

Modelling uncertainty of the Rhenium-Osmium cosmic clock

Øyvind Brynhildsvoll Svendsen¹

Supervisor: Sijing Shen¹


Co-supervisor: Signe Riemer-Sørensen¹

¹Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo

Friday 15th June 2018
Svein Rosselands hus 209

What is a cosmic clock?

Why use $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ - $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$?



Advantages

Halflife $T_{\beta} = 43.3 \text{ Gyr}^1$ ($\lambda_{\beta} = \frac{\ln 2}{T_{\beta}}$)

Different sources Slow and rapid neutron capture process

Nucleosynthesis

How were the nuclear elements created?

- ▶ Big bang nucleosynthesis
- ▶ Fusion of lighter elements (up to iron)
- ▶ Neutron capture processes
 - slow β^- -decays before successive neutron capture
 - rapid capture multiple neutrons before β^- -decay

Slow and rapid neutron capture around $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ - $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$




Figure: Adopted from fig.1 in Clayton (1964)

Analytical models of $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ - $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$ cosmic clock

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = -\lambda N$$

$$^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_{\odot} = ^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_s + ^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_p + ^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_c$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} [^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_c] = \lambda_{\beta} ^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$$


$$\frac{d}{dt} [^{187}_{75}\text{Re}] = A(t) - \lambda_{\beta} ^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$$

Using the analytical model from Clayton (1964)

$$A(t) = A_0 e^{-\lambda_r t}$$


$$f_{187} \equiv \frac{^{187}_{76}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}_{75}\text{Re}} = \frac{\frac{\lambda_{\beta}}{\lambda_r} (1 - e^{-\lambda_r t}) - (1 - e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t})}{e^{-\lambda_r t} - e^{-\lambda_{\beta} t}}$$

Observed isotope fraction from meteorites and solar atmosphere



Observed isotope fraction from meteorites (Shizuma, T. et al. 2005, Bouvier, A. et al. 2010, Snelling, A.A. 2015)

Chemical enrichment of galactic medium





Explosive events

- ▶ Asymptotic giant branch stars (not really explosive)
- ▶ Core collapse supernovae
- ▶ Type 1a supernovae
- ▶ Neutron star mergers

Eris simulation

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 742:76 (10pp), 2011 December 1



- ▶ Smoothed particle hydrodynamics simulation (Guedes et al. 2011)
- ▶ 3D
- ▶ 18.6 million particles
- ▶ Postprocessing to add rapid neutron capture elements from neutron star mergers (Shen et al. 2015)

Figures/images from Guedes et al. (2011) and Shen et al. (2015)

Omega semianalytical model (Côté 2016)

- ▶ SFR + timestep \rightarrow stellar mass formed
- ▶ stellar mass formed \rightarrow stellar population
- ▶ stellar population + yield tables + delay-time \rightarrow isotopic yields recycled into ISM + remnant
- ▶ remnants \rightarrow secondary events





Figure: Image from github.com/NuGrid/NuPyCEE/



Modelling uncertainty of the Rhenium-Osmium cosmic clock



Methods

- ▶ Fitting Omega to data from Eris
- ▶ Manipulate yields in Omega
- ▶ Main experiments
- ▶ Postprocessing

Fitting Omega to data from Eris


- ▶ Rough model
- ▶ “ χ^2 -by-eye”
- ▶ Star formation rate,
stellar mass, total mass,
[O/H], [Fe/H], [Eu/H]

Fitting Omega to data from Eris




Fitting Omega to data from Eris


Fitting of Fiducial Omega to type 1a supernovae




Fitting of Fiducial Omega to type 1a supernovae



Fitting of Fiducial Omega to neutron star mergers



Size of time steps



Manipulate yields in Omega



isotope	standard	min	max	σ_{lower}	σ_{upper}
Re-187	0.0318	0.027	0.0359	-0.1509	0.1289
Re-185	0.0151	0.011	0.0176	-0.2715	0.1656
Os-188	0.0707	0.0633	0.0781	-0.1047	0.1047
Os-189	0.103	0.0961	0.109	-0.067	0.0583
Os-190	0.152	0.137	0.168	-0.0987	0.1053
Os-192	0.273	0.252	0.289	-0.0769	0.0586
Eu-151	0.0452	0.0267	0.0482	-0.4093	0.0664
Eu-153	0.0495	0.046	0.0526	-0.0707	0.0626

Table: Values and uncertainties of r-process nuclei near $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ from (Arnould et al. 2007)

Main experiments


- ▶ Draw random “fudge-factor” from gaussian distribution
- ▶ 1500 individual calculations
- ▶ **Yields**
- ▶ **Yields+IMFslope**
- ▶ **Yields+IMFslope+NSM**

Results - **Yields** without postprocessing



Postprocessing

β^- -decay




► $\Delta\text{Re} = -\lambda_{\text{Re}}\text{Re}\Delta t$

► $\Delta\text{Os} = \lambda_{\text{Re}}\text{Re}\Delta t$


Removing negative negative yields

► $\hat{Y} \leq 0 \rightarrow$ Do not consider calculation


Results - Yields with postprocessing





Comparing models





Comparing models




Results - Uncertainties of Yields+IMFslope



Results - Uncertainties of **Yields+IMFslope+NSM**



Results - Uncertainties of **Yields+IMFslope+NSM**



Conclusions/summary

- ▶ **Yields**
- ▶ **Yields+IMFslope**
- ▶ **Yields+IMFslope+NSM**
- ▶ Uncertainties with and without β^- -decay
- ▶ Uncertainties of models and observations
- ▶ Little uncertainty from nuclear r-process abundance
- ▶ Additional uncertainties from the slope of the *Initial Mass Function*
- ▶ Additional uncertainties from *Neutron Star Mergers*