

# Weekly Progress Logs and Meeting Notes

Thomas Palmer

March 24, 2017

## **1 Week ending 24/3/17**

### **1.1 Progress Log**

Wordnet solution added to program code

Change wordnet solution to pick adjective/adverbs with a degree of randomness as absolute average word can be very plain and nondescript

Starting on nlg system

Reading book on creating nlg systems,

Looking in to other nlg systems out there again for inspiration - JAPE, KNIGHT, PIGLET, STOP system

### **1.2 Meeting notes**

Meeting moved to Monday due to issues with public transport

## **2 Week ending 17/3/17**

### **2.1 Progress Log**

Fixing bugs in the template system- a lot of changes had resulted in a lot of errors overlooked

Working on wordnet solution - harder than assumed

Changes to report given new context of reviews to be believable rather than particularly summarative or profound

Writing literature review and implementation sections

## **2.2 Meeting notes**

Plot out the 9 weeks - data gathering for evaluation

Deploy the final form in roughly 3 weeks? to start getting data

## **3 Week ending 10/3/17**

### **3.1 Progress Log**

Adding to report - introduction

Adding to report - literature review

Wrote out test plan for systems implemented currently

Looked into wordnets, aiming to pick the most descriptive adjectives out of sentences and pick the most representative word from the wordnet to see what I get.

Add comment section to blog website

Improve method for detecting sentences

### **3.2 Meeting notes**

How am I going to measure my output criteria

start on nlg system

continue testing

do small jobs if having trouble focusing

## **4 Week ending 3/3/17**

### **4.1 Progress Log**

Scraping movie synopsis off of IMDb, and summarising it using the summa library for now.

Gather a corpus of movie reviews using screen scraping from IMDb

This corpus isn't actually very good for generating review text - it's mostly negative and not really constructive, seems to follow the general adage that people don't go on the internet to sing the praises of films and mainly to slate them.

It gives a strong negative bias towards movie reviews generated because of this.

Set up a mySQL database for blog website, has users, posts and feedback gathering tables.

Set up the website, reviews are manually input through the blog at the moment but it is easy to insert them using mySQL in python

## 4.2 Meeting Notes

Discuss how to gather feedback  
let users comment on reviews  
agreeable/disagreeable reviews in order to generate traffic?  
testing, minimal tests some degree of randomness  
make sure i always get the same text with one seed  
set up scenario to fix problem etc  
test where bug doesn't happen again kind of scenario

## 5 Week ending 24/2/17

### 5.1 Progress Log

I have not been able to do very much work this week as my laptop had something spilled on it on Sunday and have mostly been waiting to get it back.  
Added to report introduction, further explanation and content from preliminary report that applies.  
Added to report literature review, content from my preliminary report.  
More reading on natural language generation:  
Got hold of Building Natural Language Generation Systems for further reading.  
Exploring web scraping, trying to find a way to gather a corpora of more than one movie review easily. Currently seems easy enough to get one from a specific website but more than one is difficult and might just require a bit of brute-forcing.

### 5.2 Meeting Notes

The meeting was cancelled this week and rescheduled for during easter so one did not take place.

## 6 Week ending 10/2/17

### 6.1 Progress Log

Obtained an API key for themoviedb which allows lookup of movie metadata in a much nicer way than trawling text files from IMDb  
Looked at textrank algorithm for summarative sentence generation. Used a library to test it out  
programmed a metadata lookup for the template generation system  
generate a list of sentences pertaining to actors and staff members with their sentiments attached

Generate a movie review based off of the metadata and extracted sentiments from reviews  
Not enough is learned from the corpora to talk much more than superficially however - we cannot justify the opinions, only report them.

Reading ch 7 of the nlp with python textbook, I might want relation extraction from the corpora.

Started writing project preliminary report.

## 6.2 Meeting Notes

Worry about the level of readability - plausability is ok, system doesn't have to be perfect if we are just testing

- Reminder to be realistic about what i can create

having a plan and something to show to participants

send Christophe draft plan - gantt chart w/ fairly small sub-tasks, more tasks - for wednesday 4pmish probably

Think about whether or not i am experimental about this or want to examine the effect on a group of users (methodology)

- ontology of concepts related to film in order to produce realistic text

- preliminary report follows the general structure of the fyp report

- Create a gantt chart of planned further work

## 7 Week ending 3/2/17

### 7.1 Progress Log

Started programming with the twitter API using Twython to post tweets and read a timeline for a corpus

Text processing for twitter timeline, trying to get markov chain generated for corpus of tweets, experiencing strange bug

Read several articles on keyword extraction, decided using RAKE library for python and SKLearn for sentiment analysis just to start

Used RAKE to extract keyphrases

Installed NLTK because it should be more straightforward to perform sentiment analysis with

Downloaded movie review corpora of pos/neg film reviews

NLTK import error name overridden (Solved)

Used NLTK Vader to classify sentiment of movie reviews

Used markov chains to construct movie review text  
Markov chain automated twitter posting is set up (no replies or searching yet)  
Twitter search + chain from search

## 8 Meeting notes

Move on to template system  
Markov chain for template generation

## 9 Week ending 27/1/17

### 9.1 Progress Log

Read into neural networks for natural language generation. It was interesting and could be worth exploring although articles stipulate that recurrent neural networks (the kind used for modelling sequential data and thus generating text) are difficult to train effectively. This could be too time costly for the space of my project. Other draw-backs are that the neural network isn't going to form truly informed opinion and might not even be particularly coherent.

Read into sentiment mining, markov models and information extraction (ch 6, 9 and 21) <https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/>

Found resources for sentiment analysis - movie reviews and sentiment keywords for films

Researched methodologies for natural language key phrase mining - unsupervised vs supervised

Looked in to related work - there are lots of article summarizers out there using keyphrase extraction

Added to the context/motivations section of the introduction  
Wrote cursory list of goals and aims for the introduction  
Wrote about related works in natural language generation / text generation

### 9.2 Meeting notes

#icanhazpdf  
writing to authors to obtain papers isn't a bad idea

browse the library  
senate house library for printed journals  
footnotes vs references  
internet archive link for online resources  
google spreadsheet the plan

to do:

have a look in the library, see if I can find any movie review discourse in the media/language section  
update plan a bit, have a look at google  
do some programming

## **10 Week ending 20/1/17**

### **10.1 Progress Log**

Set up github repository for final year project deliverables  
Implementation of Markov chain text generator - the first and most simple NLG tool I will be implementing, forms a table of n-word prefixes mapped to the suffixes that occur in a given corpus of text. Then choosing an arbitrary starting point they follow the chain with some stochastic probability in the case where maps contain more than one suffix value and continue the chain until a stopping point of n words, or the end of the chain is reached.

### **10.2 Meeting notes**

(discussion of project structure)  
abstract

context / literature review  
- review of text generation and movie reviews

- problem statement , high level aims, individual objectives of the project  
fairly broad aims, objectives are measurable

- design / implementation  
talk about design of natural language more generally or of my systems

- testing and evaluation chapter  
testing of individual components

have i managed to drive traffic in any way for twitter bot

turing-like test

- conclusions and further work

- bibliography

context + lit review, design + imp, testing and evaluation should be roughly the same size

can collect things that i will be writing about

bibliography generation tools - endnote (?), latex

show next week the context + lit review skeletal stuff

categorise things i want to write about into text generation, evaluation of text, etc

investigate neural networks for text generation, not necessarily to pursue

come back with something that works, some context, problem statement and some objectives

gant chart / some description of milestones and the bits of work that need to be done for those milestones

10 minute summaries of work at end of each session