based" machines) has a machine-language instruction named (Move and Edit, which permits a considerable variety of editing features at hardware speeds. This suggests that a moderate programming modification could enable the full power of the EDIT instruction to be added to the Fortran language for such a computer. The author has, in fact, done this for Version 4 of 1410 Fortran. From the user's standpoint, the extension takes the form of a new specification type in the format statement, allowing a "pictorial", reminiscent of the Cobol "picture." This pictorial (which is practically identical with the corresponding 1410 machine language specification) is effective only with the "F" and "I" output modes.

As an example, we can write in a 1410 FORTRAN program:
WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6, 100, A, B, K
100 FORMAT (F(XX\$0.XX), F6.2, I(XX.XX))
This results in the following 1410 treatment:

	Internal	Specification	1410 Edit Word	Output
A	100.0	F(XX\$0.XX)	bb\$0.bb	\$100.00
\mathbf{B}	100.0	F6.2	None	100.00
\mathbf{K}	100	I(XX.XX)	bb.bb	1.00

where the b's represent blanks. We have substituted X's for the blanks in the source format as a documentation aid. For further examples of 1410 hardware editing see page 38 of the IBM 1410 Reference Manual, Form No. A22-1407-2.

To create this feature in the 1410 Fortran all formats are examined at execution time for edit-type specifications. When an edit-type specification is encountered, it is converted to a standard edit word and placed in the I/O buffer. After the data word has been arranged for printing it is edited into this standard edit word. All checking features of the 1410 IO COMMON package are utilized. Fixed-point variables are edited directly from location 0500. Converted f-fields are moved to a new area by the standard IO COMMON package from which they are edited. The standard features of the original 1410 IO Common package are not disturbed.

This editing ability in 1410 Fortran allows business data processing problems to be compiled without losing either the facilities of the hardware edit feature or the programming advantages of Fortran. The author will be glad to supply the listing of this modification upon request.

Thanks are due F. J. Balint and E. B. Weinberger for suggestions leading to this work and to Dr. Weinberger for a number of programming suggestions.

John E. Fedako
Gulf Research & Development Company
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

On the Communications Index

Dear Editor:

I have found the "Index to the Communications of the ACM, Volumes 1–5" the March issue of *Communications* extremely useful. I am sure that other members have found the index equally useful and I would like to add my appreciation to Mr. Youden of NBS for a job well done.

Perhaps all ACM members have not noticed that the index may be easily detached from the issue by simply removing the binding staples. Because of the method of binding the index into the issue this results in a conveniently folded section which carries its own staples.

C. L. McCarty, Jr.
Editor, Techniques Department
Communications of the ACM



J. WEGSTEIN, Editor

ALGORITHM 173 ASSIGN

Otomar Hájek

Research Institute of Mathematical Machines, Prague, Czechoslovakia

procedure assign (a) the value of : (b) with dimension : (dim)
indices : (ind) bounds : (low, up) tracer : (j);

value dim; integer dim, ind, low, up, j;

comment This procedure uses Jensen's device (cf. Algol Report, procedure Innerproduct) twice: the a, b may depend on ind and also ind, low, up may depend on j;

j := dim;
for ind := low step 1 until up do
 if dim > 1
 then
 begin
 assign (a, b, dim-1, ind, low, up, j);

assign (a, b, dim-1, ind, low, up, j := dimend

 $\mathbf{else}\ a\ \mathbf{:=}\ b$

end assign;

comment The obvious use of "assign" is in assigning the value of one array to another. The point here is that one procedure declaration serves for all the dimensions used. In fact, the dimension may even be a variable: thus a procedure essentially identical with "assign" was used by the author in implementing the recursive own process in an Algol compiler.

However, in addition to this, "assign" can have further functions, as illustrated below. The activation assign $(a, (if i=1 then false else a) \lor b_{i.i.}, 1, i, 1, n, j)$ will calculate the join-trace of a Boolean 2-dimensional array b.

assign $(a_{i_1.i_2},$ (if $i_3=1$ then 0 else $a_{i_1.i_2})+b_{i_1.i_3}\times c_{i_3,i_2}$, 3, i_j , 1, if j=1 then n else if j=2 then m else p, j) will assign to a the matrix product of b, c. It may be noticed that, more generally, "assign" will perform all the tensor operations, e.g. tensor multiplication, alternation, etc.

ALGORITHM 174 A POSTERIORI BOUNDS ON A ZERO OF A POLYNOMIAL*

Allan Gibb

University of Alberta, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

comment The procedures below make use of Algorithm 61, Procedures for Range Arithmetic [Comm. ACM 4 (1961)]. It is assumed that the procedures below and the range arithmetic procedures are contained in an outer block and, therefore, that the procedures are available as required. Together the procedures make possible an attempt to determine absolute bounds

^{*} These procedures were developed under Office of Naval Research Contract Nonr-225(37) at Stanford University. The author wishes to thank Professor George E. Forsythe for assistance with this work.

```
on a zero of a polynomial given an initial estimate of the zero.
  The procedures below are given for the complex case but may
  readily be adapted for the real case;
procedure RngPlyC (N, A, Z, P);
comment RngPlyC finds bounds [P1, P2] + i[P3, P4] on the
  value of an nth degree polynomial \sum_{k=0}^{n} \{[a_{4k+1}, a_{4k+2}]\}
  + i[a_{4k+3}, a_{4k+4}] z^k with complex range coefficients for a com-
  plex range argument z = [Z1, Z2] + i[Z3, Z4];
integer N; array A, Z, P;
begin integer K, J; array X, Y[1:4];
P[1] := P[2] := P[3] := P[4] := 0;
for K := 4 \times N step -4 until 0 do
  begin for J := 1 step 1 until 4 do X[J] := A[K+J];
  RNGMPYC\ (P[1],\ P[2],\ P[3],\ P[4],\ Z[1],\ Z[2],\ Z[3],\ Z[4],\ Y[1],
                 Y[2], Y[3], Y[4]);
  RNGSUMC (Y[1], Y[2], Y[3], Y[4], X[1], X[2], X[3], X[4],
                P[1], P[2], P[3], P[4]
  end
end:
procedure RngAbsC (A, C):
comment RngAbsC produces the range absolute value [C1, C2]
  of the complex range number [A1, A2] + i[A3, A4];
array A, C;
begin array B[1:4];
RANGESQR \quad (A[1], A[2], B[1], B[2]);
RANGESQR (A[3], A[4], B[3], B[4]);
RANGESUM (B[1], B[2], B[3], B[4], C[1], C[2]);
C[1] := sqrt(C[1]);
C[2] := sqrt(C[2]);
comment It is assumed that the accuracy of the sqrt routine
  used is known and that the maximum error in sqrt(C) is \pm K
  \times CORRECTION(C). K is to be replaced below by its appro-
  priate numerical value;
C[1] := C[1] - K \times CORRECTION (C[1]);
C[2] := C[2] + K \times CORRECTION (C[2])
procedure BndZrPlyC (N, ZOR, ZOJ, A, W,);
integer N; real ZOR, ZOJ; array A, W;
comment BndZrPlyC attempts to determine bounds [W1, W2]
  +i[W3, W4] on a zero of an N-th degree polynomial in z with
  complex range coefficients. It is assumed that an estimate
  ZO = ZOR + iZOJ of the zero is available. The following
  theorem is used. Assume f is regular at z_0 with f'(z_0) \neq 0. Let
  h_0 = -f(z_0)/f'(z_0), let \Delta be the region |z - z_0| \leq r |h_0|, and
  assume that f is regular in \Delta. If, for some r > 0, |f'(z)| \ge (1/r).
  |f'(z_0)| for all z \in \Delta then \Delta contains a zero of f(\text{see [1]}, \text{pp. 29-31});
begin integer I, J; array B[1:4\times N], E, F, FP, D[1:4], AF,
  AFP, G[1:2];
real RH, RHS, NL, NR, R, RNL, RNR;
for I := 1 step 1 until N do
  begin J := 4 \times I;
  RANGEMPY (I, I, A[J+1], A[J+2], B[J-3], B[J-2]);
  RANGEMPY (I, I, A[J+3], A[J+4], B[J-1], B[J])
E[1] := E[2] := ZOR; E[3] := E[4] := ZOJ;
RngPlyC(N, A, E, F);
RngAbsC(F, AF);
RngPlyC(N-1, B, E, FP);
RngAbsC(FP, AFP);
RANGEDVD(AF[1], AF[2], AFP[1], AFP[2], NL, NR);
R := 2;
1: RANGEMPY(R, R, NR, NR, RNL, RNR);
RANGESUM(ZOR, ZOR, -RNR, RNR, W[1], W[2]);
RANGESUM(ZOJ, ZOJ, -RNR, RNR, W[3], W[4]);
comment We have replaced the disk of the theorem by a square;
RngRlyC(N-1, B, W, D);
RngAbsC(D, G);
if G[1] = 0 then go to failure1;
```

```
comment failure1 and failure2 are non-local labels;
RANGEDVD(AFP[2], AFP[2], R, R, RH, RHS);
if G[1] < RHS then
  begin R := 2 \times R;
  if R > 1024 then go to failure2;
  go to 1
  end
end
comment The following procedure may replace BndZrPlyC
  above:
procedure BndZrPlyC2 (N, ZOR, ZOJ, A, W);
integer N; array A, W; real ZOR, ZOJ;
comment BndZrPlyC2 is similar to BndZrPlyC above. The
  theorem used here follows. If, in the disk |z-z_0| \le 2 |h_0| we
  have \mid f''(z)\mid \  \, \leq \mid f'(z_0)\mid /(2\mid h_0\mid ), then there is a unique zero in
  the disk (see [2, pp. 43-50];
begin integer I, J; array B[1:4\times N], C[1:4\times N-4], F, D, P,
  S[1:4], X, T, Q, Y[1:2]; real V, VP, R, RL;
for I := 1 step 1 until N do
  begin J := 4 \times I;
  RANGEMPY(I, I, A[J+1], A[J+2], B[J-3], B[J-2]);
  RANGEMPY(I, I, A[J+3], A[J+4], B[J-1], B[J])
for I := 1 step 1 until N - 1 do
  begin J := 4 \times I;
  RANGEMPY(I, I, B[J+1], B[J+2], C[J-3], C[J-2]);
  RANGEMPY(I, I, B[J+3], B[J+4], C[J-1], C[J])
  end:
D[1] := D[2] := ZOR;
D[3] := D[4] := ZOJ;
RngPlyC(N, A, D, F);
RngPlyC(N-1, B, D, P);
RngAbsC(F, T);
RngAbsC(P, X);
if X[1] = 0 then go to failure1;
comment failure1 and failure2 are non-local labels;
RANGEDVD(T[1], T[2], X[1], X[2], Q[1], Q[2]);
RANGEMPY(2, 2, Q[2], Q[2], RL, R);
RNGSUMC(-R, R, -R, R, ZOR, ZOR, ZOJ, ZOJ, W[1], W[2],
  W[3], W[4]);
RngPlyC(N-2, C, W, S);
RngAbsC(S, Y);
RANGEDVD(X[1], X[1], R, R, V, VP);
if Y[2] > V then go to failure2
  References:
1. GIBB, ALLAN. ALGOL procedures for range arithmetic. Tech.
    Report No. 15, Appl. Math. and Statistics Laboratories,
    Stanford University (1961).
2. Ostrowski, A. M. Solution of equations and systems of equations.
    Academic Press, New York, 1960.
ALGORITHM 175
```

```
SHUTTLE SORT
```

C. J. SHAW AND T. N. TRIMBLE

System Development Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

```
procedure shuttle sort (m, Temporary, N);
value m; integer m; array N[1:m];
```

comment This procedure sorts the list of numbers N[1] through N[m] into numeric order, by exchanging out-of-order number pairs. The procedure is simple, requires only Temporary as extra storage, and is quite fast for short lists (say 25 numbers) and fairly fast for slightly longer lists (say 100 numbers). For

```
still longer lists, though, other methods are much swifter. The
                                                                  ALGORITHM 177
 actual parameters for Temporary and N should, of course, be
                                                                  LEAST SQUARES SOLUTION WITH CONSTRAINTS
 similar in type;
                                                                  M. J. Synge
 begin integer i, j;
                                                                  The Boeing Company, Transport Division, Renton, Wash.
 for i := 1 step 1 until m - 1 do
   begin
                                                                   procedure CONLSQ (A, y, w, n, m, r) results: (x) residuals:
   for j := i step -1 until 1 do
                                                                     (e, rms);
     begin
                                                                   real rms; integer n, m, r; array A, y, w, x, e; procedure
     if N[j] \leq N[j+1] then go to Test;
                                                                     abs, SURFIT;
Exchange: Temporary := N[j]; N[j] := N[j+1];
                                                                   comment This procedure solves an overdetermined set of n
          N[j+1] := Temporary; end of j loop;
                                                                     simultaneous linear equations in m unknowns, Ax = y. The
Test: end of i loop
                                                                     first r equations (r \le m) are satisfied exactly and the remaining
  end shuttle sort
                                                                     n-r are satisfied as well as possible by the method of least
                                                                     squares. Each equation is assigned a weight from the vector w,
                                                                     although the first r weights have no relevance. This procedure
                                                                     may be used for curve or surface fitting when the approximating
                                                                     function or its derivatives are required to have fixed values at a
                                                                     number of points;
                                                                   begin integer i, j, k, ii, ick; integer array ic[1:m];
                                                                     array B[1:n-r, 1:m-r]; real Amax;
ALGORITHM 176
                                                                     for i := 1 step 1 until r do
LEAST SQUARES SURFACE FIT
                                                                     begin k := 1; for j := 2 step 1 until m do
                                                                       begin if abs (A[i, j]) > abs (A[i, k]) then k := j; end;
T. D. Arthurs
                                                                       ic[i] := k; Amax := A[i, k]; for j := 1 step 1 until m do
The Boeing Company, Transport Division, Renton, Wash.
                                                                       A[i, j] := A[i, j]/Amax; y[i] := y[i]/Amax;
                                                                       for ii := 1 step 1 until r do
procedure SURFIT (F, z, W, m, n) answers: (a, e, rms);
                                                                       begin if ii = i then go to skip; Amax := A[ii, k];
integer m, n; real rms; array F, z, W, e;
                                                                         for j := 1 step 1 until m do
procedure Invert, sqrt;
                                                                         A[ii, j] := A[ii, j] - A[i, j] \times Amax;
comment Given a set of m ordinates and the corresponding
                                                                         y[ii] := y[ii] - y[i] \times Amax;
  values of n prescribed general functions, (f_i), of one or more
                                                                   skip: end ii
  linearly independent variables, this procedure fits the points,
                                                                     end i;
 in the least squares sense, with a function of the form a_1f_1 + a_2f_2
                                                                     ick := r + 1; for j := 1 step 1 until m do
  + \ldots + a_n f_n where a_i are the unknown coefficients. Also com-
                                                                     begin k := 1;
 puted are the vectors of residuals (e_i) and their lengths (rms).
                                                                   repeat: if j = ic[k] then go to next;
  Provision is made for weighting the data points. Essentially, the
  matrix equation F^TWFa = F^TWz is solved, where a is the vector
                                                                     k := k + 1; if r \ge k then go to repeat;
                                                                     ic[ick] := j; \quad ick := ick + 1;
  of unknowns, W is an m \times m diagonal matrix of data point
                                                                   next: end k:
  weights, z is the vector of ordinate values and F is the
                                                                     for i := r + 1 step 1 until n do
  m \times n matrix of corresponding function values. The availa-
                                                                     begin for k := 1 step 1 until r do
  bility of a procedure Invert, which replaces a real matrix with
                                                                       y[i] := y[i] - y[k] \times A[i, ic[k]];
  its inverse, is assumed;
                                                                       for j := r + 1 step 1 until m do
begin integer i, j, k; real sqsum, g; array G[1:n, 1:n];
                                                                       begin B[i, j] := A[i, ic[j]];
  comment G is working space for the inversion procedure;
                                                                         for k := 1 step 1 until r do
  sqsum := 0:
                                                                         B[i, j] := B[i, j] - A[i, ic[k]] \times A[k, ic[j]]
  for i := 1 step 1 until n do
                                                                       end j
  for j := 1 step 1 until n do
                                                                     end i;
  begin G[i, j] := 0;
                                                                     SURFIT (B, y[r+1:n], w[r+1:n], n-r, m-r, x[r+1:m],
    for k := 1 step 1 until m do
                                                                       e[r+1:n], rms);
    G[i, j] := G[i, j] + F[k, i] \times F[k, j] \times W[k]
                                                                   comment The procedure SURFIT is called to solve the reduced
                                                                     set of n-r simultaneous linear equations in m-r unknowns,
  Invert (G, n);
                                                                     Bx_2 = y_2', which have no constraints;
  for i := 1 step 1 until n do
                                                                   for j := r + 1 step 1 until m do x[ic[j]] := x[j];
  begin a[i] := 0;
                                                                   for j := 1 step 1 until r do
    for j := 1 step 1 until m do
                                                                   begin x[ic[j]] := y[j];
    begin g := 0;
                                                                     for i := r + 1 step 1 until m do
      for k := 1 step 1 until n do
                                                                     x[ic[j]] := x[ic[j]] - A[j, ic[i]] \times x[ic[i]]
      g := g + G[i, k] \times F[j, k];
                                                                   end j
      a[i] := a[i] + g \times z[j] \times W[j]
                                                                   end CONLSQ
    end j
  end i;
  for i := 1 step 1 until m do
  begin e[i] = y[i];
                                                                   ALGORITHM 178
    for j := 1 step 1 until n do
                                                                   DIRECT SEARCH
    e[i] := e[i] - a[j] \times F[i, j];
    sqsum := sqsum + e[i] \uparrow 2
                                                                   ARTHUR F. KAUPE, JR.
```

rms := sqrt (sqsum/m)

end i:

end SURFIT

Westinghouse Electric Corp., Pittsburgh, Penn.

procedure direct search (psi, X, DELTA, rho, delta, S);

```
value K, DELTA, rho, delta; integer K; array psi;
  real DELTA, rho, delta; real procedure S;
comment This procedure may be used to locate the minimum
  of the function S of K variables. A discussion of the use of this
  procedure may be found in: Robert Hooke and T. A. Jeeves,
  'Direct Search' Solution of Numerical and Statistical Problems
  [J. ACM 8, 2 (1961), 212-229]. The notation is essentially that
  used in Appendix B of the cited paper. The exceptions being the
  spelling of the Greek letters and the introduction of notation to
  distinguish between the process of calculating a value of S and
  the value itself—thus S(phi) and Sphi. A modified version of this
  procedure acceptable to the BAC compiler for the Burroughs
  205 and 220 computers has been prepared and run successfully;
begin real SS, Spsi, Sphi, theta; array phi [1:K]; integer K, k;
procedure E; for k := 1 step 1 until K do
  begin phi[k] := phi[k] + DELTA; Sphi := S(phi);
  if Sphi < SS then SS := Sphi else
    begin phi[k] := phi[k] - 2 \times DELTA; Sphi := S(phi):
    if Sphi < SS then SS := Sphi else phi [k] := phi [k] + DELTA
  end E;
Start: Spsi := S(psi);
1: SS := Spsi;
\mathbf{for}\; k \mathrel{\mathop:}= 1\; \mathbf{step}\; 1\; \mathbf{until}\; K\; \mathbf{do}\; phi\; [k] \mathrel{\mathop:}= \; psi\; [k]; \quad E;
if SS < Spsi then begin
  2: for k := 1 step 1 until K do begin
    theta := psi[k];
    psi[k] := phi[k];
    phi[k] := 2 \times phi[k] - theta end;
  Spsi := SS; SS := Sphi := S(phi); E;
  if SS < Spsi then go to 2 else go to 1 end;
3: if DELTA \ge delta then begin DELTA := rho \times DELTA;
  go to 1 end end
```

ALGORITHM 179 INCOMPLETE BETA RATIO*

OLIVER G. LUDWIG

Mathematical Laboratory and Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Cambridge, England

* Based in part on work done at Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and supported by the Petroleum Research Fund of the American Chemical Society and by the National Science Foundation.

```
real procedure incompletebeta (x, p, q, epsilon);
value x, p, q; real x, p, q, epsilon;
hegin real fingum inform term 1 term term 1 organization.
```

begin real finsum, infsum, temp, temp 1, term, term 1, qrecur, index;
Boolean alter;

comment This procedure evaluates the ratio $B_x(p, q)/B_1(p, q)$, where $B_x(p, q) = \int_0^x t^{p-1}(1-t)^{q-1} dt$, with $0 \le x \le 1$ and p, q > 0, but not necessarily integers. It assumes the existence of a non-local label, alarm, to which control is transferred upon entry to the procedure with invalid arguments. Also assumed is a procedure to evaluate $\int_0^\infty t^{pe^{-t}} dt$ which is called factorial(p), (cf. e.g. Algorithm 80, March, 1962);

```
if x > 1 \lor x < 0 \lor p \le 0 \lor q \le 0 then go to alarm;
```

if $x = 0 \lor x = 1$ then begin incompletebeta := x; go to End end; comment This part interchanges arguments if necessary to obtain better convergence in the power series below;

```
if x \leq 0.5 then alter := false else
```

```
begin alter := true; temp := p; p := q; q := temp; x := 1 - x end;
```

comment This part recurs on the (effective) q until the power series below does not alternate;

```
for index := index - 1 while index > 0 do
  begin qrecur := index;
    term := term \times (qrecur+1)/(temp \times (p+qrecur));
   finsum := finsum + term
  end;
comment This part sums a power series for non-integral effec-
  tive q and yields unity for integer q;
infsum := term := 1; index := 0;
comment In the following statement the convergence criterion
  might well be altered to term > epsilon, since infsum > 1 al-
  ways, thus saving one divide per cycle at the cost, perhaps, of a
  few more cycles:
for index := index + 1 while (term/infsum) > epsilon do
  begin term := term \times x \times (index-qrecur) \times (p+index-1)/
    (index \times (p+index)); infsum := infsum + term
comment This part evaluates most of the necessary factorial
  functions, minimizing the number of entries into the factorial
  procedure;
temp := temp \ 1 := factorial \ (qrecur-1);
term := term \ 1 := factorial \ (qrecur+p-1);
for index := qrecur step 1 until (q-0.5) do
  begin temp \ 1 := temp \ 1 \times index;
    term \ 1 := term \ 1 \times (index + p)
  end:
comment This part combines the partial results into the final
  temp := x \uparrow p \times (infsum \times term/(p \times temp) + finsum \times term 1 \times finsum \times term)
  (1-x) \uparrow q/(q \times temp \ 1))/factorial \ (p-1);
```

finsum := 0; term := 1; temp := 1 - x; qrecur := index := q;

ALGORITHM 180 ERROR FUNCTION—LARGE X

HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*

end: end incompletebeta

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill.

incomplete beta := if alter then 1-temp else temp;

* Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

```
real procedure erfL(x); value x; real x;
```

comment This procedure evaluates the error function of real argument, $erf(x) = (2/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_0^x e^{-u^2} du$ by the Laplace continued

fraction for the complementary error function: $erf(x) = 1 - (1/(1+v/(1+2v/(1+3v/(1+\cdots)))))/(\sqrt{\pi}x \ e^{x^2})$ where $v = 1/(2x^2)$. Successive even convergents of the continued fraction are evaluated, using an algorithm suggested by Maehly, until the full accuracy of the arithmetic being used is attained.

The continued fraction converges for all x > 0. For small x, however, convergence may be excessively slow, and overflow may occur. In this region, the Taylor series converges satisfactorily, and algorithms such as No. 123 are suitable.

For $x \leq 0$, the procedure calls the global procedure alarm.

The body of this procedure has been checked on the LGP-30 computer, using the Dartmouth Self Contained Algol Processor. The program was used to tabulate erf(x) from 0.9(.1)5.0. The maximum error was 2×10^{-6} , which is explainable by roundoff errors. The number of convergents calculated ranged from 36 for x = 0.9 to 2 for $x \ge 3.3$. Overflow occurred for x = 0.87;

```
begin integer m; real B \min 2, B \min 3, P, R, T, v, v2; if x \le 0 then alarm; v := x \times x; T := -0.56418958/x/exp(v); comment The constant 0.56418958 \cdots = \pi^{-1/2}, and should be given to the full accuracy required of the procedure; v := 0.5/v;
```

```
P = v \times T;
                                                                   ALGORITHM 182
v2 := v \times v;
                                                                   NONRECURSIVE ADAPTIVE INTEGRATION
T:=T+1;
                                                                    W. M. McKeeman and Larry Tesler
m := 0;
                                                                   Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.
R := B \min 3 := B \min 2 := 1;
for m := m + 1 while T \neq R do
                                                                    real procedure Simpson(F) limits : (a, b) tolerance : (eps);
 begin R := T;
                                                                    real procedure F; real a, b, eps; value a, b, eps;
  B~min~3:=v\times(m-1)\times B~min~3+B~min~2
                                                                    begin comment A nonrecursive translation of Algorithm 145.
  T := B \min 2;
                                                                     Note that the device used here can be used to simulate recursion
  B \min 2 := v \times m \times B \min 2 + B \min 3;
                                                                     for a wide class of algorithms:
  T := R - P/B \min 2/T;
                                                                     integer lvl;
  P := m \times (m+1) \times v2 \times P
                                                                     switch return := r1, r2, r3;
  end while;
                                                                      real array dx, epsp, x2, x3, F2, F3, F4, Fmp, Fbp,
  erfL := T
                                                                      est2, est3 [1:30], pval[1:30, 1:3];
end
                                                                      integer array rtrn [1:30];
                                                                      real absarea, est, Fa, Fm, Fb, da, sx, est1, sum, F1;
                                                                      comment the parameter setup for the initial call;
                                                                      lvl := absarea := est := 0; da := b - a;
                                                                      Fa := F(a); Fm := 4.0 \times F((a+b)/2.0); Fb := F(b);
ALGORITHM 181
                                                                      recur:
COMPLEMENTARY ERROR FUNCTION—
                                                                       lvl := lvl + 1; dx[lvl] := da/3.0;
  LARGE X
                                                                       sx := dx[lvl]/6.0; Fl := 4.0 \times F(a+dx[lvl]/2.0);
                                                                       x2[lvl] := a + dx[lvl]; F2[lvl] := F(x2[lvl]);
HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*
                                                                       x3[lvl] := x2[lvl] + dx[lvl]; F3[lvl] := F(x3[lvl]);
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill.
                                                                       epsp[lvl] := eps; F4[lvl] := 4.0 \times F(x3[lvl] + dx[lvl]);
   * Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
                                                                       Fmp[lvl] := Fm; \quad est1 := (Fa+F1+F2[lvl]) \times sx;
real procedure erfcL(x); value x; real x;
                                                                       Fbp[lvl] := Fb; \quad est2[lvl] := (F2[lvl] + F3[lvl] + Fm) \times sx;
comment This procedure evaluates the complementary error
                                                                                        est3[lvl] := (F3[lvl]+F4[lvl]+Fb) \times sx;
                                                                       sum := est1 + est2[lvl] + est3[lvl];
  function, erfc(x) = 1 - erf(x) = (2/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_{x}^{\infty} exp(-u^2) du by
  the Laplace continued fraction:
                                                                       absarea := absarea - abs(est) + abs(est1) + abs(est2[lvl]) +
                                                                          abs (est3[lvl]);
   erfc(x) = (1/(1+v/(1+2v/(1+3v/(1+\cdots)))))/(\sqrt{\pi}x e^{x^2})
                                                                       if (abs(est-sum) \le epsp[lvl] \times absarea) \lor (lvl \ge 30) then
  where v = 1/(2x^2). Successive even convergents of the continued
                                                                       begin comment done on this level;
  fraction are evaluated, using an algorithm suggested by Maehly,
                                                                          up: lvl := lvl - 1;
  until the full accuracy of the arithmetic being used is attained.
    The continued fraction converges for all x > 0. For small x,
                                                                          pval[lvl, rtrn[lvl]] := sum;
                                                                          go to return [rtrn[lvl]]
  however, convergence may be excessively slow, and overflow
                                                                       end;
  and round-off accumulation may occur. In this region, the
                                                                       rtrn[lvl] := 1; da := dx[lvl]; Fm := F1;
  Taylor series converges satisfactorily.
                                                                       Fb := F2[lvl]; eps := epsp[lvl]/1.7; est := est1;
    For x \leq 0, the procedure calls the global procedure alarm.
                                                                       go to recur; r1:
    The body of this procedure has been checked on the LGP-30
                                                                       rtrn[lvl] := 2; da := dx[lvl]; Fa := F2[lvl];
  Computer, using the Dartmouth Self Contained Algol Processor,
                                                                       Fm := Fmp[lvl]; Fb := F3[lvl]; eps := epsp[lvl]/1.7;
  for x = 1.2(0.1)5.0. Results were generally correct to 1 in the
                                                                       est := est2[lvl]; a := x2[lvl]; go to recur; r2:
  6th significant digit, although a few errors were as large as 6
                                                                       rtrn[lvl] := 3; da := dx[lvl]; Fa := F3[lvl];
  in that digit. The errors are believed to be due to round-off
                                                                       Fm := F4[lvl]; Fb := Fbp[lvl]; eps := epsp[lvl]/1.7;
  only. The number of convergents calculated ranged from 46
                                                                       est := est3[lvl]; a := x3[lvl]; go to recur; r3:
  for x = 1.2 to 10 for x = 5.0.
                                                                       sum := pval[lvl, 1] + pval[lvl, 2] + pval[lvl, 3];
   Overflow occurred for x = 1.183;
                                                                       if lvl > 1 then go to up;
begin integer m; real B \min 2, B \min 3, P, R, T, v, v2;
                                                                      Simpson := sum
if x \leq 0 then alarm;
                                                                    end Simpson
v := x \times x;
T := 0.56418958/x/exp(v);
comment The constant 0.56418958 \cdots = \pi^{-1/2}, and should be
  given to the full accuracy required of the procedure;
                                                                    ALGORITHM 183
v := 0.5/v;
                                                                    REDUCTION OF A SYMMETRIC BANDMATRIX
v2 := v \times v;
                                                                      TO TRIPLE DIAGONAL FORM
P := v \times T;
m := R := 0;
B \min 3 := B \min 2 := 1;
                                                                    Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zürich, Switzer-
for m := m + 2 while R \neq T do
                                                                      land
  begin R := T;
  B \min 3 := v \times (m-1) \times B \min 3 + B \min 2;
                                                                    procedure bandred(a, n, m);
  T := B \min 2;
                                                                      value n, m; integer n, m; array a;
  B \min 2 := v \times m \times B \min 2 + B \min 3;
                                                                    comment bandred reduces a real and symmetric matrix of band
  T := R - P/B \min 2/T;
                                                                      type (order n, a[i, k] = 0 for |i-k| > m) by a sequence of orthog-
  P:=m\times (m{+}1)\times v2\times P
                                                                      onal similarity transformations to triple diagonal form. The
  end while;
                                                                      procedure represents a generalization of the algorithm m21 by
  erfc\ L\ :=\ T
                                                                      H. Rutishauser. Due to symmetry only the upper part of the
end
                                                                      band matrix must be given and these elements are denoted for
```

```
convenience in the following way: a[i, 0] (i=1, 2, \dots, n) repre-
  sents the diagonal element in the ith row, and a[i, k] (i=1, 2, 1)
  \cdots, n-k and k=1, 2, \cdots, m) represents the generally nonzero
  element in the ith row and the kth position to the right of the
  diagonal. After completion of the reduction, the elements of the
  symmetric triple diagonal matrix are given by a[i, 0] (i=1, 2, 1)
   \dots, n) and a[i, 1] (i=1, 2, \dots, n-1);
begin integer r, k, i, j, p, rr; real b, g, c, s, c2, s2, cs, u, v;
  for r := m \text{ step } -1 \text{ until } 2 \text{ do}
  begin
    for k := 1 step 1 until n-r do
    begin
       for j := k step r until n-r do
      begin
         comment This compound statement describes the rota-
           tion involving the ith and (i+1)st rows and columns
           in order to reduce either a[j, r] or the off-band element
           g to zero, respectively. This rotation produces a new
           off-band element g (in general different from zero) pro-
           vided i + r < n;
         if j = k then
         begin if a[j, r] = 0 then go to endk;
          b := -a[j, r-1]/a[j, r]
         end
         begin if g = 0 then go to endk;
          b := -a[j-1, r]/g
         s := 1/sqrt(1 + b \times b); \quad c := b \times s;
         c2 := c \times c; s2 := s \times s; cs := c \times s;
        i := j + r - 1;
         u := c2 \times a[i, 0] - 2 \times cs \times a[i, 1] + s2 \times a[i + 1, 0];
        v := s2 \times a[i, 0] + 2 \times cs \times a[i, 1] + c2 \times a[i+1, 0];
        a[i,1] := cs \times (a[i,0] - a[i{+}1,0]) + (c2{-}s2) \times a[i,1];
        a[i, 0] := u; \quad a[i+1, 0] := v;
column rotation:
        for p := j step 1 until i - 1 do
        begin
          u := c \times a[p, i-p] - s \times a[p, i-p+1];
          a[p, i-p+1] := s \times a[p, i-p] + c \times a[p, i-\nu+1];
          a[p, i-p] := u
         end p;
        if j \neq k then
          a[j-1, r] := c \times a[j-1, r] - s \times g;
row rotation:
        rr := if r \le n - i then r else n - i;
        for p := 2 step 1 until rr do
          u := c \times a[i, p] - s \times a[i+1, p-1];
          a[i+1, p-1] := s \times a[i, p] + c \times a[i+1, p-1];
          a[i, p] := u
         end p;
        if i + r < n then
new g: begin g := -s \times a[i+1, r];
          a[i+1, r] := c \times a[i+1, r]
        end
      end j;
endk: end k
  end r
```

```
CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 74
CURVE FITTING WITH CONSTRAINTS [J. E. Peck, Comm. ACM, Jan. 62]
```

Kazuo Isoda

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki, Japan

Algorithm 74 was hand-compiled into SOAP IIa for the IBM 650 and run successfully with no corrections except the case in which the origin (0, 0) are given as both a constraint and a sample.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 123

REAL ERROR FUNCTION, ERF (x) [Martin Crawford and Robert Techo, Comm. ACM, Sept. 1962] HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill.

* Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

The body of Erf(x) was tested using the Dartmouth SCALP compiler for the LGP-30. For x=0(0.01)0.3, the results agreed with tabulated values to 8 in the 7th decimal place, and for x=0.4(0.2)1.6 the error was less than 1 in the 6th decimal. These results are compatible with the roundoff error in the arithmetic used. The computing time increased rapidly (by a factor of more than 10) as x increased from 0.01 to 1.6.

The following comments should be considered by users of the algorithm:

- 1. The parameter x should be called by value, both to allow the use of expressions, and also to avoid destruction of the actual parameter.
- 2. The constant $_{10}-10$ in statement 2 determines the accuracy of the computation. Its value should be adjusted to the arithmetic being used, and the accuracy required. A machine-independent test could be made by substituting if Y T = Y then \cdots .
- 3. For large x, the error function is more efficiently calculated from the Laplace continued fraction for erfc(x). Algorithm 180 is based on this method.

A contribution to this department must be in the form of an Algorithm, a Certification, or a Remark. Contributions should be sent in duplicate to the Editor and should be written in a style patterned after recent contributions appearing in this department. An algorithm must be written in Algol 60 (see Communications of the ACM, January 1963) and accompanied by a statement to the Editor indicating that it has been tested and indicating which computer and programming language was used. For the convenience of the printer, contributors are requested to double space material and underline delimiters and logical values that are to appear in boldface type. Whenever feasible, Certifications should include numerical values.

Although each algorithm has been tested by its contributor, no warranty, express or implied, is made by the contributor, the Editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery as to the accuracy and functioning of the algorithm and related algorithm material, and no responsibility is assumed by the contributor, the Editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery in connection therewith.

The reproduction of algorithms appearing in this department is explicitly permitted without any charge. When reproduction is for publication purposes, reference must be made to the algorithm author and to the *Communications* issue bearing the algorithm.

end bandred