

# 201501-STAT100-0221-ELEM STAT & PROB - Matthew Whiteway - Teaching Assistant Report (TA-View) Spring 2015

## **University of Maryland Course Evaluation Spring 2015**

Number of Students Enrolled: 29 Number of Evaluations Submitted: 17

Response Rate: 58.6%

#### **Subject Details**

**DIVISION\_SD** CMNS

**DEPARTMENT** CMNS-Mathematics

INSTR\_CT 1
TA\_CT 1
TEACHING ROLE TA

#### **Report Comments**

This report presents feedback received from students for the course **201501-STAT100-0221-ELEM STAT & PROB** and for the Teaching Assistant **Matthew Whiteway** in that course. Course means are provided as well as department, college, and college course-level means (e.g., all 200-level courses in a college). Means are calculated from all responses by all students in the unit (i.e., course section, department, college, course-level in a college) on that item and exclude NA/not applicable responses. A grade table is included on the next page.

Indication is provided below for the Large Lecture Group if there is one affiliated with this course section.

Semester: 201501 Course #: STAT100 Section #: 0221

Course Name: 201501-STAT100-0221-ELEM STAT & PROB

Large Lecture Group: 201501-STAT100-0211 Teaching Assistant: Matthew Whiteway

Creation Date Wed, Jun 03, 2015



#### Grade Distibution:

Student Count	Grade A	Grade B	Grade C	Grade D	Grade F	Grade PS	Grade W
29	2	12	10	2	1	0	2

Grade distribution is current as of May 26, 2015 and includes students receiving a W for the course. Some grades are not included (e.g., Cancel, Incomplete). Student Count reflects total enrollment as of February 16, 2015 and includes any students auditing the course.

#### **Administrator University-wide Teaching Assistant Items**

Results are for use by teaching assistants, faculty/instructors, and for administrative purposes. N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

#### University of Maryland Teaching Assistant (TA) Matthew Whiteway Items

#### By Score

Scale is Strongly Disagree (0) to Strongly Agree (4) with a Neutral mid-point

Question		Department	College	Course Level
		Mean	Mean	Mean
The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.	3.65	3.49	3.38	3.33
The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.	3.63	3.37	3.25	3.19
Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.	3.63	3.18	3.09	3.00

### By Frequency

1. The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	6	35.3%
Strongly Agree	4	11	64.7%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.65
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49

2. The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	6	37.5%
Strongly Agree	4	10	62.5%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	16
Mean	3.63
Standard Deviation	+/-0.50

3. Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	6	37.5%
Strongly Agree	4	10	62.5%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	16
Mean	3.63
Standard Deviation	+/-0.50

## Additional TA Matthew Whiteway related comments (e.g., about the discussion/lab/studio section, TA's teaching style, etc.)

#### Comment

Very helpful! Willing to sit down and explain topics to students if they were confused and explained things clearly. He was also really approachable and down to earth, which made it easy to ask him for help on problems or in general. He was really knowledgeable about the topics and how to calculate answers on calculators/minitab/statcrunch, as well, so he could pretty much answer any question.

Great teacher!, explains concepts well in a manner that is easily understood.

Matthew was great!

#### **End of Report**