### **Announcements**

#### • Still riding this ECF train!

- I need to finish the second version of our shell—this one called **simplesh**—to illustrate how a real shell parses the command line, leverages **fork** and **execvp** to spawn off new executables, and exactly what it means for a process to run in the background as opposed to the foreground.
- I also need to work through the client program using and the implementation of the **subprocess**, which demonstrates how different processes can communicates via **pipes**. #lovethisexample
- I also need to work through the examples from this past Monday's slide deck. We haven't gotten to that slide deck yet. #sadface
- And I need to sneak in an example where **processes** signal other processes. So much to do!
  - o Most of our examples just rely on the kernel firing signals at processes that need to be informed about some (possibly bad, possibly not bad) event.
  - However, there are certain times when a process (e.g. your Assignment 3 tsh shell, for instance, which you'll get next Friday) needs to signal a child process (e.g. one of the shell's jobs) that something happened. In particular, your tsh shell will be the first to hear about SIGINT and SIGISTP signals when the user types ctrl-c and ctrl-z. Your tsh implementation will want to handle each of those signals by effectively forwarding the same signal to the foreground process group.
- Come later next week, I'll present a straightforward, high-level view of how two (or 200) processes can seemingly run in the same address space by talking about virtual-to-physical memory mapping (our first real foray into virtualization).

## **Interprocess communications**

- Processes can message other processes using signals via the kill system call.
  - Prototype:

```
int kill(pid_t pid, int signum);
```

- Analogous to the **/bin/kill** shell command.
  - Unfortunately named, since kill implies **SIGKILL**, which implies death.
  - So named because the default action of most signals in early UNIX implementations was to just terminate the target process (identified by pid).
- Returns -1 if the call fails (generally because the calling process doesn't have permission to fire signals at target process), 0 if all is swell.
- **pid** parameter is overloaded to provide many signalling strategies:
  - When **pid** is a positive number, the target is a process with that **pid**.
  - When **pid** is a negative number less than -1, the targets are all processes within the process group **abs (pid)**.
  - pid can also be 0 or -1, but we don't need to worry about those (though it's documented in the B&O textbook if you're curious).
- Your Assignment 3 tsh shell will use kill within your SIGINT and SIGTSTP handlers to forward those same signals to the foreground process group.

## One final example

- One final puzzle to ensure you follow the ideas:
  - Meaningful example illustrating kill function would be too large.
  - Instead, we'll work through a small puzzle to confirm you all understand the workflow of the processes and understand how **kill** triggers various signal handlers to be executed. (Error checking is omitted from this example, since it's such a small example, and we'll assume, for simplicity, that nothing ever fails).
  - Putting it all together. What're the possible outputs (plural!) of the following program?

```
static pid_t pid;
static int counter = 0;
                                            static void childHandler(int unused) {
static void parentHandler(int unused) {
 counter++;
                                             counter += 3;
 printf("counter = %d\n", counter);
                                               printf("counter = %d\n", counter);
 kill(pid, SIGUSR1);
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
 signal(SIGUSR1, parentHandler);
 if ((pid = fork()) == 0) {
   signal(SIGUSR1, childHandler);
   kill(getppid(), SIGUSR1);
   return 0;
 waitpid(pid, NULL, 0);
 counter += 7;
 printf("counter = %d\n", counter);
 return 0;
```

# One final example (continued)

### ■ What's the expected output?

• Make sure you understand why the program on the previous slide is capable of producing two different outputs, depending on processor scheduling. (Hint: the child process may or may not exit before **parentHandler** has a chance to kill it!)

```
myth22> ./kill-puzzle
counter = 1
counter = 8
myth22> ./kill-puzzle
counter = 1
counter = 3
counter = 8
myth22>
```