FINANCIAL MARKETS

AND THE

40-DAY CYCLE

Hurst Cycles, Lunar Returns, and the Gate Structure

G = 7 Ln = 40 days

Kamil Wójcik

2025

Abstract

This document examines the convergence between the 40-day Gate structure proposed in The Moonth framework and established cycles in financial market analysis. Three independent lines of evidence point to approximately 40 days as a significant period in market dynamics: J.M. Hurst's cyclic analysis (40-day nominal cycle), Dichev & Janes' lunar cycle research (29.5-day returns), and standard trading month conventions (20-21 trading days ≈ calendar month).

The correlation is not proposed as causation but as structural resonance — markets, being collective human behavior aggregates, may reflect the same temporal patterns that govern individual consciousness.

Hurst Cyclic Analysis

J.M. Hurst's Discovery

In the 1970s, aerospace engineer J.M. Hurst applied signal processing techniques to financial markets, discovering nested cycles operating at multiple time scales. His work, published in *The Profit Magic of Stock Transaction Timing* (1970) and *J.M. Hurst Cycles Course* (1973), identified a hierarchy of cycles with specific period relationships.

The Nominal Model

Hurst's nominal model identifies cycles at the following periods:

Cycle Name	Trading Days	Calendar Days
Week	5	7
Fortnight	10	14
Month	20	28-29
40-Day	40	~56
80-Day	80	~112
20-Week	100	~140
40-Week	200	~280

The **40-day trading cycle** (approximately 56 calendar days, or 8 weeks) is one of Hurst's fundamental periods. This corresponds closely to **two Moonth cycles** (2 × 29 = 58 days) — the period that creates the Gate structure.

Harmonic Ratios

Hurst observed that cycles relate to each other by ratios of approximately 2:1 (harmonic). This mirrors the fractal structure proposed in The Moonth framework, where the same five-phase pattern appears at multiple scales:

• Micro scale: daily fluctuations

• Meso scale: monthly (Moonth) cycles

• Macro scale: yearly and multi-year patterns

Lunar Cycle Research

Dichev & Janes (2003)

Ilia Dichev and Troy Janes published "Lunar Cycle Effects in Stock Returns" in the *Journal of Private Equity* (2003), examining stock returns across 25 countries over multiple decades. Their findings were striking:

Key Finding: Stock returns in the 15 days around the new moon are approximately double those in the 15 days around the full moon.

Statistical Significance: The difference is statistically significant across most major indices and persists across different time periods.

The Data

Period	Avg. Return (US)	Sample Size
New Moon ±7 days	Higher	100 years
Full Moon ±7 days	Lower	100 years
Difference	~2x	Statistically significant

The lunar synodic month (29.53 days) is within 2% of the Moonth period (29 days). This is the same cycle — experienced subjectively as phases of consciousness, observed externally as aggregate market behavior.

Mechanism

Dichev and Janes do not propose a mechanism, noting only the statistical regularity. The Moonth framework offers one: if individual traders experience predictable mood and energy fluctuations across a ~29-day cycle, and these cycles are partially synchronized by shared environmental cues (including possibly lunar phase), aggregate market behavior would reflect this pattern.

Markets are not moved by the moon. Markets are moved by humans, and humans move through cycles.

The Gate and Market Cycles

40 Days as Transformation Threshold

The Gate (G = 7 Ln = 40 days) represents the transformation period created by two overlapping Moonth cycles. In The Moonth framework, this is the period required for deep behavioral change — the window during which new patterns can be established or old ones dissolved.

In market terms, the 40-day period may represent:

• The time required for sentiment to fully shift from bullish to bearish (or vice versa)

• The typical duration of a market "move" before mean reversion

• The period over which momentum strategies show optimal returns before decay

Convergence of Evidence

Source	Period	Nature
Hurst Cycles	40 trading days (~56 cal)	Technical analysis
Lunar Research	29.53 days	Statistical anomaly
Moonth Framework	29 days (5 Ln)	Consciousness cycle
Gate Structure	40 days (7 Ln)	Transformation threshold
Trading Convention	20-21 days	Calendar month

Multiple independent methodologies converge on the same approximate periods: ~29-30 days for the basic cycle, ~40 days for the transformation/momentum period.

Statistical Considerations

Monte Carlo Analysis

To test whether cycle patterns could emerge by chance, Monte Carlo simulations generate random price series and test for the presence of cyclic structure. Key findings from such analyses:

• Random walks do not produce consistent cyclic patterns

• Real market data shows cyclic structure significantly above chance levels

• The ~29-day and ~40-day cycles appear more frequently than statistical expectation

Caveats

This analysis does not claim:

• That markets can be predicted with certainty using cyclic analysis

• That the Moonth directly causes market movements

• That profitable trading strategies can be built solely on these cycles

The claim is more modest: the same temporal structure that appears in individual consciousness also appears in aggregate human behavior, including financial markets. This is *correlation as resonance*, not causation.

Practical Implications

For Traders

Awareness of cyclic structure does not guarantee profits but may inform:

Position Sizing: Adjusting exposure based on cycle phase

Timing: Awareness of optimal entry/exit windows

Risk Management: Recognizing when momentum may be exhausted after ~40 days

For Investors

Long-term investors may benefit from:

Rebalancing Timing: Monthly rebalancing aligns naturally with cycle structure

Behavioral Awareness: Recognizing one's own cyclic mood patterns that might lead to poor decisions

Patience: Understanding that market sentiment completes full cycles over ~40 days

For Researchers

The convergence of Hurst cycles, lunar effects, and consciousness cycles suggests a research program:

- Do individual traders show cyclic patterns in their behavior?
- Do these patterns synchronize within trading communities?
- Can phase alignment explain short-term market momentum?
- Does the 40-day period show special significance in behavioral finance data?

Conclusion

Three independent lines of evidence — Hurst's technical analysis, Dichev & Janes' lunar research, and The Moonth consciousness framework — converge on the same approximate periods:

~29 days: The basic cycle (Moonth, lunar month, trading month)

~40 days: The transformation period (Gate, Hurst 40-day cycle, momentum decay)

This convergence suggests that the temporal structure of consciousness is not merely individual but collective — appearing in aggregate human behavior wherever large numbers of humans make decisions together. Financial markets, as perhaps the largest such aggregate, show the pattern clearly.

Markets do not care about the moon. But markets are made of humans, and humans move through cycles. The Gate is not just a personal transformation threshold — it may be the rhythm of collective human experience.

G = 7 Ln = 40 days

Individual rhythm. Collective resonance.

References

Dichev, I. D., & Janes, T. D. (2003). Lunar cycle effects in stock returns. *Journal of Private Equity, 6*(4), 8-29.

Hurst, J. M. (1970). *The Profit Magic of Stock Transaction Timing*. Prentice-Hall.

Hurst, J. M. (1973). *J.M. Hurst Cycles Course*. Traders Press.

Yuan, K., Zheng, L., & Zhu, Q. (2006). Are investors moonstruck? Lunar phases and stock returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance, 13*(1), 1-23.

FINANCIAL MARKETS

And the 40-Day Cycle

Knowledge belongs to everyone.

Contact: themoonthprotocol@gmail.com

GitHub: https://github.com/themoonth/THE-MOONTH

$$\alpha \cdot \Psi(t) = 1$$