Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 52 Filed 09/15/14 Page 1 of 17

E944shrP UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 14 CR 00243 V. 5 CHARLIE SHREM, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. September 4, 2014 9 4:40 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. JED S. RAKOFF, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA 16 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 17 SERRIN A. TURNER Assistant United States Attorney 18 BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 19 Attorneys for Defendant MARC AGNIFILO 20 JACOB KAPLAN 21 22 ALSO PRESENT: GARY ALFORD, Special Agent 23 24 25

1 (Case called) 2 THE COURT: So I understand that Mr. Shrem wants to enter a plea to a superseding information. Is that right? 3 4 MR. AGNIFILO: That is correct, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Is there a plea agreement here, as well? 6 MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The same deficiencies? MR. TURNER: I'm afraid so, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Place the defendant under 10 oath. 11 (Defendant sworn) THE COURT: Mr. Shrem, let me first advise you that 12 13 because you're under oath, anything you say that is knowingly 14 false could subject you to punishment for perjury or 15 obstruction of justice in the making of false statements. 16 Do you understand? 17 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you read, write, speak, and understand 18 19 English? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. THE COURT: Where are you from originally? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Brooklyn, New York. 23 THE COURT: How old are you? I'm 24, your Honor. 24 THE DEFENDANT: 25 THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

```
E944shrP
```

1	THE DEFENDANT: I have a bachelor of science from
2	Brooklyn College.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated by a
4	psychiatrist or psychologist?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized for any
7	mental illness?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
10	for alcoholism?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
13	for narcotics addiction?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Are you currently under the care of a
16	physician for any reason?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you taken any
19	pill or medicine of any kind?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you taken any
22	alcohol or narcotic of any kind?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: What was that?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I had a beer last night.

```
1
               THE COURT: Just one beer?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: And are you feeling any aftereffects in
 3
 4
      any way, shape, or form of that beer?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely not, your Honor.
6
               THE COURT: More generally, are you feeling all right
 7
      today?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8
9
               THE COURT: Is your mind clear?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Do you understand these proceedings?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: On the basis of the defendant's responses
14
     to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find he
15
      is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.
               You have a right to be represented by counsel at every
16
17
      stage of these proceedings. Do you understand that?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: If at any time you can't afford counsel,
20
      the Court will appoint one for you free of charge, do you
21
      understand that, to represent you not only through all the
22
     proceedings but through trial, everything?
23
               Do you understand that?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: You're represented by Mr. Agnifilo. Are
```

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you satisfied with his representation? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Very, your Honor. Have you had a full opportunity to discuss 3 THE COURT: 4 this case with him? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Have you told him everything you know 7 about this case? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Now, you were charged, in indictment 14 Criminal 243, with two felony counts, and you can go to 10 11 trial and challenge the government's evidence on those counts. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: And in fact, since Mr. Agnifilo has 15 already shown himself in many previous occasions before this 16

Court to be an exceptional trial attorney, I was rather heartbroken to hear you were going to deprive me of that opportunity, but I take it, it is your desire to plead guilty; is that it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and I apologize, your Honor.

THE COURT: So before I can accept any plea of guilty, I need to make certain that you understand the rights that you will be giving up if you plead quilty, so I want to go over with you now those rights.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: First, you have the right to a speedy and a public trial by a jury on the charges against you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Second, if there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Third, at the trial, you would have the right to be represented by counsel. Once again, if at any time you could not afford counsel, the Court would appoint one to represent you free of charge throughout the trial and at all other proceedings.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Fourth, at the trial, you would have the right to see and hear all the witnesses and other evidence against you, and your attorney could cross-examine the government's witnesses and object to the government's evidence, and you could have subpoenas issued to compel the attendance of witnesses and other evidence on your own behalf and could present such other evidence as you desire to present.

Do you understand all that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Fifth, at the trial, you would have the 3 right to testify if you wanted to, but no one could force you 4 to testify if you did not want to, and no suggestion of guilt 5 could be drawn against you if you chose not to testify. 6 Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Sixth, even if you were convicted of one 8 9 or more of these counts, you would have the right to appeal 10 your conviction. 11 Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead 14 guilty, you will be giving up each and every one of the rights 15 we just discussed? Do you understand that? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Now, the government proposes that you plead guilty to what is called a superseding information, which 19 20 charges you in one count with operating or aiding and abetting 21 the operation of an unlicensed money transmitting business. 22 Have you read that superseding indictment? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 24 THE COURT: Superseding information. I'm sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Now, you need to understand that under the Constitution, this charge could normally only be brought against you if it was first presented to a grand jury, a group of 16 to 23 citizens who would meet in private and who would hear evidence and who could only vote this charge if they found there's probable cause to believe that you committed this crime.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do I understand that you are agreeable to give up that constitutional right and that the government filed this superseding information against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In that regard, has a written waiver been executed?

MR. AGNIFILO: We have, Judge.

THE COURT: Could you hand it up, please.

I have been furnished with a written waiver allowing the information to be filed, so I will give it to my courtroom deputy to witness, and we will allow the filing of the Superseding Information S1, 14 Criminal 243.

Even though it has been filed, again, you could go to trial if you wanted to.

Do you understand that, Mr. Shrem?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: If you don't go to trial, once again, you will be giving up each and every one of those rights we just discussed.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have gone over the information. Have you discussed it with your counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand its terms?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Agnifilo, do you want it read in open court, or do you waive the public reading?

MR. AGNIFILO: I waive it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Shrem, this information, which charges you with aiding and abetting the operation of an unlicensed money transmitting business, carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment, to be followed by up to three years' supervised release, plus a fine, whichever is greatest, either \$250,000 or twice the amount of money derived from the crime or twice the loss to victims of the crime, plus a mandatory \$100 special assessment, and there is also a forfeiture provision.

Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand, also, that if I were to impose a term of supervised release and you were to violate any

of the conditions of supervised release, that violation in and of itself could subject you to still further imprisonment going even beyond the terms of supervised release?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Of course, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: In terms of the actual sentence, I have no idea at this point what sentence I will impose in your case, but one of the things I will look at are the sentencing guidelines, which are certain laws that recommend a range in which the Court is suggested to sentence you. These are not binding, but the Court will consider them.

In that connection, I have been furnished with a letter agreement, which we will now mark as Court Exhibit 1 to today's proceeding, and it takes the form of a letter from the government to defense counsel, and it appears, Mr. Shrem, that you signed it earlier today. Is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before signing it, did you read it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you understand its terms?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you sign it in order to indicate your agreement to its terms?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I did, yes.

THE COURT: This letter agreement is binding between you and the government, but it is not binding on me, it is not binding on the Court.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: For example, with respect to the sentencing guidelines, this letter agreement says that the quideline range is 57 to 60 months. I may agree with that, or I may disagree with that. Even if I agree with that, I may sentence you within that range or I may sentence you outside the range; and regardless of where I come out, if you plead quilty, you will still be bound by my sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: More generally, do you understand that if anyone has made any kind of promise or prediction or estimate or representation to you of what your sentence will be in this case, that person could be wrong? Nevertheless, if you plead guilty, you will still be bound by my sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: On the other hand, under your letter agreement with the government, you have agreed that if I do sentence you to 60 months or less, you will not appeal or

```
otherwise attack your sentence.
1
 2
               Do you understand that?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Does the government represent that this
5
      letter agreement that we have now marked as Court Exhibit 1 is
6
      the entirety of any and all agreements between the government
 7
      and Mr. Shrem?
 8
               MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: Does defense counsel confirm that as
10
      correct?
11
               MR. AGNIFILO: I do, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Shrem, do you confirm that as correct?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Mr. Shrem, other than the government, has
15
      anyone else made any kind of promise to you or offered you any
      inducement to get you to plead guilty in this case?
16
17
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or coerced you to
19
      get you to plead guilty in this case?
20
               THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Does the government represent that if this
22
      case were to go to trial, it could, through competent evidence,
23
     prove every essential element of this charge beyond a
24
     reasonable doubt?
25
               MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Does defense counsel know of any valid defense that would likely prevail at trial or any other reason why his client should not plead guilty?

MR. AGNIFILO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Shrem, tell me in your own words what it is that you did that makes you guilty of this crime.

THE DEFENDANT: I prepared a statement this morning.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I admit that between January of 2012 and October of 2012, I helped a person known to me as BTCKing, who had a money transmitting business by processing bitcoin orders. Specifically, I knew that BTCKing was a money transmitter and that his business affected interstate commerce because he was involved in interstate commerce transactions. I knew that BTCKing had failed to comply with the registration requirements of the federal money transmitter business. I also knew that BTCKing was involved in transmitting funds that were converted into bitcoin and resold on a website called Silk Road, and I knew that much of the business conducted on Silk Road involved the unlawful buying and selling of narcotics. Therefore, I knew that the funds that BTCKing was transmitting to his customers were intended to promote and support criminal activity.

Finally, I engaged in some of this conduct while in Manhattan within the Southern District of New York. I knew

2

that what I did here was wrong. I am pleading guilty because I am quilty.

3

THE COURT: I'm not totally clear from that otherwise very complete statement exactly what your role was.

THE DEFENDANT: I operated a company called BitInstant

5

6

7

4

for a three-year period. For about an eleven-month period within those three years, BTCKing would use our company to add

8

funds, dollars, to his bitcoin exchange accounts. They're

9

bitcoin exchanges, and my company acted as a payment processor

10 11

exchange to buy bitcoin. At that point, he would resell that

for those exchanges. He then would use those funds on the

12

bitcoin to customers that he knew were using them on Silk Road.

13

THE COURT: So in effect, you through the means you just mentioned, you were helping him achieve his ends? Yes?

1415

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

16

THE COURT: And one of those ends you knew was the transmission of funds used to promote the narcotics trade known as the Silk Road?

18

17

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1920

THE COURT: Very good.

21

22

Let me ask the government first, anything else the government wants me to inquire about or represent with respect to the factual portion of the allocution?

23

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor. I think that covers it.

2425

THE COURT: Anything else regarding any aspect of the

21

22

23

24

25

allocution that either counsel wants the Court to further inquire about before I ask the defendant to formally enter his

Anything else from the government?

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything from the defense?

MR. AGNIFILO: No, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So Mr. Shrem, in light of everything we have now discussed, how do you now plead to the one-count superseding information S1 14 Criminal 243; quilty or not

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you pleading quilty voluntarily?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Because the defendant has acknowledged his quilt as charged, because he has shown that he understands his rights, because his plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent basis of fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense, I accept his plea and adjudge him quilty of the one-count information S1 14 Criminal 243.

Mr. Shrem, the next stage of this process is that the probation office will prepare a presentence report to help assist me in determining sentence; and as part of that, you and your counsel will be furnished with a draft of the report.

Before that, you will be interviewed by the probation officer. You can have your counsel present to advise you of your rights, but under my practices, if you want to qualify for the full credit for acceptance of responsibility, you personally need to answer any and all questions put to you by the probation officer.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: After that report is in draft form but before it is in final form, you and your counsel and also government counsel have the chance to review it and offer suggestions, corrections, and additions directly to the probation officer, who will then prepare the report in final to come to me.

Independent of that, counsel for both sides are hereby given leave to submit directly to the Court in writing any and all materials bearing on any aspect of sentence provided they are submitted no later than one week before sentence.

We will put the sentence down for January 20th at 4:30 p.m.

I assume that works for both counsel.

MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor.

MR. AGNIFILO: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very good. Anything else we need to take up today?

Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 52 Filed 09/15/14 Page 17 of 17 17 E944shrP

MR. TURNER: Not from the government, thank you. MR. AGNIFILO: Not from the defense. THE COURT: Very good. Thanks a lot. (Adjourned)