## Case 1:14-cr-00243-JSR Document 28 Filed 06/18/14 Page 1 of 9

E4tQfaiC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 -----x UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 3 14 CR 243 (JSR) V. 4 ROBERT FAIELLA and CHARLIE 5 SHREM 6 Defendants -----x 7 New York, N.Y. 8 April 29, 2014 2:15 p.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. JED S. RAKOFF District Judge 12 13 APPEARANCES 14 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the 15 Southern District of New York SERRIN A. TURNER 16 Assistant United States Attorney 17 DAVID BRAUN Attorney for Defendant Faiella 18 BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES Attorney for Defendant Shrem 19 MARC AGNIFILO 20 JACOB KAPLAN 21 22 -also present-23 GARY ALFORD - IRS 24 25

1 (In open court; case called) THE COURT: This is the case of United States v. 2 3 Faiella and Shrem. Would counsel please identify themselves. 4 MR. TURNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Serrin 5 Turner for the government. With me at counsel table is Special 6 Agent Gary Alford from the Internal Revenue Service. 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 8 MR. BRAUN: Good afternoon, your Honor. David Braun 9 on behalf of Mr. Robert Faiella sitting to my right. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Braun, have you been admitted yet? 11 MR. BRAUN: I believe I have. 12 THE COURT: How could you accomplish that? 13 MR. BRAUN: When I was here at the magistrate hearing 14 at one of the initial appearances, the magistrate asked that I 15 submit a certificate of good standing from the highest court, which I did. I mailed it in a few days ago and the clerk's 16 17 office is in receipt of it. I have a passport to file motions 18 now. 19 THE COURT: Well, I am happy to have you here, don't 20 misunderstand. 21 MR. BRAUN: Thank you, your Honor. 22

THE COURT: But I think you need to do a better job of familiarizing yourselves with the rules. So if you wanted to be admitted pro hac vice, you need to prepare an order in the form provided by our local rules and submit it to me for

23

24

25

signature. I will be happy to sign it. And I will admit you today under that assumption. In addition, and more importantly, you need to familiarize yourself with the individual rules of this court, my rules, because if you had, you would have known that you couldn't file that motion that you have now apparently filed twice without first calling my chambers with your adversary, so that we could set up a schedule in case they wanted to respond.

So I will accept that motion. As someone from out of town, there is no reason you would be familiar with my rules, but now you need to familiarize yourself with them, OK?

MR. BRAUN: Yes, your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: No problem.

MR. AGNIFIO: Good afternoon. Marc Agnifilo for Charlie Shrem. With me at counsel table from my firm is Jacob Kaplan.

THE COURT: I have a vague recollection, Mr. Agnifilo, that you are admitted to the bar of this court.

MR. AGNIFIO: Since 1994.

THE COURT: And strange because you look to me like you're only 26.

MR. AGNIFIO: We can stand adjourned now.

THE COURT: All right. Do I understand, have the defendants been arraigned?

MR. TURNER: No, they have not, your Honor.

from the indictment for lack of unlawful conduct or,

alternatively, the transcript of this proceeding to the Middle District of Florida, and I will confess that I think that is the single longest title for a motion that I've ever seen.

Did the government want to respond now or do you want to respond in writing?

MR. TURNER: We can respond in writing, your Honor. We only need a few days or a week to do so.

THE COURT: Fine. Today is April 29. So why don't we say by Tuesday May 6?

MR. TURNER: That's fine, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Then I will decide the motion on the papers. On the assumption that the case remains here with respect to both defendants, let's set a schedule.

How long does the government propose for completing discovery?

MR. TURNER: We have been compiling the materials expeditiously, your Honor, and we think we will be ready to produce it in two weeks. The defendants will need to provide us with a hard drive each to download the information.

THE COURT: That's fine. So two weeks from today would be May 13. How long do defense counsel want for the making of any motions?

MR. AGNIFIO: The proposal that I was going to ask your Honor to consider -- we have been in constant dialogue with the government.

THE COURT: Well, you have my condolences, but why does that matter to me, to be blunt about it.

MR. AGNIFIO: I just -- misery loves company. And basically they told us it's a tremendous amount of material, and the government's estimation is it's going to take us a month to go through it.

So what I was going to propose is -- and I'm mindful that my colleagues have to come back from Florida -- after we go through the discovery, maybe to set a date five or six weeks out. That way we all know what the discovery is and we can see if we do have any motions; and if we do have motions, we can inform your Honor.

THE COURT: No, I am certainly willing to give you some meaningful time, but I want to set some dates certain.

Let me ask the government, what is the nature of the discovery that it's going to take so long to go through?

MR. TURNER: The bulk of it, your Honor, is several collections of email accounts that are quite voluminous in their contents. There's also private messages on Silk Road that defendant Faiella sent in the course of running his business. There's a lot of bank records. There were documents seized from Mr. Faiella's home and --

THE COURT: It doesn't sound all that unusual to me. Let's assume it takes a month to go through the discovery, and then at that point it doesn't seem to me it would take more

than two weeks to formulate your motions.

So why don't we see, so a month would be May 29. Two weeks after that would be June 12. So all motions to be filed by June 12.

We will have a further conference -- on the week of June 16 I am trying a case in Ocala, Florida, wherever that might be, but -- in all seriousness, if this were of any interest to the parties, we could have a conference there which would be of less inconvenience to one of the defense counsel. But assuming for the moment that that won't work -- I'm looking at that, it's a two-week trial, so I think we're talking early July.

I normally don't put a time for the government to respond until I see the motions, but I think I am going to change that. So the defense motions are due June 12. Any answering papers by the government are due June 26. Any reply papers from the defense are due July 3, and we will have a further conference on July 10 at 11:00 a.m.

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, is it possible to move that conference back a couple of days? I am going to be returning from international travel right around that time. I'm not sure I'm going to be back then.

THE COURT: Where are you going?

MR. TURNER: Australia. It's a very long ways away.

THE COURT: This, I'm sure, is on very official

1 business. So you want it to be, what, the beginning of the 2 next week? 3 MR. TURNER: Correct, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: So Monday, July 14, is that Bastille Day? 5 Yes, I think so. Maybe. No French patriots here? So, July 14 6 at 11:00 a.m. 7 Now, my law clerk just said very good because he's amazed I can be right about anything. 8 9 Now, how long a trial does the government anticipate? 10 MR. TURNER: I think it will be less than two weeks, 11 your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Does defense counsel agree with that? 13 MR. BRAUN: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, whatever motions are filed, I can 15 certainly dispose of by the end of July, and you will want 16 August to prepare. So let's set a trial date for September. 17 MR. AGNIFIO: Your Honor, just as you're looking at 18 your calendar, we have a trial in front of Judge Crotty on 19 September 8, and I expect that to be about a week-long trial. 20 THE COURT: How about September 22? 21 MR. TURNER: That's fine for the government, your

Honor.

THE COURT: OK. So, I should let Mr. Braun know that

22

23

24

25

They are unalterable. So all of those dates are set in stone.

in this court when I set dates, they are firm. They are fixed.

Pursuant to Section 3161 of Title 18, I will exclude all time between now and September 22, finding that such time is necessary for the review of the voluminous discovery, the making and deciding of motions; and for those and other reasons ebbing from this colloquy, the best interests of justice in excluding such time substantially outweighs the interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

Anything else we need to take up today?

MR. TURNER: One housekeeping matter, your Honor.

I have a protective order that both parties consented to in respect to discovery in this matter.

THE COURT: Let me take a look. That looks fine. I've signed the order. And I'll give it to my clerk and deputy to docket.

Anything else?

MR. TURNER: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything from defense?

MR. AGNIFIO: Not from me.

MR. BRAUN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. Thanks so much.

(Adjourned)

23

25