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Abstract

This article examines a panoramic photograph of the Brazilian city of Salvador, in the state of Bahia, taken around 1880 by Rodolpho Lindemann. Recently added to the collection of the Getty Research Institute, this large six-part folding work has not been mentioned in previous studies or in the foremost books on the history of photography in Brazil, suggesting that its existence has not been widely known. Although it bears no signature or stamp, comparison with a drawing based on the photograph made it possible to determine its authorship. This article explores connections with other panoramic images produced in the country in order to propose a framework for thinking about the representation of landscapes and cities according to the panoramic tradition, as well as the question of unknown authorship in photography.

## Introduction

There has been a particularly close and fruitful relationship between photography and the Brazilian landscape and context dating back even prior to the official announcement of the medium’s invention in France in 1839. Although the daguerreotype reached Brazilian shores only six months after its debut, one of the pioneers of the attempt to immortalize light outside of Europe, Hercules Florence, was already living and developing photographic experiments in that region of South America.[[1]](#endnote-1) When Emperor Dom Pedro II learned of the invention by the arrival of the first daguerreotypist in Brazil in 1840—the abbot Louis Compte—he was so fascinated that he became an amateur photographer and collector.[[2]](#endnote-2) But it would be, more than anything, Brazil’s perceived exoticism, its infinite landscapes, and its cultural diversity that would attract a large number of foreign, mostly European, photographers; they would produce, during the course of the nineteenth century alone, a photographic body of work as extensive and important as it is unexplored or even unknown or unidentified.

In this context, the present article examines a photographic panorama—an elevated view of a landscape or city formed by the seamless piecing together of multiple overlapping photographic images—of the city of Salvador, captured in six parts around 1880 by German-born photographer Rodolpho Lindemann; the panorama was recently added to the collection of the Getty Research Institute (GRI) in Los Angeles and is now part of the GRI’s collection of photographs of Brazil and Latin America more generally.[[3]](#endnote-3) Despite its unique characteristics, this panorama has not been mentioned in previous studies or in the foremost books on the history of photography in Brazil, suggesting that its existence has not been widely known.

The mass availability of photography in the mid-nineteenth century not only facilitated a broader proliferation of urban views—which already had a significant pictorial presence—but also reinforced the need to document cities. Its proliferation garnered new opportunities to experiment with increasingly sophisticated formats and multiplied the technical possibilities of the medium. Indeed, it was only a few short years after the announcement of the daguerreotype that panoramic photography made its first appearance.[[4]](#endnote-4) The photographic camera was initially seen as a scientific device with the ability to document reality, and panoramic photography only intensified this attribute, depicting the totality through elevation, distance, and broad visual coverage. The latter technology, which produced large-scale images, was intended to convey not only a total view but also a specific idea of power inherited from pictorial panoramas;[[5]](#endnote-5) the total representation of landscapes and cities—at first seemingly innocuous—is directly related to the colonial and imperialist policies imposed on the spaces depicted. This is one of the many reasons why Brazilian photography is a fascinating case.

The circulation of these panoramic photos and their connections with other paintings and photographs allow us to reexamine concepts linked to the mobility of images and their relationships with objects and institutions proposed by American art theorist Jonathan Crary and Spanish art historian Ana María Guash, as well as to reflect on the photographic archive as a theoretical and discursive concept, following the ideas of Allan Sekula, American artist and theorist.[[6]](#endnote-6) These ideas are also related to American anthropologist Deborah Poole’s notion of “visual economy,” according to which images can be considered “as part of a comprehensive organization of people, ideas, and objects.”[[7]](#endnote-7)

## Photographic Panoramas and their Derivations

A substantial number of photographers from across Europe relocated to Brazil during the nineteenth century, where they worked professionally in a wide range of fields and produced remarkable bodies of work. These photographers captured nearly everything, including portraits, landscapes, urban panoramas, and social documentation, and their images appeared in important scientific publications and international exhibitions.

In the case of Rodolpho Frederico Francisco Lindemann, who was born in Germany in the mid-1850s, Brazil would become his home in the 1870s. Upon his arrival, the photographer settled in the city of Salvador in the state of Bahia. In 1882, Lindemann was hired as a studio assistant to the prominent Swiss photographer Guilherme Gaensly, and later became his business partner.

Most of the work by Lindemann known to us today is focused on landscapes, as evidenced by the views and photographs of Salvador that the Baron of Rio Branco included in *Álbum de vues du Brésil* (Album of views of Brazil), an appendix to the book *Le Brésil* (Brazil), published in Paris in 1889 by Emile Levasseur.[[8]](#endnote-8) Lindemann was one of the artists with the most photographs reproduced in this publication, with a total of twenty-five views, twenty of which are of Salvador. This publication was produced under the auspices of the Comitê Franco-Brasileiro para a Exposição Universal de Paris (Franco-Brazilian Committee for the Paris Universal Exposition) of 1889 and the photographs used were part of the Brazilian pavilion at the event, where Lindemann and Gaensly exhibited photos of Bahia and Pernambuco.[[9]](#endnote-9) During his years in Brazil, Lindemann also took photographic views in other provinces such as Alagoas, as well as a number of portraits, a common practice during the latter half of the nineteenth century.

In the early 1890s, Gaensly moved to the city of São Paulo to open a branch of the Gaensly & Lindemannstudio, while Lindemann assumed responsibility for the photographic studio in Bahia. Fotografía Lindemann(Lindemann Photography) was eventually sold to a merchant in 1906, and the photographer and his wife appear to have left Brazil. Although there is no information regarding the date of Lindemann’s death or the fate of his photographic archive, some of his photographs are now located at the Instituto Moreira Salles (Moreira Salles Institute) in São Paulo, Brazil.

Despite Lindemann’s presumably extensive body of work and his prominent role in Salvador at the time, it is often difficult to access the photographs taken by photographers of those years. Not only was there little awareness about the importance of preserving photographic archives and photos as historically significant objects in the day, but, in many cases, the prints sold by the photographers themselves were not signed, making it even more difficult to identify and access them today.[[10]](#endnote-10) This is the case for the panoramic photograph by Lindemann recently acquired by the GRI. The large six-part folding object depicts the coastline of Salvador as seen from the Forte São Marcelo (São Marcelo Fort), a historic structure facing the city center **(fig. 1)**.

This panorama came from a private collection and does not bear a signature, which initially left its authorship obscure. With research it was possible to determine that it had indeed been made by Lindemann, because the aforementioned *Album de vues du Brésil* includes a drawing of the coast of Salvador that is captioned as having been based on one of his photos. When the two images are compared, it is possible to see that their content is essentially the same, with the exception of several sailboats and the dramatic rays of sunlight added by the artist **(fig. 2)**. As can be seen, the angle of coverage, the distance to the shore, and the location of the vessels are the same in both views, along with certain elements in the lower-left sector of the two images, corresponding to the dock of the fort and a fragment of its side wall. Brazilian historian of photography Boris Kossoy states that cities included as panoramic views in the *Album de vues du Brésil* received special treatment to ensure that they reflected the “civilized landscape worthy of export” that the album was intended to convey.[[11]](#endnote-11)

The photographic panorama of Salvador was likely created by Lindemann in the late 1870s or early 1880s. The final image demonstrates his high level of technical skill both in taking the types of sequential shots that are seamlessly pieced together and, in particular, producing the extreme sharpness and level of detail. In it, we can see the Elevador Lacerda (Lacerda Elevator, the first urban elevator in the world, inaugurated in 1873); the old Arsenal da Marinha (Arsenal of the Navy); the Teatro São João (São João Theater); and many other structures in what was at the time the second most populous city in Brazil and an important cultural center of the Americas.

It is not the first panoramic view of Salvador taken by Lindemann from the Forte São Marcelo; a similar image taken around 1875 is located at the Reiss-Engelhorn-Museen in Mannheim, Germany.[[12]](#endnote-12) However, the panorama at the GRI is different from this earlier one and others because of its large size: it is 159.5 centimeters long and 24 centimeters high. These dimensions and its sharpness allow us to observe the city in great detail, in particular the buildings overlooking the bay and the vessels sailing along the coast. Because of the large size of this image, when we look more closely at the photograph, small scenes of everyday life in Salvador emerge, such as three men standing on the dock of the fort and people on the coast or aboard different types of boats **(figs. 3a–c)**.

The Forte São Marcelo was a prime vantage point for taking panoramic photographs of Salvador during the nineteenth century, due to its location facing the city center from a close but sufficiently distant position, enabling shots with a substantial angle of coverage. Many renowned photographers of the period accomplished the feat from that spot. For example, in 1860, British photographer Benjamin Mulock took at least two panoramic photos from the site reflecting very different qualities of execution and reproduction.[[13]](#endnote-13) Around 1870, a similar six-part panoramic photograph was captured by a still-unknown photographer, and later in the decade, both Ferrez **(figs. 4a, 4b)** and Gaensly produced their own versions.[[14]](#endnote-14) Of all these panoramas with identical viewpoints and visual coverage, the print now at the GRI has the largest dimensions. It is possible that photographers were motivated to return to the same location in order to outdo one another in the creation of similar panoramic shots in an increasingly larger size, a photographic feat of the time.

The use of the panoramic format to depict cities or landscapes is a common technique not only in the history of photography, but in the history of art and visual practices around the world. Consider, for example, the Brazilian city of Rio de Janeiro. In 1822, French painter Félix-Émile Taunay painted *Panorama do Rio de Janeiro*, a one-meter-long colored aquatint portraying a very urban view of the city from Morro do Castelo (Castle Hill). This panorama, made in Brazil, was exhibited in 1824 at the Passage des Panoramas (Passageway of Panoramas) in Paris, allowing French spectators to experience, perhaps for the first time, a comprehensive view of the city. A second panoramic depiction was painted around 1830 by Robert Burford, the proprietor of Leicester Square, a space for exhibiting panoramas in London, where it was on display for a year.[[15]](#endnote-15)

Some years later, around 1863, Italian-born photographer Augusto Stahl took a five-part photographic panorama of Rio de Janeiro. The vantage point used for this panorama was the Ilha das Cobras (Isle of Snakes), which would also be used by other photographers, such as Georges Leuzinger in his three-part photographic panorama around 1866 **(fig. 5)**, an image upon which the Spanish artist Enrique Casanova would base his painting *Vista do Rio de Janeiro* (View of Rio de Janeiro) around 1883 **(fig. 6)**.[[16]](#endnote-16)

Photographs by Stahl, Leuzinger, and others would arrive several decades after the early pictorial representations to update and expand the idea of the Latin American metropolis that was developing in Rio de Janeiro.[[17]](#endnote-17) These photographs depart from the typical natural setting of the city while heightening the presence of urban density through focus on its architecture and the movement of waterways. The vision of the new and exotic—for these creators coming from other latitudes—emerges as a historical construction, revealing mechanisms of visual representation explicitly devised first by the painter and later by the photographer. The latter uses the photographic apparatus and its reality effect to reconfigure and reorganize the relationships between the observing subject and modes of representation.[[18]](#endnote-18)

Panoramic photos have a particular technical appeal, and there is a remarkable volume of work in Brazil, where, as seen from the numerous examples mentioned, they were a common practice among landscape photographers. Creating these images was a difficult undertaking: the photographer had to travel to the shooting location with bulky equipment—including heavy cameras, tripods, glass plates—and chemicals, due to the specific characteristics of the wet collodion process, which was in use from the late 1850s until the 1880s, when it was replaced by dry gelatin plates.[[19]](#endnote-19) The resulting prints are different from other photographs: because of their large size, panoramic photos are usually folded, further emphasizing their status as manipulable objects, compared to other smaller or pocket-size photographs **(fig. 7)**. The panoramic photos were private objects, acquired by a select clientele as visual treasures depicting cities in faraway regions of the world in all their detail and splendor.[[20]](#endnote-20)

Consequently, this type of photographic feat was motivated not only by the adventure of creating images outside of the studio but also by the possibility of selling them as collectible objects. The primary buyers were foreigners visiting or living in Brazil on a temporary basis. These images thus traveled to different countries and ended up becoming part of public libraries and private archives, largely in Europe. Historian Gilberto Ferrez states that the quality of the Brazilian photographs is fully comparable to those produced in other parts of the world; the difference lies in the actual accessibility of such photographs taken in Brazil.[[21]](#endnote-21) Given their itinerant nature and the lack of markings, signatures, or stamps, we still have not been able to determine the authorship of many such extant photos or even the present location of those that may be lost, stored without names in private collections, or forgotten in libraries in distant countries.

## Concluding Remarks

Photographic theory has made it clear that nothing in a photograph is neutral.[[22]](#endnote-22) As Colombian art historian Juanita Solano-Roa states, formats and spaces for circulation and conservation imbue each photograph with meanings that transcend realistic depiction.[[23]](#endnote-23) In the case of panoramic photographs, the view from above or at a distance is a vision with the power to dominate. These images play an important historical role, for they allow us to observe elements that are difficult to see in other representations, such as the layout of cities, specific streets, their buildings, advertisements, and other urban features of a city in a certain period. Nevertheless, as Kossoy argues, photographic images are not only born ideologized but also accumulate ideological components as the photographs are omitted from historical records or reused for different purposes throughout their trajectories.[[24]](#endnote-24)

In this sense, the panoramic photograph by Lindemann shows the urban landscape toward the end of the colonial period in one of Brazil’s most important cities, much of whose identity was constructed around its geographical and architectural characteristics. The relocation and identification of this photograph leads, at least, to two contributions. As mentioned above, the photograph itself offers a wealth of details about its moment in Salvador, making it an exceptional historical visual source. Further, although there are other images from around the same time that depict the city using similar vantage points, restoring the authorship of Lindemann’s photograph contributes to our understanding of the history of the medium, as well as its uses, derivations, and functions.

This item cannot be understood in isolation. As demonstrated, it is part of a genealogy of panoramic images that—whether in painting, drawing, or photography—are in dialogue with one another. Following Poole, viewers can locate a “combination of relationships of referral and exchange among images themselves, and the social and discursive relations connecting image-makers and consumers”—what Poole determines to be an “image world” that is mutually reinforcing.[[25]](#endnote-25) As was already the case in the nineteenth century, individuals see and produce images on the basis of prior knowledge.

Equally, there is a material aspect of the panoramic photographs analyzed here. These large photos travel as folded objects, which are treasured, sold, forgotten, and rediscovered. Because of their physical characteristics, they must be unfolded in order to assume full form and allow the observation of their subject. The drawing included in *Álbum de vues du Brésil* **(see fig. 2)** is an image that can be easily and readily accessed, but the panoramic photograph on which it was based remained stored—folded—in a private collection in Europe and was later sold in California.[[26]](#endnote-26) It is not possible to trace all its drifts since its creation, but its latest movement to the GRI’s collection made possible to study it and demonstrate that the best place for a nineteenth-century photograph is in a library or archive open to the public, allowing researchers and curators, as well as any other interested person, to learn of its existence and have access to it. Never losing sight of the fact that, as American art historians Rosalind Krauss, Alan Trachtenberg, and Sekula argue, every archive complies with certain standards as a technology of power in which ownership remains paramount,[[27]](#endnote-27) this type of change in location enables us to re-engage with Lindemann’s object and continue to unfold the multiple pages of photographic history. The very characteristics of the medium of photography—elusive and at times forgotten—will ensure that it will always be a diverse history—one that is never finished, being perpetually constructed and reconfigured.

**Julieta Pestarino** is an anthropologist and holds a PhD in History and Theory of the Arts from the Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
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**Fig. 1. Rodolpho Lindemann (German-born, 1852–?]).** Panorama of Salvador, ca. 1880, albumen print, 24 × 159.5 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2022.R.30.

**Fig. 2. Unknown draftsperson, after Rodolpho Lindemann (German-born, 1852–?).** *São Salvador de Bahia, vue prise du Fort do Mar* (São Salvador de Bahia, view from Fort do Mar) from *Album de vues du Brésil* (Paris: Imprimerie A. Lahure, 1889). Digital image: Biblioteca Digital Luso-Brasileira.

**Figs. 3a–c.** **Rodolpho Lindemann (German-born, 1852–?).** Panorama of Salvador (details), ca. 1880, albumen print, 24 × 159.5 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2022.R.30.

**Figs. 4a, 4b. Marc Ferrez (Brazilian, 1834–1923).** Panorama of Salvador, 1875, two silver gelatin prints; each 22 × 27 cm. Instituto Moreira Salles, Brazil. Digital image: Marc Ferrez / Gilberto Ferrez Collection /Instituto Moreira Salles.

**Fig. 5. Georges Leuzinger (Swiss-born, 1813–92).** Panorama of Rio de Janeiro, ca. 1866, photogravure, 27.5 × 119.5 cm. Los Angeles, Getty Research Institute, 2022.R.5 .

**Fig. 6. Enrique Casanova y Astorza (Spanish-born, 1850–1913).** *Vista do Rio de Janeiro* (View of Rio de Janeiro), ca. 1883,medium TK, 37.1 × 110.5 cm. Brazil, Instituto Moreira Salles, Martha and Erico Stickel Collection, 001SK00193. Digital image: Enrique Casanova / Martha and Erico Stickel Collection/ Instituto Moreira Salles.

**Fig. 7. Rodolpho Lindemann’s photographic panorama of Salvador (ca. 1880) unfolded.**
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