

MATH410: Advanced Calculus I

JAMES ZHANG^{*}

January 29, 2024

These are my notes for UMD’s MATH410: Advanced Calculus I. These notes are taken live in class (“live- \TeX “-ed). This course is taught by Lecturer Anna Szczekutowicz.

Contents

1	Set Theory Preliminaries	2
2	The Completeness Axiom	3
2.1	Archimedian Property	4

^{*}Email: jzhang72@terpmail.umd.edu

§1 Set Theory Preliminaries

This section covers the foundation of analysis, which is just the set of real numbers. It covers basic definitions such as $\in, \notin, \emptyset, \subseteq, =, \cap, \cup, \setminus$, so for example

Definition 1.1. **Intersection** of A and B is $C = A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$

Some quantifiers include $\forall, \exists, \exists!$ and some number sets include $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^C$.

Definition 1.2. The real numbers \mathbb{R} satisfies 3 groups of axioms: Refer to the notes on Canvas for the Consequences of all of the following axioms.

1. Field $(+, *)$
 - Commutativity of Addition
 - Associativity
 - Additive Identity
 - Additive Inverse
 - Commutativity of Multiplication
 - Associativity of Multiplication
 - Multiplicative Identity
 - Multiplicative Inverse
 - Distributive Property

The set of integers \mathbb{Z} is not a field because it fails under the multiplicative inverse.

2. Positivity

There is a subset of \mathbb{R} denoted by \mathcal{P} , called the set of positive numbers for which:

- If x and y are positive, then $x + y$ and xy are both positive.
- For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, exactly one of the following 3 alternatives is true: $x \in \mathcal{P}$, $-x \in \mathcal{P}$, or $x = 0$

3. Completeness

Definition 1.3. **Absolute value** is defined as

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.4. **Triangle Inequality** is $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, |a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality, $a \geq b$. We will proceed with proof by cases.

Case 1: Assume $a \geq b \geq 0$. Then $|a + b| = a + b$ by the definition of absolute value since $a \geq 0, b \geq 0 \implies |a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|$.

Case 2: Now assume $a \geq 0 \geq b$ and $a + b \geq 0$. Note since $b \leq 0$ then $b \leq |b|$. Then

$$|a + b| = a + b \leq |a| + |b|$$

by the definition of absolute value and our above note.

Case 3: Now consider $a \geq 0 \geq b$ and $a + b < 0$. So

$$|a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b \leq |a| + |b|$$

Case 4: Now consider $0 \geq a \geq b$ so $a + b < 0$. Therefore,

$$|a + b| = -(a + b) = -a - b = |a| + |b|$$

□

§2 The Completeness Axiom

Definition 2.1. A subset S of \mathbb{R} is said to be **bounded above** if $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s \leq r \forall s \in S$

The definition of **bounded below** is similar.

Definition 2.2. The least upper bound, if it exists, is called the **supremum** of S . We denote it as the "sup" of S . Similarly, the largest lower bound is called the **infimum** and is denoted as the "inf" of S .

Definition 2.3. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ where $S \neq \emptyset$. If S has a largest (smallest), the element is a max (min).

Example 2.4

Find the sup of $(0, 1)$ and prove it.

Proof. Let us prove that the $\sup(0, 1) = 1$. First, let us show that we have an upperbound. If $x \in (0, 1)$, then $x \leq 1$. By definition of upperbound, 1 is an upper bound. Note that we can find many other upper bounds.

On the contrary, assume $x < 1$ is an upper bound. Now consider the average $\frac{1+x}{2}$.

$$\frac{x+1}{2} < \frac{1+1}{2} = 1$$

Therefore, we have showed that $0 < \frac{x+1}{2} < 1 \implies \frac{x+1}{2} \in (0, 1)$. But, $\frac{1+x}{2} > \frac{x+x}{2} \implies \frac{1+x}{2} > x$. This is a contradiction. Since x is an upper bound, and we found $\frac{1+x}{2} \in (0, 1)$ where $\frac{1+x}{2} > x$, so x is not a supremum.

□

Theorem 2.5

Suppose $S \in \mathbb{R}, S \neq \emptyset$ that is bounded above. Then a supremum exists. Every nonempty subset S of \mathbb{R} that is bounded below has a lower bound.

Note 2.6. Let c be a positive number then $\exists!$ a positive number whose square is c . $x^2 = c, x > 0$ has a unique solution and this gives us the notion of square root.

§2.1 Archimedean Property

Definition 2.7. The **Archimedean Property** is a result of the completeness axiom. Suppose there is a small $\epsilon > 0$ and c is an arbitrary large number.

1. $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c < n$, which just means that you can always find a natural number than any large number
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$, which just means you can always find smaller rational numbers.

Proof. We will proceed by contradiction. Assume that \exists an upper bound c for the \mathbb{N} . So there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $c < n$. Since \mathbb{N} is bounded above, and the \mathbb{N} is nonempty, the supremum exists (Completeness Axiom). Let $s = \sup \mathbb{N}$. Consider $s - 1$ and $s - 1 < s = \sup \mathbb{N}$, which is the least upper bound, so $s - 1$ is not an upper bound. So $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s - 1 < n \implies s < n + 1$. But $s = \sup \mathbb{N}$, the least upper bound, this is a contradiction since it is less than $(n + 1) \in \mathbb{N}$.

For part b , use $c = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and use part a . □

Note 2.8. Some of the following are results from the Archimedean Property.

Theorem 2.9

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is no integer in $(n, n + 1)$ (an open interval).

Theorem 2.10

If S is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{Z} that is bounded above, then it has a max.

Theorem 2.11

* For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists! n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $[c, c + 1)$

Definition 2.12. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **dense** in \mathbb{R} if for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, then there is a $s \in S$ s.t. $s \in (a, b)$.

Theorem 2.13

\mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . Reminder that $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$

Proof. Suppose we have arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. We want to find $\frac{m}{n} \in (a, b)$. By multiplication, we can say we want $na < m < nb$. We want an integer m between na and nb . We can write this as

$$nb - na > 1 \implies n(b - a) > 1 \implies n > \frac{1}{b - a}$$

By part *a* of the Archimedian Property, let $c = \frac{1}{b-a}$, and we know that there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > c$. Since $a < b$, and $b - a > 0$, multiply

$$n > \frac{1}{b - a}$$

$$n(b - a) > 1$$

$$nb - na > 1$$

$$nb - 1 > na \implies na < nb - 1$$

By previous (*), $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $m \in [nb - 1, nb)$. Therefore, $nb - 1 \leq m < nb$. Therefore,

$$na \leq nb - 1 \leq m < nb \implies na < m < nb \implies a < \frac{m}{n} < b$$

and so we have found that there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{m}{n} \in (a, b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Therefore, the rational numbers are dense in the real numbers. \square