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Abstract  
In the 1980s, the youngest generation of artists to have been raised in the German Democratic Republic (GDR) experimented collaboratively to produce work in intermedial genres, with particular focus on synthesizing poetry and such visual arts as photography and printmaking. Drawing on the rich collection of East German samizdat artists’ books in the Getty Research Institute (GRI), this article examines diverse approaches to design integrating photography and poetry that emerged from within this vibrant community, including artistic practices of dialogue across consecutive pages (Wolfgang Henne and Marion Wenzel), montaged works (the artist’s trio of the Günther-Jahn-Bach Editionen), and handwritten poems combined with found photographs, drawing, and overpainting (Inge Müller and Christine Schlegel). The intermedial focus and the limited print-run editions, which—because of a legal loophole—allowed for modest circulation of these largely uncensored materials, enabled artists to speak about controversial issues such as the environment, the legal system, transgenerational feminist solidarities, or accountability for Germany’s Nazi past.

## Introduction: Intermediality in German Democratic Republic Samizdat

In the early 1990s, following the collapse of the German Democratic Republic (GDR,1949–89) the Getty Research Institute (GRI) acquired a substantial collection of archives and books from scholars, artists, and curators from the former GDR.[[1]](#endnote-1) Among them, a remarkable collection of more than one hundred handcrafted limited-edition artists’ books, magazines, and portfolios produced by small independent presses in the 1980s stands out. This collection was originally assembled by book-art scholar Jens Henkel, coauthor of the foundational bibliography *DDR 1980–1989: Künstlerbücher und originalgrafische Zeitschriften im Eigenverlag: Eine Bibliografie* (1991; Artists’ books and artists’ magazines serially published by independent presses: a bibliography). While the Henkel collection has been available in GRI special collections since its acquisition in 1993, the scholarly community was not broadly aware of its presence prior to its having been aggregated within the GRI’s Library Catalog under one searchable heading.[[2]](#endnote-2)

During the Cold War, across the Eastern Bloc and the Soviet Union, independently (and often clandestinely) produced literature was known as *samizdat,* after *самиздат* (self-published) in Russian, or as *Eigenverlag* (private publishing) in German. Much like in other countries of the Soviet Bloc, there were numerous types of samizdat in the GDR, including environmental samizdat, women’s and LGBTQ samizdat, and the artistic samizdat under discussion here. The GDR’s artistic samizdat of the 1980s represents the collaborative work of a vibrant community of emerging artists experimenting across media who were seeking to communicate with each other while circumventing state-sponsored production, publication, and distribution systems.[[3]](#endnote-3) By creating limited print runs (from a handful to fewer than a hundred copies), artists could avoid having to secure official permits, and thus bypassed government censorship.

Overall, although numerous studies addressing artists’ books and magazines produced by small independent presses in the GDR have been published since the *Wende* (a term that refers to the dissolution of the GDR and the reunification of Germany), much of this important area of cultural production has yet to be studied, due in part to the vast output of this kind of work, which is, moreover, dispersed across various public and private collections on both sides of the Atlantic.

Among the samizdat produced in the Soviet Union and Eastern Bloc countries, the intermedial character of the samizdat under discussion here stands out as a unique form of artistic expression.[[4]](#endnote-4) As a descriptor of innovative artistic production, the term *intermedia* was first introduced in the mid-1960s by Fluxus artist Dick Higgins to refer to art that “fall(s) conceptually between established or traditional media.” [[5]](#endnote-5) It more broadly applies to artwork that crosses the boundaries between distinct art media, such as Marcel Duchamp’s readymades, John Heartfield’s graphics combined with photography or American artist Allan Kaprow’s happenings, to produce synthetic or synesthetic experiences.

Intermediality as an artistic practice in East Germany has been a focus of art historian Sara Blaylock in her monograph, in the context of her discussion of Intermedia I, the legendary festival that took place in Coswig (GDR) in 1985. Blaylock argues that while the conceptual framework for intermedial art in East Germany was consistent in many respects with the term’s inception in the writings of Higgins, its theoretical grounding with particular respect to the East German situation was enhanced and shaped not only by art events such as the Intermedia I festival but also through discussions conducted in the art journal Bildende Kunst (Visual art), which, in 1981 and between April and June 1982, published several articles on the topic and even devoted a whole issue to experimental art forms in 1988.[[6]](#endnote-6)

Blaylock points out how in 1989 in East Germany art historian Eugen Blume teamed up with Christoph Tannert, co-organizer of the Coswig Intermedia I festival, to put together the pathbreaking Permanente Kunstkonferenz (Permanent Art Conference), demonstrating that there was a “vast movement toward a different kind of cultural practice being led by artists and art professionals,” despite the authorities’ attempt to undermine such developments.[[7]](#endnote-7)

This vast movement developed over the years out of a legal loophole that allowed visual artists to reproduce up to ninety-nine copies without official permits. As book art scholar and East German samizdat collector Reinhard Grüner explains, writers who had limited opportunities to publish their work took advantage of this loophole by embedding their texts into visual or graphic works; this intermedial collaboration provided a platform for writers to publish their work as integral art forms rather than as literary texts, leading to new and autonomous ways of artistic expression that could circumvent censorship.[[8]](#endnote-8)

Since the early 1980s, the samizdat artists in the Henkel collection collaboratively combined a wide variety of media art, integrating texts (poetry and literature) with printmaking, photography, music scores, and performance-art documentation; they did this while borrowing styles and techniques from various twentieth-century artistic movements in the service of making work that suited their purposes and turned out to be, nevertheless, very much of its moment. While the artists often reclaimed prewar art forms such as German expressionism, Dada, surrealism, and abstraction, they integrated these with more recent forms of concrete poetry, conceptual art, and plein-air actions.

Highlighting the GRI’s collection, this article draws inspiration from the scholarship on the intermedial nature of these self-published works. The works are characterized by the deliberate combination and juxtaposition of different graphic media—including printmaking, collage, and photography—with texts to create dynamic combinations of at times incongruous and polyvalent visual and textual narratives.[[9]](#endnote-9)

Reflecting a variety of art media, the Henkel samizdat collection contains a gamut of contents and formats, sizes, materials, aesthetics, styles, and designs. From books in simple leporello formats, such as Manfred Butzmann’s series of juxtaposed photographs *19 Schaufenster in Pankow* (1989, Nineteen shopwindows in Pankow), to the magnum opus of technical assemblage and multisensory reading titled *Unaulutu: Steinchen im Sand: Ein Malerbuch* (Unaulutu: Pebbles in sand: An artist’s book) by Frieder Heinze and Olaf Wegewitz, these works defy easy categorization. Still, the material is rich in evidence of artists working together across different media, composing narratives that integrated images, poetry, and prose, and sometimes music in the form of accompanying tapes or scores. Because the objects that they produced were unsanctioned limited editions, they were compelled to make do with the kind of printing tools that were readily available. Accordingly, their output has a characteristically raw, uniquely handmade look. The bindings of books and journals might be fastened by staples on the central-fold spine, glued with stationery store supplies, or elegantly stitched in the Japanese style. Multiple copies of texts were mostly reproduced with carbon paper on a typewriter or by mimeograph. Xeroxing was used only rarely, when artists could access photocopiers, which were closely regulated by Eastern European regimes during the Cold War.

The case studies in this article examine especially the intermedial relationship between photography and poetry in different approaches that take into account both prewar avant-garde art forms and contemporaneous art movements and trends. The status of these experimental works in the longer history of European art movements has to be considered in terms of their particular historical context; these works were created, for the most part, collaboratively under the unusual conditions of working on the margins of or parallel to the East German art system and publishing industry. In art historical terms, this samizdat emerged during the heyday of postmodernism in Europe and the United States, which was frowned upon by GDR officials, and to which some of the artists in question were exposed mostly through German translations of works by French theorists such as Gilles Deleuze and Michel Foucault smuggled in from West Berlin by friends. Indeed, for some poets, including Bert Papenfuß-Gorek, Rainer Schedlinski, or Hans-Joachim Schulze, postmodernism provided theoretical frameworks for critiquing official East German discourse in their poetry and essays.[[10]](#endnote-10)

More significantly still, the emergence of East German samizdat also coincides with the integration of modernist and avant-garde movements into the state’s official canon—a convoluted process that began with de-Stalinization in 1956, was negotiated at the 1963 Kafka conference in Liblice, Czechoslovakia, and culminated in Erich Honecker’s proclamation in 1971 that there would be no more taboos in the GDR’s cultural production.[[11]](#endnote-11) This relaxation was not unconditional, as the expulsion of East German songwriter and poet Wolf Biermann in 1976 served as a demonstration of the limitations on artistic expressions. Yet the 1980s saw the publication of numerous studies devoted to movements that, officially, were previously unsanctioned—from German expressionism to surrealism and Dada—on which, as already mentioned, the samizdat production in question draws. While a precise anchoring of this oeuvre in relation to discourses of modernism, postmodernism, and the (neo-) avant-garde is not possible given the constraints of this essay and the heterogeneity of the oeuvre, [cultural theorist?] Svetlana Boym’s capacious category of the “off-modern”—defined as a “detour into the unexplored potentials of the modern project [that] recovers unforeseen pasts and ventures into the side alleys of modern history at the margins of error of major philosophical, economic, and technological narratives of modernization and progress” (rather than, say, the postmodern captivation with the absurdity of communication)— aptly describes the works’ varying preoccupations with the ruins of the East German project, with the utopian horizons of the historical avant-gardes, or with previous generations of experimental or marginalized authors.[[12]](#endnote-12) The latter gave rise to transgenerational solidarities, especially among women artists and poets in the GDR, of whom a portion of this article will attend to by discussing the collaborative artworks by Marion Wenzel (with Wolfgang Henne and Steffen Volmer), the influences of classic writers and American artists on the Günther-Jahn-Bach Press, and Christine Schlegel’s engagement with posthumously published poet Inge Müller.

## Photo-poetry

Poetry’s formal succinctness and well-established tradition in the GDR made it an appealing mode of expression for East German samizdat artists of the 1980s.[[13]](#endnote-13) But while poetry’s ubiquity in East German samizdat production has attracted a fair amount of scholarly attention, its integration with photography in samizdat publications has been less scrutinized. In contrast to conventional GDR photography, samizdat photography, produced for the most part by a younger generation of photographers born in the 1950s, is characterized by technical and thematic experimentation aligned with that moment’s innovative trends in performance art and conceptual photography.[[14]](#endnote-14)

In East German samizdat, rather than being accompanied by traditional illustrations, poems were often an integral part of the graphic work (such as engraving, etching, relief printing, and serigraphy), etched or carved directly onto the printing plates of original limited-edition prints. Some artists repurposed found photographs, photomechanical prints, and collage as alternative forms of visual poetry. Poetry was also supplemented or enhanced with photographic prints, often reproduced in artists’ books as original gelatin silver prints. What follows will examine East German samizdat’s innovative practice of the intermedial genre of photo-poetry.

In his recent book on the history of photo-poetry, Michael Nott, citing Nicole Boulestreau’s coinage of the term *photopoème*, quotes Boulestreau: “In the photopoem, meaning progresses in accordance with the reciprocity of writing and figures: reading becomes interwoven through alternating restitchings of the signifier into text and image.”[[15]](#endnote-15) For Nott, Boulestreau suggests that the *photopoème “*should be defined not by its production but its reception, as a practice of reading and looking that relies on the reader/viewer to make connections between, and create meaning from, text and image.”[[16]](#endnote-16) Nott outlines the different types of relationships between photograph and poem, those that can be “of disruption and serendipity, appropriation and exchange, evocation and metaphor.”[[17]](#endnote-17) Photopoetry, in this sense, challenges the reader to consume a message by interpreting it through reading and looking; the two media (poem and photograph) require distinct vocabularies. This interplay between the visual and textual components invites an interactive and layered engagement with the artwork, and the ensuing process of decoding and interpreting both direct and indirect correspondences can evoke a unique experiential response or stimulate metaphorical understanding.

Following Nott’s two-pronged emphasis on meaning-making and reception, this study charts three different ways by means of which photo-poetry artists have challenged their audience to decode a message created from the combination of photography and poetic verses. The study also explores the genre’s significance to the generation of GDR artists that produced it. The three different approaches to photo-poetry that will be discussed are: 1) separate juxtapositions of poetry and photography as autonomous, self-directed practices in dialogue within a narrative sequence, across consecutive pages of an artist’s book; 2) montage of photograph and poem into a single work of art; and 3) more elaborate intermedial fusion that combines found photographs with printmaking, painting, and poetic verses transcribed by hand in the context of a poet’s oeuvre as a visual and textual narrative.

## Landscape as Signs

The artist’s book *Landschaft als Zeichen, messbar-vermessbar* (Landscape as signs, measurable, surveyable) explores the intermedial aesthetics of juxtaposing self-referential forms of poetry with photography. The book was made in Leipzig in 1983 by book artist Wolfgang Henne with printmaker Steffen Volmer and photographer Marion Wenzel. It is in landscape format and bound with four small metal screws reminiscent of mechanical assemblage components. The poetry is typed in Courier on red-lined metric graph paper followed on subsequent pages by original black-and-white photographs of low contrast—ethereal landscapes showing the silhouette of a leafless forest, barely visible in the winter fog, or reflections of reeds in still water, which look like abstracted signs of an illegible language. Within foldouts beneath the photographs there are mounted etchings or embossed prints in pairs (by Henne or Vollmer) of abstract compositions that visually render the themes of nature and artifice as coexisting, complementary, or conflicting worlds.

The book explores whether a landscape’s value can be measured, surveyed, or quantified, and is critical of threats to the environment from pollution and the exploitation of natural resources.[[18]](#endnote-18) The three poems call for the recovery and preservation of the natural landscape, addressing nature and culture, as well as the impact of humans on the environment. Henne’s concrete poem “Landschaft” is laid out on graph paper across Cartesian coordinates, for which the horizontal and vertical axes are composed of the repeated plus (+) signs of a typewriter. The grid-based composition evokes the mathematical procedure involved in measuring the value of the landscape, comprising the land and its natural resources **(fig. 1)**:
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The words of the poem are typed abutting the vertical axis of plus signs on both sides, with the final phrase traversing it, running from the top-left quadrant toward the bottom-right quadrant. The word *landschaft* (landscape) is repeated four times on top; with a vertical line of eight plus signs linking the first letter of the third and fourth, creating a box, perhaps to suggest the parceling of land. The layout physically embodies the notion of measured spaces, which beneath the horizontal axis becomes “landscapes’ spaces” and then dreams. In the last line, landscape is linked to emigration, which might be read literally or symbolically.[[19]](#endnote-19)

Two pages later, Wenzel’s striking, meditative photograph shows a river in a misty, hibernal light **(fig. 2)**. Wenzel has said that she was interested in capturing the mood and the beauty of nature, the light, and structural composition.[[20]](#endnote-20) Flowing through a snow-covered field, the river cuts across from the top left of the frame toward the bottom right margin. The image contrast is so low that the horizon line between the earth and the sky is almost invisible. In the foreground, animal footprints in the snow appear as signs of a past presence, evoking passage and movement. As a visual correspondence with Henne’s verse “landscape in emigration,” these signs could subtly allude to the subject of emigration, rarely discussed openly in the GDR, where the erection of the Berlin Wall in 1961 significantly curbed freedom of movement, particularly the right to travel to the West.

Concrete poetry features widely in GDR samizdat. But Henne’s combined use of graph paper and photography creates a multilayered narrative, enhanced by the use of papers of different colors or textures, and interactions among different media. The book’s layout enfolds additional imagery with original prints hidden within foldouts, which impart a dynamic rhythm to the haptic experience of finding covert pathways, correspondences, discoveries, and reflections. While the two media of poetry and photography, having been printed on different pages of the book, are not directly juxtaposed, the book’s objective is to invite the viewer/reader to unfold its intermedial dynamic by meditating on one work at a time.

## Text / Image Equivalences

A more elaborate approach to the creation of photo-poems can be found in the work of the artists’ group Günther-Jahn-Bach Editionen, which for the most part conjoins the two media through montage techniques: the typed text was projected onto film negatives after which the image was printed as an integrated work of art.[[21]](#endnote-21) The trio’s *foto-lyrik arbeiten* (photo-poetry works) were devised in the late 1970s by Weimar-based photographer Claus Bach and Berlin-based poet Thomas Günther, who were soon joined by printmaker Sabine Jahn. Born in Thuringia in the 1950s and friends since high school, the artists produced special editions together throughout the 1980s. Thomas Günther (1952–2018), the group’s poet, had been imprisoned at the age of seventeen for running a student reading club and protesting the repression of the Prague Spring. After his release, he moved to Potsdam, where he worked as a gardener at the Sanssouci Palace and, from 1974 to 1977, as a *Regieassistent* (assistant director) at the Berliner Ensemble, the theater company founded by Bertolt Brecht. Throughout the 1980s, Günther was a caretaker at the Georgen-Parochial-Friedhof I in East Berlin, pursuing his art collaborations within the unconventional space of the cemetery wherein he is now buried.

Günther’s papers at the GRI provide unique archival documentation of the poet’s artistic production in the 1980s, including works that, on account of their provocative subjects, could not find an official publication venue at the time, such as the photo-poem “Das Gesetz” (The law) **(fig. 3)**.[[22]](#endnote-22) On the verso of the photographic print, Günther annotated this piece with the phrase “nach Kafka” (after Kafka), referring to the writer’s short story “Vor dem Gesetz” (“Before the Law”), which recounts an individual’s inability to tackle the law. Composed in 1981, Günther’s poem was printed on transparent adhesive paper, mounted on top of a photograph taken by Claus Bach for this purpose, and then rephotographed. Bach photographed the Schönhauser Allee subway station in East Berlin dramatically framed from the street under the elevated rail tracks, looking up toward the subway bridge. From this angle, the station appears as a colossal structure, an overwhelming receptacle, which dominates almost the entire field of vision. The imposing structure overtakes a pedestrian, barely visible through the entrance. Here the human figure appears on the verge of being swallowed by “the law,” or unable to break through its system.

“Das Gesetz” addresses the authority of the law. “The law is made for you, so as not to dissuade you from the path that we all walk together,” Günther asserts in the middle of the poem. The verse highlights the norms of the law that compel one to stay on the collective path, avoiding the “intricate ways” that would make one “go astray and so become guilty in the name of the law.” In the poet’s words, “loners are not in demand” in a system where the law is a “line” that requires one to walk on without “stepping outside” of it. He assures the reader that if one allows oneself “what is allowed, within the framework of the law,” one can pursue it, or “forget it,” which are his final words, implying the impossibility of negotiating with the system.[[23]](#endnote-23) Günther and Bach’s collaborative photo-poem “Das Gesetz” invites the reader to read the image while looking at the poem, to experience the dissonance of the perspectival space that frames the symmetrical composition of the poem—centered rigidly within the spatially overwhelming elevated railroad rack.

A year after making “Das Gesetz,” Bach and Günther created a montage photograph representing their group’s manifesto, with a staged action at dawn on an empty highway on the outskirts of East Berlin **(fig. 4)**. Bach photographed Günther as he walked toward the horizon while tossing their hitchhiking signs into the air and letting them flutter to the ground behind. Günther’s performative gesture signifies the trio’s artistic rejection of predetermined paths and destinations. In their own words, they were not seeking the theoretical frameworks of artistic movements as inspiration but simply pursuing their own artistic language.[[24]](#endnote-24) Centrally framed by the camera, Günther’s felt hat (a possible allusion to Joseph Beuys’ attire) marks the vanishing point at the center of the perspectival view, calling attention to the core role of the poet, his imagination springing outward in all directions.

Montaged below the portrait of Günther, a bard embarking on an unscripted journey, is a quotation from poet Antonin Artaud. Artaud’s line synthesizes the trio’s artistic vision: “Parce qu’on a eu peur que leur poésie ne sorte des livres et ne renverse la réalité” (“Because they were afraid that their poetry might leap out of the books and overthrow reality”). The quotation, a sentence that Artaud wrote with reference to the surrealist poets’ movement, amplifies the power of poetry to overthrow reality, recontextualized here for the trio’s artistic purposes.[[25]](#endnote-25) If in “Das Gesetz” poetry is used to warn the reader about the restrictions of “the line of the law,” here it is presented as a powerful subversive tool.[[26]](#endnote-26)

Abstracting the perspectival view of the trio’s manifesto, Jahn designed the cover of the portfolio *Traumhaus*, a set of twelve *foto-lyrik arbeiten* by Günther and Bach (**fig. 5)**. The cover depicts four triangles, two yellow ones on top and bottom, and two green ones on the sides, converging on a carefully designed vanishing point at the center of the image, like Günther’s felt hat. The capitalized title (TRAUMHAUS) is printed in red and runs across the green triangles, with the point of convergence being not the inner points of the green triangles but the letter *H,* bounding the point of convergence between the yellow triangles. Treated as a grapheme, the letter can be read as joining or separating the words to its sides, creating a double meaning. *Traumhaus,* which translates as “dream house,” evokes an ideal dream space. However, in reading the words on the green background without the connecting H, the German “Traum aus” translates as “the dream” (presumably to build a utopian world) “is over”.

In exploring her own intermedial combinations of photography and text, Jahn used screen printing not only to add a chromatic dimension to the group’s work such as *Traumhaus*. In 1987, upon finding a catalog of black-and-white photographs of flowers by American photographer Robert Mapplethorpe, Jahn felt that these images, in her own words, “screamed for color,” and she proceeded to create a set of five screen prints that transformed Mapplethorpe’s flowers by infusing the forms with brightly saturated color in a high-contrast, pop-art style that simplified and enhanced the flowers’ core shapes.[[27]](#endnote-27)According to Jahn, she was inspired by the work of two prominent women artists, Georgia O’Keeffe and Patti Smith, who were powerful not only on account of their own artistic creativity but also because of the creative synergies that ensued from working with their partners, photographers Alfred Stieglitz and Robert Mapplethorpe, respectively.[[28]](#endnote-28) Jahn conceived this portfolio as an homage to all four artists, highlighting their interconnected creative relationships by combining appropriated images and texts from all of them. For example, in one plate, Jahn combined a poem by Smith with a painting by O’Keeffe. Jahn further expressed her admiration for these artists’ collaborative partnerships by continuing to produce intermedial work over several decades with her own partner, Thomas Günther.[[29]](#endnote-29)

In addition to the bold colored flowers, Jahn made a screen print reinterpreting a portrait of O’Keeffe, appropriating a photograph by Stieglitz, which shows the painter sitting on the floor next to her palette while looking back at the camera. [[30]](#endnote-30) On the empty visual field created by the area of her skirt, Jahn added text written by hand, a German translation of O’Keeffe’s poem “I Have Picked Flowers Where I Found Them.”[[31]](#endnote-31) Its text is a contemplative commentary on nature and the desert landscape as a source of inspiration **(fig. 6)**. By placing Georgia O’Keeffe’s personal words on the artist’s figure and using screen print with poetry on a reappropriated photograph, Jahn transforms O’Keeffe’s own body into her poetic voice. Jahn’s portfolio also points to the influence of American art from both the prewar figures (such as Stieglitz and O’Keeffe) and contemporary cultural stars such as Patty Smith and Mapplethorpe, whose respective intermedial work (combining images and poetry) is presented in other plates.

While overall the photo-poetry of the Günther-Jahn-Bach Editionen reflects the group’s active engagement with international artistic movements, referencing significant prewar literature models (such as Kafka and Artaud) as much as popular icons of their time from across the Atlantic, Jahn’s intermedial work elevates the concept of artistic collaboration to a subject of her own art, showcasing creative personal relationships as a driving force in her creative process. Jah does this not only by engaging with her own group’s members but also by reinterpreting other artists’ works beyond spatiotemporal boundaries through her own highly transformational process.

## Intergenerational and Feminist Solidarities in *Perhaps I Will Suddenly Disappear*

Experimentation and the blurring of conventional distinctions between media and artistic forms—including the fusion of poetry and photography—became the salient feature of the final generation of GDR’s writers. To this end, the young writers looked to the historical avant-garde, notably Dadaist practices of collage and photomontage and German expressionist draftsmanship and printmaking as well as techniques from neo-avant-garde movements, especially concrete and visual poetry and Fluxus. Drawing on several of these traditions, the artist’s book *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), created in 1986 by Christine Schlegel (b. 1950), combines Schlegel’s collages, drawings, and overpainting treatments with the poetry of the late East German poet Inge Müller (1925–66).

In addition to being intermedial, Schlegel’s engagement with Müller is also transgenerational, which in the context of the GDR carries both aesthetic as well as political implications. According to literary historian Wolfgang Emmerich’s influential tripartite generational classification of East German writers, the first generation, comprising repatriated antifascists who had fled Germany during the Nazi regime, fervently adhered to socialist realism in service to the task of building socialism.[[32]](#endnote-32) The second generation (born between 1915 and 1935) were, in Emmerich’s view, engaged in the socialist project’s easing of strict socialist-realist criteria for artistic production and availed themselves of predominantly modernist aesthetics. According to Emmerich’s model, the third and final generation (born in the 1950s) assumed an attitude of disassociation (*Aussteigertum*) from the political or aesthetic projects of its generational predecessors.[[33]](#endnote-33)

Yet while Emmerich’s study provides a nuanced lens through which to read a body of work that spans the forty years of the GDR’s existence, his neat ascriptions of political allegiances and aesthetic norms can also obscure the exchanges, dialogues, and homages that did take place across generational lines, as evidenced by third-generation East German artist Christine Schlegel’s engagement with the poetry of second-generation East German writer Inge Müller. In addition, Emmerich’s conjecture—that the final generation of East German writers had no interest in the East German project whatsoever—coincides perhaps too neatly with the post-Wall marginalization of East German culture in Germany today, where many rich and incisive works produced in the GDR continue to be overlooked.

Both Schlegel and Müller were already marginalized in the GDR on account of their status as women artists. Their work, moreover, straddled officially sanctioned and unsanctioned GDR culture of the 1960s and 1980s, respectively, and was subsequently written out of the canon in post-reunified Germany.[[34]](#endnote-34) Though Schlegel had studied painting and graphic design at the Academy of Fine Arts in Dresden from 1973 to 1978, was a member of the official artists’ union (Künstlerverband), and had her work included in official exhibitions, the artist viewed the official expectations placed on art as “dictatorial” and became involved in the unofficial artistic scene.[[35]](#endnote-35) She regularly contributed to the unofficial journals *und*, *usw.,* and *Mikado*, designed the cover of *ariadnefabrik* (ariadne factory), and created posters for various underground events such as poetry readings, before emigrating to West Berlin in 1986. Schlegel has also attributed her marginalization in the GDR to the well-documented exclusion of women artists from the country’s artistic underground (with Raja Lubinetzki and Gabriele Stötzer as notable exceptions), and to the general position of women in the socialist state, in which women’s emancipation was an officially pursued goal that fell short in practicality on issues such as the double burden.[[36]](#endnote-36)

Schlegel came across Müller when, in 1986, twenty years after Müller’s death, a volume of her poetry titled *Wenn ich schon sterben muß* (If I do have to die) was being prepared for publication with the official press Aufbau. The volume was overseen by Dresden-based poet and publisher Richard Pietraß, who also lent Schlegel all of Müller’s texts from which to choose for her artist’s book.[[37]](#endnote-37) *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* thus came into existence contemporaneously with the official publication of Müller’s poetry.

Born in 1925 in Berlin as Inge Meyer, the author’s youth was dominated by life in the Third Reich and the Second World War. From 1942 until 1945, she was drafted into Nazi war efforts, first working as an agricultural laborer, then as a maid in a Nazi officer’s household (reportedly on account of her “political unreliability”), and eventually, in January 1945, as a *Luftwaffehelferin* or *Flakhelferin* (assistant to the German air force).[[38]](#endnote-38) Shortly before the liberation of Berlin, Meyer’s parents died in a bombing orchestrated by the German air force against the approaching Red Army, while Meyer herself spent three days trapped underneath a collapsing building. The traumas of the war resurface periodically in Müller’s biography—she died in 1966 by suicide after numerous attempts following the end of the war—and in her work.

In the fledgling GDR, Müller, who joined East Germany’s communist party (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands, SED; Socialist Unity Party) in 1948, worked as a journalist, and became a successful children’s author. With her third husband, renowned East German playwright Heiner Müller, whom she married in 1955, Inge Müller cowrote several theater plays, notably *Der Lohndrücker* (1957; The wage shark) and *Die Korrektur* (1961; The correction). The latter led to Heiner Müller’s expulsion from the official writers’ union and a publishing ban in the same year, which by association extended to Inge Müller, and which Ines Geipel describes as the “beginning of the radical unraveling of Inge Müller’s life.”[[39]](#endnote-39) While Heiner Müller eventually earned a considerable international reputation along with which he regained his standing in the GDR, the 1986 volume was the first significant publication of Inge Müller’s work in the GDR following the 1961 ban.

Schlegel was “touched and inspired” by Müller’s poetry, which she “decidedly liked” and found “absolutely contemporary” (“absolut zeitgemäß”), even more than twenty years after it had been written.[[40]](#endnote-40) She felt drawn to the poet’s thematic preoccupations, including environmental concerns and the “vulnerability of nature”; friendship; and death; as well as the “concision,” “economy,” and “clarity” of Müller’s poetic language that, nevertheless, gives its readers the “whole picture.”[[41]](#endnote-41) Interestingly, while the two artists belong to different generations, the building of the Berlin Wall in August 1961 represents a caesura in their lives and their respective relationship to the state: while for Müller, it coincided with the publishing ban in the volatile atmosphere of the Wall’s construction, Schlegel identifies the building of the Berlin Wall as a watershed moment of her childhood, after which the situation in the GDR took a turn for the worse.

*Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), titled after a poem by Müller, contains a selection of twelve poems, handwritten by Schlegel and distributed over the book’s thirty pages. The poems are at times juxtaposed with illustrations, at times integrated into adjacent drawings, and on several occasions combined into photo-poems. The artist’s book is gathered in a Japanese binding with a black-and-blue cover that bears the title, as well as Schlegel’s and Müller’s names, in hand-drawn lettering and embedded in an organic composition that mixes abstract and figurative elements **(fig. 7)**. The composition is organized around what can be recognized as an outstretched hand, which might allude to the de-skilled artistic gesture. Its rough finish recalls both the visual vocabulary of the pictorial movement *art informel* and an earlier tradition of expressionist woodcuts. The volume was among several artists’ books produced by the Malerbücher Eigenverlag (Self-published Artists’ Books) under the auspices of Sascha Anderson, the artistic impresario of the GDR’s unofficial art scene and, as it was later revealed, a prolific Stasi informant, who had also approached Schlegel about creating the artist’s book, although the choice of Müller’s poetry and themes remained, in Schlegel’s testimony, her own decision.[[42]](#endnote-42)

The present study concentrates on three works included in the artist’s book—two spreads and one triple-page foldout—devised around Müller’s poems “Drei Fragen hinter der Tür” (Three questions behind the door) and “Freundschaft” (Friendship) as well as a photo-poem of a found family photograph and fragments of Müller’s poem “Der verlorene Sohn (1941)” (The lost son [1941]). All three selections combine Müller’s poetry with group photographs to negotiate themes such as national and familial accountability for the Second World War, the role of women in the Third Reich, and the relationship between the Nazi past and the new sociality of the GDR.

### Family Photographs, Unsettled

In its creative overpainting and overdrawing of an appropriated photograph and Müller’s eponymous poem, Schlegel’s rendering of “Drei Fragen hinter der Tür” layers national and familial memories **(fig. 8)**. The spread displays a photograph of the Monument to the Battle of the Nations (Völkerschlachtdenkmal, completed in 1913) in Leipzig, a controversial site commemorating an 1813 battle fought between Prussia and its allies against Napoleon that in its embodiment of *völkisch* (ethnonationalist) motifs “revealed the growth of a popular nationalism” that was later mythologized by the Nazis.[[43]](#endnote-43) Before the monument, a group of five people, ostensibly women, can be seen posing for the camera. The photograph is likely a found object—Schlegel collected “bizarre” and “strange” family photos in thrift stores and second-hand bookshops.[[44]](#endnote-44) The artist overpainted crude outlines of breasts and a crotch over the body of the central figure. She distorts another figure beyond recognition by adding a giant eye and jagged lines suggesting an ominous grin.

If the group is supposed to be cast as female, the upper half of the image of the monument is coded as male, signified by a headless, nude male figure drawn hovering above the group, Schlegel’s addition of stark outlines around the statues of soldiers on the memorial, and the delineation of the phallic shape of the memorial itself. The masculinist investiture of the monument is countermanded by the painted lines, through which Müller has crossed out and obscured portions of the memorial and rendered the hovering male figure as decapitated alongside the quasi-decapitated memorial itself, whose photograph has been cut off at the top in the page layout. Müller’s poem is created in a white space within black paint outlines on the opposite page that echoes the shape of the memorial and likewise resembles a decapitated torso, mirroring the headless monument on the right page. The poem takes the perspective of a child, who is unaware of the bygone cheer of the poem’s addressee behind a “door” reminiscent of the fairy tale “Bluebeard”:

DREI FRAGEN HINTER DER TÜR

aus Kindertagen

und Du hast gelacht

gestern vor zweitausend Jahren

THREE QUESTIONS BEHIND THE DOOR  
from childhood days  
and you laughed  
yesterday two thousand years ago

The work stages and subverts what historian Mary Fulbrook terms the “biological essentialism” of the Nazis, which attributed fundamentally different roles to those men and women deemed to be part of the Nazis’ racialized community, and at once turns historically victimized women into perpetrators. It encounters this past, moreover, as something inaccessible, concentrated in the background of the image that Schlegel nevertheless proceeds to excavate.

If the past in “Drei Fragen hinter der Tür” seems to be obscured, it is foregrounded in a photo-poem of a found family photograph that Schlegel combined with verses from Müller’s poem “Der verlorene Sohn (1941)” (**fig. 9)**. The photograph features a seated woman—likely the mother—surrounded by five children. The crux of the image is undoubtedly a boy wearing a Prussian military uniform, who is also the only figure that Schlegel leaves unmodified. The remaining figures are given a spectral presence with spidery lines tracing their silhouettes or, in the case of the mother, with Müller’s poem, which thematizes family-sanctioned sacrifice of children as soldiers toward the end of the war, with words and phrases such as “parents” (“Eltern”), “betrayal of the country” (“Landesverat” [sic.]), “he killed” (“tötete er”), and “his brother” (“seinen Bruder”) written across her head and clothing. The work confronts the viewer with the past head-on, mobilizing the uncomfortable frontality of the image in a manner reminiscent of German artist Gerhard Richter’s painting *Uncle Rudi* (1965) and foregrounding the connection between Prussian militarism and the Second World War.[[45]](#endnote-45)

The task of coming to terms with the past is evoked from a different perspective in “Freundschaft” (Friendship) **(fig. 10)**. The work consists of a three-page foldout featuring a wide-angle photograph of a group of people, possibly officials of some kind, that is cut off at the legs and the feet. The empty ground at the photograph’s center features a nearly illegible text—the text of the poem “Freundschaft”—which appears as though it has been etched into the dark background and then scratched out or otherwise defaced. A clean copy of the poem is reproduced on the following page:

FREUNDSCHAFT

Freundschaft ist sentimental

Unwissenschaftlich, dumm, dunkel

Nicht erkennbar wie alle Gefühle:

Sagen Wissenschaftler, Leute, Schriftsteller

Dichter? Sie verstellen die Schrift

Und benutzen die Schreibmaschine.

Ihre Aufgabe: die Macht zu analysieren

Haben viele aufgegeben.

Freunde:

Außer den Toten: die

Den Befehl verweigerten

Die den Ängstlichen

Die Angst nicht vorwarfen.

Die jeden grüßten ohne Ausnahme

Die nicht sicher waren

Ob sie einen Fehler machten.

Aber sie taten etwas.

FRIENDSHIP

Friendship is sentimental

Unscientific, dumb, dark

Not recognizable like all feelings:

Say scientists, people, authors

Poets? They distort the script

And use the typewriter.

Their task: to analyze power

Many have given up.

Friends:

Except for the dead: who

Refused the command

Who did not reproach the anxious ones

For their fear

Who greeted everyone without exception.

Who were not sure

If they were making a mistake

But they did something.

The poem claims that attempts by poets to describe friendship fall short because they have given up on their task “to analyze power”—a phrase that, due to the ambiguity of the original German, can also be translated as the “power to analyze.”[[46]](#endnote-46) Instead, the poem predicates friendship as a diachronic alliance between the poetic ego, “the dead,” the antifascists (those who “refused the command”), and antiauthoritarians. In so doing, it recalls the utopian, antifascist aspirations under the auspices of which the GDR had been founded, countering the erasure of previous emancipatory and antiauthoritarian histories. This erasure, one can say, is visualized by Schlegel’s scratching out of Müller’s text, as though it had been done by the feet of the solemn group above.[[47]](#endnote-47) Schlegel thus might be trying to rescue not only moments of resistance and utopianism that she felt the East German project had abandoned with the building of the Berlin Wall but also the poetry of a censored yet prescient Müller.

## Conclusion

The three collaborative exchanges examined here are quite different in nature and process. Henne selected photographs that had been taken by Wenzel because they responded to his artistic sensibility.[[48]](#endnote-48) Günther, Bach, and Jahn worked together, creating dynamic montages with photographs often deliberately staged to accommodate poetry or altered to visually enhance it. Schlegel worked instead as a visual artist engaging with found photographs and poetry.

Yet the use of poetry and photography to communicate between the lines empowered these young GDR poets and artists to challenge the official cultural mandates that organized artistic media in compartmentalized ways. Their intermedial art opened up new possibilities for bypassing censorship and addressing taboo subjects, summoning the visual and linguistic power of poetry to challenge the East German collective imaginary. As the present case studies show, this art addressed, for instance, the beauty of the natural landscape threatened by industrial pollution and environmental decay in the work of Henne and Wenzel; the urban, everyday public space and personal experience of East Berlin (Günther, Bach, and Jahn); and connections to the country’s historical legacies and wartime traumas in the integrated work of Müller and Schlegel.

Especially striking in this body of work is the recourse of multiple women artists to the genre of photo-poetry, whether to transmit concerns about the fragility of nature (Wenzel), explore transnational aesthetic allegiances (in works by Jahn), or enact intergenerational solidarities with marginalized women authors (in Schlegel). If for Artaud the new poetry had the power to “leap out of the books to overthrow reality,” the examples discussed above show how this work generated a uniquely intermedial language, giving rise to artistic networks and influences across generations and international borders. It is thanks to exchanges and collaborations such as these that the last generation of East German artists could develop their voices that broke through not only aesthetic and geographic barriers but also through social and political taboos.
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Captions:

**Fig. 1. — Wolfgang Henne (German, b. 1949).** “Landschaft,” typescript on graph paper, 15 × 15 cm (including white margin). From Wolfgang Henne et al. *Landschaft als Zeichen, messbar-vermessbar* (Leipzig: self-published, 1983), 21.5 x 38.5 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 93-B10567. © Wolfgang Henne.

**Fig. 2. — Marion Wenzel (German, b. 1958).** Untitled (Winter landscape), 1980/81, gelatin silver print, 15 × 15 cm. From Wolfgang Henne et al. *Landschaft als Zeichen, messbar-vermessbar* (Leipzig: Self-published, 1983) Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 93-B10567. © Marion Wenzel, VG Bild Kunst.

**Fig. 3. — Thomas Günther (German, b. 1952), poetry, and Claus Bach (German , b. 1956), photograph.** *Das Gesetz* (The law), gelatin silver print, 30.5 × 23 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, DDR collections, Thomas Günther papers, 940002, box 86, folder 1. Art © Thomas Günther estate and Claus Bach.

**Fig. 4. — Thomas Günther (German, b. 1952), collage, and Claus Bach (German, b. 1956), photograph.** Artist’s manifesto, with quotation by Antonin Artaud, February 1982, gelatin silver print, 29 × 22 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, DDR collections, Thomas Günther papers, box 86, folder 1, 940002. Art © Thomas Günther estate and Claus Bach.

**Fig. 5. — Sabine Jahn (German, b. 1955).** Cover design for *Traumhaus,* 1988–89, screen print, h.: 61 cm. Image courtesy of Claus Bach. © Thomas Günther estate and Sabine Jahn.

**Fig. 6. — Sabine Jahn** **(German, b. 1955)** Screen print after the photograph *Portrait of Georgia O’Keeffe* (1918, printed 1929/1934) by Alfred Stieglitz (1864–1946), 73.3 × 51 cm. From Sabine Jahn, untitled (Mapplethorpe) portfolio, nine plates, 1988, Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2019.PR.18. © Sabine Jahn.

**Fig. 7. — Christine Schlegel (German, b. 1950).** Cover of *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), 1986, 36.8 × 25 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2019-B181.© Christine Schlegel, Dresden.

**Fig. 8. — Christine Schlegel (German, b. 1950), artwork, and Inge Müller (German, 1925–66), poetry.** *Drei Fragen hinter der Tür* (Three questions behind the door), mixed media, 36.8 × 47 cm. From Christine Schlegel, *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), 1986. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2019-B181. Art © Christine Schlegel, Dresden. [Poetry credit line TK.]

**Fig. 9. — Christine Schlegel (German, b. 1950), artwork, and Inge Müller (German, 1925–66),poetry.** Found photograph of family portrait and fragments of verses from “Der verlorene Sohn (1941)” (The lost son [1941]) by Inge Müller, mixed media, 36.8 × 47 cm. From Christine Schlegel, *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), 1986. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2019-B181. Art © Christine Schlegel, Dresden. [Poetry credit line TK.]

**Fig. 10. — Christine Schlegel (German, b. 1950), artwork, and Inge Müller (German, 1925–66), poetry.** *Freundschaft* (Friendship), mixed media, 36.8 × 70 cm. From Christine Schlegel, *Vielleicht werde ich plötzlich verschwinden* (Perhaps I will suddenly disappear), 1986. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2019-B181. Art © Christine Schlegel, Dresden. [Poetry credit line TK.]
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