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Abstract

This article explores the condition and reception of Varamin’s architectural heritage from the Ilkhanid period (1256–1353) during the late nineteenth century. I use two relatively untapped sources: the photographs of French traveler Jane Dieulafoy (1851–1916) and the account of Qajar statesman E‘temad al-Saltaneh (1843–96). Reading these sources in tandem paints a robust picture of Varamin when it was becoming known for luster tilework and its Emamzadeh Yahya tomb complex was being steadily plundered. While our travelers captured a seminal moment in Varamin’s history, this study ultimately moves beyond their frames and encourages a present-day appreciation of the city’s still standing monuments.

A highlight of the exhibition *Persia: Ancient Iran and the Classical World* held in 2022 at the Getty Villa was two panels of colorful glazed bricks from the palace of Darius I (r. 522–486 BCE) at Susa. Both panels were borrowed from the Musée du Louvre, and the example depicting a royal archer ended up in Paris because of the amateur photographer Jane Dieulafoy (1851–1916).[[1]](#endnote-1) In 1881–82, Jane and her husband, Marcel, spent a year traveling around Iran, and in 1884, Nasir al-Din Shah Qajar (r. 1848–96) granted them permission to excavate Susa, which unfolded over two seasons in 1885–86.[[2]](#endnote-2) Wooden crates filled with glazed bricks were soon transported to Paris and entered the Department of Oriental Antiquities, at whose 1888 inauguration Jane received the cross of the Légion d’Honneur.[[3]](#endnote-3) While Susa bricks flooded the Louvre, another form of Persian architectural revetment steadily entered French collections. Luster tiles dating to the first half of the thirteenth century and the Ilkhanid period (1256–1353) were also sourced from archaeological excavations but more commonly taken off the walls of still-standing buildings. One such site was the Emamzadeh Yahya (1260–1307) at Varamin, a tomb complex that the Dieulafoys visited just two months into their trip.

This article explores Jane Dieulafoy’s photographic documentation of the Emamzadeh Yahya and Varamin’s other historical monuments during the couple’s weeklong stay in the village in June 1881. To date, scholars of Iran have relied heavily on the woodcut prints after Dieulafoy’s photographs reproduced in her well-known travelogue *La Perse, la Chaldée et la Susiane: Relation de voyage contenant 336 gravures sur bois d'après les photographies de l'auteur et deux cortes* (1887).[[4]](#endnote-4) In French photography circles, her photographs were largely presumed lost until their inclusion in the 2015–16 exhibition *Qui a peur des femmes photographes? 1839–1945* (Who is afraid of women photographers? 1839–1919) at the Musée de l’Orangerie.[[5]](#endnote-5) Thanks to recent (2021) digitization efforts at the Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art (INHA), Dieulafoy’s photographs can now be studied on the pages of her six personal photography albums.[[6]](#endnote-6) The result is a seismic shift in her work’s viability as a source for many fields of Iranian studies, for her photographs omit the creative liberties of the later woodcuts and often outnumber what was reproduced in the travelogue.[[7]](#endnote-7) The Varamin section of *La Perse* features ten prints, for example, whereas “Perse 1” (1881) preserves twenty-eight photographs, meaning nearly triple the archive.[[8]](#endnote-8) While this essay focuses on Dieulafoy’s photographs of Varamin, it is anticipated that pre-Islamicists will conduct comparable work on Susa and specialists of Orientalist photography will reevaluate Dieulafoy’s depictions of women. One of the best known and most insidious prints in *La Perse* depicts a half-dressed “Ziba Khanoum,” an elite woman of Isfahan. The only known comparable photograph taken by Dieulafoy shows the subject fully dressed and calls into question any use of the phrase “after the photographs” (*après les photographies*).[[9]](#endnote-9)

Bearing in mind that Dieulafoy was traveling in Iran for the first time in 1881, had no formal training or expertise in Iranian studies or Persian art, and retained many of the biases of European (*farangi*) travelers of the day, I explore her photographs of Varamin (June 1881) in relation to the slightly earlier Persian account (December 1876) of Mohammad Hasan Khan E‘temad al-Saltaneh (1843–96), a Qajar historian and favorite dragoman of Nasir al-Din Shah.[[10]](#endnote-10) E‘temad al-Saltaneh accompanied the shah on his internal travels and three European tours (1873, 1878, and 1889) and was a prolific writer.[[11]](#endnote-11) Early on his career, during the 1870s, he served as the head of the state press office and directed the government newspapers *Ruznameh-ye Iran* and Ruznama-ye Dowlati. His account of Varamin—“Tarikh va Joghrafia-ye Varamin (ya Shekar-e Masileh)” (The history and geography of Varamin, or hunting Masileh) was originally published in *Ruznameh-ye Iran* on 7 Dhu al-Hijjah 1293 AH/23 December 1876, just twelve days after he left Varamin. It was recently included in a collection of his lesser-known works—the *Rasa’el-e E‘temad al-Saltaneh*—and is therefore relatively untapped as a resource, like Dieulafoy’s photographs.[[12]](#endnote-12)

Reading E‘temad al-Saltaneh and Dieulafoy in tandem paints a robust picture of Varamin at precisely the moment when it was becoming known for its luster tilework (Persian: *zarrinfam*; French: *faïences à reflets métalliques*), a twice-fired ceramic technique incorporating metallic oxides that reached the height of its production between 1180 and 1350. This sparkling revetment once covered the interior of the tomb of Emamzadeh Yahya but was steadily stolen during the second half of the nineteenth century and exported to European cities such as Paris and London. Both E‘temad al-Saltaneh and Dieulafoy visited the tomb during this critical period, but their accounts differ dramatically in their expertise, emphases, and value. While the Qajar historian excelled in geographical analysis, recording measurements, and reading epigraphy, the French traveler took a series of unmatched photographs, including the best view of the complex before its renovation and the only known image of its exceptional luster mihrab in situ. These photographs have not only advanced scholarly understanding of the living sacred complex but also prompted a reevaluation of the display of the tomb’s tiles in more than forty museums worldwide.[[13]](#endnote-13)

## Introduction to Jane Dieulafoy: The photography album “Perse 1” and travelogue *La Perse*

The Dieulafoys’ first visit to what they called “La Perse, la Chaldée et la Susiane” (corresponding to Iran, southern Iraq, and Susa) lasted from February 1881 to February 1882 and took them from Azerbaijan in the northwest to Susa in the south.[[14]](#endnote-14) The pair had traveled previously in Spain, Morocco, and Egypt, and Marcel had served as the architect in charge of historical monuments in Toulouse (1871–79). They carried a letter from the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs but were effectively on their own. In advance of the trip, Jane Dieulafoy studied photography in Paris, and her equipment included cameras, chemicals, albumen papers, and glass plates negatives, all carried by horse across rugged terrain.[[15]](#endnote-15) Gelatin dry plates were in wide circulation by 1880, and Dieulafoy could store these negatives and develop them via contact printing when it suited. In addition to being a budding photographer, Dieulafoy was an avid writer, and like E‘temad al-Saltaneh, she first published her account of Varamin in a newspaper, in this case the January 1883 issue of *Le tour du monde.*[[16]](#endnote-16) She continued to publish in *Le tour* through 1886 and ultimately compiled these articles into her better-known and more exclusive *La Perse*.

While Dieulafoy’s *Le tour* articles were accessible to France’s general public and *La Perse* to privileged elites, her photographs remained largely hidden from view until INHA’s recent release of her six albums (Perse 1–6) cumulatively containing more than nine hundred photographs.[[17]](#endnote-17) This essay focuses exclusively on “Perse 1,” which covers the first few months of the 1881–82 trip: Marand (April), Tabriz, Soltaniyya, Qazvin, Tehran (June), Varamin, back to Tehran, Saveh (July), Qom, Kashan (August), and Isfahan, which continues into the next album. “Perse 1” is a chronological memento of the couple’s journey and distinguished by its personal, dialectical, and working nature and dynamic mixing of landscapes, buildings, and human subjects **(fig. 1)**. Most of the prints are small (around 6.35 × 10.16 centimeters) and lack captions and borders, save for the vignettes of Dieulafoy’s wide lens. There are often two to four prints mounted on a page in a scrapbook manner, and she sometimes placed two similar prints side by side, or even two prints from the same negative.[[18]](#endnote-18) She stitched together her panoramas, and some seemingly cohesive prints are in fact collages.[[19]](#endnote-19)

The majority of prints in “Perse 1” are Dieulafoy’s photographs, but the album also includes some professional images that she collected. Her portraits of the shah’s nieces and nephews, which he requested she take after their brief audience on 7 June 1881, were mounted across from two professional photographs of Qajar officials.[[20]](#endnote-20) The page before contains four professional photographs of Nasir al-Din Shah.[[21]](#endnote-21) The small red *D* impressed on the prints is therefore indicative of her ownership, not necessarily her authorship.

Dieulafoy’s modus operandi was to document every step of the journey, and as such, her photographs vary in quality. A comparison of her approach to the congregational mosque (*masjed-e jame‘*) of Qazvin, one of the oldest mosques of Iran, to that of the Qajar court photographer ‘Abdollah Mirza Qajar (1850–1909) is illuminating. This was Dieulafoy’s first substantive experience of a living congregational mosque in Iran, and she took seven photographs of the site. The north *ayvan*/portal topped by two minarets (facing the domed sanctuary on the south) was selected as the representative view in her travelogue, but the woodcut altered and enhanced the photograph in several ways.[[22]](#endnote-22) Two figures were added to the foreground, and areas of deep shadow were lightened. ‘Abdollah Qajar positioned his camera in the same area looking toward the north portal, but the comparisons end there. His extremely crisp and evenly lit image captures many features lost in Dieulafoy’s shadows and is one of the most beautiful nineteenth-century photographs of the mosque.[[23]](#endnote-23)

Dieulafoy’s preference for quantity over quality exemplifies her approach to architectural photography. One of the most famous monuments photographed by her and many others was the tomb of the Ilkhanid ruler Oljaytu (r. 1304–17) at [Soltaniyya](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Dome+of+Soltanieh/@36.4339545,48.794911,276m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m12!1m6!3m5!1s0x3ff5d5a912e5cc19:0x9827476f70c00e24!2sDome+of+Soltanieh!8m2!3d36.4339911!4d48.7958803!3m4!1s0x3ff5d5a912e5cc19:0x9827476f70c00e24!8m2!3d36.4339911!4d48.7958803). “Perse 1” includes eight photographs of the tomb across three pages, proceeding from general views, to details of the exterior, to a single view of the interior.[[24]](#endnote-24) In the last, she stood in the upper story and looked down toward a tiny figure by the entrance, unobstructed by the scaffolding that currently engulfs the space. The GRI’s album of photographs by the Italian colonel Luigi Pesce includes a single general view of the ruler’s tomb mounted in a shimmering border and identified by a misleading caption: “Grande Moschea in ruina a Sultanie” (Great mosque in ruins at Soltaniyya).[[25]](#endnote-25) This monumental view was included in many formal albums of the day, many of which were presented as gifts.[[26]](#endnote-26) In her personal album, Dieulafoy had no interest in beautiful borders and captions, often went beyond the singular facade shot, and included her local interlocutors.[[27]](#endnote-27)

While Dieulafoy’s architectural photographs are often refreshing to the art historian for their scope, detail, and generally unfiltered nature, the text of her later travelogue can pose problems. She sometimes made historical errors, but more problematic is her bias and stereotyping, including tirades against mollahs and superstition, and a frequent use of the words “fanaticism” and “infidels.”[[28]](#endnote-28) Much of *La Perse* is sprinkled with Dieulafoy’s encounters with Islam and struggles to gain access into religious sites, and her early visit to Qazvin (“Kazbin,” 10–13 May) is a case in point. The couple wanted to visit the aforementioned congregational mosque, but they were refused entry, so they sought an audience with the governor, the brother of the shah.[[29]](#endnote-29) When they asked for his assistance, he replied that he had no authority in the matter and had not visited the mosque in months. The next day, the guardian of the city’s grand hotel offered to take the couple into the mosque between prayers. Their “protector” (*protecteur*) was successful, and the couple spent more than an hour and a half walking through the building, she taking her seven photographs.

In Qazvin, Dieulafoy was also introduced to Twelver Shi‘ism, the majority form of Islam practiced in Iran that hinges on devotion to the Prophet Mohammad’s bloodline and considers the Twelve Imams his rightful successors. She first observed a passion play (*ta‘ziyeh*) in the street recreating the martyrdom of Imam Hossein (626–80), the third Imam, at Karbala. She took two photographs, and one was reproduced in *La Perse*.[[30]](#endnote-30) She then proceeded to the Emamzadeh Shahzadeh Hossein (975–1008 AH/1568–1600), the tomb complex of a young son of Imam Reza (766–818), the eighth Imam (the term *emamzadeh* refers to a descendant of one of the Twelve Imams and also the tomb of such a person). The tomb is set in a walled courtyard that doubles as a cemetery, and Dieulafoy observed women chatting and eating sweets, mourning at the graves of loved ones, and donning the chador (a loose cloth, often black, engulfing the body). This scene was captured in her general view of the tomb, which must have been taken from atop the entrance portal **(see fig. 1, right page)**.[[31]](#endnote-31)

Dieulafoy was surprised when a cleric invited her into the tomb, and what follows in *La Perse* is her description of pilgrimage (*ziyarat*), or pious visitation. The most sacred element of any Iranian *emamzadeh* past or present is the cenotaph of the deceased, and this example was enclosed in a three-dimensional pierced screen (*zarih*). Dieulafoy observed pilgrims tying pieces of cloth to the screen, tapping their foreheads against its corner bosses, and circumambulating it three times. The tomb’s mihrab was covered by a piece of cloth lifted to reveal a painting of a man who she presumed to be the Prophet Mohammad. She concluded, “It is very rare to find him in a mosque, the Muslim religion prohibiting the reproduction of the human figure.”[[32]](#endnote-32) This statement underscores Dieulafoy’s amateurism on two levels. First, the space in question was/is most accurately defined as a tomb, not a mosque, and specifically an *emamzadeh*, a tomb of a descendant of one of the Twelve Imams. Second, there is no outright prohibition against figural imagery in Islam, and in certain times and places, religious subjects were, and still are, depicted in figural form.[[33]](#endnote-33) Portraits of the Twelve Imams were especially common in Qajar Iran (Twelver Shi‘ism being the state religion since 1501), and it is more likely that the painting in the mihrab portrayed one of the Imams, although portraits of the Prophet are known in Iranian tombs.[[34]](#endnote-34) At the end of her visit, Dieulafoy was invited to sit down with a *mojtahed* (senior theologian), and his education would fill over three pages of *La Perse*.[[35]](#endnote-35)

## Reading and Seeing Varamin: E‘temad al-Saltaneh and Jane Dieulafoy

During the Ilkhanid period, Varamin emerged as an important provincial capital and center of trade, scholarship, and Twelver Shiism.[[36]](#endnote-36) By the Qajar period, the once thriving medieval city had reverted to an agricultural village, but its proximity to Tehran ensured a steady stream of visitation. Among the most important early visitors were Russian consul Alexander Chodzko, who visited in April 1835, and the Qajar statesman ‘Aliqoli Mirza E‘tezad al-Saltaneh, who led a delegation in February 1863.[[37]](#endnote-37) During the 1860s and 1870s, Qajar court photographers like the aforementioned ‘Abdollah Qajar documented a variety of expeditions, and it is possible that the 1863 (E‘tezad al-Saltaneh) and 1876 (E‘temad al-Saltaneh) visits to Varamin could have included a photographer.[[38]](#endnote-38) In the current absence of Qajar court photography of the village, Dieulafoy’s photographs fill a significant gap while visualizing many details described by E‘temad al-Saltaneh, especially epigraphy.[[39]](#endnote-39) Their combined archive is critical to the art historian, because it illuminates the conditions of Varamin’s monuments before many were radically transformed.

Nineteenth-century Varamin was strategically located between the Qajar capital of Tehran to the north and one of the court’s favorite hunting grounds—Masileh—in the Great Salt Desert (Dasht-e Kavir) to the south.[[40]](#endnote-40) The first major destination on the road south from Tehran was Rayy, one of the oldest cites of Iran and home to the twelfth-century Toghrol Tower, which was photographed twice by Dieulafoy and opens the chapter in question in *La Perse*.[[41]](#endnote-41) The Dieulafoys passed by several Zoroastrian cemeteries (*dakhmeh,* or “tower of silence”), and she also described the gold dome of the Shrine of ‘Abdolazem (‘Abd al-‘Azem al-Hasani), the most significant holy site near the capital.[[42]](#endnote-42) When they were about three “farsakhs” (*farsang*s*,* one unit of which is equal to about four miles) from Tehran, the landscape changed dramatically, and lines of “kanots” (qanats, or underground water channels) descended into plains yellowed by wheat and filled with the sounds of harvesting, dogs, horses, and cicadas.[[43]](#endnote-43)

The Dieulafoys spent an entire week in Varamin (June 15–21), and it left a sizeable impression on Jane, resulting in twenty-eight photographs in her personal album and ten woodcuts in her published travelogue. The couple was hosted by the head of the village (*kadkhoda*), thanks to the arrangements of Joseph-Désiré Tholozan, Nasir al-Din Shah’s physician. The *kadkhoda*’s house included a courtyard with a *talar* (porch) and served as the venue for local court. It was from the rooftop of this house that Dieulafoy took her general view of Varamin before watching the sky turn purple as a “violent” sandstorm approached from the desert **(fig. 2a, upper left; 2b)**.[[44]](#endnote-44) In the foreground are the village’s mudbrick houses, and in the background is the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin (‘Ala’ al-Din, 688 AH/1289).

The farmers of Varamin cultivated wheat and opium poppy, and the intense June heat dictated the daily schedule. On market day in the main square, Dieulafoy observed the cattle market and some visiting tribes, including Turkomans from Astarabad, near the Caspian Sea.[[45]](#endnote-45) Her daily routine included early morning gallops to monuments, midday naps, and delicious meals prepared by the *kadkhoda*’s cook. She concluded, “Life is very gentle in Varamin,” which reflected the privilege of a temporary visitor.[[46]](#endnote-46) At the time, Iran was recovering from the great famine of 1870–71 and several cholera outbreaks.

Turning now to E‘temad al-Saltaneh, it was a hunting expedition to Masileh that led the Qajar historian to Varamin in December 1876. The royal procession departed Tehran on 23 Dhu al-Qa‘dah 1293 AH/9 December 1876, and made several stops along the way, including lunch in a recently restored garden in Daulatabad and the evening in Firuzabad, four *farsang* (about sixteen miles) from Varamin.[[47]](#endnote-47) As is typical of a Qajar insider and geographer, E‘temad al-Saltaneh provides a long list of the crown (*khaliseh*) and private (*melk*) lands along the route, which reads as a who’s who of the court.[[48]](#endnote-48) He describes Varamin as one of the oldest cities of Iran but now just “a big village” (*qarieh-ye bozorgi*) with a population of about a thousand, including Bakhtiari, Ardestani, Shirazi, and Kangarlu tribes.[[49]](#endnote-49) He then launches into an architectural survey of seven monuments visited during his relatively quick two-day visit. He begins with Varamin’s oldest and largest site: the pre-Islamic *qaleh* (citadel), or Narenj Qaleh. The citadel’s massive scale and sloping walls were first memorialized in an 1848 wash painting by French artist Jules Laurens, but Dieulafoy’s photograph is a far sharper record **(fig. 3a, upper left; 3b)**.[[50]](#endnote-50) Its importance is amplified by the fact that the citadel is now completely lost.

E‘temad al-Saltaneh describes four monuments in cardinal relation to the citadel: the congregational mosque to the south ([Masjed-e Jame](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Varamin+Jameh+Mosque/@35.3221349,51.6415525,274m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x0:0x73b2fabe5eb27108!8m2!3d35.3220266!4d51.6415668)‘); a ruined mosque (Masjed-e Sharif, 707 AH/1307) and the tomb of Kokaboddin ([Shrine of Kokab](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Kawkab+al-Din+Shrine/@35.3273055,51.6436072,47m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m12!1m6!3m5!1s0x0:0x73b2fabe5eb27108!2sVaramin+Jameh+Mosque!8m2!3d35.3220266!4d51.6415668!3m4!1s0x3f9197a87c551fef:0x11010f0b25f57b9!8m2!3d35.3273572!4d51.6437575) al-Din) to the west; a ruined mosque (899 AH/1493–94) and the tomb of Fathollah ([Emamzadeh Sayyed Fathollah](https://www.google.com/maps/place/امام+زاده+سید+فتح+الله،+Varamin,+Tehran+Province,+Iran%E2%80%AD/@35.3235282,51.6476252,118m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m12!1m6!3m5!1s0x0:0x73b2fabe5eb27108!2sVaramin+Jameh+Mosque!8m2!3d35.3220266!4d51.6415668!3m4!1s0x3f9197a3893d2fc1:0x9a390f78d2ebc94!8m2!3d35.3238528!4d51.6484009)) to the north; and the tomb of Shah Hossein (Emamzadeh Shah Hossein) to the east **(fig. 4)**.[[51]](#endnote-51) He also devotes considerable attention to the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin closest to the village in the north **(see fig. 2a, left page, upper left)** and the Emamzadeh Yahya to the distant southeast. As he leaves Varamin for Masileh, he describes the outlying *qaleh*s and references his separate account of Qaleh Iraj, the massive citadel to the northeast.[[52]](#endnote-52)

Built between 722–26 AH/1322–26, the congregational mosque was and is Varamin’s largest and most significant Islamic monument.[[53]](#endnote-53) It once sat at the heart of the medieval city, but by the time of E‘temad al-Saltaneh’s 1876 visit, this southern area was completely ruined (*beh koli kharab*), and settlement had moved to the north.[[54]](#endnote-54) Dieulafoy’s photographs capture the mosque in an empty field **(see fig. 2a, left page)**, and the west side of the courtyard is completely lost, which E‘temad al-Saltaneh attributes to a flood.[[55]](#endnote-55) In its original configuration, the mosque included a monumental entrance portal leading into a courtyard with four *ayvan*s (a vaulted space with an arched opening on side), the southern one leading into the domed qibla sanctuary **(see fig. 2a, right)**. The Varamin mosque is the only surviving congregational mosque from the Ilkhanid period in the classic four-*ayvan* plan.

One of the strengths of E‘temad al-Saltaneh’s account is his careful analysis of architectural epigraphy, which required the reading of Persian and Arabic inscriptions written in a variety of calligraphic scripts and media.[[56]](#endnote-56) At the mosque, he began with the tiled foundation inscription on the entrance portal, which recorded the name of the patron Mohammad b. Mohammad b. Mansur al-Quhadi and date of AH 722/1322 in three dense lines of turquoise *sols* (Arabic: thuluth) on a dark-blue ground.[[57]](#endnote-57) Dieulafoy captured a glimpse of this inscription in her general view of the portal (**fig. 5, left)**, and she also photographed the beginning of the elaborate band of knotted Kufic below **(see fig. 2b, left)**.[[58]](#endnote-58) Her images are significant, for only a fraction of the foundation inscription remains today, and the beginning of the Kufic band is now entirely gone.[[59]](#endnote-59)

E‘temad al-Saltaneh also identified the stucco (*gach*) inscription on the *ayvan* leading into the domed sanctuary as verse 9 of chapter (sura) 62 of the Qur’an, appropriately concerning the Friday prayer.[[60]](#endnote-60) Dieulafoy photographed both the beginning **(see fig. 5, right)** and middle of the inscription, which still survives.[[61]](#endnote-61) Inside the domed sanctuary, E‘temad al-Saltaneh identified the stucco inscription wrapping the upper walls as the first seven verses of sura 62, a common selection, and Dieulafoy left us three details **(see fig. 3a, upper right)**.[[62]](#endnote-62) She also photographed the exquisitely carved stucco mihrab but instead of capturing the full niche, she cropped out its lower half, which had a gaping hole.[[63]](#endnote-63) A final photograph of the mosque deserves mention because it appears to include Dieulafoy herself leaning against a wall.[[64]](#endnote-64)

E‘temad al-Saltaneh turns next to the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin. He identifies the Kufic inscription wrapping the top of the tower as the Throne Verse (Ayat al-Kursi, Qur’an 2:255) but neglects to mention the detailed foundation inscription naming the deceased and dated 688 AH/1289–90.[[65]](#endnote-65) The patron, Fakhroddin Hasan (Fakhr al-Din, d. 1308), was the local ruler (*malek*) of the province of Rayy and Varamin and enjoyed an excellent reputation in Ilkhanid circles. He built the tomb for his father ‘Alaoddin and would soon patronize the Emamzadeh Yahya. Had E‘temad al-Saltaneh read the foundation inscription, he would not have speculated about the deceased’s identity, but when looking at Dieulafoy’s three photographs (see fig. 3a, right), we can appreciate the challenge of reading an inscription that was not only located high up the tower but also rendered in an almost illegible script weaving in and out of its thirty-two flanges. It was only in the early twentieth century that the foundation inscription was recorded, providing the basis for Sheila Blair’s recent analysis.[[66]](#endnote-66)

To the east of the citadel was a tall building in ruins (*makhrubeh*) said to be the tomb of Shah Hossein.[[67]](#endnote-67) A tiled mihrab was one of the remains (*baqi*) of the adjacent mosque, and E‘temad al-Saltaneh identifies its inscription as “al-Sakinah” (Tranquility), in reference to Qur’an 48:4.[[68]](#endnote-68) In his 1955 book, which recorded his 1939 and 1943 visits, American art historian Donald Wilber described the mihrab as enclosed in a new prayer hall and referred back to Dieulafoy’s two “drawings” of the site in *La Perse.*[[69]](#endnote-69) In “Perse 1,” she mounted her three original photographs on a single page **(fig. 6)**. On the left are two views of the octagonal tomb tower. The roof is missing and populated by birds’ nests, but we can still discern the fine brickwork. On the right is the mihrab described above and one of Dieulafoy’s most arresting photographs: A man leans against the inside of the niche, engulfed by the hood above; a mule stands to the right; and a small child sits precariously on the high wall behind, next to other onlookers. The mihrab’s massive muqarnas hood recalls Seljuk Anatolian examples, and it is framed by a border of inscribed square tiles, only the top row of which is intact.[[70]](#endnote-70) When zooming in on Dieulafoy’s sharp photograph, the viewer can see the word *al-sakinah* on the first surviving tile on the upper right. The cropped and simplified woodcut in *La Perse* is a poor substitute but would have a lasting legacy in Varamin, as I will discuss below.[[71]](#endnote-71)

When Wilber visited the Emamzadeh Shah Hossein about sixty years later, he encountered a very different building. The tomb was no longer an elongated tower but had been shortened by half and topped by a new low dome. Wilber took five photographs of the mihrab, including details of its elaborate strapwork, but by this time, the inscribed tiles documented by E‘temad al-Saltaneh and Dieulafoy were mostly gone.[[72]](#endnote-72) Today, the site has fared the worst of Varamin’s Ilkhanid monuments. A report published in 2016 describes the encroachment of new construction and destruction of historical features, and the mihrab is below ground level and screened by a metal frame.[[73]](#endnote-73)

## “The Most Celebrated Building of the World”: The Emamzadeh Yahya in the Field

The Emamzadeh Yahya was the farthest site from both the medieval city of Varamin (by the congregational mosque) and the nineteenth-century village (by the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin), but it nonetheless received the most attention and praise from our travelers (see fig. 4). E‘temad al-Saltaneh concluded, “Due to the prestige of its tilework, we can say that it is the most celebrated [lit. first] building of the world.”[[74]](#endnote-74) Dieulafoy described it as “one of the most interesting monuments in the country” and further maintained: “It is not possible to find enamels more pure and more brilliant than those of the Emamzadeh Yahya.”[[75]](#endnote-75) They were both referring to the tomb’s luster tilework, which comprised the cenotaph, mihrab, and dado.

All of the Emamzadeh Yahya’s luster revetment was incrementally stripped from the tomb between the 1870s and 1898 and primarily exported abroad. The mihrab signed by ‘Ali b. Mohammad b. Abi Tahir, dated Shaban 663 AH/May 1265, and measuring 3.84 by 2.29 meters (12.61 × 7.5 ft) is preserved in the Shangri La Museum of Islamic Art, Culture & Design in Honolulu.[[76]](#endnote-76) The only portion of the dispersed cenotaph that has been identified to date is a four-tiled panel in the State Hermitage Museum in Saint Petersburg that names the deceased (“the Imam, Yahya”) and is signed by Yusuf b. ‘Ali b. Mohammad b. Abi Tahir, son of the maker of the mihrab, and dated 10 Moharram 705 AH/2 August 1305 **(fig. 7)**.[[77]](#endnote-77) This enormous panel measuring .87 by 2.21 meters (2.85 × 7.25 ft) rested on top of the cenotaph and would have been framed by a series of borders, for a total upper surface of at least .91 by 2.44 meters (around 3 × 8 ft). Some comparable examples include shallow holes in the upper corners that would have held ritual objects, including candlesticks.[[78]](#endnote-78) The many stars and crosses that once clad the dado are preserved in at least forty museums worldwide, only one of which is in Iran.[[79]](#endnote-79) They are distinguished by their size (around thirty centimeters in diameter), palette of luster and white alone, and perimeter of Qur’anic verses sometimes dated between 660–61 AH/1262–63 **(see fig. 7)**.[[80]](#endnote-80)

According to E‘temad al-Saltaneh, the tomb (*maqbareh*) of Emamzadeh Yahya was located southeast of the citadel in a neighborhood called Kohneh Gol, an external quarter (*mahalat-e kharej*) of the medieval city of Varamin that likewise remained at a distance from the present village (see fig. 4). Dieulafoy’s photograph of the site has long been known through its woodcut version in *La Perse*, which in turn served as Wilber’s guide for the reconstruction of its Ilkhanid-period plan **(fig. 8, upper right)**.[[81]](#endnote-81) The complex was originally fronted by a monumental entrance portal; to the east was a conical tower; and at the south was the main tomb capped by a stepped dome. As always, Dieulafoy’s photograph is a much stronger resource than the woodcut, and we can now appreciate the portal’s decorative brickwork, note some damages to the conical dome, and discern details of the complex’s crumbling walls. The only known comparable photograph was taken by German art historian Friedrich Sarre around 1897 and is far darker and blurrier.[[82]](#endnote-82)

In *La Perse,* Dieulafoy refers to the stripping of the tomb’s luster tilework and notes that she and her husband had been granted special access by Nasir al-Din Shah:

Several parts of this revetment [the tomb’s luster tilework] have been stripped and sold in Tehran at high prices; as a result of these thefts, entrance into the small sanctuary has been forbidden to Christians, and this defense is best observed because the chapels sanctified by the tombs of the imams are, in the eye of Persians, imbued with more sacred character than the mosques themselves. We are exempted from the ban, the shah having agreed, in the interest of Marcel’s studies, to authorize us to cross the threshold of the sanctuary. On seeing the royal order, the ketkhoda [*kadkhoda*] ordered his brother to accompany us; his presence was not useless. When we arrived, the guarding of the gate was entrusted to peasants armed with sticks, surrounding a mullah wearing a white turban reserved for priests.[[83]](#endnote-83)

A portrait of these supposedly threatening “peasants” and mullah is mounted next to Dieulafoy’s general view of the shrine **(see fig. 8, far left)**, and we can imagine the subjects’ potential displeasure on two levels: having to grant the couple entry and being subjected to her voyeuristic lens.

Dieulafoy took two photographs inside the relatively small tomb measuring about 9 meters across and ultimately stacked and slightly overlapped the prints in “Perse 1” **(fig. 9)**.[[84]](#endnote-84) The upper photograph is the only known image of the luster mihrab in situ and one of the most valuable photographs in “Perse 1.” The mihrab, in turn, is a very important subject, and one of just nine known examples of its kind. Six are relatively intact in museums—three in Mashhad and one each in Tehran, Berlin, and Honolulu (the Emamzadeh Yahya example)—and three are dispersed and require virtual reconstruction.[[85]](#endnote-85) Dieulafoy stood slightly to the left of the enormous mihrab and captured it perfectly framed by a stucco inscription and topped by an additional stucco panel. Her lower photograph focuses on the squinch to the mihrab’s immediate left, which was decorated with fine panels of stucco. A recent photograph of the mihrab void and adjacent corner niche effectively combines the views shown in Dieulafoy’s two photographs and gives a fuller perspective of the intimate space **(fig. 10)**. A green sheet divides the **[visitation of the?]** tomb by gender and hangs across the narrow ambulatory between the white void once home to the mihrab and the hexagonal screen (*zarih*) enclosing the modern cenotaph.[[86]](#endnote-86)

Despite some fading, Dieulafoy’s photograph of the mihrab allows us to appreciate its original configuration on its multimedia qibla wall (see fig. 9, upper image). The mihrab was framed not only by the surviving later stucco inscription dated Muharram 707 AH/July 1307 (forty-two years after the mihrab’s date) but also two smaller borders, one of which was composed of luster half stars and half crosses. During the mihrab’s afterlife on the market (ca. 1898–1940), some of its more than sixty tiles were lost, which led Sheila Blair to conclude, “The proportions and layout are slightly odd, and there must have been a horizontal band on top of the second niche connecting the two vertical bands with jumbled sections of Qurʼān 2:255–56.”[[87]](#endnote-87) Indeed, this now-lost band is visible in Dieulafoy’s photograph **(fig. 11)**.

Some of the mihrab’s outer border tiles were jumbled during its life in Honolulu (since 1941), and thanks to Dieulafoy’s photograph, we can now read Qur’an 62:1–5 in the correct order and consider these verses in relation to the same ones (62:1–4) in the framing stucco inscription **(see fig. 11)**.[[88]](#endnote-88) These verses concerning God, His Messenger, and His Sacred Book were clearly very important to the denizens of Ilkhanid Varamin. They were selected not only for the Emamzadeh Yahya’s luster mihrab (663 AH/1265) and stucco frieze (707 AH/1307) but also for the stucco band (see fig. 3, left page, upper right) wrapping the sanctuary of the city’s congregational mosque (722–26 AH/1322–26). In the Emamzadeh Yahya, these verses transition into the dated foundation inscription naming Fakhroddin Hasan (the same patron as the ‘Alaoddin tower) and a hadith in honor of the Prophet Mohammad’s grandfather, all of which were read by E‘temad al-Saltaneh.[[89]](#endnote-89)

In addition to leaving us with a priceless view of the luster mihrab in situ, Dieulafoy also captured the tomb’s living sanctity and furnishings. The lower half of the mihrab is obstructed by a geometric screen, and metal vases with flared rims sit on its visible corners (see fig. 9, upper image).[[90]](#endnote-90) A string above suspends several votive offerings (*nazr*), likely metal lamps, vases, and birds.[[91]](#endnote-91) Considered together, these features reveal that the tomb was a living sacred space in 1881, despite the poor condition of some parts of the complex, including the entrance portal. This assertion is supported by Dieulafoy’s aforementioned photograph of the mullah and guards (see fig. 8, far left) and E‘temad al-Saltaneh’s encounter with the tomb’s guardian (*motevalli*), who shared that the saint was a son of Imam Musa, the seventh Imam.[[92]](#endnote-92)

The screen in front of the mihrab poses some interpretive conundrums but likely attests the fluid and jeopardized nature of the interior. E‘temad al-Saltaneh describes a wood *marqad* (tomb or grave) in the middle of the tomb measuring 1.75 by 3.25 *zar‘* (cubits), or 1.82 by 3.38 meters (5.97 × 11.09 ft).[[93]](#endnote-93) Given this substantial size, which was roughly one third of the tomb’s total diameter (about 9 m), he was likely referring to the screen that shielded the cenotaph, versus the cenotaph itself.[[94]](#endnote-94) By 1881, this screen could have been resized and pushed against the qibla wall for a double function: to serve as the sacred threshold between the pilgrim and deceased (the cenotaph) and to protect the mihrab from theft.[[95]](#endnote-95) While the former was the screen’s conventional role, the latter would have been precipitated by the steady stealing of the tomb’s luster tilework, and such a screen-cenotaph-mihrab combination is not unknown.[[96]](#endnote-96) The ex-votos captured by Dieulafoy further imply the cenotaph’s presence behind the screen, because, as the symbol of the deceased, the cenotaph would have been the focus of such offerings. A photograph taken in 1958 appears to capture the same screen bedecked in votives, including calligraphic drawings of birds (basmala birds), prayer tablets, and flags **(fig. 12)**.[[97]](#endnote-97) An elaborate metal ‘*alam* (ceremonial standard) rests against the screen, and a few vessels seem to sit on top of the cenotaph behind.[[98]](#endnote-98) Still further behind, the mihrab is now but a looming void.

Like Dieulafoy, E‘temad al-Saltaneh was captivated by the mihrab. He had only seen one of its kind in an *emamzadeh* in Qom, in reference to the 734 AH/1334 example from the Emamzadeh ‘Ali b. Ja‘far now in the Islamic Museum of Tehran’s National Museum complex.[[99]](#endnote-99) He presumably spent a good amount of time inspecting the Emamzadeh Yahya’s mihrab, because he identified the majority of its twelve sets of Qur’anic inscriptions **(see fig. 11)**.[[100]](#endnote-100) The easiest to read were those in large blue letters in relief in either *sols* (thuluth) or Kufic (see the outer border and two triangular hoods). Far more difficult were those painted in luster in *naskh* script in one-inch bands on either side of the four columns. E‘temad al-Saltaneh identified the inscriptions by their chapter titles (for example, sura Hamd, or al-Fatiha, in reference to the first chapter) and common names (for example, Ayat al-Kursi, or 2:255) and provided the spread of verses when necessary. He also transcribed the signature and date panel at the bottom of the mihrab.

One of the most interesting sections of E‘temad al-Saltaneh’s account concerns his reading of two luster tiles from the dado. He describes these stars as separated from the wall (“az divar seva shodeh”) and conceivably could have held them in his hands, rotating them as he read their small perimeter inscriptions in *naskh* (for their scale, see fig. 7).[[101]](#endnote-101) The first was inscribed with verses 78 and 79 of sura al-Isra (chapter 17), concerning the performance of prayer. The only tile currently known to carry these verses is in the Musée du Louvre and features verses 78–79 on one side and 80–81 on the other.[[102]](#endnote-102) The second tile was inscribed with a common combination of verses—sura Hamd and sura al-Ikhlas (112:1–4)—and one of many examples is today in the Metropolitan Museum of Art.[[103]](#endnote-103) The Louvre tile was donated by Jules Maciet in 1888, and the Metropolitan star by Edward C. Moore in 1891, but the shrine’s stars and crosses had begun entering museums a decade earlier. The South Kensington Museum (later the Victoria & Albert Museum [V&A]) acquired its first batch of Emamzadeh Yahya tiles in 1875, and the Musée National de Céramique at Sèvres received its first in March 1880 via the diplomat Emile Bernay.[[104]](#endnote-104) E‘temad al-Saltaneh’s reading of the tomb’s tiles in situ, yet off the wall, therefore coincided exactly with their steady removal and export. This begs the question of any potential involvement in their dispersal, and the same must be asked of the Dieulafoys.

## “All Is Fish That Comes to the Net:” The Emamzadeh Yahya on the Market

The Antoin Sevruguin photographs of Persia collection at the GRI includes a rare photograph of luster ceramics on display in Tehran shortly after our travelers’ visits to Varamin **(fig. 13)**.[[105]](#endnote-105) Captioned “Cachis persans (Téhéran)” (“cachis” for *kashi,* or tiles), the subject is a tall cabinet with five shelves packed with four types of luster: medieval Kashani tiles, including large stars and crosses that can be attributed to the Emamzadeh Yahya and must have been recently removed; Safavid (1501–1722) vessels with metal fittings; contemporary Qajar tiles, some imitating Ilkhanid models; and, most remarkably given the location in Iran, large chargers made in Manises, Valencia, around 1400 and then referred to as “Hispano-Moresque.” The cabinet’s mixed curation of luster objects and tiles from Spain to Iran over seven centuries echoed contemporary trends in Europe. In the catalog of the Frédéric Spitzer sale held in Paris in 1893, for example, eleven Spanish luster vessels were reproduced directly above three sets of Ilkhanid luster tiles.[[106]](#endnote-106)

Judging by the quality and cosmopolitanism of the ceramics on display in the cabinet, as well as the interior’s fine wallpaper and photograph of a military unit, the Tehran home in question likely belongs to a European attached to the Qajar court who was deeply immersed in the global trade of luster. One such individual was the Frenchman Jules Richard (1816–91), who taught photography at the Dar al-Fonun (polytechnic college in Tehran founded in 1851) and is infamous for supplying many museums with medieval luster tiles, especially South Kensington. In his 1883 account of his fifteen-year residence in Iran (1866–81), English physician C. J. Wills describes a visit to Richard’s home that paints a useful backstory to Sevruguin’s photograph:

Our host was an old Frenchman who held an appointment as instructor in French and translator to the Shah, and was a Mahommedan [Richard had converted]. . . . The Frenchman had a large collection of valuable antiquities, which he showed us, and they were all genuine. That was seventeen years ago [ca. 1866]; now, in a hundred specimens from Persia, be they what they will, ninety are shams. . . . At that time [ca. 1866] the craze for objects of oriental art had not set in, and the big tiles we saw (or bricks) of *reflet métallique*, with raised inscriptions, were such as one seldom sees nowadays [ca. 1883], save in national collections.[[107]](#endnote-107)

Later in his account, in his section on pottery, Wills further describes the state of affairs in the 1880s:

The wall tiles now [ca. 1883] so much valued in Europe are seldom seen *in situ*. Clever imitations are made in Ispahan [Isfahan], but the art of making the metallic (*reflet*) lustre is gone. Most of the bricks that are not protected, by the fact of being in shrines, have already been stolen, and fear of the consequences of detection is all that protects the rest. All is fish that comes to the net, and the local magnates would sell the big monolith of Yezd [Yazd] marble, which covers the grave of Hafiz [d. 1390, buried in Shiraz], *for a price.*[[108]](#endnote-108)

Wills’s comments capture the major trends of the nineteenth-century (table 1).[[109]](#endnote-109) During the 1850s and 1860s, the purveying of luster tilework was led primarily by a small network of Tehran-based Frenchmen.[[110]](#endnote-110) In 1858, the director of the Musée National de Céramique at Sèvres instructed Emile Duhousset, an officer involved in training the Qajar army, to research contemporary ceramic production and acquire historical specimens.[[111]](#endnote-111) Around the same time, Richard and Jules-Baptiste Nicolas, another Frenchman based in Tehran, began acquiring luster tiles, and in 1867, diplomat Julien de Rochechouart published a travelogue that named specific sites, including the Shrine of Shaykh ‘Abdosamad (‘Abd al-Samad, d. 1299–1300) at Natanz.[[112]](#endnote-112) He especially praised the epigraphic frieze tiles in the shrine’s tomb and confessed that he owned a few.[[113]](#endnote-113) Some of these tiles ended up in the hands of Richard and Nicolas in Tehran and were sold in 1875 to Robert Murdoch Smith, agent for South Kensington, alongside stars and crosses from the Emamzadeh Yahya.[[114]](#endnote-114) As previously mentioned, the Sèvres museum would receive its first batch of Emamzadeh Yahya tiles five years later (1880).

The Natanz and Varamin tomb shrines were far from the only Iranian sites plundered for their luster during the second half of the nineteenth century. Additional targets were *emamzadeh*s in Qom and Damghan and the Masjed-e Maydan in Kashan.[[115]](#endnote-115) The stolen elements included mihrabs, cenotaphs, long epigraphic friezes, and the variously shaped tiles of the dadoes. The easiest way to reimagine these tiled jigsaw puzzles is to walk into the Natanz tomb, whose walls have not been plastered and which capture for posterity the imprints of each removed tile.[[116]](#endnote-116)

One of the last luster elements taken from the Emamzadeh Yahya was the mihrab composed of more than sixty tiles. According to Armenian-American dealer and collector Hagop Kevorkian, Mirza Hasan Ashtiani Mostowfi al-Mamalek, the chief state accountant, brought the mihrab to Paris for exhibition in the Exposition Universelle of 1900. As a result, the Mostowfi was “disgraced and degraded” by Mozaffar al-Din Shah (r. 1896–1907) and prohibited from exhibiting or selling the piece.[[117]](#endnote-117) It was eventually displayed in a store on the rue du 4 septembre, where it was the focus of pilgrimage by all of the amateur enthusiasts of “Oriental art” in Paris, as described by French collector and author Henry-René d’Allemagne.[[118]](#endnote-118) In Paris, it was also photographed by Sarre, who reproduced it in his *Denkmäler Persischer Baukunst* **(translation TK)** and attributed it to the Emamzadeh Yahya.[[119]](#endnote-119) According to Kevorkian, upon the death of the shah, a leading *mojtahed* of Iran’s Constitutional Revolution (1906–11) authorized the Mostowfi “to dispose of the monument in question.”[[120]](#endnote-120) In October 1912, Kevorkian traveled to Iran to negotiate the purchase, and his political connections ultimately earned him a good price. He soon sent the following telegram to American industrialist and collector Charles Freer: “Have just secured famous mihrab of lustre tiles of Veramin mosque property of Mostofy Memalik Persian Minister of War . . . now stored in Paris where could be viewed privately . . . pleased give you priority of right of refusal.”[[121]](#endnote-121)

1913 was a momentous year in the global dissemination of the Emamzadeh Yahya’s tiles, and Paris was a central node. While Kevorkian peddled the mihrab to Freer, Paris-based dealer Clotilde Duffeuty sold the cenotaph panel **(see fig. 7)** and many stars and crosses to the museum of the Stieglitz Central School of Technical Drawing in Saint Petersburg.[[122]](#endnote-122) Concurrently in London, the luster mihrab from the Masjed-e Maydan at Kashan was offered in the *Exhibition of Persian Art & Curios: The Collection Formed by J. R. Preece*.[[123]](#endnote-123) In his telegram to Freer, Kevorkian compared the two luster mihrabs, emphasizing that the Emamzadeh Yahya example was “in far better condition and about twice as large as that of Preece quality infinitely superieur altogether by far finer monument.[[124]](#endnote-124)

The theft of the Emamzadeh Yahya’s mihrab marked the end of the shrine’s half century of steady plunder and both conformed to and departed from contemporary trends.[[125]](#endnote-125) Unlike many large luster ensembles that were broken up and sold piecemeal, including the Emamzadeh Yahya’s cenotaph and the two mihrabs from the Natanz shrine, the Emamzadeh Yahya’s mihrab was carefully packed and transported for display abroad as a relatively complete unit. If we trust Kevorkian’s account, this was a bold example of removal and export by an Iranian minister, but Hasan Mostowfi was far from the first Qajar official to sell out the country’s luster tilework.[[126]](#endnote-126) In the 1870s, the minister Hossein Khan Sepahsalar issued four critical permits facilitating the export of luster tilework bound for South Kensington, including from the Emamzadeh Yahya.[[127]](#endnote-127) By the 1880s, large luster tiles were increasingly rare, as observed by Wills, and it is not surprising that the mihrab became a valuable cultural commodity at the Paris exposition. This was also the stage to negotiate the ongoing excavation of Susa, then under the purview of French archaeologist Jacques de Morgan. Just before he left Paris, Mozaffar al-Din signed the third Franco-Persian archaeological convention, which made the French monopoly perpetual and granted all Susa finds to France.[[128]](#endnote-128)

While the Dieulafoys were responsible for illegally taking much of Susa to Paris, and their names appear frequently on the Louvre’s labels for the glazed bricks, they played a more indirect role in the global consumption of the Emamzadeh Yahya’s luster tiles. Jane Dieulafoy’s praise of the shrine’s tilework in her first newspaper article and subsequent travelogue undoubtedly amplified its demand, as was the case with Rochechouart’s 1867 travelogue and the Natanz shrine. It is critical to remember, however, that Dieulafoy never reproduced her exceptional photograph of the mihrab in situ during her lifetime. It remained tucked away in her personal album **(see fig. 9)** while the mihrab itself entered a state of architectural ambiguity on the art market. Despite Sarre’s attribution of the mihrab to the Emamzadeh Yahya in 1910, Kevorkian vaguely described it as from the “Veramin mosque” in his 1913 telegram to Freer.[[129]](#endnote-129) The next year, he displayed it in New York and published it as “from the Seljoucid Temple at Veramin.”[[130]](#endnote-130) In 1931, the mihrab was included in the momentous *International Exhibition of Persian Art* in London and described as a “mihrab of lustre tiles from a mosque in Kashan.”[[131]](#endnote-131) Had Dieulafoy reproduced her photograph of the mihrab in her 1880s publications, or had it simply been seen on its distinct qibla in the tomb of Emamzadeh Yahya, its origins might not have been so easily confused or deliberately muddled.

The anonymity of the Emamzadeh Yahya persists in many museums today. In the V&A’s Ceramics Galleries, a single star tile from the shrine’s dado is included in a large display titled “The Spread of Tin-Glaze and Lustre, 800–1800.” The star tile sits in front of a contemporary Kashani jar, and the two are combined in a label that only lists the shared place of production (Kashan).[[132]](#endnote-132) In the same museum’s Jameel Gallery of Islamic Art, a large panel of stars and crosses from the shrine is displayed next to a fifteenth-century luster bowl from Málaga in a matter that recalls the eclectic Tehran cabinet photographed by Sevruguin **(see fig. 13)**.[[133]](#endnote-133) This label does provide some architectural context—“from the tomb of a descendant of the Prophet Muhammad at Varamin near Tehran”—but there are many *emamzadeh*s in Varamin, and the Emamzadeh Yahya could have been named, as it is in the collections records online.[[134]](#endnote-134) These two displays represent a fraction of those worldwide, but we can generally observe a prioritization of the luster technique over architectural context. One exception is the bilingual label in the Hermitage’s recently (2022) renovated Iran galleries titled “Tiles from the Imamzadeh Yahya Mausoleum in Varamin” **(see fig. 7)**. The extended label offers substantive information on the tomb’s original luster environment and the tiles’ provenance. The latter section opens with, “The mausoleum gradually fell into decline and was largely destroyed by the middle of the nineteenth century.”[[135]](#endnote-135) This begs questions about the extent to which the Emamzadeh Yahya was “largely destroyed” and the look of the building today.

## Conclusion: Ruins to Realities

In their accounts of Varamin, both E‘temad al-Saltaneh and Dieulafoy describe the village’s historical monuments as ruins, ruined, or remains (Persian: *makhrubeh,* *kharab, baqi*; French: *ruine*). Dieulafoy’s picturesque photographs of lost domes, crumbling *ayvan*s, and stripped tiles attest some of these grim realities, but Varamin’s monuments were not ruins in the total sense of the word, and their conditions varied considerably. Some had suffered massive structural damage (congregational mosque); others were relatively intact (tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin); and still others remained in use despite areas of dilapidation (Emamzadeh Yahya). In the ensuing century, all of Varamin’s monuments, except for the citadel, would be renovated and restored to varying degrees. Viewers of Dieulafoy’s photographs must therefore avoid indefinite lingering and romanticization and approach them as valuable snapshots in time (1881 to be precise), ultimately moving beyond their frame.

Indeed, in the decades following Dieulafoy’s visit, the Emamzadeh Yahya underwent profound physical changes. The complex’s original entrance portal and conical tower **(see fig. 8, upper right)** were progressively lost and/or deliberately demolished, and the tomb was recast as a solitary building and set in the middle of a new rectangular courtyard, as documented by French architect, archaeologist, and museum director André Godard **(fig. 14)**.[[136]](#endnote-136) The original domed tomb was ringed by a new perimeter of lower domed rooms and *ayvan*s (one each on the north and south), and the interior’s stripped dado was clad in rectangular underglaze tiles, many of which also went missing.[[137]](#endnote-137) The exact dates of these renovations are unknown, but they likely transpired in the early twentieth century during the Qajar to Pahlavi (1925–79) transition.

Soon after he ascended to the throne, Reza Shah (r. 1925–41) and his ministers initiated a rapid systematization of some of the seeds of excavation, documentation, and restoration planted by their Qajar predecessors. The Society for National Heritage (Anjoman-e asar-e melli) was founded in 1921, the year of Reza Khan’s coup, and national heritage thereafter became a top priority of an increasingly nationalist state.[[138]](#endnote-138) Among the most important early initiatives were the abolition of the French monopoly on excavation (1927), the approval of the Antiquities Law (“Law concerning the preservation of national antiquities,” 3 November 1930), and the founding of the National Museum in Tehran (1934–39, Muzeh-ye Iran Bastan), whose first director was Godard. The Antiquities Law called for the registration of historical monuments through the end of the Zand era (1796), and Varamin’s Ilkhanid sites were registered at the beginning of this process (congregational mosque, registered as no. 176 in 1932; tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin, no. 177, 1932; Emamzadeh Yahya, no. 199, 1933; and Emamzadeh Shah Hossein, no. 339, 1940).[[139]](#endnote-139)

While national heritage became a chief prerogative of the Pahlavi state, Kevorkian peddled the Emamzadeh Yahya’s luster mihrab on the global stage. After displaying it in New York (1914) and London (1931) and securing its inclusion in the six-volume *A Survey of Persian Art* (1938-39), he again displayed it in New York, this time in the momentous *Six Thousand Years of Persian Art* (1940).[[140]](#endnote-140) Shortly after this exhibition, he sold the mihrab to a then-twenty-eight-year-old Doris Duke for the staggering sum of $150,000.[[141]](#endnote-141) In a letter to Duke, Kevorkian expressed his “deep satisfaction in the realization that I have transferred the title of this unique monument which I cherished, to one so worthy.”[[142]](#endnote-142) It is quite something to consider the registration and renovation of the Emamzadeh Yahya on one side of the world and the purveying of its mihrab as a “unique monument” on the other, which ultimately landed it in a private home in the middle of the Pacific Ocean. It is equally powerful to consider the relatively contemporary construction of the National Museum in Tehran (1934–37) and that museum’s possession of several Ilkhanid mihrabs, including the luster example seen by E‘temad al-Saltaneh in Qom’s Emamzadeh ‘Ali b. Jafar.[[143]](#endnote-143)

Unlike many *emamzadeh*s in Iran, the Emamzadeh Yahya has successfully resisted encroachment and construction beyond the major renovation of the early twentieth century. Its exceptional Ilkhanid stuccowork can still be appreciated in situ, and the site lives on as a sacred space. The current cenotaph is modestly sized, covered in textiles and ritual objects, and set within the aforementioned hexagonal screen (*zarih*). The memory of the luster tilework lives on and is symbolized by a tiny fragment of a cross remounted at the top of the mihrab void **(see fig. 10)**. Until recently (2021), Dieulafoy’s *La Perse* also figured prominently in the mihrab void. Two framed collages (for viewing on each side of the gender-segregated space) displayed two woodcuts from a Persian edition of *La Perse*: the general view of the Emamzadeh Yahya and the mihrab of the Emamzadeh Shah Hossein, erroneously identified as the mihrab of the Emamzadeh Yahya (see fig. 10).[[144]](#endnote-144) Below were two photographs of tiles on display in the State Hermitage Museum in Saint Petersburg, including the cenotaph panel flanked by stars and crosses. At the time of the collage’s production, Dieulafoy’s excellent photographs of both mihrabs (see fig. 6, right; fig. 9, upper) were not known, but today they are accessible to anyone in Varamin with internet access. It remains to be seen if Dieulafoy’s photographs will make a cameo in the Emamzadeh Yahya, like the previous *La Perse* woodcuts. What is certain is that they are being used by the shrine’s conservators and constitute an important step of knowledge repatriation, generally defined as the return of knowledge, and the sources that drive its production, to original stakeholders.

Tehranis willing to make the trek to Varamin today (about the distance from West Los Angeles to San Bernadino) are most likely to visit the congregational mosque and tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin. The tower is now a small anthropology museum set in a garden, and it displays a large field camera of the type Dieulafoy would have used. As we have seen, the mosque was in terrible condition during the nineteenth century but rebuilt in the 1990s. Today, it is used for religious ceremonies such as Moharram and Ahya (Laylat al-Qadr, when the first verses of the Qur’an were revealed to the Prophet Mohammad during Ramadan) and is the home of the Varamin branch of the Office of Cultural Heritage. Like the Emamzadeh Yahya, these buildings exemplify the fluid fortunes of medieval sites, and the mosque’s rise from an abandoned ruin to a living building is an important reminder to move beyond the nineteenth-century lens.

This article has emphasized the importance of nineteenth-century photography for the study of Iran’s medieval monuments while also underscoring the contingent nature of the photograph as a source—contingent on its reproduction (or not), accessibility (or not), and dissemination (or not). It has taken more than 140 years for Dieulafoy’s photographs to become critical resources for the study of Varamin, and the future discovery of additional photographs will likewise reshape the narrative. In the meantime, it is expected that Dieulafoy’s openly accessible photographs will become standard resources in many fields of Iranian architectural history, including Achaemenid Susa, Safavid Isfahan, and Qajar Tehran, as well as critical tools for revisiting *La Perse* itself.

**Keelan Overton** is an independent scholar based in Santa Barbara, California.

Captions

**Table 1. Timeline of Key Events, ca. 1860s–1940**

**Fig. 1. View of Jane Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” pp. 34–35, March 2022, showing photographs taken in Khorramdareh and Qazvin in May 1881.** On the right page is the domed tomb of the [Emamzadeh Shahzadeh Hossein](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Shazdeh+Hossein/@36.2572276,49.9983629,17z/data=!4m5!3m4!1s0x3ff35520604a07c1:0x697017425b8b87a2!8m2!3d36.2572363!4d50.0005295) at Qazvin (975–1008 AH/1568–1600). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1). Photograph by the author.

**Figs. 2a, b. Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** The first spread of Varamin photographs in Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, pp. 56–57. On the left page is a general view of the village toward the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin (688 AH/1289) and two views of the congregational mosque (722–26 AH/1322–26); on the right page is a detail of the mosque’s entrance *ayvan* and a general view of the *ayvan* leading into the domed sanctuary. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Figs. 3a, b.** **Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** The fourth spread of Varamin photographs in Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, pp. 62–63. On the left page is the citadel (*qaleh*); a detail of the interior of the domed sanctuary of the congregational mosque; and the cattle market. On the right are three views of the tomb tower of ‘Alaoddin. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Fig. 4. The architectural monuments of Varamin observed by E‘temad al-Saltaneh in 1876.** The pre-Islamic citadel (*qaleh*) is now lost, but the surrounding sites (ca. 1260–1490) all stand to various degrees. Drawing by Kanika Kalra.

**Fig. 5. Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** Views of the Congregational Mosque of Varamin (Masjed-e Jame‘, 722–26 AH/1322–26) from Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, p. 58. At left is a general view of the mosque’s entrance *ayvan*; at right is a detail of the *ayvan* leading into the domed qibla sanctuary. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Fig. 6. Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** Views of the Emamzadeh Shah Hossein (early 1300s) at Varamin from Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, p. 64. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Fig. 7. Luster tiles from the Emamzadeh Yahya at Varamin on display in the renovated Iran galleries of the State Hermitage Museum in Saint Petersburg, September 2022.** *Middle:* top panel of the cenotaph naming “the Imam, Yahya,” dated 10 Moharram 705 AH/2 August 1305 and signed by Yusuf b. ‘Ali b. Muhammad b. Abi Tahir; *left and right sides:* stars and crosses from the tomb’s dado; and *upper right and left:* square tiles from the tomb of Shaykh ‘Abdosamad at Natanz. Photograph by Dmitry Sadofeev.

**Fig. 8. Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** Views of Varamin from Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, p. 65. On the upper right is a general view of the Emamzadeh Yahya (660–707 AH/1261–1307). At left are its guards and a mullah. Below is a group of Turkomans at the village’s market. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Fig. 9. Jane Dieulafoy (French, 1851–1916).** Two views of the interior of the Emamzadeh Yahya from Dieulafoy’s photography album “Perse 1,” June 1881, p. 66 (detail). On the upper left is the luster mihrab dated Sha‘ban 663 AH/May 1265 and signed by ‘Ali b. Muhammad b. Abi Tahir. On the lower right is the squinch of the adjacent corner niche. Albumen silver prints from gelatin glass negatives (dry plates). Paris, Bibliothèque de l’Institut National d’Histoire de l’Art, 4 Phot 18 (1), https://bibliotheque-numerique.inha.fr/idurl/1/62746.

**Fig. 10. Mihrab void and southwest corner niche of the Emamzadeh Yahya, Varamin, April 2018.** Photograph by the author.

**Fig. 11. Annotation of Jane Dieulafoy’s 1881 photograph of the Emamzadeh Yahya’s luster mihrab in situ (“Perse 1,” p. 66).** Yellow elements are carved stucco, and black is luster tilework. The numbers indicate chapters and verses of the Qur’an. Annotations by the author.

**Fig. 12. A large ceremonial standard (*‘alam*) and votives affixed to the screen in front of the mihrab void in the Emamzadeh Yahya at Varamin, April 1958.** Photograph dated on the reverse and stamped “U. S. I. S. Iran Press Section.” Washington, D.C., National Museum of Asian Art Archives, Smithsonian Institution, The Myron Bement Smith Collection, FSA-2023-000001.

**Fig. 13. Interior of a Tehran house with a luster cabinet captioned “Cachis persans (Téhéran)” (Persian tiles [Tehran]), ca. 1880s.** Photograph by Antoin Sevruguin (ca. 1851–1933), albumen print. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2017.R.25.

**Fig. 14. The Emamzadeh Yahya at Varamin after the major renovation of the early twentieth century, likely early 1930s**. Photograph by André Godard (French, 1881–1965). Paris, Musée du Louvre, Département des arts de l’Islam, Archives Godard, 1APAI/9025.
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