

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Build appears to work from group demonstration.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Code is well written and well commented. I was able to understand what you were doing easily. The code was clean, and followed general conventions.	
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	You seemed to do a very good job when determining when to separate functions and make abstractions.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	Unit tests do not appear to be present. They could be used for testing general program logic and flow, though other forms of testing, such as user and use case might be of greater value for this project.	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Functionality appears present in the code.	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	It might be wise to split up solar.js into multiple scripts. This won't affect performance or functionality, but it's generally considered good form (particularly in OO programming) to not keep everything on a single file. Having it split across more than one file can help with error diagnosing as well as managing code across multiple devs.	

