



STOPPED SHORT: Men's Lacrosse Loses Do-or-die Finale. Section B.

# The Harvard Crimson

THE UNIVERSITY DAILY SINCE 1873 MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2013 CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

## Students Assess Proposed Code

By MICHELLE DENISE L. FERREOL and JARED T. LUCKY  
CRIMSON STAFF WRITERS

In a round of discussions led by administrators this past week, the Committee on Academic Integrity began a “consulting phase” to solicit feedback from members of the Harvard community on its proposal to create the College’s first ever honor code and establish a Student/Faculty Judicial Board for academic dishonesty cases.

In an emailed statement, Assistant Dean of Undergraduate Education Katherine Stanton wrote that the committee invited each House to host a discussion on the progress report in an effort to “hear a response from the entire academic community.”

As part of the initiative, Dean of Undergraduate Education Jay M. Harris also emailed the committee’s report directly to students on Friday, asking for their input through an online message board.

House Masters, Resident Deans, and members of the committee arranged meetings in Adams, Kirkland, Leverett, Currier, and Pforzheimer Houses last week and in Mather and Cabot Houses Sunday evening. The sessions marked the first opportunity for public scrutiny of the committee’s work, which began almost three years ago at the behest of the Committee on Undergraduate Education.

Several students who attended the meetings in their respective Houses expressed concerns regarding the creation of an honor code, with many saying that they did not believe it would change current trends of cheating and collusion at the College.

“In our discussion, the biggest issue with an honor code was that students would cheat not because they didn’t honor academic integrity, but because they were over-committed,” said Raja F. Ghawi ’15, who was present at the Kirkland session. “Having an honor code won’t change that. You won’t really care about an honor code at 4 or 5 a.m.”


Ghawi added that having students commit to an honor code that they would eventually break defeats the purpose of the “declaration of integrity” outlined by the committee.

“Having people commit to an honor

See CODE Page A7

## Different Goals, One University

Part One of a five-part series on Harvard’s upcoming capital campaign



CRIMSON GRAPHIC—SHREE BOSE

Harvard continues efforts to centralize through capital campaign priorities

By NIKITA KANSRA and SAMUEL Y. WEINSTOCK  
CRIMSON STAFF WRITERS

Since University President Drew G. Faust assumed her post in 2007, numerous initiatives have represented a strong push towards centralizing Harvard. From a University-wide calendar to centralized information technology services to creating a unified Harvard Library, major projects on campus have been geared towards transforming Harvard from a University characterized as 15 loosely-tied schools to a more cohesive and interconnected institution.

Now, as the University prepares for the public launch of a multi-billion dollar capital campaign, Harvard’s office of Alumni Affairs and Development, which is wrapping up a two-year “quiet phase,” is working to incorporate centralization into the campaign.

“The major contribution of this campaign will not just be the money, it’s going to be this presentation of the many schools of Harvard as a single entity,” said Sidney R. Knafel ’52, a donor who has

given tens of millions of dollars to the University.

While Faust’s goal, often phrased as “one University,” is a guiding principle of the upcoming capital campaign, different schools’ priorities, donors, and strategies complicate the ability to run a cohesive campaign.

The drive for a central mission originates partly in donor and alumni reaction to Harvard’s last University-wide capital campaign, vice president for alumni affairs and development Tamara E. Rogers ’74 said in an interview in mid-April.

The previous campaign, a six-year endeavor that ended in 1999, raised \$2.6 billion for the University.

“We found that alumni really were interested in seeing the totality of the institution,” Rogers said, calling the “breadth of what happens in the University” a “big, compelling story” for donors.

Rogers cited renewable energy and global health as topics that can be studied at multiple schools at Harvard. Alumni have come to expect interdisciplinary collaboration from the University, she said.

In an interview in early March, Faust discussed how inter-school study can incentivize donors as

well.

“If we have a donor that’s interested in global health, we want to make sure that they see all the different ways that might excite them,” she said. “We think they might do more if they see more.”

Even for “one University,” though, Harvard’s schools have different priorities, different donors, and different campaign strategies, and all of Harvard’s faculties remain “every tub on its own bottom.”

While an overarching campaign executive committee of the University’s biggest donors has been mapping out the structure and message of the campaign for several years, the dean of each school works with an individual committee of supporters to identify and refine school-specific priorities.

“We don’t want to homogenize the schools,” Rogers said in early December. “We do want them to have their strengths and their identities. That’s a strength of the University, but we also want to optimize all of these strengths for better teaching and [more robust] knowledge creation.”

See CAMPAIGN Page A7

## Class Day Student Speakers Chosen

By LAYA ANASU  
CRIMSON STAFF WRITER

Four seniors have been selected to deliver speeches at the annual Class Day ceremony on May 29, the Senior Class Committee announced on Sunday.

Damilare Sonoiki ’13 and Julie M. Zauzmer ’13, a former Crimson Managing Editor, will give serious and reflective talks as the Harvard Orators, and Phil M. Gillen ’13 and Blythe B. Roberson ’13 will deliver witty and lighthearted speeches as the Ivy Orators.

“All of them are incredible,” Second Class Marshal Scott J. Yim ’13 said of the chosen orators. “I’m incredibly excited for our speakers to grace the stage.”

Class Day, traditionally held the day before Commencement, represents the final opportunity before graduation for seniors to gather together as a full class.

The Senior Class Committee chose the Harvard and Ivy Orators in a selection process that involved submission of written speeches, selection of finalists, and live auditions.

Roberson, one of the Ivy Orators, did her audition via Skype—and then learned of her selection an hour later. Her speech, entitled “Driving a Car at Night, Choose Your Own Adventure, Step 1 of an Elaborate Plan to Go on a Date with the Lead Singer of Vampire Weekend,” is about finding a path to happiness.

Gillen, the other Ivy Orator, chose a more unorthodox topic for his talk. In an improv speech entitled “Tear Down This School,” Gillen will rhapsodize about destroying Harvard.

“I think the most important thing for all of us to do on Class Day is destroy Harvard,” Gillen said. “I don’t want to give away too many spoilers, but it’s a message we can all relate to. It’s a meaningful speech for a meaningful day. A lot of it is going to be off-the-cuff.”

Jokes notwithstanding, Gillen emphasized the importance of having student speakers at the Class Day celebration.

“All of [the non-student] speakers can give us advice and talk about what the rest of our lives are going to be like, but I think

See SPEAKERS Page A7

## Report on Email Searches Will Be Made Public

By NICHOLAS P. FANDOS  
CRIMSON STAFF WRITER

The Boston attorney conducting an outside investigation of Harvard’s email search scandal will share a written report of his findings with the Harvard community, according to a statement issued Friday afternoon by William F. Lee ’72, the Harvard Corporation subcommittee chair overseeing the external review.

Lee’s statement did not indicate when or how the report will be shared with various segments of the community, but it did say that the attorney, Michael B. Keating of Boston-based Foley Hoag, hopes to finish his investigation by June 30.

“At the request of a Corporation committee, Mr. Keating’s review is focusing on the facts bearing on any searches of email or email metadata done in connection with the Administrative Board proceedings relating to a take-home exam in a spring 2012 undergraduate course,” Lee wrote.

The Boston Globe first reported on March 9 that Harvard administrators had authorized secret searches of resident deans’ email accounts in an attempt to identify the source of a leak of internal communication pertaining to the Government 1310 cheating case. In a statement two days later, Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences Michael D. Smith and Dean of the College Evelyn M. Hammonds

wrote that the searches had been limited to subject-line searches of the deans’ administrative accounts.

That statement contained a number of inaccuracies, and on April 2, Hammonds revealed to faculty at their monthly meeting that she had authorized a set of additional searches of both the administrative and faculty accounts of the resident dean identified by the first search. That second round of searches violated the FAS email privacy policy.

University President Drew G. Faust announced at that April 2 meeting that she had commissioned Keating to verify the findings of the University’s own initial investigation of the searches. Faust will sit alongside Lee, trial lawyer Theodore V. Wells, Jr., and Tufts University President Emeritus Lawrence S. Bacow on the special Corporation subcommittee. The Harvard Corporation is the University’s highest governing body.

New details about the report’s timing and distribution come two weeks after the FAS Docket Committee, which is responsible for setting the agenda of monthly faculty meetings, wrote an email to Faust requesting clarification about the scope, timing, and distribution of the Keating investigation. In particular the Docket Committee, which consists of three members of the elected Faculty Council, wanted to

See REPORT Page A5



JESSICA C. SALLEY—CRIMSON PHOTOGRAPHER

Harvard University Police Department officers Michael Rea, Ryan Stanton, and Christopher Riccardi, are honored as “Heroes Among Us” at the Celtics-Knicks playoff game at TD Garden on Friday night.

## HUPD Officers Honored at Game

By MATTHEW Q. CLARIDA  
CRIMSON STAFF WRITER

Three Harvard University Police Department officers were among those honored for their heroic efforts by the Boston Celtics Friday night at the TD Garden as part of the Celtics’ “Heroes Among Us” community outreach program.

HUPD officers Michael Rea, Ryan Stanton, and Christopher Riccardi were recognized for administering crucial first aid to Richard H. Donohue Jr., a Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Police officer, during last week’s manhunt for the Boston Marathon bombing suspects.

“You are true heroes,” Edward Palladino, the Celtics public address announcer, told the officers as the crowd of over 18,000 stood and cheered.

Donohue was wounded early in the morning on April 19 in a firefight between law enforcement authorities and the two

See HUPD Page A3