IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Criminal Case No.14-CR-000398-WJM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

BURTON WAGNER,

Defendant.

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN ENDS OF JUSTICE CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDABLE TIME PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(ii) and (iv)

The United States of America, by United States Attorney John F. Walsh, and through the undersigned Assistant United States Attorney, hereby respectfully enters the Government's Motion for an Ends of Justice Continuance and Findings of Excludable Time pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(ii) and (iv).

The United States respectfully advises the Court that the above-referenced case is complex within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) due to the nature of the prosecution, the existence of novel questions of fact or law, and the amount of documentary material and other evidence subject to pretrial disclosure or discovery. Under the circumstances, the United States respectfully informs the Court that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself, by the prosecution or the defense, within the normal time limits established by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161. Accordingly, the United States requests the Court to make the ends of justice findings contemplated by Section 3161(h)(7)(A), to

continue the case for approximately 90-120 days of excludable speedy trial time, and to set the case for a status conference and further proceedings toward the end of the period of excludable time.

In support of this motion, the Government states the following:

- 1. The indictment in this case follows a 15 month investigation involving online and conventional undercover activities. Specifically, the investigation involves individuals engaging in digital currency transactions and/or the purchase and sale of controlled substances over the internet using "Deep Web" black marketplaces. The United States believes that such a case, by its very nature, involving new and emerging technology, is unique and complex, and that novel questions of law and fact exist. The United States anticipates that there will be hundreds of pages of documents, comprised of law enforcement surveillance and investigation reports, pen register data, GPS tracker data, and search warrant documents. Much of the evidence, however, exists in electronic form. Agents seized and searched numerous electronic devices, including computers, smartphones, and storage media, containing data which will require several terabytes of space. In addition, the electronic evidence includes operational audio and/or video recordings at multiple locations. Five defendants have been charged in this phase of the investigation in four separate indictments (case numbers 14-cr-398-WJM, 14-cr-399-RM, 14-CR-400-CMA, and 14-cr-401-RM).
- 2. The United States is working diligently to produce discovery to defense counsel on or before October 31, 2014; however, much of the electronic evidence will likely be produced as supplemental discovery after that date as it only came into the government's possession upon the defendant's arrest.

- 3. Depending, of course, on the approach taken by the defense, it is reasonably foreseeable that defense counsel will need at least 90-120 days to review the discovery material and prepare for pretrial motions or other pretrial matters. Under the circumstances, it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself, by either the defense or the prosecution, within the normal time limits established by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161.
- 4. The Government respectfully asserts that the ends of justice will be served by the Court's finding and concluding that this case is complex within the meaning of Title18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) and/or that the failure to grant such a continuance in this case, taken as a whole, would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), and finding that at least 90 days of excludable speedy trial time is required at this stage to permit the parties to become familiar with the underlying investigation and evidence.
- 5. In further support of this motion, the United States addresses the factors articulated in <u>United States v. West</u>, 828 F.2d 1468, 1449-70 (10th Cir. 1987):
 - a. The United States has exercised diligence in collecting, organizing,
 and producing the evidence in this case.
 - b. If this motion is granted, it is likely that the continuance will accomplish the purposes underlying the need for the continuance. Namely, the defense will have an opportunity to diligently review the voluminous and complex discovery, determine what, if any, defenses their clients may have, conduct any necessary

investigation, consult necessary experts, and file any necessary discovery and/or suppression motions once they have reviewed the discovery.

- c. The defendant in this case concurs in the granting of this motion; the
 United States does not believe that such a continuance will
 inconvenience the parties or witnesses; and allowing the defense
 sufficient time to review and digest the evidence would save judicial
 resources by providing the parties the time necessary to potentially
 resolve their respective cases without further litigation.
- d. Without the requested continuance, the United States believes that the defendant would be prejudiced because proceeding under the normal time limits would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. Accordingly, the United States respectfully requests a setting in this case for an additional discovery/scheduling conference in approximately 90 days, consistent with the Court's calendar. The ends of justice served by such findings and continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The United States has conferred with counsel for Mr. Wagner, Gregory Goldberg, and is authorized to inform the Court that the defendant does not oppose the granting of this motion.

7. Finally, the United States respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion and set any pretrial motion filing deadlines and trial dates in accordance with this request.

Dated this 27th day of October, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN F. WALSH
United States Attorney

By: s/ Michele R. Korver
Assistant United States Attorney
1225 Seventeenth Street, Suite 700
Denver, Colorado 80202
Tel: (303) 454-0224

Tel: (303) 454-0224 Fax: (303) 454-0409

michele.korver@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 27, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record:

All counsel of record

<u>s/ Michele R. Korver</u>MICHELE R. KORVERAssistant United States Attorney