1906v. Image © Kupferstichkabinett, Staatliche Museen zu Berlin.

**Fig. 7. — Historiated initial *V* (*Vir*) with Job suffering, last third of the twelfth century.** From the Liget Bible, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Ms. Latin 11508, fol. 98r. Image: BnF.

**Fig. 8. — Historiated initial *O* (*Omnis sapientia*) with Christ and a Personification of Wisdom, last third of the twelfth century.** From the Liget Bible, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Ms. Latin 11508, fol. 54v. Image: BnF.

**Fig. 9. — Decorated initial *Q* (*Quidam*), last third of the twelfth century.** From *Decretum Gratiani,* Grenoble, Bibliothèque Municipale, Ms. 475, fol. 153v. Image: Bibliothèque Municipale de Grenoble, Ms.34 Rés.

**Fig. 10.— Decorated initial *G* (*Gloriosissimam*), third quarter of the twelfth century.** From *De civitate dei,* Dijon, Bibliothèque Municipale, Ms. 159, fol. 2v. Image: Bibliothèque municipale de Dijon.

**Fig. 11. — Decorated initial *D* (*De civitate*), third quarter of the twelfth century.** From *De civitate dei*, Dijon, Bibliothèque Municipale, Ms. 159, fol. 24v. Image: Bibliothèque municipale de Dijon.

**Fig. 12. — Decorated initial *P* (*Paulus*), ca. 1109.** From the Bible of Stephen Harding, Dijon, Bibliothèque Municipale, Ms. 15, fol. 94r. Image: Bibliothèque municipale de Dijon.

1. Notes

   François Avril and Patricia Stirnemann were (as always) especially helpful and generous with information concerning the style of the cuttings. Joseph Bernaer has done more work than anyone else on Carthusian biblical readings, and although we only cite one important article by him, he has generously shared with us much unpublished information. We also benefited greatly from the suggestions and corrections provided by this journal’s anonymous peer reviewer. We are also much obliged to the Kupferstichkabinett staff, in particular to Dagmar Korbacher, for facilitating our study in the Berlin collection.

   . Unknown maker, historiated initial from a Bible, circa 1160s, Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, Ms. 38 (89.MS.45). An image and some data are available at www.getty.edu/art/collection/object/103RWR. [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
2. . *Western Manuscripts and Miniatures* (1986),auction cat., Sotheby’s, London, 2 December 1986, lot 4 (ill.). According to the list of buyers and prices published by Sotheby’s after the sale, lot 4 was bought by “Fielding.” The Getty acquired it in 1989 from London dealer Richard Day, so “Fielding” may have been Day’s colleague Jocelyn Fielding. [↑](#endnote-ref-2)
3. . *Western Manuscripts and Miniatures* (1986)*,* lot 4 (ill.). “It seems more likely, however, that the book was made further north, perhaps in the north east of France towards the Rhineland, or in the south of Flanders somewhere such as Anchin.” [↑](#endnote-ref-3)
4. . The 1989 acquisition was published in *The J. Paul Getty Museum Journal* 18 (1990): 172, where it is attributed to “probably northeastern France, circa 1131–1165”; at the time of writing (January 2023), the online record repeats this attribution. In Thomas Kren, *French Illuminated Manuscripts in the J. Paul Getty Museum* (Los Angeles: J. Paul Getty Museum, 2007), 5, the attribution is “French, ca. 1131–65,” but no explanation is given for these precise dates. The dates may derive from the date given to a copy of the Twelve Minor Prophets with *Glossa ordinaria,* MS M.962 in the collection of the Morgan Library and Museum in New York, said to be from the Benedictine abbey of Saint Saviour at Anchin in Pecquencourt, whose Abbot Gossuin was in post from ca. 1131–65. The decoration of the Morgan manuscript has little in common with the present cuttings and in fact rather presents counterevidence to Sotheby’s suggestion (see the previous note) that the cuttings may come from Anchin Abbey. [↑](#endnote-ref-4)
5. . Paul Wescher, Beschreibendes Verzeichnis der Miniaturen—Handschriften und Einzelblätter—des Kupferstichkabinetts der Staatlichen Museen Berlin (Leipzig: Weber, 1931), 16–17, Min. 1904–1908, 4678–4684: “Französische Schule (Südostfrankreich), 1. halfte 12. Jahr.” [↑](#endnote-ref-5)
6. . *Auktion 60: Bücher, Manuskripte, Graphik, Volkskunst vom Mittelalter bis zum Beginn der Moderne,* auction cat., Venator & Hanstein, Cologne, 25–27 September 1989, lot 1096. [↑](#endnote-ref-6)
7. . The thirty-eight cuttings had no identifying numbers, so when he found them in 2004, Schindler assigned them the provisional numbers 128–168 within his inventory of newly discovered manuscripts; these numbers are written in pencil on the mounts and are the only way of referring to them until such time as official museum accession numbers are assigned with the exception of four cuttings (see this essay, note 8). [↑](#endnote-ref-7)
8. . Beate Braun-Niehr, “Initialen I, H, F und V,” in *Schrift als Bild*, ed. Michael Roth (Berlin: Kupferstichkabinett, 2010), 25, reproducing two in color; attributed to “Maasgebiet, 2. Viertel 12. Jh.” and “Provenienz: alter Bestand.” These four cuttings (only) have been assigned the accession numbers Min. 30490–30493 for the Kupferstichkabinett; they were formerly nos. 129, 147, 151, and 164 in Schindler’s inventory. [↑](#endnote-ref-8)
9. . Beatrice Alai, *Le miniature italiane del Kupferstichkabinett di Berlino* (Florence: Edizioni Polistampa, 2019), 31, fig. 20, 32; and Peter Kidd, “A Collector’s Mark Re-Interpreted,” *Medieval Manuscripts Provenance* (blog), 11 April 2020, https://mssprovenance.blogspot.com/, archived at https://archive.ph/e4nBJ and Archive.org. [↑](#endnote-ref-9)
10. . The evidence of the ruling is in fact considerably more complex than our subsequent discussion in the main text, which we have simplified for ease of comprehension. The cuttings come from a multivolume Bible that was not ruled consistently throughout. For example, in some sections the page was ruled not only for the bases of the minims but also for the tops of the minims, such that each line of text has two lines of ruling. [↑](#endnote-ref-10)
11. . Berlin, Kupferstichkabinett Mins. 1904, 1906, 4648, 4682, 1908, and Getty Ms. 38, respectively. Dominique Mielle de Becdelièvre, *Prêcher en silence: Enquête codicologique sur les manuscrits du XIIe siècle provenant de la Grande Chartreuse* (Saint-Étienne: Publications de l’Université Jean-Monnet, 2004), 47, recognized such triple rulings as typical of the books from the Grande Chartreuse and more specifically of the volumes made from the second third of the twelfth century onward. [↑](#endnote-ref-11)
12. . Robert Weber and Roger Gryson, eds., *Biblia Sacra iuxta Vulgatam versionem,* 5th ed. (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2007), 988. [↑](#endnote-ref-12)
13. . For a general account, see Christopher de Hamel, “Giant Bibles of the Early Middle Ages,” chap. 3 in *The Book: A History of the Bible* (London: Phaidon, 2001); see also Diane J. Reilly, “The Bible as Bellwether: Manuscript Bibles in the Context of Spiritual, Liturgical and Educational Reform, 1000–1200,” in *Form and Function in the Late Medieval Bible*, ed. Eyal Poleg and Laura Light (Leiden: Brill, 2013), 13–22. [↑](#endnote-ref-13)
14. . Walter Cahn, *Romanesque Bible Illumination* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1982), 266–83, nos. 50–116. We can compare this with the reported dimensions of the volumes of the Romanesque lectern Bibles produced in the Grande Chartreuse: the Notre Dame de Casalibus Bible (Ms. 16 = 57.2 × 36.5 cm; Ms. 17 = 52 × 35 cm; Ms. 18 = 55.5 × 36 cm) and the Great Bible (Ms. 12 = 57.5 × 37.5 cm; Ms. 15 = 55.3 × 37.1 cm; Ms. 13 = 54.7 × 37 cm; Ms. 14 = 54.5 × 35.3 cm). [↑](#endnote-ref-14)
15. . These are Kupferstichkabinett Min. 1907, 1906, 1905, 1908, 30493, 1904, 4683, 4684, 4682, respectively. Those in the private collection and at the Getty Museum are the prophets Hosea and Micah, respectively. [↑](#endnote-ref-15)
16. . Kupferstichkabinett, Inv. 127, 128, Min. 30491; Inv. 130–150, Min. 30490, 31812; Inv. 153, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, Min. 30492; Inv. 165, 166, 168, Min. 1905, 1908. [↑](#endnote-ref-16)
17. . Schindler inventory nos. 156 and 157 have no decorated initials; nos. 154, 155, 158, and 167 have small ones. [↑](#endnote-ref-17)
18. . Legendary from Citeaux: Dijon, Bibliothèque Municipale, Mss. 641, 642; and Bible of Talloires: Berlin, Staatsbibliothek, Ms. Phillipps 1644. Wescher, *Beschreibendes Verzeichnis*, 16l; Wescher cites reproductions in Charles Oursel, *La miniature du XIIe siècle à l’abbaye de Cîteaux d’après les manuscrits de la Bibliothèque de Dijon* (Dijon: Venot, 1926), pls. 32–37; and Joachim Kirchner, *Beschreibendes Verzeichnis der Miniaturen und des Initialschmuckes in den Phillipps-Handschriften* (Leipzig: Weber, 1926), 47, fig. 51. [↑](#endnote-ref-18)
19. . Mielle de Becdelièvre, *Prêcher en silence*, especially 13–16, with a list of related literature at 281–84; Walter Cahn, *Romanesque Manuscripts: The Twelfth Century*, 2 vols. (London: Harvey Miller, 1996), 1:19. On the first Customs written by the prior Guigo I, see Guigues Ier le Chartreux, *Coutumes de Chartreuse* (Paris: Sources Chrétiennes, Éditions du Cerf, 1984), 313. On the founder of the Order Saint Bruno, see Josef Hemmerle, “Brun(o), heilig, Stifter des Kartäuserordens,” in *Neue Deutsche Biographie* (Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 1955), 2:673–74; and P. De Leo, ed., *San Bruno di Colonia: Un eremita tra Oriente e Occidente, Celebrazioni nazionali per il nono centenario della morte di San Bruno di Colonia; Secondo convegno internazionale* (Soveria Mannelli: Rubbettino, 2004). [↑](#endnote-ref-19)
20. . Guibert de Nogent-sous-Coucy, *De vita sua sive Monodiario libri tres,* book 1, part 11, **[in?]** *Patrologiae cursus completus: Series Latina,* ed. Jacques-Paul Migne, vol. 156 (Paris: J.-P. Migne, 1853), column 854 (hereafter *Patrologiae Latina*); and Marina Righetti, “Certosini,” in *Enciclopedia dell’arte medievale* (Rome: Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, 1992), 4:625–26. [↑](#endnote-ref-20)
21. . Bernard Bligny, Recueil des plus anciens actes de la Grande-Chartreuse: 1086–1196 (Grenoble: Imprimerie Allier, 1958), 100–102. [↑](#endnote-ref-21)
22. . Liget Bible, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Mss. Latin 11506–11510.The affinities with the Liget Bible were first suggested by François Avril (personal communication with Beatrice Alai, 5 May 2014) and confirmed by Patricia Stirnemann (personal communications with Beatrice Alai, 12 February and 15 April 2020); on the Bible, see Dominique Mielle de Becdelièvre, “D’une bible a l’autre . . . La réalisation des deux premières bibles de la Grande Chartreuse au XIIe siècle,” *Revue Mabillon* 74, no. 13 (2002): 175–76; and Mielle de Becdelièvre, *Prêcher en silence*, 429–32, cat. no. 126. [↑](#endnote-ref-22)
23. . Liget Bible, Ms. Latin 11508, fol. 35r. For an analysis of the Romanesque decorative pattern, with special focus on leaves and shapes, see Carl Nordenfalk, “Die romanische Buchmalerei,” in *Die romanische Malerei vom elften bis zum dreizehnten Jahrhundert,* ed. André Grabar and Carl Nordenfalk (Geneva: Skira, 1958), 173–82. [↑](#endnote-ref-23)
24. . Liget Bible, Ms. Latin 11510, fol. 16v. [↑](#endnote-ref-24)
25. . Wilhelm Koehler, “Byzantine Art in the West,” *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 1 (1941): 70. [↑](#endnote-ref-25)
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