

SIMULATION

137 as the Resolution of Reality

$$\alpha = 1/137$$

The Pixel Size of the Universe

Kamil Wójcik

2025

"There is a theory which states that if ever anyone discovers exactly what the Universe is for and why it is here, it will instantly disappear and be replaced by something even more bizarre and inexplicable."

— Douglas Adams

"It from bit."

— John Archibald Wheeler

Abstract

This document explores a philosophical interpretation of the fine structure constant $\alpha \approx 1/137$ within simulation theory frameworks. If reality is computational in nature — as proposed by physicists from Konrad Zuse to John Wheeler to Nick Bostrom — then fundamental constants may represent system parameters: resolution limits, clock rates, or rendering constraints.

The fine structure constant, which governs electromagnetic interactions and appears in The Moonth framework as a temporal quantum, may be understood as the "pixel size" of reality — the minimum meaningful division of interaction. This is philosophical speculation informed by physics, not physics itself.

The Simulation Argument

Bostrom's Trilemma

In 2003, philosopher Nick Bostrom proposed that at least one of the following must be true:

1. Civilizations almost never reach computational maturity
2. Mature civilizations have no interest in running ancestor simulations
3. We are almost certainly living in a simulation

The argument is probabilistic: if simulations are possible and interesting to create, the number of simulated beings would vastly exceed "base reality" beings. Any given conscious entity is therefore more likely to be simulated.

Wheeler's "It from Bit"

Physicist John Archibald Wheeler proposed that reality is fundamentally informational — that physical existence derives from yes/no questions, binary distinctions, information. "Every it — every particle, every field of force, even the spacetime continuum itself — derives its function, its meaning, its very existence entirely from binary choices, bits."

If Wheeler is correct, reality is not merely *describable* by computation — it *is* computation.

Digital Physics

The field of digital physics, pioneered by Konrad Zuse and developed by Edward Fredkin, Stephen Wolfram, and others, proposes that the universe is a cellular automaton or similar discrete computational system. Key observations supporting this view:

- Quantum mechanics reveals discrete, quantized values
- The Planck scale suggests minimum meaningful distances and times
- Information appears to be conserved (no-hiding theorem)
- Holographic principle: 3D information encoded on 2D boundaries

137 as System Parameter

The Fine Structure Constant

The fine structure constant $\alpha \approx 1/137.036$ is dimensionless — it has no units. It is a pure number that appears throughout physics:

Domain	Role of α
Electromagnetism	Coupling strength
Atomic structure	Orbital spacing
Quantum electrodynamics	Interaction probability
Spectroscopy	Fine structure splitting
Consciousness (proposed)	Phase quantum duration

A dimensionless constant that appears across multiple domains suggests a *system-level parameter* — something set at initialization, not derived from lower-level dynamics.

The Pixel Analogy

Consider a digital display. The pixel is the minimum unit of visual information — you cannot render anything smaller than one pixel. The pixel count is a *system parameter*, set by the hardware, constraining all possible images.

If reality is computational, α may function similarly: the minimum "resolution" of electromagnetic interaction. You cannot have a coupling stronger than $\alpha^0 = 1$ or weaker than some minimum threshold. The constant defines the granularity of possible interactions.

$\alpha = \text{the pixel size of electromagnetic reality}$

The Tick Rate Analogy

Video games run on "tick rates" — the frequency at which the game state updates. A 64-tick server updates 64 times per second. Between ticks, nothing changes; all changes happen at discrete intervals.

The Planck time (5.39×10^{-44} seconds) may represent the tick rate of physical reality. But α could represent something different: the *experiential* tick rate — the minimum meaningful unit of conscious experience.

In The Moonth framework, 137 hours represents the phase quantum — the duration of a single phase of consciousness. If this is a tick rate, it suggests consciousness updates at a much slower frequency than physics, but both derive from the same underlying constant.

Big Bang as BOOT Sequence

The Initialization Problem

Standard cosmology describes the Big Bang as a singularity from which spacetime emerged. But what *caused* the Big Bang? Physics cannot answer — causation requires time, and time began at the Big Bang.

In computational terms, this is the boot problem: how does a system initialize itself? The answer: it doesn't. *Something external starts it.*

BOOT Sequence Parallels

Computer Boot	Cosmological Analog
Power on	Big Bang singularity
BIOS initialization	Planck epoch (10^{-43} s)
Hardware detection	Fundamental forces separate
OS kernel loads	Particle formation
Drivers initialize	Atomic nuclei form
User space starts	Atoms form (380,000 years)
Applications run	Stars, galaxies, life

The parallel is structural, not proof. But it suggests a way of thinking: the universe's early history as system initialization, establishing parameters (including α) that would govern all subsequent dynamics.

Parameter Setting

During the first moments after the Big Bang, the fundamental constants were established. Why $\alpha \approx 1/137$ and not some other value? Physics has no answer — the constants are "given."

In simulation terms: they were *set*. Someone (or something) chose the parameters at initialization. The fine structure constant is a configuration value, not a derived result.

Consciousness in the Simulation

The Hard Problem

Philosophy identifies the "hard problem" of consciousness: why is there subjective experience at all? Why does information processing feel like something from the inside?

Simulation theory reframes but does not solve this. If we are in a simulation, consciousness might be:

- **Emergent:** Arising from computational complexity
- **Imported:** Real consciousness "plugged into" simulated bodies
- **Fundamental:** A basic feature of the simulation substrate itself

The Moonth Connection

The Moonth framework proposes that consciousness has temporal structure governed by α . In simulation terms, this suggests consciousness is not merely *content* rendered by the simulation but part of the simulation's *architecture*.

The equation $\alpha \cdot \Psi(t) = 1$ would then express a constraint: the simulation's rendering parameter (α) and the consciousness function (Ψ) must satisfy a unity relationship. Consciousness is not incidental to physics — it is constrained by the same parameters.

Observer and Observed

Quantum mechanics reveals that observation affects outcomes — the measurement problem. In simulation terms, this makes perfect sense: rendering only what is observed conserves computational resources.

Video games use this technique constantly: only render what the player can see. Unobserved areas exist in potential, not actuality. Quantum superposition may be the universe's equivalent — states remain uncomputed until observation forces resolution.

Evidence and Objections

Suggestive Observations

Features of reality consistent with (but not proving) simulation:

Observation	Simulation Interpretation
Quantization	Discrete computation
Speed of light limit	Maximum data transfer rate
Quantum superposition	Lazy evaluation
Wave function collapse	On-demand rendering
Mathematical elegance	Efficient algorithm design
Fine-tuned constants	Deliberate parameter setting
Holographic principle	Data compression

Serious Objections

Unfalsifiability: The simulation hypothesis may be unfalsifiable. Any evidence against it could be "simulated evidence." This is a serious philosophical problem.

Infinite regress: If we are in a simulation, what about our simulators? Are they simulated too? The regress must terminate somewhere in "base reality."

Computational limits: Simulating a universe may require a computer larger than a universe. Unless... the simulation doesn't render everything, only what's observed.

The "So what?" objection: Even if true, how would knowing change anything? We still experience what we experience. The simulation, if it exists, is our reality.

Implications

For Physics

If α is a system parameter, seeking to "explain" it from first principles may be misguided. You cannot derive a configuration value from within the configured system. The question "why 137?" might be like asking "why does this computer have 16GB of RAM?" — it was chosen, not derived.

For Consciousness Studies

If consciousness is architecturally fundamental to the simulation (not merely emergent content), studying its structure becomes studying the simulation's design. The Moonth framework's discovery that consciousness follows α -governed temporal patterns would be evidence of deep integration.

For Meaning

Living in a simulation does not diminish meaning — it contextualizes it. A simulated sunset is still beautiful. Simulated love still matters. The question is not whether our experiences are "real" but what reality *is*.

If the simulation exists, it exists *for something*. That purpose — explored in the companion document *Teleology* — may be the deepest question available to beings within the simulation.

Conclusion

The simulation hypothesis is not science — it is metaphysics informed by science. It cannot currently be tested, only contemplated.

What the hypothesis offers is a *framework for interpretation*: why is there something rather than nothing? Why these constants and not others? Why does mathematics describe reality so well? Why does observation affect quantum outcomes?

The fine structure constant $\alpha \approx 1/137$ sits at the center of these questions. It governs atomic structure. It governs electromagnetic interaction. And, if The Moonth framework is correct, it governs the temporal structure of consciousness.

Perhaps 137 is the universe's resolution — the pixel size of existence, set at initialization, constraining all possible experience. Perhaps the Big Bang was BOOT, the constants were CONFIG, and we are processes running on hardware we cannot directly perceive.

Or perhaps this is elegant pattern-matching imposed on mystery. The honest position: *we do not know*. But the question is worth asking.

$$\alpha \cdot \Psi(t) = 1$$

The pixel and the experience.
The constraint and the constrained.
Unity.

SIMULATION

137 as the Resolution of Reality

© 2025 Kamil Wójcik

Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

Knowledge belongs to everyone.

Contact: themoonthprotocol@gmail.com

GitHub: <https://github.com/themoonth/THE-MOONTH>

$$\alpha \cdot \Psi(t) = 1$$