

# Chapter 1

## Theory Part I (need to rename)

### 1.1 Cosmology

Something something expansion   Something something comoving coordinates  
Something something dark matter   Something something baryonic matter

### 1.2 Nuclear physics   **The entire section is “taken” from Iliadis (2015)**

The atom is build up of electrons around a core of protons and neutrons. The electric coulomb forces keep the negatively charged electrons around the positively charged protons in the core. The protons and the neutrons are bound together by the strong nuclear force.

The quantum mechanical model of the atom is build up by quantum particles (electrons) in the coulomb potential of the core. The energy of the electrons is defined by their radial quantum number, angular momentum quantum number, and intrinsic spin (analogous to rotation about the particles own axis).

The strong force that holds the core together is not as well understood as the electric coulomb force. In order to make a quantum mechanical model of the core it is assumed that all the particles in the core combined make up a central potential. The protons and neutrons are then solved as quantum

mechanical particles in the central field. The central potential is traditionally either an harmonic oscillator or WS potential. The states of the different particles is given by the radial, angular momentum and intrinsic spin of the particles/nucleons (the neutrons and protons). Just as in the atomic model. The energy of these state do not stack linearly, but group together in seemingly clumsy manners. If particularly many energy states are grouped together, with a large gap in energy until the next available energy state, the group is called a magic number (because they show up quite regularly in theory and observations).

The total mass of the nucleus is given by the sum it's constituents, the nucleons, and dividing by the mass number (number of nucleons in the core) one gets the average mass per nucleon. This should be pretty elementary, but it turns out that the mass per nucleon diminishes as mass number increases. Each proton and neutron becomes lighter as more protons and neutrons are stacked into the central potential of the core. This energy is analogous to the energy needed to release nucleons from the nucleus potential. A classical example is two lighter nuclei colliding to one heavier nucleus. Since the mass per nucleon is lower, but the total number of particles before and after has not changed the total energy has lowered. This excess energy (or mass) is radiated away as thermal photons. **ADD A PLOT OF BINDING ENERGY PER NUCLEON FOR ATOMIC NUMBER** This implies that synthesizing heavier elements up to iron (peak binding energy) from lighter elements releases energy. Since protons and neutrons are fermions they follow the pauli exclusion principle, stating that a maximum of two particles can exist in any given quantum state in a bound system. E.g. Take a nucleus and continue to stack neutrons onto it, as the neutrons take on higher and higher energy states (from the pauli exclusion principle) the nucleus eventually reaches a level where any new neutron would no longer be bound. The neutrons would therefore be immediately expelled. If some protons were added, the strong force would be even stronger and more neutrons could be added to the nucleus. The reverse is also true if protons were added continously. This point in the nuclear chart (neutron number - proton number map) is called the neutron drip line and proton drip line respectively.

A nuclear reaction in stellar environments is usually depicted as two quantum particles, 1 and 2, interacting to make two new quantum particles, 3 and 4. Written as:  $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4$  or  $1(2, 3)4$  where 2 and 3 are *usually* the lighter particles “impacting onto” or “emitting from” the larger nuclei 1 and 4. If particle 2 is a photon, light absorption, the process is a photodisintegration

## 1.2. NUCLEAR PHYSICS THE ENTIRE SECTION IS “TAKEN” FROM ? 3

process and the energy released is negative. If particle 3 is a photon, then energy is created from two nuclei colliding and merging to a single nucleus, the energy released is positive. The probability of a given reaction happening is called the nuclear cross-section, in area-units. Since particles with higher velocity have a greater chance of colliding, the cross-section is velocity dependant. The reaction probability in a stellar volume is therefore the integral of cross-section over the velocity distribution, for thermal velocities the maxwell distribution can be adopted. The reaction rate then is the probability times the number density of each nuclear specie, as more particles closer together means more possible reactions. The end result is that nuclear reactions are dependent on the density and thermal velocity (temperature) in stellar environments, and produces energy as long as the fusing particles are lighter than iron.

Interactions with the weak force cause different decay reactions. The most common weak interactions are listed below

**free neutron decay**  $n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$

**$\beta^-$ -decay**  ${}_Z^AX_N \rightarrow {}_{Z+1}^AY_{N-1} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$

**beta<sup>+</sup> decay**  ${}_Z^AX_N \rightarrow {}_{Z-1}^AY_{N+1} + e^+ + \nu$

**electron capture**  ${}_Z^AX_N + e^- \rightarrow {}_{Z-1}^AY_{N+1} + \nu$

**anti-neutrino capture**  ${}_Z^AX_N + \bar{\nu} \rightarrow {}_{Z+1}^AY_{N-1} + e^-$

**neutrino capture**  ${}_Z^AX_N + \nu \rightarrow {}_{Z-1}^AY_{N+1} + e^+$

The beta decay transitions depend on the initial and final quantum states of the entire nucleus. Transitions which are independant lepton energies are most likely to occur (out of all the weak interactions considered) and are called allowed transitions. The forbidden transitions are weak interactions that are less probable. In stellar environments with high temperature the nuclei in question can be excited to higher energies. The increased number

of possible states increases the reaction probability and therefore the overall decay rate. Assuming that a radioactive decay occurs at a random point in time, with a uniform distribution in time, The probability of decay of a single particle is proportionale with time. The probaiblity of decay of two particles will be twice as much, meaning decay probability is proportional to the amount of radioactive particles present. Consider then an amount of particles,  $N$ , large enough to turn probability into observable decays, even at infinitesimal timescales. The number of decays,  $dN$ , is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned}dN &\propto Ndt \\dN &= C_{\text{decay}}Ndt = -\lambda Ndt \\ \frac{dN}{dt} &= -\lambda N \\ N(t) &= N_0 e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}\end{aligned}$$

$dt$  is the infinitesimal timeinterval,  $C_{\text{decay}}$  is the proportionality constant. Since the decay removes number of species it will always be negative,  $\lambda$  is the positive proporitonality constant, called the decay constant (will be constant for a given reaction with constant density and constant temperature). Solving the differentialequation gives the time evolution of number of particles with initial number of particles  $N_0$  at time  $t_0$ . The half-life, amount of time until the number abundance is half of it's original value,  $T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda}$ . Mean lifetime, integrated mean lifetime for all particles, is given by  $\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Some relevant half-lives free neutrons,  ${}^6_{14}\text{C}$ ,  ${}^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ . Nuclear Data Service<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}T_{1/2}(n) &= 10.2min \text{ from chapter 1.8 in Iliadis (2015)} \\ T_{1/2}({}^6_{14}\text{C}) &= 5700yr \text{ from NDS} \\ T_{1/2}({}^{187}_{75}\text{Re ground state}) &= 4.33 \times 10^{10}yr \text{ from NDS} \\ T_{1/2}({}^{187}_{75}\text{Re first excited state}) &= 4.33 \times 555.3ns \text{ from NDS} \\ T_{1/2}({}^{187}_{75}\text{Re second excited state}) &= 4.33 \times 114ns \text{ from NDS}\end{aligned}$$

Chart of nuclides is a two dimensional map of all nuclides with amount of protons on the y-axis and neutrons on the x-axis.

[Include image here](#)

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www-nds.iaea.org/relnsd/vcharthtml/VChartHTML.html>

## 1.2. NUCLEAR PHYSICS THE ENTIRE SECTION IS “TAKEN” FROM? 5

For elements heavier than iron, collision with other elements will cost energy instead of release energy. In stellar environments the temperature, and excess energy, is very high so some heavier elements can form from energetic light particles colliding with energetic iron particles. However this will be in trace amounts and does not explain the relatively high amount of heavy elements found in the solar system.

In order to create heavier elements than iron, seeds close to the iron peak (see plot with binding energy) are bombarded by light particles in order to increase mass-number one collision at a time. Light particles have the highest reaction probability, meaning that the most probable particles to collide with the heavy seed are protons and neutrons. These processes of creating heavier elements are called proton capture process and neutron capture processes. Due to the additional coulomb barrier between protons, neutron capture processes are more probable and likely to occur.

Imagine a stream of neutrons onto some heavy seed nuclei, there are two competing reactions that take place. The capture of a neutron onto the seed nuclei and the radioactive  $\beta^-$ -decay (in a neutron heavy nucleus the electron emission is more probable than the positron emission). If the neutron capture is much slower than the radioactive decay any new isotope from neutron capture must be stable or will decay to a stable isotope with the same mass number. This is called the slow neutron capture process, or s-process for short. It will create heavy nuclei along the valley of stability (line of all stable isotopes in chart of nuclides). For such a process to occur in stellar environments there must be access to a high density of neutrons and heavy seed nuclei from the iron peak. The heavy seed nuclei can just as easily have been produced by another massive star and ejected into the interstellar medium. Free neutrons on the other hand have a short lifespan and must have been created in the stellar environments. Some processes in the hydrostatic helium burning processes produce excess amounts of neutrons, as do the subsequent  $\alpha$ -capture processes in carbon burning. In addition to high neutron density requirements, the temperature must be high enough for thermal reactions to occur, but can not be so hot that most of the heavy seed nuclei are photodisintegrated before a significant amount of heavy nuclei can be synthesized. This means that the optimal site for most of s-process nucleosynthesis is later in the helium-burning phase of stars with relatively low mass. These are asymptotic giant branch stars with mass below roughly three solar masses. Numerical nuclear reaction networks in stars of this kind have lead to synthesis distributions that correspond with s-only abundances

in the solar system. The exact site can include many stellar mass range and mixing episodes between different layers of the stellar interior, which can cause some new sites.

Insert images here

Modelling the s-process contributions and scaling them to fit the solar observed number abundances results in a differential pattern with clear structure.

Include r-process pattern here This pattern is from a separate process called rapid neutron capture process, where the neutron capture rate is much higher than the  $\beta^-$ -decay rate. In such a process the heavy seed nuclei (assumed to be iron peak nuclei from a old supernova), will capture many neutrons and emit neutrons as the nucleons become filled/saturated with neutrons. A distribution of neutron heavy isotopes for a given seed specie is then left over time, kept in equilibrium by the constant bombardment of high energy neutrons. The distribution will have a maximum given by the equilibrium conditions where most heavy isotopes will reside and these isotopes will  $\beta^-$ -decay in greatest numbers to a heavier element. An isobar with greater atomic number. In the havier element the process begins a new, with neutrons captured onto the nucleus and eventually escaping until a equilibrium distribution is reached. This process is faster then the  $\beta^-$ -decay process (by definition) and will reach equilibrium before a significant fraction of nuclei decay to isobars with higher atomic number.

include image of isotope equilibrium distributions here When the high energy neutrons are no longer available in the same quantities, the r-process will stop and leave distributions of neutron-heavy isotopes that eventually will decay to stable isotopes far heavier then iron. This sort of process require a much higher number density of neutrons then the s-process described above, and the scales of  $10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The astrophysical site, and details, of this process, are greatly debated. The output yields of the process are observed in our sun as well as old stars, but these stars could not have created those elements themselves so the process must be relatively quick in order to eject elements into the interstellar medium to be absorbed by our sun and other older stars.

include plot about s-process r-process in nuclear chart  
possible r-process sites?

As mentioned, there same process can happen to the proton heavy side of nuclei, with dense regions of high energy protons. This is less likely to occur due to the added repulsive coulomb force and will therefore have smaller

rates, but is necessary to explain the natural occurrence of some isotopes in the nuclear chart.

Stars create heavier elements from lighter elements and produce energy as a result. Given the age of the universe and the stellar populations helium could not have been created in stars in the observed abundances from extra solar stars. Starting with the big bang model of the universe, what elements would have been synthesized to create the nuclear abundances that would later become the first stars? After inflation separates quantum fluctuations into particles, the universe was very dense and very hot. All matter (baryons, leptons, and dark matter) and energy tightly packed, interacting and coupled. As the universe expands temperature and density drops accordingly. After the hadrons form, nuclear matter can form. Due to thermal equilibrium between neutrinos, electrons, and baryons the neutron-proton ratio is related by the Boltzmann distribution. At the temperatures of weak interaction freeze-out, when this thermal equilibrium is no longer valid, the neutron proton ratio is two-to-five. Since it takes some time for nucleosynthesis to take place and eventually form nuclear particles that are not instantly photodisintegrated. During this time free neutrons decay to protons with a half-life of ten minutes, diminishing the final neutron proton ratio to one-to-seven at the time of nucleosynthesis. This means that there are two neutrons for every fourteen protons when nuclei can form. Some basic math produces one  $\alpha$ -particle for every twelve free protons. The mass fraction of helium is therefore one fourth of the total nuclear mass budget in the universe, while hydrogen makes of three fourths of the total budget. More detailed calculations of nucleosynthesis yield trace amounts of  ${}^3_1\text{H}$ ,  ${}^3_2\text{He}$ ,  ${}^4_2\text{He}$ ,  ${}^7_3\text{Li}$ ,  ${}^9_4\text{Be}$ , but the dominant products are  ${}^1_1\text{H}$  with  $\simeq 75\%$  of the mass in the universe and  ${}^4_2\text{He}$  with  $\simeq 25\%$ .

include image of big bang model

### 1.3 Stellar evolution?[ch.12,13,15]

Regions of space with higher density than their surroundings are called giant molecular clouds and can extend as wide as [insert reference](#) and are the birth place of stars.

Some regions of these giant molecular clouds will have even larger overdensities and gravity dictates that these overdense regions will eventually fall in on themselves.

A star is a sphere of gas with high enough density, and subsequently

high enough temperature, to maintain stable fusion processes in the core.

How large such a subregion must be to collapse is given by the Jeans criterion. The virial theorem states that for a gas in equilibrium the relation between kinetic energy from thermal motion,  $E_k$ , and potential energy from gravitational collapse,  $E_p$ , is given by:  $2E_k + E_p = 0$ . This means that when a cloud of gas collapses, this equilibrium no longer holds and the gravitational potential energy is greater than the thermodynamical kinetic energy. This unequilibrium is called the Jeans criterion. For a spherically symmetric gas, with no rotation, magnetic fields, turbulence or pressure from outside forces the mass of the subregion must exceed the Jeans mass:

$M_J = \left( \frac{5kT}{G\mu m_H} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{3}{4\pi\rho_0} \right)^{1/2}$  or the region must cover a smaller volume than covered by the Jeans radius:  $R_J = \left( \frac{15kT}{4\pi G\mu m_H \rho_0} \right)^{1/2}$  where  $k$  and  $T$  is the thermal energy,  $G$  is the newtonian gravitational constant,  $\mu$  and  $m_H$  is the average molecular weight, and  $\rho_0$  is the initial density of the subregion.

Including an external gas pressure,  $P_0$ , gives the Bonnor-Ebert mass criterion:  $M_{BE} = \frac{c_{BE} \frac{kT}{\mu m_H}}{P_0^{1/2} G^{3/2}}$  where  $c_{BE} = 1.18$  is a dimensionless constant, and all other variables are given in the text.

Assuming that any pressure-gradient inside the gas is too small to affect the dynamics and that all the gravitational potential energy released is effectively radiated away, making the gas isothermal, all parts of the gas will collapse to a single point at the same time<sup>2</sup>. This kind of collapse is called homologous collapse and the free-fall time when all gas reaches the “singular point” is given by:  $t_{ff} = \left( \frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_0} \right)^{1/2}$  where all variables are given previously in this section. When temperatures increase, some of the heavier elements will ionize and the free electrons bonds with hydrogen. The  $H^-$  ions increase the opacity drastically trapping the heat from gravitational potential energy more efficiently. When this happens the collapse will be adiabatic instead of isothermal, and temperatures will increase. When the gas becomes dominantly adiabatic, but still has no stable fusion process in the core the cloud of gas is called a protostar. Small fusion processes and increased opacity increases the effective surface temperature and luminosity of the gas cloud.

Any inhomogeneities in density, and pressure gradient can cause fracturing of the collapsing gas, as can the presence rotation, turbulence, and

---

<sup>2</sup>Naturally the gas can't collapse to a singularity, but it will collapse to a radius very small compared to the original radius.



magnetic fields. Meaning the subregion is divided into smaller regions where the density might not be big enough or several protostars can be created. This might also lead to binary systems or systems with several stars. The entire giant molecular cloud can also be considered a collapsing gas, but fracturing causes several stars to be born as separate entities inside.

When the density and temperature in the core becomes sufficiently high, the protostar will synthesize hydrogen into helium through the pp-chain, or if the star is massive enough the CNO-cycle. This period of the stars life is the longest and is called the main sequence. In the Hertzsprung Russel diagram this extended curve is well documented, and higher mass stars will find themselves higher in the diagram (more mass means more pressure which means more efficient fusion processes). Throughout the stars life in the main sequence the luminosity and effective temperature will increase steadily as the overall mean molecular weight changes in the entire star. The location in the Hertzsprung Russel diagram (i.e. the luminosity and effective surface temperature of the star) when the star first starts to burn steadily (when the star is born so to speak) is called the zero-age main sequence.

The time it takes for a cloud of gas to collapse and reach the zero-age main sequence is inversely proportional to it's mass, while the duration a star spends on the main sequence is roughly proportional to the inverse cube of the mass (from AST1100) . In short massive stars are quickly born and die more quickly, while smaller stars take alot more time. This makes the smaller stars more susceptible to effects from nearby massive stars that ionize or explode while the smaller stars are still forming. Dispite this, observations show that the mass distribution function of stars massively favor low mass stars.

(include plot of initial mass distributions here?)

During the main sequence, where hydrogen is burned into helium in the core, higher mass stars will have a much higher central temperature and density. This means that the hydrogen burning core will be dominated by the CNO-cycle, and the star will have a convective layer that develops in the envelope and stretches deep into the star. Stars with lower mass on the other hand will have cores dominated by the pp-chain because their central temperature is lower. The energy transport will also be mostly radiative from the core out to the envelope. Really low mass stars on the other hand will develop convective layer from the center outwards. As the stars age, more hydrogen will burn into helium and the mean molecular weight will increase, steadily increasing the temperature, radius and luminosity of the stars on

the main-sequence.

When the core of a low-mass star is depleted of hydrogen and filled with helium the pp-chain will stop in the core, but it will continue in a shell around the core due to high temperatures. The hydrogen burning shell around the core will provide more energy and cause the envelope to expand, this causes the luminosity to increase, but surface temperature to decrease. Higher mass stars will contract, and the convective layer disappears steadily as the core runs out of hydrogen fuel. The contraction heats the core and hydrogen shell burning will power the star.

As more helium is accreted onto the inert, isothermal helium core, which will collapse when it reaches the Chandrasekhar limit. The collapse of the core causes heating, which inflates the envelope. Inflating the envelope causes the surface temperature to decrease, this is called the sub giant branch. The inflated envelope stabilizes and becomes convective from the large temperature gradient. The effective energy transport causes the luminosity to increase into the red giant tip. This leads to the first dredge-up where material from outside the core can be mixed into the upper envelope. The collapsed core can now start fusing helium into carbon and oxygen through the triple alpha process. The core will then expand, cooling the hydrogen shell and decrease the overall luminosity of the star. Stars with lower masses will develop an electron degenerate core which will cause the core helium flash once the helium is “ignited” nearly simultaneously.

The envelope will contract following the expansion of the helium burning core, causing the effective surface temperature to rise. When stable radius, helium burning core and hydrogen burning shell is reached the star will have settled onto the horizontal branch. This is the main sequence equivalent of helium burning stars. As the helium is exhausted in the core, the core will start to contract, expanding the envelope, and the effective surface temperature will decrease toward the redder side of the horizontal branch.

When the helium has been expended in the core, leaving an inert core of carbon and oxygen with a helium burning shell around it. The helium burning shell will dominate over the hydrogen burning shell lying on top of it, the increased temperature will cause the hydrogen burning shell to expand and essentially stop energy production. When the helium burning shell exhausts all its fuel the envelope will expand and become convective, the ensuing mix of material from bottom envelope (helium burning shell) to top envelope is called the second dredge-up. The convective energy transport is more effective, making the luminosity of the star increase. In the Hertzsprung-

in the H-R diagram, this moves the star up into the asymptotic giant branch. At this point, the hydrogen burning shell will dominate the energy production of the star once again. The “ash” from the hydrogen burning shell (the top shell) will “rain” down onto the inert helium burning shell (bottom shell). When the temperature is high enough and the bottom shell has enough material, the bottom shell of helium will ignite. Due to the isothermal layer of the helium shell, triple alpha burning will commence in the entire shell simultaneously, in an explosive fashion. This explosion, called the helium shell flash, is less explosive than the helium core flash, but might eject more material because it is closer to the surface. When the helium has been exhausted once again, the shell compresses and the entire process repeats. The repetition of helium flashes is called the third dredge-up, mixing material from the hydrogen and helium shells into the upper envelope.

During the asymptotic giant branch stars lose a lot of their material by ejection into the interstellar medium. This ejection can be from helium flashes, pulsations of the envelope, high luminosity, low surface gravity, high radiation pressure. The combination of effects is not surely determined, but simulations and observations show that the mass-loss must be great during this stage.

After the helium-flashes have subsided, the envelope has been ejected, the shell-burning has stopped, the star remains as a hot inert core of carbon and oxygen (with some hydrogen and helium surrounding it). This remnant is called a white dwarf. This white dwarf will continue to glow until it has radiated away all its thermal energy.

Evolution of massive stars: Stars with mass  $M \gtrsim 8M_{\odot}$  evolve a bit differently. They have no helium flashes. Their high mass means that the central density, pressure, and temperature will be higher. The hydrogen burning core will fill up with helium, and when sufficient mass has been reached the helium will start to fuse into carbon and oxygen through the triple alpha process and hydrogen will burn in a shell around it. The carbon in the core will then continue to fuse with more helium into oxygen and neon, with some sodium and magnesium produced. The oxygen in the core will eventually start to fuse into silicon, and the silicon will eventually start to fuse into sulfur, argon and iron. In this high temperature and high density environment, this process will not be straight forward, many different carbon isotopes will fuse with other particles into many different heavier isotopes. The details above outline the general trend. Assuming that there is an equilibrium of nuclear reactions the star's interior will resemble an onion like

shell structure with the heaviest elements deepest in the star.

Fusion processes cannot produce excess energy for elements heavier than iron. Although trace amounts of heavier elements can be created from the excess thermal energy. In the centre of the core, the free electrons can merge with the free protons to create neutrons and release neutrinos **add nuclear reactions** The sudden loss of electrons causes the electron degeneracy to drop suddenly and the centre of the core will collapse supersonically until the density is roughly 3 times the nucleon density. At this point the centre of the core, consisting of mostly neutrons will experience a repulsive effect of the strong nuclear force. This is equivalent to a pauli exclusion principle of neutrons. The repulsive force causes the core to stiffen and rebound. The shock from the rebounding core meets the falling core on top, causing a shockwave that travels outward from the inner core.

Simulations suggest that the shockwave released will be absorbed by the surrounding layers. The stalled shockwave leaves a shell of high density. This shell is dense enough to absorb a significant amount of the neutrinos released during collapse. If a small amount of the neutrino energy is transferred to the stalled shockwave it will restart and eject the surrounding layers into the interstellar medium. The travelling shockwave can be observed as a type Ib, Ic, or II supernova, also called a core collapse supernova. The remnant of such an event will be a neutron star or (if the mass is great enough to overcome the repulsive force of the strong nuclear force) a black hole.

### 1.3.1 Type 1a supernova

“When a white dwarf (WD) composed of carbon and oxygen accreting mass from a companion star in a binary system approaches the Chandrasekhar mass [ $M_{Ch} \simeq 1.38$  solar masses ( $M_{\odot}$ )], high temperature causes the ignition of explosive nuclear burning reactions that process stellar material and produce energy. The star explodes leaving no remnant, producing a Type Ia supernova (SNIa) (K. Nomoto, F.-K. Thielemann, K. Yokoi, ApJ 286, 644 (1984)).”Mazzali et al. (2007)

In the thermonuclear explosion iron peak elements (mostly Ni and Fe isotopes and below) are synthesized and ejected into the interstellar medium. During accretion, helium and hydrogen burning layers develop and helium flashes occur. These flashes can cause major mixing of hydrogen into the carbon-layers which again can cause neutron producing reactions in greater numbers. Neutron capture processes can occur on the surface of type 1a

supernovae if the produced neutron densities are high enough (Nomoto et al. (1984)). The isotope distributions also seemed to fill in some missing yields from type II supernovae.

Typical type Ia supernovae are heated from the decay of  $^{56}_{28}\text{Ni}$  and will eject  $\simeq 1.4M_{\odot}$  of material at a ejecta velocity of  $\simeq 10Mms^{-1} \simeq 0.03c$  (cite tanaka 16)

### 1.3.2 Neutron star mergers

The idea of mergers of compact objects (either neutron stars or black holes) by emission of gravitational waves have been around for a long time. The general concept is build on two compact objects orbiting each other, interacting with the spatial curvature and creating ripples. These ripples manifest as waves in the fabric of space-time and carry gravitational energy away from two compact objects. The two objects move closer as a result of the lost energy, and increases the orbital velocity accordingly. These gravitational waves distort space itself and can be detected by large laser interferometers that detect spatial disturbances smaller than the width of a nucleus (add reference to ligo paper) .

Emission from a binary neutron star merger, or a kilonova, is heated from the decay of r-process elements (add reference to nuclear physics section) . The ejecta mass and velocity are debated, but estimates are around  $v = 30 - 60Mms^{-1} = 0.1 - 0.2c$   $m \simeq 0.01M_{\odot}$  (add reference to Tanaka 2016 review article) .

During a merger between two neutron star mergers, the two stars move closer to each other over time from gravitational radiation. When they are close enough to each other they will disrupt each other's surface, and surround the merging bodies in a cloud of neutron heavy material that is ejected into the interstellar medium. The force that pulls apart the neutron stars surface is only each other's gravitational pull and centripetal force. As the main bodies merge, a shock drives ejecting of material that will bombard the surrounding cloud. As the cloud ejects from the colliding stars, the density of neutron-matter will drop until extremely neutron heavy nuclei will form, like droplets from steam. These nuclei are unstable and will  $\beta^{-}$ -decay to more stable isobars. These heavy nuclei will act as seeds for the neutron-rich shockwave emitting from the collision. The stream of very dense, high-velocity neutrons onto seed of heavy nuclei is the perfect recipe for r-process nucleosynthesis. (this is also from tanaka-article, do I cite again or move the citation)

## 1.4 The $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ - $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$ chronometer Clayton (1964)

In the lanthanides there is a chain of heavy elements with atomic number 74 through 77. These are wolfram, rhenium, osmium, and iridium and a subsection of the chart of nuclides is [reference to figure](#).

### include chart of nuclide section

From the chart one can see the usual path for slow neutron capture along the valley of stability. This is the main contribution to  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$ . In a standard s-process analysis,  $^{185}_{74}\text{W}$  and  $^{186}_{75}\text{Re}$  are unstable, and will decay before they can capture neutrons. The s-process path will never synthesize  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ . However if the neutron capture rate is comparable to the  $\beta^-$ -decay rates of those nuclides a branching point can occur. A branching point is a point where the synthesizing path of the s-process splits due to competing nuclear reactions. In this case a significant fraction of the s-process path can go through  $^{186}_{75}\text{Re}$  to  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  or through  $^{185}_{74}\text{W}$  to  $^{186}_{74}\text{W}$  (which is stable) and onwards to  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ . Apart from these effects  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  is shielded from s-process contribution.

The rapid neutron capture maintains very high neutron numbers until the neutron source “shuts off”, at that point the isotopes  $\beta^-$ -decay to the valley of stability. Given the long  $\tau_{1/2}$  of  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  it can be considered stable, so the  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  isotope is shielded from r-process contribution because almost all  $\beta^-$ -decay on the 187-isobar will stop at  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ .

Since  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  is radioactive with a half-life of [add here](#) some  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  in the interstellar or stellar medium will decay to  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$ . This amount is called the cosmoradiogenic  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$ . The fraction between cosmoradiogenic  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  and current  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  is given by the exponential decay-function (assuming of course that the nuclear decay rate is constant for all time, including stellar environments), meaning the time of nucleosynthesis can be calculated from the observed fraction of daughter-parent nuclei.

Clayton Clayton (1964) attempts to calculate the fraction of cosmoradiogenic osmium and the age of nucleosynthesis from these principles. A brief summary follows:

The abundance of  $^{186}_{76}\text{Os}$  is due to s-process only, and the abundance of  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  is due to s-process (from  $^{186}_{76}\text{Os}$ ) and cosmo radiogenic enrichment from  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$  beta-decay. The s-process contribution from  $^{186}_{76}\text{Os}$  is given by the  $^{186}_{76}\text{Os}$  abundance and the ratio between the cross-section of the isotopes. It is shown from nebular Samarium that the two s-only isotopes have nearly identical cross-section times abundance. Extrapolating this to other s-process

isotopes, the result is: (denoting abundance of isotope by their chemical name instead of N for simplicity)

$$\bar{\sigma}_{^{186}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}} \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Os}} = \bar{\sigma}_{^{187}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}} \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Os}} \rightarrow \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Os}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{^{186}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}}{\bar{\sigma}_{^{187}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}} \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Os}} \quad (1.1)$$

$\bar{\sigma}$  are the neutron-capture cross-sections averaged over the appropriate thermal velocity distributions. The cosmoradiogenic component of  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  is therefore the remaining part.

$$\frac{^{187}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}\text{Os}} = \frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Os}} - \frac{^{187}\text{Os}_s}{^{187}\text{Os}} = \frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Os}} - \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{^{186}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}}{\bar{\sigma}_{^{187}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}} \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Os}} \quad (1.2)$$

Rewriting equation to relative units.

$$\frac{\frac{^{187}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}\text{Re}}}{\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}}} = \frac{\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}} - \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{^{186}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}}{\bar{\sigma}_{^{187}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}} \frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Re}}}{\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}}} = \frac{\left(\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}}\right) - \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{^{186}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}}{\bar{\sigma}_{^{187}\text{Os}}^{^{186}\text{Os}}} \left(\frac{^{186}\text{Os}}{^{186}\text{Re}}\right)}{\left(\frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}}\right)} \left(\frac{^{187}\text{Re}}{^{186}\text{Re}}\right) \quad (1.3)$$

Origin of time,  $t=0$ , is set to Solar systemformation. Assuming that r-process events are supernovae and they began occurring at time  $T$  before Solar systemformation( $t=0$ ), and the frequency of events decreases exponentially as  $f_0 e^{\Lambda t}$ , where  $f_0$  is the initial supernovae frequency. According to this model, and Clayton, the amount of cosmoradiogenic  $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  is given by

$$\frac{^{187}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}\text{Re}} = \left[ \frac{\Lambda - \lambda}{\Lambda} e^{\lambda T} \frac{1 - e^{-\Lambda T}}{1 - e^{-(\Lambda - \lambda)T}} \right] - 1 \quad (1.4)$$

And the two special cases.

Sudden synthesis ( $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$\frac{^{187}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}\text{Re}} = e^{\lambda t} - 1 \quad (1.5)$$

Uniform synthesis ( $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ )

$$\frac{^{187}\text{Os}_c}{^{187}\text{Re}} = \frac{\lambda T}{1 - e^{-\lambda t}} - 1 \quad (1.6)$$

end of direct summary

In short, by modelling r-process nucleosynthesis and s-process nucleosynthesis, the fraction of  $^{187}_{75}\text{Re}$ - $^{187}_{76}\text{Os}$  in the Solar system can predict the time of nucleosynthesis from non-cosmological methods.

What do I mean about the age of nucleosynthesis?





# Bibliography

Clayton, D. D. 1964, ApJ, 139, 637

Iliadis, C. 2015, Nuclear physics of stars (Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH)

Mazzali, P. A., Röpke, F. K., Benetti, S., & Hillebrandt, W. 2007, Science, 315, 825

Nomoto, K., Thielemann, F.-K., & Yokoi, K. 1984, ApJ, 286, 644