

# Workshop

MIRIx people

September 16, 2014

## Abstract

This is a loose compilation of notes from the MIRIx workshop at ANU on September 6–7, 2014.

Things we have shown:

We spent a lot of time talking about  $S$ , the Speed prior [Sch02].  $S$  is a computable semi-measure. AIS is like AI $\xi$  but with  $S$  instead of  $\xi$ .

1.  $S$  is not universal, because it is computable (and there is no universal computable prior).
2.  $S$  is not a measure, for exactly the same reason that the Solomonoff prior is not a measure (some programs just print a finite string and stop).
3. However, we made up our own algorithm which does the same thing. Basically, it's clear that  $S$  is lower semi-computable. So we show that  $S(x) - S(x0) - S(x1)$  is also lower semi-computable, which leads to  $S(x0)$  being computable.
4.  $\varepsilon$ -optimal AIS is computable.
5.  $S$  effectively dominates (see Definition 1) all lower semicomputable semimeasures.
6.  $S(x) \leq 1/|x|$
7.  $S(x) \leq c_{S'} S'(x)$  [Sch02, Eq. 7].

**Definition 1** (Effective Domination). A semimeasure  $\nu$  *effectively dominates* a semimeasure  $\rho$  iff there is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\nu(x) \geq 2^{-f(|x|)} \rho(x).$$

Effective domination implies absolute continuity on cylinder sets (is it equivalent?).

We have that  $S$  effectively dominates all lower semicomputable semimeasures, but we are not sure whether this is useful at all. For example, if we use  $S$  for prediction of a lower semicomputable semimeasure  $\mu$ , Hutter's loss bounds do not apply. Instead we get

$$KL(\mu \parallel S) \leq -\ln w_\mu = f(|x|). \quad (1)$$

In our case,  $f(|x|) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof for (1) is identical to [Hut05, Thm. 3.19] except for the application of effective domination in the last step.

For deterministic  $\mu$ , this gives the error bound [Hut05, Thm. 3.36]

$$E_t^{\Theta_S} \leq 2f(t),$$

where  $E_t^{\Theta_S}$  is the number of errors made up to time  $t$  when using  $S$  for prediction of the sequence generated by  $\mu$ . This bound is only useful if  $f(t) \in o(t)$ .

# 1 The speed prior on a monotone Turing machine

The speed prior is defined on non-monotone universal turing machines. We will show that it is straightforward to define it on a monotone turing machine, and in fact that the functions coincide.

A monotone turing machine has three situations given some input  $x$  and output  $y$ ,

**Definition 2** (Monotone Turing Machine [LV08, Def. 4.5.2 & Def. 4.5.3][Hut05, Def. 2.6]). A *monotone Turing machine* is a Turing machine with one unidirectional read-only input tape, one unidirectional write-only output tape, a finite number of work tapes, and no final states. A monotone Turing machine implements a function  $q$  that maps  $x \in \mathcal{X}^\sharp$  to  $y \in \mathcal{X}^\sharp$ : the input tape is initialized with  $x$  and  $y$  is read from the output tape according to the following cases.

- (i)  $x \in \mathcal{X}^*$  is finite and  $y \in \mathcal{X}^*$  is to the left of the output tape's head when the head of the input tape reads the next character right of  $x$ .
- (ii) The head of the output tape writes  $y \in \mathcal{X}^*$  but no more as the machine runs forever where  $x$  is infinite or the head on the read-only input tape never reads any characters right of  $x$ .
- (iii) The machine writes  $y \in \mathcal{X}^\omega$  to the output tape as it runs forever where  $x$  is infinite or the head on the read-only input tape never reads any characters right of  $x$ .

The speed prior is defined as follows,

$$S(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i S_i(x) \text{ where } S_i(x) = \sum_{p_i \rightarrow x} 2^{-l(p)}$$

We note that  $p \rightarrow x$  means our program prefix  $p$  reads  $p$  and computes output starting with  $x$ , while no prefix of  $p$  consisting of less than  $l(p)$  bits outputs  $x$ .

This definition means that programs whose prefixes have already computed  $x$  do not contribute to  $S(x)$  in later stages of FAST. This means that there are no more programs to sum over when we transfer to the monotone setting, since we consider a prefix-free set of programs to sum over.

Additionally, monotone Turing machines can accept strings with infinite input (see cases 2 and 3 above). However, in  $S(x)$  we read in a finite prefix of the program and output a finite string as a result. We do this in stages of the algorithm FAST. This output string may be equal to  $x$  at some point in which case the program prefix will contribute to the sum, but otherwise the infinite program will never contribute to the sum.

## 2 Properties of S

We want to prove that Postulate 1 [Sch02] holds for  $S$  i.e. if  $\mathcal{C}_t$  is the set of all strings that are computable in time  $t$ ,

$$\sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} S(x) \leq \frac{1}{t} \quad (2)$$

This is straightforward,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} S(x) &= \sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p \rightarrow_i x} 2^{-|p|} \\ &= \sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} \sum_{i=\log t+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{p \rightarrow_i x} 2^{-|p|} \\ &= 2^{-\log t} \sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{p \rightarrow_{i+\log t} x} 2^{-|p|} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{x \notin \mathcal{C}_t} \sum_{p \rightarrow_{i+\log t} x} 2^{-|p|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} (\text{Kraft's inequality}) \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [Hut05] Marcus Hutter. *Universal Artificial Intelligence: Sequential Decisions based on Algorithmic Probability*. Springer, 2005.
- [LV08] Ming Li and Paul M. B. Vitányi. *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications*. Texts in Computer Science. Springer, 3rd edition, 2008.
- [Sch02] Jürgen Schmidhuber. The Speed Prior: a new simplicity measure yielding near-optimal computable predictions. In *Computational Learning Theory*, pages 216–228. Springer, 2002.