
Slip on Faults and Stress Inversion

Prithvi Thakur

Jan 30, 2019

Anderson's Theory of Faulting


Earth's free surface cannot support shear, therefore, one of the principal stresses has to be vertical. Normal, Reverse, Strike-Slip Faulting.



Anderson's Theory of Faulting

- Limitation: Only works for intact rocks near the free surface.
- Limitation: Can't explain low angle normal faults
- More realistic faulting style: oblique-slip faulting. These occur on pre-existing weakness planes and at a depth, therefore none of the principal stresses are truly vertical.
- Pre-existing weakness: Reactivated faults, bedding planes, weak layer (shale, salt), foliations, anisotropy in materials, etc.

Oblique-slip Faulting



Wallace-Bott Hypothesis

Wallace (1951) suggested that slip on faults occur along the direction and orientation of maximum shear stress. Bott (1959) derived the equations for the same.


Why hypothesis? Due to the following assumptions:

- ► Faults move during the same tectonic event independently.
- No plasticity/ductility during deformation.

Fault Geometry

Range of values for:

Strike: [0, 360]; Dip: [0, 90]; Rake: [-90, 90]



We can obtain slip on faults/discontinouities using various methods. All of these can help us constrain stresses.

Mesoscale fault outcrops

We can obtain slip on faults/discontinuities using various methods. All of these can help us constrain stresses.

- Mesoscale fault outcrops
- Earthquake focal mechanisms

We can obtain slip on faults/discontinouities using various methods. All of these can help us constrain stresses.


- Mesoscale fault outcrops
- Earthquake focal mechanisms
- Borehole breakouts


We can obtain slip on faults/discontinouities using various methods. All of these can help us constrain stresses.

- Mesoscale fault outcrops
- Earthquake focal mechanisms
- Borehole breakouts
- Microstructures: Calcite twinning


We can obtain slip on faults/discontinouities using various methods. All of these can help us constrain stresses.

- Mesoscale fault outcrops
- Earthquake focal mechanisms
- Borehole breakouts
- Microstructures: Calcite twinning






Stereographic Projection




Stereographic Projection

















Determining Focal Mechanism

► First motion of P-wave. It can give orientation of fault plane but doesn't give much more information


Determining Focal Mechanism

- ► First motion of P-wave. It can give orientation of fault plane but doesn't give much more information
- Moment Tensor inversion: The representation of source strength and fault orientation. If we assume earth's structure, we can calculate green's function and estimate moment tensor components and location of centroid using waveform inversion.

Stress Tensor




Stress Tensor



Another way of representing stress state just like Mohr's circle. The intersection of any plane passing through the center of this ellipsoid with it would give us the stress vector on that plane.

Shape of the stress ellipsoid: stress ratio = $\frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_3}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}$



$$\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

b

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma = \mathbf{R}^{T} . \sigma' . \mathbf{R}$$


$$\sigma_{0} = (\sigma' - \mathbf{I} \mathbf{k}_{1}) \mathbf{k}_{2}$$


$$\sigma_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

if
$$k_1 = 1/(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$$
 and $k_2 = -\sigma_3$ and $\phi = \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_3}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}$


Forward Problem: Given a stress tensor, compute the slip on multiple faults with different orientations.

- Forward Problem: Given a stress tensor, compute the slip on multiple faults with different orientations.
- Inverse Problem: Given slip on a fault plane and the orientation of fault plane, we can calculate four out of the six components of the principal stress tensor. For the other two components, we need more information like the pore fluid pressure and the depth of burial of the fault.






$$\sigma$$
.n = T



- σ .n = T
- ► (T.n)n = the normal component



- \bullet σ .n = T
- ► (T.n)n = the normal component
- ► T- normal component = shear component

Input parameters: Strike, dip, rake. This implies we know the normal vector the slip vector for each fault plane.

- Input parameters: Strike, dip, rake. This implies we know the normal vector the slip vector for each fault plane.
- Compute the theoretical slip direction for each of the given fault plane using arbitrary stress tensor.

- Input parameters: Strike, dip, rake. This implies we know the normal vector the slip vector for each fault plane.
- Compute the theoretical slip direction for each of the given fault plane using arbitrary stress tensor.
- Minimize the misfit between theoretical and observed slip direction.

- ▶ Input parameters: Strike, dip, rake. This implies we know the normal vector the slip vector for each fault plane.
- Compute the theoretical slip direction for each of the given fault plane using arbitrary stress tensor.
- Minimize the misfit between theoretical and observed slip direction.
- Depending on the inversion technique used, update the arbitrary stress tensor till you get the best fit.

Practice Session

Stresses along the Sumatra-Andaman subduction zone