

STAT420 Homework 6

JAMES ZHANG^{*}

November 21, 2023

^{*}Email: jzhang72@terpmail.umd.com

11/21: HW 6 (due before 11:00 p.m.)

7.1(7); 7.2(1,4,7,8); 7.3(3,6); 7.4(3,7,9); 7.5(2,10,12); 7.6(2,6,9); 7.7(1,6)

7.1.7. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution that is $N(\mu, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, where μ is unknown. Let $Y = \sum_1^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2/n$ and let $\mathcal{L}[\theta, \delta(y)] = [\theta - \delta(y)]^2$. If we consider decision functions of the form $\delta(y) = by$, where b does not depend upon y , show that $R(\theta, \delta) = (\theta^2/n^2)[(n^2 - 1)b^2 - 2n(n - 1)b + n^2]$. Show that $b = n/(n + 1)$ yields a minimum risk decision function of this form. Note that $nY/(n + 1)$ is not an unbiased estimator of θ . With $\delta(y) = ny/(n + 1)$ and $0 < \theta < \infty$, determine $\max_\theta R(\theta, \delta)$ if it exists.

Solution. First let us show that the risk function

$$R(\theta, \delta) = (\theta^2/n^2)[(n^2 - 1)b^2 - 2n(n - 1)b + n^2]$$

Recall that the risk function is defined as the expectation of \mathcal{L} the loss function.

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E(\mathcal{L}[\theta - \delta(y)]) = E([\theta - \delta(y)]^2) = E([\theta - by]^2)$$

where in this case the decision function is of the form $\delta(y) = by$. Then we compute

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E(\theta^2 - 2\theta by + b^2 y^2) = \theta^2 - 2\theta b E(y) + b^2 E(y^2)$$

Now we must compute $E(Y)$ and $E(Y^2) = \text{Var}(Y) + E(Y)^2$.

$$E(Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n E(X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} \theta$$

by properties of variance. Similarly, we compute

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \frac{2\theta^2(n-1)}{n} \implies E(Y^2) = \frac{2\theta^2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{\theta^2(n-1)^2}{n^2}$$

Now we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, \delta) &= \theta^2 - \frac{2\theta^2 b(n-1)}{n} + b^2 \left(\frac{2\theta^2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{\theta^2(n-1)^2}{n^2} \right) \\ &= (\theta^2/n^2)[1 - 2bn(n-1) + b^2(2n(n-1) + (n-1)^2)] \\ &= (\theta^2/n^2)[(n^2 - 1)b^2 - 2n(n-1)b + n^2] \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Now we will show that $b = \frac{n}{n+1}$ yields a minimum risk decision function. Taking the derivative of the risk function with respect to b ,

$$R' = (\theta^2/n^2)[2b(n^2 - 1) - 2n(n - 1)]$$

Setting this to 0, we obtain

$$b = \frac{2n(n-1)}{2(n^2-1)} = \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)(n-1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

as desired.

Finally, we will show that with the given restrictions $\delta(y) = ny/(n+1)$ and $0 < \theta < \infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\theta, \delta) &= E\left[\left(\theta - \frac{ny}{n+1}\right)^2\right] = E\left(\theta^2 - 2\theta \frac{ny}{n+1} + \left(\frac{ny}{n+1}\right)^2\right) \\
 &= \theta^2 - 2\theta \frac{n}{n+1} E(Y) + \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 E(Y)^2 \\
 &= \theta^2 - 2\theta \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{n-1}{n} \theta + \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2\theta^2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{\theta^2(n-1)^2}{n^2}\right) \\
 &= \theta^2 - 2\theta^2 \frac{n-1}{n+1} + \frac{\theta^2(n-1)}{n+1} \left(2 + \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\
 &= \theta^2 - \theta^2 \frac{n-1}{n+1} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\
 R' &= 2\theta - 2\theta \frac{(n-1)^2}{n(n+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is strictly increasing for $0 < \theta < \infty \implies \nexists \max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ when $\delta(y) = \frac{ny}{n+1}$. \square

7.2.1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be iid $N(0, \theta)$, $0 < \theta < \infty$. Show that $\sum_1^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution. The joint pdf of the sample from a normal distribution can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\theta}\right)$$

Note that it is known that $\mu = 0 \implies$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2\theta}\right)$$

where

$$k_1(t, \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2\theta}\right)$$

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2$$

$$k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

This proves that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is sufficient for θ . \square

7.2.4. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a geometric distribution that has pmf $f(x; \theta) = (1 - \theta)^x \theta$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < \theta < 1$, zero elsewhere. Show that $\sum_1^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution. Note that a sample of geometric distribution has a joint pmf of

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i; \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(1-\theta)^{x_i} = \theta^n (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \\ &= k_1(t, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(t, \theta) &= \theta^n (1-\theta)^t \\ t &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ . \square

7.2.7. Show that the product of the sample observations is a sufficient statistic for $\theta > 0$ if the random sample is taken from a gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = \theta$ and $\beta = 6$.

Solution. We seek to show that $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient for $\theta > 0$. Recall that the pdf of a gamma distribution is

$$f(x; \theta, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\theta x^{\theta-1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma(\theta)}$$

where the gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^\infty x^{\theta-1} e^{-x} dx$$

The joint pdf is

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{6^\theta x_i^{\theta-1} e^{-6x_i}}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \left(\frac{6^\theta}{\Gamma(\theta)}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1}\right) (e^{-6 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}) \\ &= k_1(t, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(t, \theta) &= \left(\frac{6^\theta}{\Gamma(\theta)}\right)^n (t^{\theta-1}) \\ t &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \\ k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (e^{-6 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ . \square

7.2.8. What is the sufficient statistic for θ if the sample arises from a beta distribution in which $\alpha = \beta = \theta > 0$?

Solution. Note that the pdf of this beta distribution is

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{x^{\theta-1}(1-x)^{\theta-1}}{B(\theta)} = \frac{(x-x^2)^{\theta-1}}{B(\theta)}$$

where $B(\theta, \theta) = \int_0^1 x^{\theta-1}(1-x)^{\theta-1} dx$. Thus the joint pdf can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_i^2)^{\theta-1}}{B(\theta, \theta)^n} = k_1(t, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where

$$k_1(t, \theta) = \frac{t^{\theta-1}}{B(\theta, \theta)^n}$$

$$t = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_i^2)$$

$$k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

and this shows that $T = \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_i^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i(1 - X_i)$ is sufficient for θ . \square

7.3.3. If X_1, X_2 is a random sample of size 2 from a distribution having pdf $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere, find the joint pdf of the sufficient statistic $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$ for θ and $Y_2 = X_2$. Show that Y_2 is an unbiased estimator of θ with variance θ^2 . Find $E(Y_2|y_1) = \varphi(y_1)$ and the variance of $\varphi(Y_1)$.

Solution. First we write the joint pdf of X_1, X_2 as

$$f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) e^{-(x_1+x_2)/\theta}, 0 < x_1 < \infty, 0 < x_2 < \infty$$

Next we find the inverse functions and the subsequent Jacobian to complete the transformation.

$$x_1 = y_1 - y_2, x_2 = y_2 \implies \det(J) = 1$$

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) \exp(-y_1/\theta), 0 < y_2 < y_1 < \infty$$

Note the new bounds ensure $y_1 > y_2$ to ensure that $x_1 > 0$. Now we will show that Y_2 is an unbiased estimator of θ , which means we will show that $E(Y_2) = \theta$. We find the marginal

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_2}(y_2) &= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{y_2}^{\infty} \exp(-y_1/\theta) dy_1 = -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{y_2}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-y_1/\theta) dy_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{\theta} (\exp(-y_1/\theta))|_{y_2}^{\infty} = -\frac{1}{\theta} (0 - \exp(-y_2/\theta)) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-y_2/\theta) \\ E(Y_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} y_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \exp(-y_2/\theta) dy_2 \end{aligned}$$

$u = -y_2 \implies du = -dy_2$ and $v = \exp(-y_2/\theta)$ and so

$$E(Y_2) = (-y_2 \exp(-y_2/\theta))|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \exp(-y_2/\theta) dy_2 = \theta$$

and thus Y_2 is unbiased as desired. Upon closer inspection, one could have noticed that $Y_2 \sim \text{Exponential}(\frac{1}{\theta})$ which implies

$$E(Y_2) = \theta, \text{Var}(Y_2) = \theta^2$$

Finally, we find $E(Y_2|y_1) = \varphi(y_1)$. Note that conditional expectation is

$$E(Y_2|Y_1 = y_1) = \int y_2 \frac{f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2)}{f_{Y_1}(y_1)} dy_2$$

We already have the joint pmf, let us find the marginal y_1 which is

$$f_{Y_1}(y_1) = \int_0^{y_1} \left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) \exp(-y_1/\theta) dy_2 = \left(\frac{y_1}{\theta^2}\right) \exp(-y_1/\theta)$$

Now we can find

$$E(Y_2|y_1) = \int_0^{y_1} \frac{y_2}{y_1} dy_2 = \frac{1}{y_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} y_2^2\right)|_0^{y_1} = \frac{1}{y_1} \left(\frac{y_1^2}{2}\right) = \frac{y_1}{2}$$

Note that $Y_1 \sim \Gamma(2, \theta) \implies \text{Var}(Y_1) = 2\theta^2 \implies \text{Var}(\varphi(Y_1)) = \text{Var}(\frac{Y_1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4}\text{Var}(Y_1) = \frac{\theta^2}{2}$ by properties of Gamma distribution and variance. \square

7.3.6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Poisson distribution with mean θ . Find the conditional expectation $E(X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 | \sum_1^n X_i)$.

Solution. Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. First observe that the sum of n $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ random variables is a $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(n\theta)$ whose pmf is $p(x; \theta) = \frac{(n\theta)^x e^{-n\theta}}{x!}$. Expectation is a linear function and so

$$E(X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 | \sum_1^n X_i) = E(X_1 | \sum_1^n X_i) + 2E(X_2 | \sum_1^n X_i) + 3E(X_3 | \sum_1^n X_i)$$

Note that since the sample is IID, we can simplify this to

$$E(X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 | Y = y) = 6E(X_1 | Y = y)$$

Now let us evaluate this first term in the conditional expectation. Note that this integer k is bounded above by y .

$$E(X_1 | Y = y) = \sum_{i=0}^y k \frac{P(X_1 = k, X_2 + \dots + X_n = y - k)}{P(Y = y)}$$

Note that X_1 and X_2, \dots, X_n are all iid, and by definition of independence,

$$= \sum_{i=0}^y k \frac{P(X_1 = k)P(X_2 \dots X_n = y - k)}{P(Y = y)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^y k \frac{\theta^k e^{-\theta} \frac{((n-1)\theta)^{y-k} e^{-(n-1)\theta}}{(y-k)!}}{\frac{(n\theta)^y e^{-n\theta}}{y!}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^Y \sum_{i=0}^y k \binom{Y}{k} \frac{1}{(n-1)^Y}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$E(X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 | Y = y) = 6\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^Y \sum_{i=0}^y k \binom{Y}{k} \frac{1}{(n-1)^Y}$$

□

7.4.3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n represent a random sample from the discrete distribution having the pmf

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x} & x = 0, 1, \quad 0 < \theta < 1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Show that $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ . Find the unique function of Y_1 that is the MVUE of θ .

Hint: Display $E[u(Y_1)] = 0$, show that the constant term $u(0)$ is equal to zero, divide both members of the equation by $\theta \neq 0$, and repeat the argument.

Solution. First let us show that $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . The joint pmf is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} = k_1(y_1, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where

$$k_1(y_1, \theta) = \theta^{y_1} (1 - \theta)^{n - y_1}$$

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

This proves that $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , and now we will show that it is complete.

If $Y_1 = \sum X_i$ is a complete and sufficient statistic then $E[u(Y_1)] = 0$.

$$E[u(Y_1)] = u(0)(\theta^0)(1 - \theta)^{1-0} + u(1)(\theta^1)(1 - \theta)^{1-1} = 0$$

$$E[u(Y_1)] = u(0)(1 - \theta) + u(1)\theta = 0$$

$$E[u(Y_1)] = u(0) - \theta u(0) + u(1)\theta = 0$$

$$u(0) = \theta(u(0) - u(1)) \implies \frac{u(0)}{\theta} = u(0) - u(1) \implies u(0) = u(1) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1)$$

and so $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ . By the Lehmann and Scheffe Theorem, the function of the complete and sufficient statistic Y_1 that is an unbiased estimator of θ is the unique MVUE of θ .

$$E(Y_1) = n\theta \implies \frac{1}{n}Y_1 = \bar{X} = \text{MVUE}$$

□

7.4.7. Let X have the pdf $f_X(x; \theta) = 1/(2\theta)$, for $-\theta < x < \theta$, zero elsewhere, where $\theta > 0$.

(a) Is the statistic $Y = |X|$ a sufficient statistic for θ ? Why?

(b) Let $f_Y(y; \theta)$ be the pdf of Y . Is the family $\{f_Y(y; \theta) : \theta > 0\}$ complete? Why?

Solution.

- a. Let us proceed by finding the joint pdf and then seeing if $|X|$ is a sufficient statistic by using the factorization theorem. Let us also declare an indicator function such that

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| < \theta \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(|x_i| < \theta) = k_1(t, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where

$$k_1(t, n) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(t < \theta), \quad t = |x_i| = |X|, \quad k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

and this proves that $T = |X|$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

- b. Now let us show that the family $\{f_Y(y; \theta) : \theta > 0\}$ is complete.

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = P(Y \leq y) = P(|X| \leq y) = P(-y \leq X \leq y) = \frac{1}{\theta}, 0 < y < \theta$$

and zero elsewhere. Suppose $E[u(Y)] = 0$, then

$$E[u(Y)] = \int_0^\theta \frac{u(y)}{\theta} dy = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\int_0^\theta u(y) dy \right) \Big|_0^\theta = 0 \implies u(y) = 0, 0 < y < \theta$$

and so yes, the family of Y is a complete family.

□

7.4.9. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid with pdf $f(x; \theta) = 1/(3\theta)$, $-\theta < x < 2\theta$, zero elsewhere, where $\theta > 0$.

(a) Find the mle $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .

(b) Is $\hat{\theta}$ a sufficient statistic for θ ? Why?

(c) Is $(n+1)\hat{\theta}/n$ the unique MVUE of θ ? Why?

Solution.

- a. We define an indicator function

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & -\theta < x < 2\theta \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta; x) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{3\theta} I(-\theta < x_i < 2\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{3\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(-\theta < x_i < 2\theta) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(-\theta < y_i < y_n < 2\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{3\theta}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(\theta > -y_1 \text{ and } \theta > \frac{y_n}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\theta} = \max(-Y_1, \frac{Y_n}{2})$.

- b. Note that the likelihood function is the joint pdf. Note that we can rewrite the likelihood function using the factorization theorem.

$$L(\theta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{3\theta}\right)^n I(\max(-Y_1, \frac{Y_n}{2})) = k_1(t, \theta) k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where

$$k_1(t, \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{3\theta}\right)^n I(t), \quad t = \max(-y_1, y_n/2), \quad k_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

and this proves that $\hat{\theta}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

- c. $E(\frac{-Y_1(n+1)}{n}) \neq E(\frac{Y_n(n+1)}{2n}) \neq \theta$ and so by the Lehmann and Scheffe theorem, since the given function of $\hat{\theta}$ is not an unbiased estimator of θ , then it is not the unique MVUE.

□

7.5.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample of size $n > 1$ from a distribution with pdf $f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$, $0 < x < \infty$, zero elsewhere, and $\theta > 0$. Then $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . Prove that $(n-1)/Y$ is the MVUE of θ .

Solution. Note that the sum of exponential distributions is a gamma distribution such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(n, \theta) \\ E\left(\frac{n-1}{Y}\right) &= (n-1)E\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by linearity.

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} \theta^{-y} \\ E\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-2} \theta^{-y} dy = \frac{\theta^n (\Gamma(n-1))}{\theta^{n-1} (\Gamma(n))} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)\Gamma(n-1)$. Thus,

$$E\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) = \frac{\theta}{n-1} \implies (n-1)E\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right) = \theta$$

which proves that since $\frac{n-1}{Y}$ is an unbiased estimator, by the Lehmann and Scheffe Theorem, it is the unique MVUE. \square

7.5.10. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with pdf $f(x; \theta) = \theta^2 x e^{-\theta x}$, $0 < x < \infty$, where $\theta > 0$.

- (a) Argue that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .
- (b) Compute $E(1/Y)$ and find the function of Y that is the unique MVUE of θ .

Solution.

- a. The pdf can be written as

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp(-\theta x + \ln x + \ln \theta^2)$$

Therefore, the joint pdf can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i + n \ln \theta^2)$$

It can be showed using the factorization theorem that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistic. Further, note that this pdf is in the exponential family, and therefore, Y is a complete and sufficient statistic for θ .

- b. Note that $X \sim \Gamma(2, \theta) \implies Y \sim \Gamma(2n, \theta)$.

$$E(Y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(2n)} y^{2n-2} e^{-y} dy = \frac{\theta^{2n}(\Gamma(2n-1))}{\theta^{2n}\Gamma(2n)} = \frac{\theta}{2n-1}$$

which therefore implies that $(2n-1)Y_1$ is the unique MVUE of θ . \square

7.5.12. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with pmf $p(x; \theta) = \theta^x(1-\theta)$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, zero elsewhere, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

- (a) Find the mle, $\hat{\theta}$, of θ .
- (b) Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .
- (c) Determine the MVUE of θ .

Solution.

a. The log likelihood function is

$$l(\theta; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log(\theta) + \log(1 - \theta) = n \log(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n \log(1 - \theta)$$

$$l'(\theta; x) = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} - \frac{n}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

$$\theta = (1 - \theta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \implies \theta = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \implies \theta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

is the MLE of θ .

b. Note that the above pmf is in the exponential family and we can rewrite it as

$$p(x; \theta) = \exp(\log(\theta)x + \log(1 - \theta))$$

where $p(\theta) = \log(\theta)$, $K(x) = x$, $H(x) = 0$, $q(\theta) = \log(1 - \theta)$ and the support \mathcal{S} does not depend on θ . Accordingly, it can be rewritten using the factorization theorem to show that $\sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$ is a complete sufficient statistic. Therefore, since $K(x) = x$, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ .

c. Note that if

$$q'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta - 1}, p'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$E(Y) = \frac{q'(\theta)}{p'(\theta)} = \frac{n\theta}{\theta - 1}$$

$$\frac{\frac{n\theta}{\theta-1}}{\frac{n\theta}{\theta-1} - 1} = \frac{n\theta(\theta - 1)}{(n\theta - \theta + 1)(\theta - 1)} = \theta$$

Therefore, MLE $\hat{\theta}$ is the MVUE of θ as well.

□

7.6.2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution that is $N(0, \theta)$. Then $Y = \sum X_i^2$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ . Find the MVUE of θ^2 .

Proof. The pdf of $N(0, \theta)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right)$$

which we can rewrite in the desired format of the exponential family as

$$N(0, \theta) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta}x^2 - \log(\sqrt{2\pi\theta})\right)$$

Observe $K(x) = x^2 \implies \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ . Now we will find the MVUE of θ^2 . Note that $X/\sqrt{\theta} \sim N(0, 1) \implies \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2/\theta = Y/\theta \sim \chi^2(n)$

$$E(Y/\theta) = n \implies E(Y) = n\theta$$

$$\text{Var}(Y/\theta) = 2n \implies \text{Var}(Y) = 2n\theta^2$$

$$E(Y^2) = \text{Var}(Y) + E(Y)^2 = 2n\theta^2 + n^2\theta^2 = (n^2 + 2n)\theta^2$$

and so therefore

$$\frac{Y}{n^2 + 2n} \text{ is the unique MVUE of } \theta^2$$

□

7.6.6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter $\theta > 0$.

446

Sufficiency

(a) Find the MVUE of $P(X \leq 1) = (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$.

Hint: Let $u(x_1) = 1, x_1 \leq 1$, zero elsewhere, and find $E[u(X_1)|Y = y]$, where $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

(b) Express the MVUE as a function of the mle of θ .

(c) Determine the asymptotic distribution of the mle of θ .

(d) Obtain the mle of $P(X \leq 1)$. Then use Theorem 5.2.9 to determine its asymptotic distribution.

Proof.

a. First note that

$$P(X \leq 1) = P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) = e^{-\theta} + \theta e^{-\theta} = (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$$

Let us define an indicator function

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \leq 1 \\ 0, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can define some distribution S that is

$$S \sim \begin{cases} (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}, & I \\ 1 - (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can see that S is unbiased for $(1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$. Recall that by the Rao and Blackwell theorems and Lehmann and Scheffe theorems that since $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete sufficient statistic, then we can seek a function of Y that is the MVUE of $(1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$

$$E(X_1 \leq 1 | Y = y) = P(X_1 = 0 | Y = y) + P(X_1 = 1 | Y = y)$$

From here, we can use the same closed form formula that we found in Exercise 7.3.6.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^y + \frac{Y}{n-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^Y \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{Y}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^Y \end{aligned}$$

b. Let us find the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ by taking the likelihood function.

$$L(\theta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{x_i} e^{-\theta}}{x_i!}$$

$$l(\theta; x) = -n\theta + (\log(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!\right)$$

$$l'(\theta; x) = -n + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \implies \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \implies \hat{\theta} = \bar{X} = \frac{Y}{n}$$

Now we can rewrite the MVUE of $(1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$ in terms of $\bar{X} = \frac{Y}{n}$ and we get

$$\left(1 + \frac{\bar{X}n}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{\bar{X}n}$$

c. Note that

$$E(X) = \text{Var}(X) = \theta$$

by properties of Poisson and by CLT

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \theta) \implies \bar{X} = \hat{\theta} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{n}\right)$$

d. Recall that from part a) that

$$P(X \leq 1) = P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) = e^{-\theta} + \theta e^{-\theta} = (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}$$

and so the MLE of $P(X \leq 1) = (1 + \hat{\theta})e^{-\hat{\theta}} = (1 + \bar{X})e^{-\bar{X}}$ Using Theorem 5.2.9 (the Δ -method), we let $g(x) = (1 + x)e^{-x} \implies g'(x) = -xe^{-x}$

$$\frac{P(\hat{X} \leq 1) - (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, (g'(\theta))^2 \theta) = N(0, \theta^3 e^{-2\theta})$$

$$P(\hat{X} \leq 1) = N((1 + \theta)e^{-\theta}, \theta^3 e^{-2\theta})$$

□

7.6.9. Let a random sample of size n be taken from a distribution that has the pdf $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta) \exp(-x/\theta) I_{(0, \infty)}(x)$. Find the mle and MVUE of $P(X \leq 2)$.

Proof.

Note that the above pdf is Exponential($\frac{1}{\theta}$) whose cdf is defined as $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x/\theta}$. Now, let us find the likelihood

$$L(\theta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) e^{-x_i/\theta}$$

$$l(\theta; x) = n \log\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$l'(\theta; x) = n\theta + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} = 0 \implies \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \implies \hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$$

Therefore, the MLE of $P(X \leq 2)$ is

$$P(\hat{X} \leq 2) = 1 - e^{-2/\bar{X}}$$

To find the MVUE, let us proceed with the conditional expectation method. We seek

$$E(X_1 \leq 2 | Y = y) = \int_0^2 f_{X_1|Y}(x_1|y) = \frac{f_{X_1,Y}(x_1, y)}{f_Y(y)}$$

Note that $X \sim \Gamma(1, \frac{1}{\theta}) \implies Y \sim \Gamma(n, \frac{1}{\theta}) \implies f_Y(y) = \frac{y^{n-1} e^{-y/\theta}}{(n-1)! \theta^n}$

$$f_{X_1,Y}(x_1, y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_1 \partial Y} F_{X_1,Y}(x_1, y)$$

$$F_{X_1,Y}(x_1, y) = F_Y(y) - P(x_1 < X_1 < Y \leq y)$$

$$\cdots f_{X_1,Y}(x_1, y) = \frac{1}{\theta^n (n-2)!} (y - x_1)^{n-2} - 2e^{-y/\theta}, x_1 < y$$

$$E(X_1 \leq 2 | Y = y) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{y}\right)^{n-1}$$

is the MVUE. □

7.7.1. Let $Y_1 < Y_2 < Y_3$ be the order statistics of a random sample of size 3 from the distribution with pdf

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta_2} \exp\left(-\frac{x-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) & \theta_1 < x < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta_1 < \infty, \quad 0 < \theta_2 < \infty \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Find the joint pdf of $Z_1 = Y_1, Z_2 = Y_2$, and $Z_3 = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$. The corresponding transformation maps the space $\{(y_1, y_2, y_3) : \theta_1 < y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < \infty\}$ onto the space

$$\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) : \theta_1 < z_1 < z_2 < (z_3 - z_1)/2 < \infty\}.$$

Show that Z_1 and Z_3 are joint sufficient statistics for θ_1 and θ_2 .

Proof.

By the definition of the pdf of joint order statistics,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3}(y_1, y_2, y_3) &= 3!f(y_1)f(y_2)f(y_3) \\ &= \frac{6}{\theta_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - 3\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we find the desired joint pdf given that $Z_1 = Y_1, Z_2 = Y_2, Z_3 = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$.

$$f_{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = f_{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3}(y_1, y_2, y_3) |\det J^{-1}| \cdot I_{(\theta_1, \infty)}(z_1) I_{z_1 < z_2 < (z_3 - z_1)/2}$$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \det J = 1 \implies |\det J^{-1}| = 1$$

We now find the inverse of the given functions, so

$$Y_1 = Z_1, Y_2 = Z_2, Y_3 = Z_3 - Z_1 - Z_2$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3}(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \frac{6}{\theta_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{z_1 + z_2 + z_3 - z_1 - z_2 - 3\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) \cdot I_{(\theta_1, \infty)}(z_1) I_{z_1 < z_2 < (z_3 - z_1)/2} \\ &= \frac{6}{\theta_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{z_3 - 3\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) \cdot I_{(\theta_1, \infty)}(z_1) I_{z_1 < z_2 < (z_3 - z_1)/2} \\ &= k_1(t, \theta_1, \theta_2) k_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(t, \theta_1, \theta_2) &= \frac{6}{\theta_2^3} \exp\left(-\frac{z_3 - 3\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) \cdot I_{(\theta_1, \infty)}(z_1) \\ t &= (z_1, z_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$k_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = I_{z_1 < z_2 < (z_3 - z_1)/2}$$

This proves that $T = (Z_1, Z_3)$ is jointly sufficient for (θ_1, θ_2) using the generalized factorization theorem, as desired. □

7.7.6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the uniform distribution with pdf $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = 1/(2\theta_2)$, $\theta_1 - \theta_2 < x < \theta_1 + \theta_2$, where $-\infty < \theta_1 < \infty$ and $\theta_2 > 0$, and the pdf is equal to zero elsewhere.

- (a) Show that $Y_1 = \min(X_i)$ and $Y_n = \max(X_i)$, the joint sufficient statistics for θ_1 and θ_2 , are complete.
- (b) Find the MVUEs of θ_1 and θ_2 .

Proof.

a. Note that the joint pdf can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{(2\theta_2)^n} I(\theta_1 - \theta_2 < x_1, \dots, x_n < \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{(2\theta_2)^n} I(Y_1 > \theta_1 - \theta_2, Y_n < \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

which we can express in a format that satisfies the joint factorization theorem, proving that $Y_1 = \min(X_i)$, $Y_n = \max(X_i)$ are jointly sufficient for θ_1, θ_2 . Now let us prove that these statistics are complete, which is the case if $\exists g$ such that

$$E(g(Y_1, Y_2)) = 0$$

$\forall \theta_1, \theta_2$. To establish completeness, assume there exists a function g such that:

$$E_{\theta_1, \theta_2}[g(Y_1, Y_2)] = 0 \quad \forall \theta_1, \theta_2.$$

Using a change of variables, let $Z_i = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2 X_i - \theta_1 + 1) \sim U(0, 1)$ iid. Defining $\theta_1 = \min(\theta_1, W_1)$ and $\theta_2 = \max(\theta_2, W_2)$ results in expressions where the distribution of W_1 and W_2 remains independent of θ .

Additionally:

$$f(w_1, w_2) = w_2^n - (w_2 - w_1)^n = n(n-1)(w_2 - w_1)^{n-2}.$$

The expectation condition leads to an integral that, due to the continuity of g , must be zero almost everywhere unless g is identically zero. Thus, (Y_1, Y_2) form a complete set of statistics.

b. From our previous calculations, we've established that $Y_i = \theta_2(2W_i - 1) + \theta_1$, for $i = 1, 2$. The pdfs are as follows:

$$f_{W_1}(w_1) = n(1 - w_1)^{n-1}, \quad f_{W_2}(w_2) = nw_2^{n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$E(W_1) = n \int_0^1 w_1(1 - w_1)^{n-1} dw_1 = n \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

$$E(W_2) = n \int_0^1 w_2^n dw_2 = \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

$$E(Y_1) = -\frac{\theta_2(n-1)}{n+1} + \theta_1, \quad E(Y_2) = \theta_2 \frac{\theta_2(n-1)}{n-1} + \theta_1.$$

Consequently,

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2}(Y_1 + Y_2)\right) = \theta_1, \quad E\left(2\frac{n+1}{n-1}(Y_2 - Y_1)\right) = \theta_2.$$

$\frac{1}{2}(Y_1 + Y_2)$ is the MVUE for θ_1 , and $2\frac{n+1}{n-1}(Y_2 - Y_1)$ is the MVUE for θ_2 .

□