4/4/2025

Software Testing Document



Team Member Document Review

Member Name	Signature or Initial	Reviewed on (Date)
Jaimin Savaliya	JS	4 th April 2025
Jaynesh Bhandari	JB	4 th April 2025
Krunal Savaj	KS	4 th April 2025
Nithin Murthy	NM	4 th April 2025
Rajath Gokhale	RG	4 th April 2025

Document Revision History

Version	Changes	Date
1.0	First Draft	4 th April 2025

Table of Contents

1 P	roject Description	5
2 O	verall Testing Plan	6
2.1	Testing Approach:	6
3 Si	tatic Testing	7
3.2	Automated Static Analysis	7
3.3	Manual Reviews	8
3.4	Testing Approach	9
3.5	Traceability Matrix	9
3.6	Expected Defects & Mitigation	9
4 U	NIT TESTS	10
4.1	TEST CASES	10
4.2	Traceability Matrix	11
4.3	Tools & Framework	11
5 In	ntegration Tests	12

5.1	Test Cases	12
5.2	Traceability Matrix	15
6 V	alidation Tests	16
6.1	Requirements Review	16
6.	1.1 Functional Requirements Validation	16
6.	1.2 Non-Functional Requirements Validation	16
6.2	Client Evaluation	17
6.3	Functional & Non-Functional Test Cases	17
6.4	Traceability Matrix for Functional/Non-Functional Reqs	18
6.5	Additional Test Cases for Frontend	18
6.6	Traceability Matrix for Frontend Validation Tests	22
6.7	Tools & Metrics	22
7 S	ystem Tests	23
7.1	TEST CASES	23

1 Project Description

The WinterGreen Healthcare Provider On-boarding application automates healthcare provider onboarding by:

- Integrating with EHR systems (e.g., Cerner, eCW or Athena health) via modular AWS Lambda functions.
- Storing structured provider data in AWS RDS and processed healthcare data in S3.
- Enabling scheduled data refreshes every 30 days.
- Ensuring IAM roles and secure credential management via AWS Secrets Manager.

Key Features:

- Serverless architecture for scalability.
- Configuration-driven EHR integration (no code changes needed for new systems).
- Step Functions for workflow orchestration.

2 Overall Testing Plan

2.1 Testing Approach:

- o **Test-as-you-go:** Unit and integration tests during development; system/validation tests post-development.
- o Team Assignments:
 - Frontend Team: UI/UX validation, form input testing, penetration testing.
 - Backend Team: Lambda function logic, EHR API integration.
- o **Bias Avoidance:** Cross-team reviews (e.g., backend team tests frontend integration).
- o **Testing Types:**
 - Static (code conventions, security checks).
 - Unit (Lambda functions, modules).
 - Integration (EHR \leftrightarrow Lambda \leftrightarrow HealthLake workflows).
 - System (end-to-end onboarding, stress testing).
 - Validation (client UAT).

3 Static Testing

3.2 Automated Static Analysis

Test ID	Scope	Method/Tool	Success Criteria
STC-001	Python Lambda Functions	Codiga + Pylint	Zero critical code smells; PEP8 compliance.
STC-002	Security Vulnerabilities		No SQLi/XSS risks; secrets managed via AWS Secrets Manager.
STC-003	EHR Integration Configurations	Prospector (YAML/DB checks)	Valid EHR API endpoints; no hardcoded credentials.
STC-004	Data Flow (FHIR/JSON)	SonarQube	HealthLake transformations match FHIR standards.

3.3 Manual Reviews

Test ID	Scope	Method	Success Criteria
STC-005	Modularity &	Peer Code	Frontend Lambdas
	Separation of	Walkthrough	do not contain
	Concerns		backend business
			logic.
STC-006	Error Logging	Technical Review	Logs include
	Design		timestamps, error
			codes, and
			actionable
			messages.
STC-007	EHR Configuration	Database Schema	EHR Systems table
	Database	Review	includes api_endpo
			int, auth_type, refr
			esh_interval.
STC-008	User	UX Team Audit	Onboarding forms
	Documentation		align with HIPAA
			data entry
			guidelines.

3.4 Testing Approach

1. Automated Tools Configuration

• Integrate Codiga into CI/CD pipelines to scan code during commits.

2. Manual Review Process

- o Weekly peer reviews using checklist:
 - IAM policies follow least-privilege principle.
 - Lambda functions are stateless/idempotent.
 - EHR configs support adding new systems via database (no code changes).
- o **Formal inspections** by security team for:
 - Secrets Manager usage for EHR API credentials.

3. Healthcare-Specific Checks

• Validate FHIR resource mappings in HealthLake (e.g., **Patient** ↔ **Provider** entities).

3.5 Traceability Matrix

Test ID	SDD Requirements	Search Result Best
		Practices
STC-001	NFR-02 (Security)	Automated PEP8 checks +
		security scans.
STC-003	FR-02 (EHR Integration)	Validate EHR configs via
		YAML/DB reviews.

3.6 Expected Defects & Mitigation

o Hardcoded Secrets (Critical):

• **Mitigation:** Enforce Secrets Manager usage; block commits with **AWS_ACCESS_KEY** patterns via Git hooks.

4 UNIT TESTS

4.2 TEST CASES

- o Test Case 1: Provider Management Lambda
- o **Test ID:** UT-001
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-02 (Security)
- o Tester: Backend Team
- o **Environment:** Local AWS SAM CLI with mocked RDS instance.
- o Goal: Validate CRUD operations for provider onboarding.
- o Testing Procedure:
 - Mock valid/invalid provider data (e.g., missing NPI number).
 - Execute create provider() with valid inputs; verify RDS entry.
 - Execute delete provider() and confirm record removal.
- o Expected Results:
 - Valid inputs persist in RDS; invalid inputs return 400 errors.
 - Deleted providers are archived, not fully removed

Test Case 2: EHR Integration Lambda

- o **Test ID:** UT-002
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-02 (EHR Data Fetch), NFR-01 (Performance)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Isolated Lambda with mocked EHR API (Cerner sandbox).
- o **Goal:** Verify secure EHR data retrieval.
- o Testing Procedure:
 - Mock Secrets Manager credentials and EHR API responses.
 - Execute fetch ehr data() with valid/invalid credentials.
 - Measure response time for EHR API call.
- o Expected Results:
 - Valid credentials return FHIR-formatted data in <15s.
 - Invalid credentials trigger CloudWatch alerts.

Test Case 3: Data Processing Lambda

o Test ID: UT-003

o **Requirements ID:** FR-03 (Data Processing), NFR-03 (Interoperability)

o **Tester:** Frontend Team

o **Environment:** Local HealthLake instance with sample EHR data.

o Goal: Validate FHIR-to-JSON normalization.

o **Testing Procedure:**

• Feed raw FHIR data from mocked EHR response.

• Execute normalize healthlake data().

• Compare output to FHIR schema validator.

o Expected Results:

• Output matches FHIR Practitioner resource schema.

• Invalid FHIR data triggers S3 quarantine.

4.3 Traceability Matrix

Test ID	Functional Reqs	Non-Functional Reqs
UT-001	FR-01	NFR-02
UT-002	FR-02	NFR-01
UT-003	FR-03	NFR-03

4.4 Tools & Framework

• Python: pytest, unittest, moto (AWS mocking).

• Coverage: Aim for ≥80% branch coverage.

5 Integration Tests

Approach: Bottom-up (test Lambda functions first, then Step Function workflows).

5.2 Test Cases

Test Case 1: EHR Data Fetch

- o **Test ID:** IT-001
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-02 (EHR Data Fetch), NFR-02 (Security)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team + Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Mocked AWS Lambda environment with Secrets Manager and Cerner API sandbox.
- o Goal: Validate secure credential retrieval and API call functionality.
- o **Testing Procedure:**
 - Mock valid and invalid credentials in AWS Secrets Manager.
 - Execute **fetch_ehr_data()** Lambda function to retrieve data from the Cerner sandbox API.
 - Monitor network traffic using AWS X-Ray to ensure encryption during data transmission.
 - Verify error handling for invalid credentials or API timeouts.

- Valid credentials successfully retrieve FHIR-formatted data from the Cerner API
- Invalid credentials trigger error logs and CloudWatch alerts without exposing sensitive data

Test Case 2: HealthLake Sync

- o **Test ID:** IT-002
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-03 (Data Processing), NFR-03 (Interoperability)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team + Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Mocked AWS Lambda environment with HealthLake and S3 integration.
- o **Goal:** Ensure raw EHR data is transformed into FHIR format and stored securely in S3.

o Testing Procedure:

- Feed mocked raw EHR data into the **normalize_healthlake_data()** Lambda function.
- Monitor HealthLake processing logs for successful transformation into FHIR-compliant JSON objects.
- Verify that transformed data is stored in S3 with proper encryption (AWS KMS).
- Test error handling by feeding invalid or corrupted EHR data into the function.

- Valid EHR data is normalized to FHIR format and securely stored in S3 with appropriate metadata tags.
- Invalid or corrupted data triggers quarantine procedures, storing it in a separate S3 bucket for review.

Test Case 3: Onboarding Flow

- o **Test ID:** IT-003
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), FR-04 (30-Day Data Refresh), NFR-01 (Performance)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team + Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** AWS Step Functions orchestrating all Lambda functions in a production-like setup.
- o **Goal:** Verify end-to-end provider onboarding workflow, including scheduled data refreshes every 30 days.

o **Testing Procedure:**

- Submit provider details via the frontend form, triggering the Step Function workflow.
- Validate that provider details are stored in RDS and linked to corresponding EHR configurations in Secrets Manager.
- Trigger manual EHR data fetch through the workflow and verify data normalization/storage in S3 via HealthLake integration.
- Simulate a 30-day refresh by manually advancing system time and validating automatic workflow execution using EventBridge rules.
- Measure response times for each step to ensure performance requirements are met (<45s per request).

- Provider onboarding completes successfully, storing all required details in RDS and fetching normalized EHR data into S3 within acceptable time limits (<45s).
- Scheduled refreshes execute automatically every 30 days without manual intervention, updating S3 timestamps accordingly.

5.3 Traceability Matrix

Test ID	Functional Regs	Non-Functiona l Regs	Modules Involved	Goal
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
IT-001	FR-02	NFR-02	EHR Lambda	Validate secure credential
			→ Secrets	retrieval & API call
			Manager	functionality.
IT-002	FR-03	NFR-03	Data Processing	Ensure raw EHR data is
			Lambda ↔ S3	transformed into FHIR
				format and stored
				securely in S3.
IT-003	FR-01, FR-04	NFR-01	Step Function	Verify end-to-end
			↔ All Lambdas	provider onboarding
				workflow, including
				scheduled refreshes every
				30 days.

6 Validation Tests

6.2 Requirements Review

6.2.1 Functional Requirements Validation

Requirement ID	Test Scenario	Method	Success Criteria
FR-01	Provider onboarding	Black-box testing	Data persists in
	form input		RDS with no errors.
FR-02	EHR data fetch via	API automation	Data retrieved
	API	(Postman)	matches EHR
			records.
FR-03	30-day data refresh	Scheduled job	S3 timestamps
		simulation	update
			automatically.

6.2.2 Non-Functional Requirements Validation

Requirement ID	Test Scenario	Method	Success Criteria
NFR-01	100 concurrent	Load testing	Latency ≤45s; 0%
	onboarding requests	(Locust)	request failure.
NFR-02	Security	Security scan	No unencrypted
		(Checkov)	data; IAM roles
			configured.
NFR-03	EHR system	EHR sandbox	Data flows
	interoperability	testing	seamlessly to
			HealthLake.

6.3 Client Evaluation

User Acceptance Testing (UAT)

o **Participants:** System Administrators.

o Scenarios:

- 1. Complete end-to-end provider onboarding.
- 2. Manually trigger EHR data refresh.
- 3. Simulate edge cases (e.g., invalid EHR credentials).
- o **Success Metric:** ≥90% satisfaction rate in post-test surveys37.

6.4 Functional & Non-Functional Test Cases

Test Case 1: Secure EHR Data Transmission

- o **Test ID:** VT-001
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-02 (Functional), NFR-02 (Non-functional)
- o **Test Scenario:** Validate encrypted EHR data transfer between Lambda and Cerner API.
- o Procedure:
 - 1. Trigger EHR data fetch.
 - 2. Capture network traffic via AWS X-Ray.
 - 3. Verify TLS 1.2+ encryption and Secrets Manager usage.
- o Expected Results: No plaintext credentials or PHI exposed.

Test Case 2: Usability of Onboarding Forms

- o Test ID: VT-002
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Functional), NFR-03 (Non-functional)
- o **Test Scenario:** Ensure forms are intuitive for healthcare staff.
- o Procedure:
 - 1. Conduct heuristic evaluation (Nielsen's principles).
 - 2. Measure task completion time for 10 providers.
- o **Expected Results:** Average task time <5 minutes; ≤2 UI redesign iterations.

Test Case 3: System Recovery After Failure

- o Test ID: VT-003
- o Requirements ID: NFR-01 (Non-functional)
- o **Test Scenario:** Validate data integrity during AWS Lambda cold starts.
- o **Procedure:**
 - 1. Force Lambda timeouts during EHR sync.
 - 2. Check S3 for partial/incomplete files.
- o **Expected Results:** Transaction rollback; error logged in CloudWatch.

6.5 Traceability Matrix for Functional/Non-Functional Reqs

Test ID	Functional Reqs	Non-Functional Reqs
VT-001	FR-02	NFR-02
VT-002	FR-01	NFR-03
VT-003	<u> </u>	NFR-01

6.6 Additional Test Cases for Frontend

Test Case 1: Dropdown Menu Functionality

o **Test ID:** VT-004

o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-03 (Usability)

o **Tester:** Frontend Team

o **Environment:** Web browser (Chrome, Firefox, Edge) in a production-like setup using AWS Amplify-hosted frontend.

o **Goal:** Validate that dropdown menus display correct options and allow selection without errors.

o Testing Procedure:

• Navigate to the onboarding form page.

• Click on dropdown menus for "Provider Type" and "EHR System."

• Verify that all expected options are displayed.

• Select each option and ensure the selection is saved correctly in the form data.

• Test edge cases like clicking outside the dropdown or selecting invalid options.

- Dropdown menus display all expected options without missing or duplicated entries.
- Selected options are retained in the form data and submitted correctly to the backend.

Test Case 2: Error Pop-Up Validation

- o Test ID: VT-005
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-02 (Security)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Web browser with simulated invalid inputs using developer tools or testing frameworks like Selenium.
- o **Goal:** Ensure error pop-ups are triggered appropriately for invalid inputs and display meaningful messages.

o Testing Procedure:

- Submit the onboarding form with invalid inputs (e.g., missing NPI number, incorrect email format).
- Verify that error pop-ups are displayed immediately after submission attempts.
- Check that error messages are clear and specific to the invalid field(s).
- Test edge cases like submitting an empty form or exceeding input character limits.

o Expected Results:

- Error pop-ups appear for invalid inputs with clear messages specifying the issue (e.g., "NPI number is required").
- Pop-ups disappear after correcting the input and resubmitting the form.

Test Case 3: Success Pop-Up Validation

- o Test ID: VT-006
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), FR-02 (EHR Data Fetch)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Production-like web environment with mocked backend responses for successful submissions.
- o **Goal:** Validate that success pop-ups appear after successful form submissions and provide confirmation details to users.

o Testing Procedure:

- Submit the onboarding form with valid inputs (e.g., provider name, NPI number, EHR system).
- Mock a successful backend response for data submission using testing tools like Postman or Jest mocks.
- Verify that a success pop-up appears with confirmation details (e.g., "Provider successfully onboarded!").
- Test edge cases like rapid consecutive submissions to ensure pop-up behavior remains consistent.

- Success pop-up appears immediately after successful submission with accurate confirmation details.
- The pop-up disappears automatically after a set time or upon user action (e.g., clicking "Close")

Test Case 4: Search Functionality on Data History List

- o **Test ID:** VT-007
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-04 (Data Refresh), NFR-03 (Interoperability)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Production-like environment with populated data history.
- o **Goal:** Validate that users can search the Data History list by provider name, EHR system, or date range.

o **Testing Procedure:**

- Enter valid search terms (e.g., provider name "Dr. Smith") and verify results.
- Test partial matches (e.g., "Smi" for "Smith").
- Enter invalid terms (e.g., special characters like #@!).
- Leave the search field empty and click "Search."

o Expected Results:

- Valid searches return accurate results.
- Partial matches display relevant entries.
- Invalid/empty searches show a "No results found" message.

Test Case 5: Filtering on Data History List

- o **Test ID:** VT-008
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-04 (Data Refresh), NFR-03 (Interoperability)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Data History list with 50+ entries.
- o Goal: Ensure filters (e.g., EHR system type, refresh status) refine results correctly.
- o Testing Procedure:
 - Apply a single filter (e.g., EHR system = "Cerner").
 - Apply multiple filters (e.g., EHR system = "Cerner" + status = "Completed").
 - Click "Reset Filters" and verify default view.

- Filters reduce results to matching entries.
- Multiple filters combine logically (AND condition).
- Reset returns the list to its unfiltered state.

Test Case 6: CRUD Operations via UI

- o Test ID: VT-009
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-02 (Security)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** AWS Amplify frontend with mocked backend.
- o **Goal:** Validate CRUD actions reflect in the UI and database.
- o Testing Procedure:
 - Create: Add a new provider and verify the entry appears in the UI and RDS.
 - Read: Open the provider's details page and confirm data matches input.
 - **Update:** Edit the provider's contact info and verify UI/database updates.
 - **Delete:** Archive a provider and confirm removal from the active list.
- o Expected Results:
 - UI updates immediately after CRUD actions.
 - Database reflects changes without data corruption.

Test Case 7: Search Filters

- o Test ID: VT-010
- o **Requirements ID:** FR-02 (EHR Data Fetch), NFR-01 (Performance)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Search page with 10+ EHR records.
- o **Goal:** Validate date-range and EHR-type filters under load.
- o **Testing Procedure:**
 - Filter records by date range (e.g., "Jan 2025 Mar 2025").
 - Combine date range + EHR system type (e.g., "eClinicalWorks").
 - Measure response time for complex queries.
- o Expected Results:
 - Filters return results in <5s even with large datasets.
 - Pagination works correctly (e.g., 10 results per page).

Test Case 8: Input Validation for CRUD Forms

- o **Test ID:** VT-011
- o Requirements ID: FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-02 (Security)
- o **Tester:** Frontend Team
- o **Environment:** Provider onboarding form.
- o Goal: Prevent SQLi/XSS attacks via form inputs.
- o Testing Procedure:
 - Enter malicious scripts (e.g., <script>alert('test')</script>) in text fields.
 - Attempt SQLi payloads (e.g., 'OR 1=1 --).
- o **Expected Results:**
 - Inputs are sanitized; scripts/SQLi payloads are blocked.
 - Error pop-up displays: "Invalid characters detected".

6.7 Traceability Matrix for Frontend Validation Tests

Test ID	Functional Reqs	Non-Functional Reqs
VT-004	FR-01	NFR-03
VT-005	FR-01	NFR-02
VT-006	FR-01, FR-02	_
VT-007	FR-04	NFR-03
VT-008	FR-04	NFR-03
VT-009	FR-01	NFR-02
VT-010	FR-02	NFR-01
VT-011	FR-01	NFR-02

6.8 Tools & Metrics

- o **Functional Validation:** TestSigma (automated workflows), Postman (API testing).
- o Non-Functional Validation:
 - JMeter (performance), OWASP ZAP (security), Heatmaps (UI/UX).
- o Metrics: Defect density (<0.1 defects/KLOC), mean time to recover (<10min).

7 System Tests

7.2 TEST CASES

Test Case 1:

- o Test ID: ST-001
- o **Time/Date:** [To be filled]
- o Requirements ID: FR-01 (Provider Input), NFR-02 (Security)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team
- o **Environment:** AWS Production-like environment (Amplify + RDS + S3).
- o **Goal:** Validate secure provider onboarding with EHR data fetch.
- o Procedure:
 - Submit provider details via UI.
 - Trigger EHR data fetch manually.
 - Verify data is stored in S3 and RDS.
- o **Expected Results:** No unencrypted data; logs show no errors.

Test Case 2:

- o Test ID: ST-002
- o **Time/Date:** [To be filled]
- o Requirements ID: FR-04 (Data Refresh)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team
- o Environment: AWS Cloud with scheduled EventBridge rule.
- o **Goal:** Ensure 30-day data refresh executes automatically.
- o Procedure:
 - Manually advance system clock to trigger refresh.
 - Verify HealthLake processes updated EHR data.
- o **Expected Results:** S3 object timestamps update; no duplicates.

Test Case 3:

- o Test ID: ST-003
- o **Time/Date:** [To be filled]
- o **Requirements ID:** NFR-01 (Performance)
- o **Tester:** Backend Team
- o **Environment:** Load-balanced Lambda functions.
- o **Goal:** Confirm 100 concurrent onboarding requests are handled in <45s.
- o **Procedure:** Use Locust to simulate traffic.
- o **Expected Results:** Latency <45s; no failed requests.