Bayesian Logistic Classification

3F8: Inference Coursework
Theo Brown
Selwyn College, University of Cambridge

February 25, 2022

Abstract

Abstract goes here

1 Introduction

2 Laplace approximation

To define a probability distribution function (PDF) p(x), $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ it is necessary to integrate a function to find the normalising constant K:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{\int f(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{K}f(\mathbf{x})$$
 (1)

In many cases, the integral $\int f(x)dx$ is intractable and does not have a closed-form solution.

The Laplace approximation finds a Gaussian, q(x), that provides a good approximation to p(x) near a local maximum of p(x). As q(x) is Gaussian, its normalising constant is easy to find, so the problem of solving $\int f(x)dx$ is avoided.

Initially, consider the truncated Taylor expansion of $\ln f(x)$ around a local maximum x_0 :

$$\ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) + \nabla \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \big|_{\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^T \nabla^2 \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \big|_{\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)$$
(2)

At a maximum of f(x), $\nabla \ln f(x) = 0$ as the logarithm is a monotonic function. Hence:

$$\ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^T \nabla^2 \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \big|_{\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)$$
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^T \nabla^2 \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}) \big|_{\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)\right)$$
(3)

Equation 3 is of the form of an un-normalised Gaussian. Let $P = -\nabla^2 \ln f(x)|_{x=x_0}$, and normalise Equation 3 to get an approximation for p(x):

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}} \det \boldsymbol{P}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^T \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)\right)$$
$$= \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{P}^{-1})$$
(4)

For this to hold, P must be positive definite, i.e. x_0 must be a maximum.

This method can be used instead to find an approximate value of the normalising constant K. Substituting Equation 3 into the definition of K:

$$K = \int f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} \approx f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \int \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^T \boldsymbol{P} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)\right) d\boldsymbol{x}$$
$$= \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\det \boldsymbol{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}} f(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$$
(5)

3 Recovering Bayesian logistic regression

3.1 Posterior distribution

In the previous report, the maximum-likelihood estimate for the model weights was used in classification¹. It is desirable to have a full posterior distribution of the weights:

$$p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{y})p(\boldsymbol{w})}{p(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{y})}$$
(6)

The problem is that calculating Equation 6 requires normalising a product of logistic functions, which is difficult. The Laplace approximation can be used to calculate the normalising constant p(X|y), also called the model evidence, and calculate an approximate posterior distribution.

Given that the posterior Laplace approximation will be Gaussian, it is sensible to choose a Gaussian prior:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{C}_0) \tag{7}$$

In order to apply the Laplace approximation, we need the location of a maximum in the posterior. Using the results for the log-likelihood of the logistic model and the log of Equation 7, the log-posterior is:

$$\ln p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n \log \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n) + (1 - y_n) \log \sigma(-\boldsymbol{w}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_n)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}_0)^T \boldsymbol{C}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}_0)$$

$$+ \text{const}$$
(8)

The value of \boldsymbol{w} at the maximum, $\boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}}$, can be found by setting the derivative of Equation 8 to zero. As outlined in Section 2 $\boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}}$ will be the mean of $q(\boldsymbol{w})$.

Using Equation 4, the covariance matrix of $q(\boldsymbol{w})$ can be found:

$$C_N^{-1} = -\nabla^2 \ln p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y}) \big|_{\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}}}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{C}_0^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_N) \sigma(-\boldsymbol{w}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_N) \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_N \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_N^T$$
(9)

Hence, using Equation 4 and Equation 5:

$$p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y}) \approx \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{w}; \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}}, \boldsymbol{C}_N)$$
 (10)

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\det \boldsymbol{C}_{N}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} p(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}}, \boldsymbol{y}) p(\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{MAP}})$$
(11)

3.2 Predictive distribution

3.3 Application

In this application, the prior on the model weights is chosen to be:

$$p(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{w}; 0, \boldsymbol{I}\sigma_0^2) \tag{12}$$

For the model definition, and definitions of X, y, \tilde{x} , etc, see the previous report.