Rodney Sparapani
Associate Professor of Biostatistics
Medical College of Wisconsin

September 16, 2025

- ▶ In order to generate the posterior for f, we sample the structure of all the trees \mathcal{T}_h , for $h=1,\ldots,H$; the values of all leaves μ_{hn} for $n\in\mathcal{L}_h$ within tree h; and, the error variance σ^2
- Additionally, with the sparsity prior, there are samples of the vector of splitting variable selection probabilities $[s_1, \ldots, s_P]$ and, when the sparsity parameter is random, samples of θ
- ► The leaf and variance parameters are sampled from the posterior using Gibbs sampling
- ► Since the priors on these parameters are conjugate, the Gibbs conditionals are specified analytically
- ightharpoonup The leaves, μ_{hn} , are drawn from a Normal conditional density
- The error variance, σ^2 , is drawn from a scaled inverse Chi-square conditional (equivalent to inverse Gamma)

- Drawing a tree from the posterior requires a Metropolis-within-Gibbs sampling scheme, i.e., a Metropolis-Hastings (MH) step within Gibbs sampling
- ► For single-tree models, four different proposals are defined in Chipman George 1998 JASA
- ► The complementary BIRTH/DEATH proposals are essential (the others are CHANGE and SWAP which are optional)
- ► This is only one of several schemes that have been proposed; see Pratola 2016 Bayesian Analysis
- For programming simplicity, the BART/BART3 package only implements BIRTH and DEATH with equal probability
- ► BIRTH selects a leaf and turns it into a branch: a new variable and cut-point with two leaves "born" as its descendants
- ► DEATH selects a branch leading to two terminal leaves and "kills" the branch by replacing it with a single leaf

Posterior computation for BART: the BIRTH proposal

- ▶ we present the acceptance probability for a BIRTH proposal
- ▶ a DEATH proposal is the reversible inverse of a BIRTH
- ▶ The algorithm assumes a fixed discrete set of possible split values for each x_j denoted by c_{jk}
- \blacktriangleright the leaf values, μ_{hn} , are integrated out so this search in tree space is over a large discrete set of possibilities
- At the mth MCMC step, let \mathcal{T}_h^m denote the current state of tree h while \mathcal{T}_h^* denotes the tree proposal
- ▶ \mathcal{T}_h^* are identical \mathcal{T}_h^m except that one terminal leaf of \mathcal{T}_h^m is replaced by a branch of \mathcal{T}_h^* with two terminal leaves

Posterior computation for BART: the BIRTH proposal

► The proposed tree is accepted with the following probability:

$$\pi_{\text{BIRTH}} = \min \left(1, \frac{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^* | y\right]}{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m | y\right]} \frac{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m | \mathcal{T}_h^*\right]}{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^* | \mathcal{T}_h^m\right]}\right)$$

- ▶ $\left[\mathcal{T}_h^* \mid \mathcal{T}_h^m\right]$ is the probability of proposing \mathcal{T}_h^* (a BIRTH) given current state \mathcal{T}_h^m
- ▶ while $\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m \middle| \mathcal{T}_h^*\right]$ is the reverse the probability of proposing \mathcal{T}_h^m (a DEATH) given \mathcal{T}_h^*
- ▶ Now we delve into the calculations for $\left[\mathcal{T}_h^*|y\right]$ and $\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m|y\right]$

Posterior computation for BART: the BIRTH proposal

- First, we describe the likelihood contribution to the posterior
- ▶ Let y_n denote the partition of y corresponding to the leaf node n given the tree \mathcal{T}_h
- ▶ Because the leaf values are a priori conditionally independent, we have $[y|\mathcal{T}_h] = \prod_n [y_n|\mathcal{T}_h]$ where $[y_n|\mathcal{T}_h] = \int [\mu_n] [y_n|\mathcal{T}_h, \mu_n] d\mu_n$ (we will return to this later)
- After cancellation of terms in the ratio $\frac{[\mathcal{T}_h^n|y]}{[\mathcal{T}_h^m|y]}$ we have the likelihood contributions

$$\frac{\left[y_{\mathrm{L}},y_{\mathrm{R}}|\mathcal{T}_{h}^{*}\right]}{\left[y_{n}|\mathcal{T}_{h}^{m}\right]} = \frac{\left[y_{\mathrm{L}}|\mathcal{T}_{h}^{*}\right]\left[y_{\mathrm{R}}|\mathcal{T}_{h}^{*}\right]}{\left[y_{n}|\mathcal{T}_{h}^{m}\right]}$$

 y_L : partition for the newborn left leaf node y_R : partition for the newborn right leaf node

- ► Similarly, the terms that the prior contributes to the posterior ratio often cancel since there is only one area where the trees differ: either a terminal leaf or a penultimate branch
- ▶ Therefore, the prior contribution to $\frac{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^*|\mathbf{y}\right]}{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m|\mathbf{y}\right]}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{P[\psi_{l} = 0] P[\psi_{r} = 0] s_{j}}_{P[\psi_{n} = 0] / P[\psi_{n} = 1]} \\ & = \frac{\left[1 - \alpha (d(n) + 2)^{-\beta}\right]^{2} s_{j}}{(1 - \alpha (d(n) + 1)^{-\beta}) / \alpha (d(n) + 1)^{-\beta}} \\ & = -\frac{\left[1 - \alpha (d(n) + 2)^{-\beta}\right]^{2} s_{j}}{1 - \alpha^{-1} (d(n) + 1)^{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

where $P[\psi_n]$ is the branch regularity prior s_j is the splitting variable selection probability n is the chosen leaf node in tree \mathcal{T}_h^m l=2n is the newborn left leaf node in tree \mathcal{T}_h^* r=2n+1 is the newborn right leaf node in tree \mathcal{T}_h^*

Finally, the ratio $\frac{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^m \mid \mathcal{T}_h^*\right]}{\left[\mathcal{T}_h^* \mid \mathcal{T}_h^m\right]}$ is

$$\frac{\left[\text{DEATH}|\mathcal{T}_h^*\right]\left[n|\mathcal{T}_h^*\right]}{\left[\text{BIRTH}|\mathcal{T}_h^m\right]\left[n|\mathcal{T}_h^m\right]s_j}$$

- $ightharpoonup [n|\mathcal{T}_h]$ is the probability of choosing node n given tree \mathcal{T}_h
- ▶ N.B. s_j appears in both the numerator and denominator of the acceptance probability π_{BIRTH} , therefore, canceling which is mathematically convenient

Posterior computation for weighted BART

$$y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i \text{ where } f \stackrel{\text{prior}}{\sim} \text{BART}$$

 $\epsilon_i \sim \text{N}(0, w_i^2 \sigma^2)$

BART variant	Weights	Comment
Homoskedastic	$w_i = 1$	Chipman, George et al. 2010
Weighted		Non-trivial known weights (circa 2015)
Heteroskedastic	$\mathbf{w_i} = s(x_i)$	$s^2 \stackrel{\mathrm{prior}}{\sim} \mathrm{HBART}$
		Pratola, Chipman et al. 2020

- ▶ Until now, we have not dwelled on BART variants
- ► From here on, we will focus on weighted BART
- ► This development extends the homoskedastic variant and naturally incorporates heteroskedastic BART

Posterior computation for weighted BART: drawing a tree

- ▶ Based on the centered data: $y_i = \tilde{y}_i \mu$
- ► Given that the *n*th leaf only depends on $(y_{i'}, w_{i'})$ where $i' = 1, ..., m_n$

 $\mu_{hl} \stackrel{\text{prior}}{\sim} N(0, \eta_0^{-1})$

$$\eta_{i'} = w_{i'}^{-2} \sigma^{-2}
\tilde{\mu} = \eta_n^{-1} \sum_{i'} \eta_{i'} y_{i'}
[y_n | \mathcal{T}_h] = \int [\mu_n] [y_n | \mathcal{T}_h, \mu_n] d\mu_n
\propto \frac{\prod_{i'} \eta_{i'}^{0.5}}{(2\pi)^{0.5m_n}} \frac{\sqrt{\eta_0}}{\sqrt{\eta_0 + \eta_n}} \exp\left(-0.5 \frac{\eta_0 \eta_n}{\eta_0 + \eta_n} \tilde{\mu}^2\right)
\times \exp\left(-0.5 \sum_{i'} \eta_{i'} (y_{i'} - \tilde{\mu})^2\right)$$

 $\eta_0^{-1} = \frac{\tau^2}{4H\kappa^2}$

 $\eta_n = \sum_{i} \eta_{i'}$

Posterior computation for weighted BART: BIRTH

- ▶ Based on the centered data: $y_i = \tilde{y}_i \mu$
- ► Given that the *n*th leaf only depends on $(y_{i'}, w_{i'})$ where $i' = 1, ..., m_n$

Posterior computation for weighted BART: BIRTH

▶ After cancellation of terms in the ratio $\frac{|\mathcal{T}_h^m|y|}{|\mathcal{T}_h^m|y|}$ we have the likelihood contributions

$$\begin{split} \frac{\left[y_{\mathrm{L}}, y_{\mathrm{R}} | \mathcal{T}_h^*\right]}{\left[y_n | \mathcal{T}_h^m\right]} &= \frac{\left[y_{\mathrm{L}} | \mathcal{T}_h^*\right] \left[y_{\mathrm{R}} | \mathcal{T}_h^*\right]}{\left[y_n | \mathcal{T}_h^m\right]} \\ &= \omega_L \omega_R \omega_n^{-1} \exp 0.5 \sigma_0^2 \left(\xi_L^2 \omega_L^2 + \xi_R^2 \omega_R^2 - \xi_n^2 \omega_n^2\right) \end{split}$$

Posterior computation for weighted BART: the leaves

- ▶ Based on the centered data: $y_i = \tilde{y}_i \mu$
- ▶ Given \mathcal{T}_h , suppose that the *l*th leaf, μ_{hl} , only depends on $(y_{i'}, w_{i'})$ where $i' = 1, ..., m_{hl}$
- lacktriangle Define the residuals, $z_{i'}$, due to everything except $(\mathcal{T}_h, \mathcal{M}_h)$

$$\begin{split} z_{i'} &= y_{i'} - \sum_{h' \neq h} g\left(x_{i'}; \mathcal{T}_{h'}, \mathcal{M}_{h'}\right) \\ &\sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu_{hl}, \ w_{i'}^2 \sigma^2\right) \\ \bar{z}_{\cdot} &= \mathrm{N}\left(\mu_{hl}, \ \eta_{hl}^{-1}\right) & \eta_{hl}^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{m_{hl}^2} \sum_{i'} w_{i'}^2 \\ \mu_{hl} &\stackrel{\mathrm{prior}}{\sim} \mathrm{N}\left(0, \ \eta_0^{-1}\right) & \eta_0^{-1} = \frac{\tau^2}{4H\kappa^2} \\ \mu_{hl} &|(\mathcal{T}_h, y) \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\frac{\eta_{hl} m_{hl} \bar{z}_{\cdot}}{\eta_0 + \eta_{hl} m_{hl}}, \ \frac{1}{\eta_0 + \eta_{hl} m_{hl}}\right) \end{split}$$

Posterior computation for BART: uncertainty intervals

- Suppose that we want to estimate f at some value x whether from the training or testing
- ► The standard Bayesian estimate is $\hat{f}(x) = M^{-1} \sum_{m} f_{m}(x)$
- ► Construct a Bayesian $(1 \alpha) \times 100\%$ credible interval from the $\alpha/2$ and the $1 \alpha/2$ quantiles of the posterior
- $ightharpoonup (f_{(\alpha/2)}(x), f_{(1-\alpha/2)}(x))$
- ► Construct a Bayesian $(1 \alpha) \times 100\%$ prediction interval
- ► Generate \tilde{y} from the predictive distribution: $\tilde{y}_m \sim N(f_m(x), \sigma_m^2)$
- ▶ Select the $\alpha/2$ and the $1 \alpha/2$ quantiles of \tilde{y}
- $\blacktriangleright (\tilde{y}_{(\alpha/2)}, \tilde{y}_{(1-\alpha/2)})$