# Notes of all papers read so far

Thejasvi Beleyur

Last Updated : 2020-07-24

# Contents

W	at this book is about	5
1	Coledo et al. 2020, Science         .1 Introduction          .2 Methods          .3 Results	7 7 7 8
2	Harten et al. 2020, Science  1. Introduction, Methods, Results	<b>9</b> 9
3	Vikelski et al. 2020           .1 Methods	13 13 13
4	Ratcliffe et al. 2004, Can. J. Zool.         .1 Introduction          .2 Methods          .3 Analysis          .4 Results	15 15 15 16 16
5	Benediktova et al. 2020         .1 Methods          .2 Results          .3 Discussion          .4 Overall thoughts / Comments	19 20 20 21
6	Habersetzer 1981         .1 Methods          .2 Results          .3 Overall thoughts / Comments	23 23 23 24
7	Pye 1972, J. Zool. Lond  1. Introduction	25 25

4 CONTENTS

	7.3	Results	25
8	Jon	es & Ransome 1993, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B	27
	8.1	Introduction	27
	8.2	Methods	27
	8.3	Results	27
	8.4	Discussion	28
9	Sch	uchmann & Siemers 2010, PLoS One	29
	9.1	Introduction	29
	9.2	Methods	30
	9.3	Results	30
	9.4	Discussion	30
10	Hal	sey 2019, Biology Letters	31
10		Introduction	31
		Alternates to p-value testing:	32
		Effect sizes and confidence intervals	$\frac{32}{32}$
		Bayes factor: comparitive evidence of the null and alternate hy-	32
	10.4	potheses	33
	10.5	Akaike information criterion	33
		Conclusion	33
11		sey, Curran-Everett, Vowler, Drummond, 2015 Nature	
		thods	35
		Introduction	35
		statistical power is generally low -> p values can't be trusted	35
	11.3	Exaggerated effect sizes	36
12	<b>A</b> U	THORS ET AL. YYYY, J. STHING. STHING.	37
		Introduction	37
		Methods	37
	12.3	Results	37
	12.4	Discussion	37

# What this book is about

This book is a compilation of all the notes I will be making for the papers that I read from now. I've been realising my paper notes are scattered everywhere, across multiple folders and multiple computers, this is my attempt at trying to unify everything into one place.

6 CONTENTS

# Toledo et al. 2020, Science

Cognitive map-based navigation in wild bats revealed by a new high-throughput tracking system. (Toledo et al., 2020)

• notes taken on 2020-07-14

#### 1.1 Introduction

- map based navigation goes beyond just simple modes eg. beacon following or landmark based navigation.
- bats are known to return to their normal sites even after displacement, which suggests 'map-and-compass' navigation style
- Authors' previous results showed that fruit bats flew straight paths, but this was limited to a few nights of data.
- $\bullet$  In this study, authors managed to study 172 bats over a cumulative of 3449 nights.

#### 1.2 Methods

- ATLAS a reverse GPS system, where the animal wears a tag that emits a signal which is received by multiple ground stations - and thus using TOADs, the animal can be detected.
- ATLAS coverage region is  $\sim 88,200$  hectares (or an area that's 29X29km big!!)
- bats tagged, and all fruit trees within a given region recorded.
- Also performed translocation experiments. Each bat was translocated to the periphery of its normal foraging area, but within detection range of their foraging area

#### 8CHAPTER 1. COGNITIVE MAPS IN BATS WITH HIGH THROUGHPUT TRACKING

 Also performed time-lag embedding to understand how complex the navigational mechanism is

#### 1.3 Results

- Bats exhibited straight tracks, which is indicative of goal-directed behabiou
- Each bat had its favourite tree, and visited it every night, and even visited it from multiple directions of arrival
- Solid evidence for a cognitive map is when an animal moves between two points that can't be detected/seen/observed from each other (ie. it requires a kind of 'rigorous' mapping)
- 4.3% of all tracks, and 70/172 bats actually showed such shortcuts
- There was no difference in the rate at which shortcuts happened between the age groups of bats tagged
- following a conspecific they talk about it by saying that in their dataset, they didn't see individuals flying close together but they only tagged 172 bats of ??? thousand in the whole population
- Translocated bats were able to return to their normal foraging area
- Time-lag embedding showed a high-dimensional correlation (?) indicating there must be many difference navigational factors
  - If bats were following a simple navigational route, they might always arrive and depart from the same direction - but the authors don't see this.
  - Authors don't seem convinced about the idea of an olfactory map
  - Authors also rule out the idea of pure path integration because they show that many bats returned to a different cave than the one they started out the evening from

Comments

1.3.1

• Fig 2E: why would you use the p-value to show the *absence* of an effect? The p-value per se is hinged on so many other factors (eg.power, effect size, sample size), why not just report the raw data

•

# Harten et al. 2020, Science

The ontogeny of a mammalian cognitive map in the real world (Harten et al., 2020)

• notes taken on 2020-07-16

#### 2.1 Introduction, Methods, Results

- Whether animals navigate using 'maps'or not remains a question. The ability to take shortcuts, or direct routes between two points is a hallmark of map based navigation.
- The main problem with studying animal namvigation in the wild is that
  we can never be sure that the animal has not taken an apparent 'shortcut'
  before.
- authors were able to GPS track 22 young Egyptian Fruit Bats (*Rousettus aegeyptiacus*) from their first flight out of the roost
- Young bats increased their home range over the course of ~70 nights, by which they had the same home range size as an adult.
- Individuals showed two types of broad flight behaviour over a night, 'exploratory', where they explored for new trees, and nights where they visited prviously visted trees.
- Evidence to support the fact that the shortcuts were intentional:
  - shortcut were as straight as familiar routes ('commutes')
  - individuals seem to head in the direction of their target from the start of the 'shortcut'

- the 'shortcuts' could not be replicated by a random correlated walk (this seems like a bit of a straw man null model (link), especially since the data is clearly so directional. The authors also specifically mention 'but without any navigational goal')
- Bats performed both shortcuts and long-cuts from their first day outside, this is pretty impressive, but this also makes me think that the bats may actually be relying on a kind of path integration. Is their apatial memory so good that they can start mapping things over the course of one night? Is it possible that the bats may actually be using a beacon-type stategy to find their way around?
- Authors rule out olfaction and sound based cues by comparing wind direction and actual recordings made on the backpack tags. Both don't show support.
- 'Bats that were closer to the translocation release point before the translocation night did not necessarily navigate home better, once again contradicting the template-matching hypothesis', the authors also go on to follow and say that bats that flew higher were better able to find their way back. This actually doesn't rule out the template matching hypothesis either, because it might just mean that bats that flew higher had larger access to the area below, to form a 'higher SNR' template perhaps...
- The authors do also admit that the navigation behaviou they observed may be a result of multiple navigation strategies: '..., navigation is a complex behavior that probably does not always rely on a single strategy'

Comments

- 'How animals navigate over large-scale environments remains a riddle', first line of the abstract starts with a rather bold statement. Is this statement really true for all animals, am under the impression that there is a large body of work for at least some animals.
- 'We documented how young pups developed their visual-based map' - interesting, does this mean, echolocation develops later, or that the bats are known to use primarily vision for their navigation?
- Remember listening to a talk by Lee Harten in Konstanz ASAB, where she also presented work on the flight behaviour of the mother, who carried her pups around, and how the mother used to leave the pup in one tree, and so on. Do the authors discuss the implications of this type of memory on the shortcut taking ability of the young bats? Yes, the authors have taken care of this, in the SI, they clearly state the mother and the pups

•

2.1.1

were brought into an indoor facility, and the pups were kept indoors until they could fly

• What about bats flying together?, or encountering each other? Their inhourse colony data kind of excludes this idea because the individual bats arrive alone, and are spaced b a few minutes. This is not the most rigorous evidence, but is still pretty indicatibe, eg. even in Orlova Chuka (and other caves), you can see the bats arrive alone in the morning. Howeer, this still doesn't really exclude the fact that bats may be encountering each other at some point over the course of the night.

•

# Wikelski et al. 2020

Potential short-term eathquake forecasting by farm animal monitoring (Wikelski et al., 2020)

- weird animal behaviour just before earthquakes habe been reported, including dramatic cases where snakes and rats came out of their winter burrows during the winter in the 1975 Haicheng earthquake
- finding reliable changes in animal behaviour is tough because animal the animal behaviour needs to be monitored before and during the earthquake.
- Authors were able to overcome some of the limitations in the data this
  time by tagging multiple farm animals with high-resolution GPS tags that
  were equipped with many types of sensors
- Authors measured the behaviour of animals at the M6.6 Norcia earthquake that happened on 2016

#### 3.1 Methods

- Animals chosen from a farm based on which ones the animals thought were most sensitive to the earthquakes.
- Two tagging periods, once before + during the earthquake, once after

#### 3.2 Data description

• Between ..., the animals experienced a total of 5,304 earthquakes with M > 0.4 ...and from ... a total of 12,948 ... didn't realise that earthquakes were so frequent in some areas.

• The 'hypocenters' of the earthquakes were anywhere between 5-28 km from the farm -all relatively close by!

#### 3.2.1 Results

- Find a negative correlation between time of increased animal activity and earthquake intensity. For earthquakes  $\geq 4$  M, the animals responded earlier to quakes that were closer to the farm, and later to those that were further away from the farm.
- "Warning times" ranged from 1-15 hours
- Animals seemed to be more sensitive to earthquakes in closed buildings but there may be a seasonal factor in the observations too
- What are the possible cues the animals are using to detect/respond to these earthquakes
  - The inverse relation hints at a diffusive type process. "air ionization at pressurised rock surfaces" – diffusing into the air, to which the animals may be responding to.

#### 3.2.2 Overall thoughts

- very intersting paper, which quantifies something which has been known but has now been studied in greater detail through this new technology.
- authors also suggest a future experimental setup where a series of animal moinorting stations could be used to predict the position and time of arrival of an earthquake.

# Ratcliffe et al. 2004, Can. J. Zool.

Conspecifics influence call design in the Brazilian free-tailed bat, Tadarida brasiliensis (Ratcliffe et al., 2004)

• notes taken on 2020-07-21

#### 4.1 Introduction

- echolocation is pretty flexible and the emitted calls vary a lot based on the type of prey being caught, and the presence of conspecifics
- This paper is a kind of offspring of another (Avila-Flores 2003), where the authors saw that there was more call variation when bats flew together than when alone.
- Authors try to estimate this variation by comparing observed pairs of bat calls and 'virtual' pairs of bat calls.

#### 4.2 Methods

- Free flying *Tadarida brasiliensis* recorded in three locations in Mexico City, of bats flying over a lake, and two others in open areas (park and city square)
- 30 sequences from each location obtained (15 single bat passes + 15 paired passes).
- Authors specifically chose call sequences with no overlap of bat calls.

#### 4.3 Analysis

- To compare the single vs paired call behaviour, the difference in the mean values was used
- The difference in the mean,  $\Delta_{mean}$  was used to compare if a bat showed alterations to its echolocation when alone vs. when with another bats.
- To understand if bats actually altered their call parameters when flying in groups the authors calculated the pair difference  $bat1_{measurement} bat2_{measurement}$  for actual observed pairs of bat call sequences, and those of virtual pairs.
- The pair difference was calculated by subtracting the means of the  $bat1_{measurement}$  and  $bat2_{measurement}$

#### 4.4 Results

- Authors didn't find any statistical difference in call parameters across locations and so decided to pool them all together (and thus used single bat call sequences from multiple locations while making virtual pairs). (See comments)
- Authors found no inter-individual call differences across observed and virtual pairs for the parameters 1) call duration 2) bandwidth, and found differences in the peak frequency. (See comments)
- The authors also saw social calls in paired audio files.
- The authors are indeed careful while ending 'To be even-handed....changes in peak frequency which we found...are neither jamming avoidance nor air traffic control, but serve another and as yet undetermined communicative function'

#### 4.4.1 Comments

- authors state 'Although referred to as jamming avoidance..., support for this interpretation is not as strong as that for the jamming avoidance response in electric fish'. They also go on to state that labortatory studies seem to have 'met with some success'. In general, does this support the fact that bats in the field may actually not be showing dramatic changes in calls at all it's only when they're put into a quiet unusual lab context that they begin to show changes. Perhaps, this strong response is seen because the animals have gotten used to flying under quiest conditions, and are now suddenly challenged?
- how do the authors actually know that the two bats in a paired call sequence were flying together/in close proximity? 'We assinged each recorded seequence to one of two situations....and two bats flying close proximity'

4.4. RESULTS 17

- this is a rather vague definition. With a single bat detector, it is not possible to track the bats in 3d, at most one can check if the waveforms of the two bat calls are similar, but here too it only means that the two bats were flying in the same radius from the bat detector. It is somewhat crude, though admittedly, the best possible criteria given the current instrument.

- Authors actually pooled call sequences from multiple locations and made virtual call pairs from this pool. This will actually have the effect of *increasing* the diversity of the observed data? Also, it is known from tracking studies that individual bats tend of have favourit foraging sites and so in some sense, it is expected that there will be a 'local' flavour to the data. It would have been nice to see the authors perform the same analysis without pooling across locations.
- The authors find differences in peak frequency between real and virtual pairs. This could really be an effect of where the bats were flying while in pairs vs when they were alone. The simplest explanation is that the bats may be flying further away from the microphone, thus leading to different SNRs at recording which then leads to different peak frequencies. The difference in the peak frequency could really be an artifact and not a real effect. Moreover, given the authors state that they do see social calls, the line between social call and echolocation call is a thin one, which means, perhaps the authors are seeing this effect? The authors state this themselves 'Our recordings suggest a continuum in call features between echolocation and social calls...'

\_

### Benediktova et al. 2020

Magnetic alignment enhances homing efficiency of hunting dogs (Benediktová et al., 2020)

- Notes taken on 2020-07-22
- 'homing' behaviour has been shown in many animals, and could be driven by multiple cues. Homing behaviour in non-migratory species still needs to be excelled more.
- Reports of dogs that found their way back even when displaced beyond their usual home range, and without access to familiar visual cues.
- Hunting dogs have been bred by humans to chase game and then return
  to the start point if not followed by the hunter. These 'hunts' can ve a
  few hundreds to thousands of meter long.
- These dogs may find their way back either using their own scent trail which the authors called 'tracking' or, by actually navigating using the landmarks or information gained during the onward travel, which the authors call 'scouting'

#### 5.1 Methods

- The hunting dogs were left to innately follow the olfactory tracks of game animals in the wildlife reserve
- Small dogs were used, and so there was no 'physical threat' to wild animals
- All dogs were tagged with GPS collars, and some of the trials with dogs also had a camera involved.
- Trials were performed with single dogs, and in areas free of high voltage power lines, roads or buildings and across the day and season (across the

year)

- There needs to be some more clarity in terms of when a trial started (see *Comments*)
- Owners hid behind a tree to reduce visual beaconing
- Only excursions >200 metres were considered for this study
- Authors split all excursions into 10 equal parts, and assigned phases to them based on the average speed of the part.

#### 5.1.1 Return strategies

- Dog return strategies could be categorised into two classes
  - tracking: the inbound and outbound trajectory are the same , and the inbound-outbound trajectories were  ${<}30~\mathrm{m}$  apart
  - scouting: a new woute was taken, and the inbound-outbound trajectories were > 30 m apart

#### 5.2 Results

- in ~59% of all trials, dogs ended up tracking their way back, while in 33% of the trials, dogs ended up scouting their way back, and in 8% of the trials, dogs used a mic of scouting and tracking
- Scouting dogs showed a higher average speed while returning (because they tended to take shorter routes)
- Trials began and ended with no particular bias in the compass directions, though trials which were in the north-south axis, showed more efficient homing runs
- wind direction and sun location didn't seem to make a difference
- dogs returning with a scouting strategy seemed to begin by first travelling along the north-south axis, irrespective of the actual location of the destination

#### 5.3 Discussion

- Authors convincingly rule out the possibility of multiple cues begin used(vision, olfaction, celestial cues). The dogs are too short to see far, and the forest is pretty opaque. Olfactory cues are likely to change a lot, though one can't rule it out completely
- The sun's location/polarised light this they haven't been fully able to elimination but they indicate that there were trials where the sun wa blocked by clouds which would reduce the strength of polarised light 'map'

• Authors do highlight the fact that path integration is definitely one possible mechanism by which the dogs in this tudy may be finding their way back, but the question of the north-south run still remains - and it may in fact serve as a kind of recalibration run, over which the errors accumulated over path integration can somehow be 'corrected'?

#### 5.4 Overall thoughts / Comments

- Really smoth introduction easy to follow
- It's not entirely clear how the trial exactly proceeded. The dogs were brought to a site, and then left to roam free.
  - The handheld GPS device was programmed to indicate when the dog had travelled 100 m from the position of the owner. At this moment (designated as 'excursion start') the owners stopped walking.... This is the confusing part, because, what was the owner doing before that? Were the dog and the owner walking together (unleashed dog) on a trail, and then when the dog roamed far away enough, the trial was considered started. But this still elaves some room for error in terms, of wehere the dog left the 'main trail' and where the owner was when the trial started.....confusing
- In figure 4, bottom plot authors plot the *log* of the inbound track length against the raw beeline difference. This is a bit misleading perhaps? In general, yes, the log-transform will tend to show lesser variation but in general, there will always be a linear correlation between te distance the animal travelled and the beeline distance ...especially if there is some kind of navigation in place... am I being over-cautious here or not can't make out.
- Pretty cool study, this study ads to the general observation of so many magnetically related behaviours (dogs peeing/pooping facing one direction (where did i read this), arctic foxes always facing one stereotyped direction before ponching onto their prey underneath the snow, and cows facing in one direction while grazing)

### Habersetzer 1981

Adaptive echolocation sounds in the bat Rhinopoma hardwickei (Habersetzer, 1981)

- Notes taken on 2020-07-22, 23
- Rhinopoma hardwickei behaviour studied as they emerge from their caves.

#### 6.1 Methods

- Speed of the bat flight measured with a stroboscipic camera
- Echolocation calls recorded with a B & K microphone placed at the cave entrance.
- R. hardwickei lives relatively close to the cave entrance, and are found with other batr species too. The bats flew at an altidude of around 10-15metres above the ground
- Bats began to return to their roosts around 3:00-3:30 in the morning, but most of them returned later between 4:30-5:45 am.

#### 6.2 Results

- The author showed (I guess for the first time then) that *R. hardwickei* can actually modulate its echolocation calls, ie. by showing that the calls change over the course of a landing from classical quasi-CF to very FM
- During emergence, bats only emitted FM calls of ~3ms duration
- Emerging clusters seemed to have a preferred direction, while single bats that flew out didn't seem to have any preferred flight direction

- Single bats also seemed to emit CF type calls, in contrast to bats that were flying in groups
- Groups of bats sometimes formed over foraging grounds and in the early morning at the cave entrance itself. The bats in these groups emitted CF type calls.
- Individual bats in these groups seemed to emit calls with unique CF frequencies (Fig. 4 bottom), in contrast to single bats which seem to emit at a common frequency band while flying alone (Fig. 5)
- The bats seem to split thei CF frequencies into 3 bands, 30, 32.5 and 35 kHz.
- R. hardwickeii Cf calls don't seem to have an iFM or tFM unlike rhinolophids. The author seuggests this might mean that these bats are getting time delay purely through the envelpe of the call itself. I'm also fascinated by how the laryngeal mechanism must differ between the two species. Here, the absence of a FM to start/end means the bat must release the pressure uniformly over a very short period of time.

•

#### 6.3 Overall thoughts / Comments

• Pye (1972) also found something similar to this paper apparently - need to check it out.

# Pye 1972, J. Zool. Lond

Bimodal distribution of constant frequencies in some hipposiderid bats (Mammalia: Hipposideridae) (Pye, 1972)

-  $notes\ taken\ on\ 2020\mbox{-}07\mbox{-}23$  (incomplete notes, left the paper halfway through)

#### 7.1 Introduction

- Bats of one species seem to show echolocation in a 'common frequency band', ie. all measured bats calling within a 3kHz bandwidth
- Schnitzler 1968 found a max of 2.5kHz deviation across R. ferrumequinum and R. euryale
- Three species recorded in a cave in Kenya were however found to show 'subbands' with no individuals calling in between two main call frequencies.

#### 7.2 Methods

• CF peak frequency determined through Lissajous figures (see Comments)

#### 7.3 Results

• Hipposideros commersoni:

- Bat seemed to emit somewhat higher frequency than expected from its size
- Individuals either emitted at 56 or 66 kHz
- Also measuremed the inter-nostril difference, as this is known to be  ${\sim}0.5X$  the emitted wavelength the nostril lengths were indeed different
- Triaenops afer
  - This species also showed a bimodal distribution of CF at either 79 or  $88~\mathrm{kHz}$
  - Here it gets interesting! When individual animal call traces were placed over each other, then the calls seemed to be somewhat continuously spaced. But when a group of bats were flown together, bats seems to emit calls clearly in one of two sub-bands
  - Fig. 2 could the difference in the 'combined frequency profile' vs individual frequencies just be a function of flight speed. Maybe when the bats are palced together in a cage, they tend to overall fly a bit faster/slower leading to this difference in the emitted frequencies by individuals?

#### 7.3.1 Comments

• interesting to see how tricky it was back then to determine the peak CF frequency, something we do so routinely with a quick FFT nowadays. Pye had to visualise Lissajous figures, (comparing the CF call recordings with signals of known frequency)

•

# Jones & Ransome 1993, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B

Echolocation calls of bats are influenced by maternal effects and change over a lifetime (Jones and Ransome, 1993)

• notes taken on 2020-07-23

#### 8.1 Introduction

• R. ferrumequinum emits CF calls of ~50ms long at 83kHz.

#### 8.2 Methods

- Ringed wild bats were captured and recorded as they echolocated. Overall, 386 bats were recorded in the hand.
- Bats ranged from 1-28 years old

#### 8.3 Results

- Age seemed increase the resting frequency (RF), with a high increase from yaer 1 to 2, and then a gradual increase.
- There was also a seasonal effect where the lowest RFs were recorded during the winter, and highest values in summer.
- The RF of infant bats were correlated with the RF of the mother (See comments)

• The authors also find a correlation with the age of the mother and the sex of the infant on its RF. Pups born to younger mothers had higher RFs, than those born to older mothers

#### 8.4 Discussion

- authors point out that changes in RF with season may have to do with body temperature - as even active bats may not be as warm as they are in the summer.
- The correlation between mother and pup RFs may be explained by some form of learning perhaps
- changes in RF over age could be the result of hearing loss (neural/cochlear)

#### 8.4.1 Comments

- the x and y axed of Fig. 3 aren't equal make it hard to judge visually how strong the correlation is. Numbers-wise at least, the r value isn't particularly high.
- back in 1993, the processing times were quick! The paper was received 1 Feb. 1993, and accepted on 16 Feb. 1993!!

# Schuchmann & Siemers 2010, *PLoS One*

Variability in Echolocation Call Intensity in a Community of Horseshoe Bats: A Role for Resource Partitioning or Communication? (Incomplete) (Schuchmann and Siemers, 2010)

• notes taken on 2020-07-23

#### 9.1 Introduction

- Authors measure the source levels of a whole community of horseshoe bats near and around the Tabachka field station. They record call levels of 5 species.
- The CF distributions of the four-five species that geographically overlap in Europe, also overlap spectrally
- Rhinolophus mehelyi can tell apart conspecific calls in the midst of other overlapping species (See comments)

- 9.2 Methods
- 9.3 Results
- 9.4 Discussion
- 9.4.1 Comments
  - Need to read ref. 24, Schuchmann & Siemers 2010 (Am. Nat.) a habituation-dishabituation study

# Halsey 2019, Biology Letters

The reign of the p-value is over: what alternative analyses could we employ to fill the power vacuum? (Halsey, 2019)

• notes taken on 2020-07-24

#### 10.1 Introduction

- The p value has been used and abused, and in some sense has contributed to the reproducibility crisis in science
- The p value is based on the null hypothesis being true, and therefore doesn;t actually provide any information on how true the alternate hypothesis is which is actually our question.
- If the p-value is 'high'/non-significant \*it doesn't tell us anything because there is an open question if our method could pick up a difference given the current sample size?
- 'Moreover, with a big enough sample size, inevitably the null hypothesis will be rejected; perversely, a p-value based statistical result is as informative about our sampole as it is about our hypothesis' (See comments)
- p-values can vary between replicates of the same study even when statistical power is high! (See comments)
- interpreting p-values as signficant or not (dichotomously) encourages failed experiment replication hmmm

- Even with 80% power —> prob. of getting two studies/replicates significant is  $0.64~(0.8^2)$ , while getting even one of these two studies/replicates significant is  $0.32(2\times0.8\times0.2)$
- 'th ep-value is typically highly imprecise about theamount of evidence against the null hypothesis, and thuspshould be considered as providing only loose, first passevidence about the phenomenon being studied'

#### 10.2 Alternates to p-value testing:

#### 10.2.1 P-value prediction interval

- the p-value is attractive, and a nice objective indicator of evidence against the null hypothesis
- author suggests presenting variability of p-values across samples, because p-values can be so variable : the 'p-value prediction interval' Cumming 2008

#### 10.2.2 Estimate likelihood of false positive

- This method requires a prior 'feeling'/idea of how likely it is that there will be an effect, this is kind of hard to come by for observational studies, or those where there's no literature to say anything??
- The author also provides an 'inverse' option, where the inputs are the obtained p-value + the power of the test. If the false positive risk is set at 5% ( $\alpha=0.05$ ), then the tool will provide an estimate of your prior expectation that the treatment will have an effect

#### 10.3 Effect sizes and confidence intervals

- The effect size (differnce in mean between the groups) is easy to estimate and a 95% confidence interval can be reported along with it.
- The confidence interval based approach with full reporting of the info prevents false claims from being made (yes/no effect)

# 10.4 Bayes factor: comparitive evidence of the null and alternate hypotheses

- the controversy about the 'subjectivity' in defining the prior can be solved by running a sensitivity analysis that explores a range of prior definitions
- the 'simplified Bayes factor' (SIB) is an alternate index which can be used to assess (Seems to be more relevant for planned studies and their stopping rules, also see Comments)

#### 10.5 Akaike information criterion

- The AIC provides an idea of how well your model represents reality and handles the tradeoff between model complexity and over-fitting
- AIC is nice to compare between models, but it doesn't provide an absolute estimate of which model is the best. Among the models that are under consideration, it may very well be the case that the one with the lowest AIC doesn't capture the variation in the data as well as the other models a check of the model's actual fit still needs to be done.

#### 10.6 Conclusion

• 'Primarily, the argument goes, you should prioritize interpretation of graphical plots of your data, where possible, and treat statistical analyses as supporting or confirmatory information'

#### 10.6.1 Comments

- A low p-value can thus be a result of a high effect size for a given sample size, or a very large sample size for a given small effect size. So, how does one go about disentangling this issue then?? Perhaps with power analyses which then adds to the amount of work that needs to be done anyway..?
- the p-value is not stable...hmm, even across replicates this is kind of scary to know. ie. in (Halsey et al., 2015), they state 'The reason for this is that unless statistical power is very high, the P value exhibits wide sample-to-sample variability and thus does not reliably indicate the strength of evidence against the null hypothesis', and 'If statistical power is limited, regardless of whether the P value returned from a statistical test is low or high, a repeat of the same experiment will likely result in a substantially different P value'
- Not sure how different this simplified Bayes factor is from a p-value I also don't understand how it overcomes the problems associated with

- dichotomous type p-value testing. Moreover, if the p-value itself is 'fickle', then it will also result in unstable SIB estimates too how to overcome this problem...
- haha, nice the author also provides a link to another paper Sneddon, Lewis, Bury 2017, J. Exp. Biol., where Box 2 has a template passage highlighting the problems of p-values!

# Halsey, Curran-Everett, Vowler, Drummond, 2015 Nature Methods

The fickle P value generates irreproducible results (Halsey et al., 2015)

• notes taken on 2020-07-24

#### 11.1 Introduction

- p-values often used without understanding the statistical power in play
- statistical power is often only considered after an 'effect' could not be detected
- unless statistical power is very high, p-values vary a lot from sample to sample
- Ronald Fisher actually intended p-values to be used as a continuous indication of support for the null hypothesis
  - but, here too if the statistical power is already low, no matter what
    the p-value will not be reliable!!

# 11.2 statistical power is generally low -> p values can't be trusted

• Even when statistical power is close to 90%, p-values are not stable

- Considering that many studies are designed with 80% power, this means there is probably even more variation in p-values to be expected
- low statistical power —> low sample sizes -> higher data variability

### 11.3 Exaggerated effect sizes

• A low-power test will give a low p-value only when the samples are very unrepresentative (ie. come from the edges of the distribution) – but it can definitely happen (Comments)

#### 11.3.1 Comments

• the possibility of extreme samples contributing to low p-values, may also lead to a dramatic effect size. In some sense, there may be no *statistical* way to overcome this problem - the only way to resolve this situation may be to increase sample size?

•

# AUTHORS ET AL. YYYY, J. STHING. STHING.

BIG TITLE OUT HERE IN FULL (Schuchmann and Siemers, 2010)

- notes taken on YYYY-MM-DD
- 12.1 Introduction
- 12.2 Methods
- 12.3 Results
- 12.4 Discussion
- 12.4.1 Comments

# Bibliography

- Benediktová, K., Adámková, J., Svoboda, J., Painter, M. S., Bartoš, L., Nováková, P., Vynikalová, L., Hart, V., Phillips, J., and Burda, H. (2020). Magnetic alignment enhances homing efficiency of hunting dogs. *Elife*, 9:e55080.
- Habersetzer, J. (1981). Adaptive echolocation sounds in the batrhinopoma hard-wickei. *Journal of Comparative Physiology*, 144(4):559–566.
- Halsey, L. G. (2019). The reign of the <i>p</i>-value is over: what alternative analyses could we employ to fill the power vacuum? *Biology Letters*, 15(5):20190174.
- Halsey, L. G., Curran-Everett, D., Vowler, S. L., and Drummond, G. B. (2015). The fickle p value generates irreproducible results. *Nature methods*, 12(3):179–185.
- Harten, L., Katz, A., Goldshtein, A., Handel, M., and Yovel, Y. (2020). The ontogeny of a mammalian cognitive map in the real world. *Science*, 369(6500):194–197.
- Jones, G. and Ransome, R. D. (1993). Echolocation calls of bats are influenced by maternal effects and change over a lifetime. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 252(1334):125–128.
- Pye, J. (1972). Bimodal distribution of constant frequencies in some hipposiderid bats (mammalia: Hipposideridae). *Journal of Zoology*, 166(3):323–335.
- Ratcliffe, J. M., Hofstede, H. M. t., Avila-Flores, R., Fenton, M. B., McCracken, G. F., Biscardi, S., Blasko, J., Gillam, E., Orprecio, J., and Spanjer, G. (2004). Conspecifics influence call design in the brazilian free-tailed bat, tadarida brasiliensis. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 82(6):966–971.
- Schuchmann, M. and Siemers, B. M. (2010). Variability in echolocation call intensity in a community of horseshoe bats: A role for resource partitioning or communication? *PLOS ONE*, 5(9):1–10.
- Toledo, S., Shohami, D., Schiffner, I., Lourie, E., Orchan, Y., Bartan, Y., and

40 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Nathan, R. (2020). Cognitive map—based navigation in wild bats revealed by a new high-throughput tracking system. *Science*, 369(6500):188–193.

Wikelski, M., Mueller, U., Scocco, P., Catorci, A., Desinov, L. V., Belyaev, M. Y., Keim, D., Pohlmeier, W., Fechteler, G., and Martin Mai, P. (2020). Potential short-term earthquake forecasting by farm animal monitoring. *Ethology*, n/a(n/a).