

# MATH410: Advanced Calculus I

JAMES ZHANG<sup>\*</sup>

January 26, 2024

These are my notes for UMD's MATH410: Advanced Calculus I. These notes are taken live in class (“live- $\text{\TeX}$ “-ed). This course is taught by Lecturer Anna Szczekutowicz.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Set Theory Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Completeness Axiom</b>	<b>3</b>

---

<sup>\*</sup>Email: [jzhang72@terpmail.umd.edu](mailto:jzhang72@terpmail.umd.edu)

## §1 Set Theory Preliminaries

This section covers the foundation of analysis, which is just the set of real numbers. It covers basic definitions such as  $\in, \notin, \emptyset, \subseteq, =, \cap, \cup, \setminus$ , so for example

**Definition 1.1.** **Intersection** of  $A$  and  $B$  is  $C = A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$

Some quantifiers include  $\forall, \exists, \exists!$  and some number sets include  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^C$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies 3 groups of axioms: Refer to the notes on Canvas for the Consequences of all of the following axioms.

1. Field  $(+, *)$ 
  - Commutativity of Addition
  - Associativity
  - Additive Identity
  - Additive Inverse
  - Commutativity of Multiplication
  - Associativity of Multiplication
  - Multiplicative Identity
  - Multiplicative Inverse
  - Distributive Property

The set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a field because it fails under the multiplicative inverse.

2. Positivity

There is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ , called the set of positive numbers for which:

- If  $x$  and  $y$  are positive, then  $x + y$  and  $xy$  are both positive.
- For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , exactly one of the following 3 alternatives is true:  $x \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $-x \in \mathcal{P}$ , or  $x = 0$

3. Completeness

**Definition 1.3.** **Absolute value** is defined as

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.4.** **Triangle Inequality** is  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, |a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$

*Proof.* Assume without loss of generality,  $a \geq b$ . We will proceed with proof by cases.

Case 1: Assume  $a \geq b \geq 0$ . Then  $|a + b| = a + b$  by the definition of absolute value since  $a \geq 0, b \geq 0 \implies |a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|$ .

Case 2: Now assume  $a \geq 0 \geq b$  and  $a + b \geq 0$ . Note since  $b \leq 0$  then  $b \leq |b|$ . Then

$$|a + b| = a + b \leq |a| + |b|$$

by the definition of absolute value and our above note.

Case 3: Now consider  $a \geq 0 \geq b$  and  $a + b < 0$ . So

$$|a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b \leq |a| + |b|$$

Case 4: Now consider  $0 \geq a \geq b$  so  $a + b < 0$ . Therefore,

$$|a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b = |a| + |b|$$

□

## §2 The Completeness Axiom

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be **bounded above** if  $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq r \forall s \in S$

The definition of **bounded below** is similar.

**Definition 2.2.** The least upper bound, if it exists, is called the **supremum** of  $S$ . We denote it as the "sup" of  $S$ . Similarly, the largest lower bound is called the **infimum** and is denoted as the "inf" of  $S$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  where  $S \neq \emptyset$ . If  $S$  has a largest (smallest), the element is a max (min).

### Example 2.4

Find the sup of  $(0, 1)$  and prove it.

*Proof.* Let us prove that the  $\sup(0, 1) = 1$ . First, let us show that we have an upperbound. If  $x \in (0, 1)$ , then  $x \leq 1$ . By definition of upperbound, 1 is an upper bound. Note that we can find many other upper bounds.

On the contrary, assume  $x < 1$  is an upper bound. Now consider the average  $\frac{1+x}{2}$ .

$$\frac{x+1}{2} < \frac{1+1}{2} = 1$$

Therefore, we have showed that  $0 < \frac{x+1}{2} < 1 \implies \frac{x+1}{2} \in (0, 1)$ . But,  $\frac{1+x}{2} > \frac{x+x}{2} \implies \frac{1+x}{2} > x$ . This is a contradiction. Since  $x$  is an upper bound, and we found  $\frac{1+x}{2} \in (0, 1)$  where  $\frac{1+x}{2} > x$ , so  $x$  is not a supremum.

□

### Theorem 2.5

Suppose  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}, S \neq \emptyset$  that is bounded above. Then a supremum exists. Every nonempty subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below has a lower bound.

**Note 2.6.** Let  $c$  be a positive number.  $\exists!$

**Definition 2.7.** The **Archimedian Property** is a result of the completeness axiom. Suppose there is a small  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $c$  is an arbitrary large number.

1.  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c < n$ , which just means that you can always find a natural number than any large number
2.  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ , which just means you can always find smaller rational numbers.