

Full Class Notes

Contents

1	Notes for Test 1	5
2	Notes for Test 2	7
3	Notes for Test 3	9

Chapter 1

First Test

Chapter 2

Second Test

Chapter 3

Third Test

Theorem. (*Existence - Uniqueness Thrm, $\exists!$ Thrm*). If I is an interval, $a \in I$, and p_u for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and f are cts on I , then $\forall b_i$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, (then initial value problem)

$$(1) \ y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y^{(n-k)} p_u(x) = f(x)$$

& $y^{(i)}(a) = b_i$, has a unique soln on I .

Note: the solns to linear ODEs are unique on the whole interval I .

Theorem. *Thrm.* If $w = \{y \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}(I) \mid y \text{ satisfies (1)}\}$ then $\dim W \geq n$.

Proof. put $y_j^{(i)}(a) = \delta_{ij}$, where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. By the $\exists!$ Thrm, for each j there is a unique soln to (1) on I .
Now,

$$\begin{aligned} W(a) &= (y_j^{(i)}(a)) \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}) \\ &= I_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |W(a)| = 1 \neq 0$$

whence, y_1, \dots, y_n are linearly independent. Hence,

$$\dim W \leq n$$

□

Date: 03.24.15

Theorem. *Thrm (Strong wronskian converse) If y_1, \dots, y_n are linearly independent (in $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}(I)$) and y_1, \dots, y_n are solns to*

$$y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y^{(n-k)} p_k(x) = 0$$

where p_k are cts on I for $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $|W(a)| \neq 0$ for all $a \in I$.

Proof. Assume that there is an $a \in I$ st $|W(a)| = 0$, then the linear system

$$(1) \quad W(a)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

has a nontrivial soln, say $\vec{x} = \vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 $(W(a) \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Denote: $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \neq \vec{0}$.

Put $y = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j$, then y satisfies (*) and

$$y(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j(a) = 0 \implies$$

$$y'(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j'(a) = 0 \implies$$

$$y''(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j''(a) = 0 \implies$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y^{(n-1)}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j^{(n-1)}(a) = 0 \text{ (by (1))}$$

Notice that $y \equiv 0$ on I also satisfies (*) and is such that $y^{(k)}(a) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. \therefore by $\exists!$ thrm,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j \equiv 0 \text{ on } I$$

Thus, since y_1, \dots, y_n are lin ind, all $c_j = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem. *If*

$$W = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n)}(I) \mid y \text{ satisfies } (*) \}$$

then $\dim W \leq n$

Proof. let y_1, \dots, y_n be lin ind solns of (*); we show that there are scalars $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ st $y = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j$ on I .
Consider the linear system

$$(2) \quad W(a)\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} y(a) \\ y'(a) \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)}(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $W(a)$ is the Wronskian matrix of y_1, \dots, y_n . By the SWC, $|W(a)| \neq 0$.
Thus, (2) has a unique, not-trivial soln, say $\vec{0} \pm \vec{x} = \vec{c} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus

Date: 03.25.15

$$W(a)\vec{c} = \begin{pmatrix} y(a) \\ y'(a) \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)}(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

has rows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j(a) &= y(a) \\ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y'_j(a) &= y'(a) \\ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y''_j(a) &= y''(a) \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j^{(n-1)}(a) &= y^{(n-1)}(a) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since both y and $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j$ are solns to the hom nth order linear ODE (*) (the ODE being hom and y_j , for $1 \leq j \leq n$, being solns, so is any linear combo of y_j s since the soln space to a linear hom ODE is linear) and both y and $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j$ satisfy all the same n many initial ?combos?, by the $\exists!$ thrm, $y = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j$ on I . $\therefore y \in \text{Span} \{y_j \in W \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Thus, $\dim W \leq n$. \square

Corollary. *If*

$$W = \{y \in D^{(n)}(I) \mid y \text{ satisfies } (x) \}$$

where

$$(*) \quad y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y^{(n-k)} p_k(x) = 0$$

then $\dim W = n$.

Proof. We showed that $n \leq \dim W \leq n$. □

Example. $y'' - y = 0$

Note that both $y_1 = \cosh x$ and $y_2 = \sinh x$ are solns on \mathbb{R} . The soln space is $2 - \dim'l$. Not also that if

$$a \cosh x + b \sinh x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

then in particular, $x = 0 \implies a = 0$.

$\therefore b \sinh x = 0$ for all x .

However, if $x \neq 0$ then $\sinh x \neq 0$; whence, $b = 0$. Hence, $B = \{\cosh x, \sinh x\}$ are linearly independent solns to $y'' = y$, and the soln space has dim'n 2, B is a basis for the soln space. \therefore every soln to $y'' = y$ has the form

$$y = a \cosh x + b \sinh x$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Likewise, $B' = \{e^x, e^{-x}\}$ is also a basis. Note:

$$|W(x)| = \begin{vmatrix} e^x & e^{-x} \\ e^x & -e^{-x} \end{vmatrix} = e^x \begin{vmatrix} 1 & e^{-x} \\ 1 & -e^{-x} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = -2 \neq 0$$

\therefore by Wronsky's Thrm, B' is lin ind.

Example. Example: $y'' + y = 0$

Here $B = \{\cos x, \sin x\}$ is a basis.

n th order hom linear ODEs with constant coefficients:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} = 0$$

consider

$$y = e^{rx}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= r e^{rx} = r y \implies \\ y'' &= r^2 e^{rx} = r^2 y \implies \\ &\vdots \\ y^{(k)} &= r^k y \end{aligned}$$

thus, $y = e^{rx}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k r^k y \\
 &= y \sum_{k=0}^n a_k r^k \implies \\
 &\sum_{k=0}^n a_k r^k = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore y = e^{rx}$ is a soln to (1) if r is a root (zero) of the char poly of $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} = 0$,

Date: 03.26.15

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k,$$

then $y = e^{rx}$ is a soln to $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} = 0$.

Example. If $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ are pairwise distinct then $e^{r_1 x}, \dots, e^{r_n x}$ are linearly independent (in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$). we use the Wronskian:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |W(x)| &= \begin{vmatrix} e^{r_1 x} & e^{r_2 x} & \dots & e^{r_n x} \\ r_1 e^{r_1 x} & r_2 e^{r_2 x} & \dots & r_n e^{r_n x} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1^{n-1} e^{r_1 x} & r_2^{n-1} e^{r_2 x} & \dots & r_n^{n-1} e^{r_n x} \end{vmatrix} \\
 e^{(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n)x} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1^{n-1} & r_2^{n-1} & \dots & r_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
 e^{(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n)x} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i) &\neq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(for all x). therefore by the wronskian thrm, $e^{r_1 x}, e^{r_2 x}, \dots, e^{r_n x}$ are lin ind,

Example. (Vandermonds)
consider

$$V_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & x^{n-1} \\ 1 & r_2 & r_2^2 & \dots & r_2^{n-1} \\ 1 & r_3 & r_3^2 & \dots & r_3^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & r_n & r_n^2 & \dots & r_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is an $n \times n$ Vandermonde Matrix.

Put

$$f(x) = \det V_n(x) \in P_{n-1}[\mathbb{R}],$$

then $f(r_j) = \det(V_n(r_j)) = 0$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$, by the alternating property of determinates. therefore by the factor Thrm,

$$f(x) = a \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x - r_j),$$

where

$$a = (-1)^{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \cdots & x^{n-1} \\ 1 & r_2 & r_2^2 & \cdots & r_2^{n-1} \\ 1 & r_3 & r_3^2 & \cdots & r_3^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & r_n & r_n^2 & \cdots & r_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix},$$

which is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ det. therefore by the inductive hypothesis,

$$a = (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i)$$

therefore

$$f(x) = (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i) \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x - r_j)$$

Notice that

$$\prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (x - r_j) = (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (r_j - x).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i) (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (r_j - x) \\ &= \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (r_j - x) \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \det V_n(r_1) &= f(r_1) \\ &= \prod_{2 \leq j \leq n} (r_j - r_1) \prod_{2 \leq j \leq i \leq n} (r_j - r_i) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_j - r_i). \end{aligned}$$

03.31.15

Recall: the characteristic poly of $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)}$ is

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{(k)},$$

and we showed that if r_1, \dots, r_n are pairwise distinct then $B = \{e^{r_1 x}, \dots, e^{r_n x}\}$ is linearly independent (in $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$). We also showed that if r is a zero of $\rho(x)$ then $y = e^{rx}$ is a soln to $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} = 0$. This all immediatly implies the following:

Theorem. *If $\rho(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{(k)}$ has n many distinct real zeros then the soln space of*

$$(*) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n a_k y^{(k)} = 0$$

has basis $\{e^{r_1 x}, \dots, e^{r_n x}\}$, i.e., every soln of $$ has the form*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j e^{r_j x}.$$

Example. (Revisited)

Consider $y'' - y = 0$. This has char poly

$$\rho(x) = x^2 - 1 = (x-1)(x+1),$$

which has zeros ± 1 .

Thus, $B = \{e^x, e^{-x}\}$ is a basis for the soln space of

$$y'' - y = 0$$

Q: What are the missing basis elements if a char poly has repeating zeros?

Recall the "ring" of polynomials

$$\mathbb{R}[x] = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ \& } a_k \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^{(k)} + \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^{(k)} &= \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k + b_k) x^{(k)} \\ \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^{(i)} \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^{(j)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} c_k x^{(k)}, \text{ where} \\ c_k &= \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j. \end{aligned}$$

Notation. Put $D = \frac{d}{dx} : \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(I)$
 $D^0 = i$ (identity on $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(I)$), and

$$D^n = DD^{n-1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Books

Hausen and sullivan "Real Analysis"

Reference: I.N. Herstein's "Topics in Abstract Algebra" (2nd ed), "Abstract Algebra" (3rd ed)

Artin's "Algebra"

(Formal poly : See hungerfords springer GTM.)