

3 h

Polycopié, notes de cours, livres, téléphones mobiles et montres connectées non autorisés

Handouts, course notes, books, mobile phones and smart watches not allowed

Exercise (Quasi-Monte Carlo). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in]0, 1[$ such that $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n$.

1.a. Show that the function $\varphi_n : x \mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_k \leq x\}} - x$ is right continuous with left limits

everywhere it has a sense on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and $\varphi_n(0) = \varphi_n(1) = 0$.

1.b. Prove that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \varphi_n(x) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \varphi_n(\xi_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in [0, 1]} \varphi_n(x) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \varphi_n(\xi_k)$$

where $\varphi_n(u-)$ denotes the left limit of φ_n at $u \in]0, 1[$.

1.c. Deduce (with the notations from the course) that

$$D_n^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\left| \xi_k - \frac{k}{n} \right|, \left| \xi_k - \frac{k-1}{n} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{2n} + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \xi_k - \frac{2k-1}{2n} \right|.$$

2. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an α -Hölder-continuous function, $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ (i.e. $[f]_\alpha := \sup_{u \neq v, u, v \in [0, 1]} \frac{|f(v) - f(u)|}{|v - u|^\alpha} < +\infty$).

2.a. Prove that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(\xi_k) - \int_0^1 f(u) du \right| \leq \frac{[f]_\alpha}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} |\xi_k - x|^\alpha dx.$$

2.b. Deduce that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(\xi_k) - \int_0^1 f(u) du \right| \leq [f]_\alpha D_n^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)^\alpha.$$

Problem 1 (Multi-asset (pseudo-)risk measure). We introduce the function $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($d \geq 1$) - a (pseudo-)risk measure - associated to an integrable d -dimensional random vector $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and a confidence/risk level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ defined by

$$V\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad V(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2} - 1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}(X^i - \xi^i)^+$$

where $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$. The variable X^i is representative of the loss induced by a traded asset i in a portfolio made up from d assets $1, \dots, d$, in the sense that $X^i \geq 0$ means a loss of X^i euros. We assume for simplicity that the distribution of the vector X has no atom.

1.a. Prove that V is non-negative, differentiable on \mathbb{R}^d , compute its gradient at every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

1.b. Prove that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} V(\xi) = +\infty$ and that V is strictly convex.

1.c. Deduce that $\text{argmin}_{\mathbb{R}^d} V = \{\xi^*\}$ where ξ^* is solution to a system of non-linear equations to be specified.

1.d. Briefly interpret the equation in terms of risk of loss.

2. Devise a recursive stochastic algorithm based on the simulation of an i.i.d. sequence $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of random vectors with the distribution of X that converges to ξ^* \mathbb{P} -a.s. We denote by $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ this recursive stochastic procedure which reads

$$\xi_{n+1} = \xi_n - \gamma_{n+1} H(\xi_n, X_{n+1}),$$

supposed to be initialized at some deterministic \mathbb{R}^d -valued vector $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Borel function to be specified and $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a step sequence satisfying a condition to be specified as well.

3. We assume that $X \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$. We define the stochastic recursive procedure $(V_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by

$$V_{n+1} = V_n - \frac{1}{n+1} (V_n - v(\xi_n, X_{n+1})), \quad n \geq 0$$

where $V(\xi) = \mathbb{E}v(\xi, X)$ in the above definition and $V_0 = 0$.

3.a. Prove that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$V_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n v(\xi_{k-1}, X_k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(\xi_{k-1}) + \frac{S_n}{n}$$

where

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n (v(\xi_{k-1}, X_k) - V(\xi_{k-1})), \quad n \geq 1,$$

is a square integrable martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_n^X = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $n \geq 0$.

3.b. Show that for every $k \geq 1$,

$$|v(\xi_{k-1}, X_k) - V(\xi_{k-1})| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \int |X_k^i - x^i| \mu_i(dx^i)$$

where μ_i denotes the distribution of the marginal X^i of X , $i = 1, \dots, d$.

3.c. Show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n v(\xi_{k-1}, X_k) - V(\xi_{k-1}) \right|^2 \leq \frac{2dn}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{i=1}^d \text{Var}(X^i)$$

and deduce that $V_n \rightarrow V(\xi^*)$ in probability.

BONUS QUESTION. Now we consider

$$\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{v(\xi_{k-1}, X_k) - V(\xi_{k-1})}{k}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Show that $(\tilde{S}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a square integrable martingale with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n^X)_{n \geq 1}$ and that its bracket process $\langle \tilde{S} \rangle_n$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \langle \tilde{S} \rangle_\infty < +\infty$$

Deduce that $V_n \rightarrow V(\xi^*)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Problem II (Flow of an SDE and applications). We consider a Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE)

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s,$$

where $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two Lipschitz continuous functions with respective Lipschitz coefficients $[b]_{Lip}$ and $[\sigma]_{Lip}$ and $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and X_0 defined on the same probability space, independent of W . We denote par $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the unique $(\mathcal{F}_t^{X_0, W})_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted solution to the above SDE where $\mathcal{F}_t^{X_0, W} = \sigma(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}}, X_0, W_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$, $t \geq 0$. All the notations are those used during the course.

We denote by $T > 0$ a terminal time (or maturity). For every integer $n \geq 1$, we define step $h = h_n = \frac{T}{n}$. We set $t_k = t_k^n = \frac{kT}{n}$, $k = 0, \dots, n$.

1.a. Recall the definitions of the three Euler schemes with step $h = \frac{T}{n}$: the discrete time, the stepwise constant and the "genuine" (continuous) Euler schemes, denoted $(\tilde{X}_k^n)_{k=0, \dots, n}$, $(\tilde{X}_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\bar{X}_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ respectively.

1.b. Let $p \geq 1$. Recall the uniform L^p -moment control results for both the diffusion $(X_t^x)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and the above Euler scheme(s). [No proof requested here.]

1.c. State the L^p -convergence theorems for the above three Euler schemes in an as synthetic way as possible. [No proof requested here.]

The result of 1.b. and 1.c. may be used without proof in what follows.

2. In what follows we denote by $(X_t^x)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the unique solution of the above SDE starting from $X_0 = x$ and by $(\bar{X}_{t_k}^{n, x})_{k=0, \dots, n}$, etc, the related Euler schemes.

2.a. Prove that, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\bar{X}_s^{n, x} - \bar{X}_s^{n, y}| \leq |x - y| + \int_0^t |b(\bar{X}_u^{n, x}) - b(\bar{X}_u^{n, y})| du + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s (\sigma(\bar{X}_u^{n, x}) - \sigma(\bar{X}_u^{n, y})) dW_u \right|.$$

2.b. We set, for every $t \in [0, T]$, $g(t) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\bar{X}_s^{n, x} - \bar{X}_s^{n, y}|^2$. Prove that (g is non-decreasing and) $g(T) < +\infty$.

2.c. Prove that for every $a, b, c \geq 0$, $(a + b + c)^2 \leq 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)$.

2.d. Deduce that, for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$g(t) \leq 3 \left(|x - y|^2 + t[b]_{Lip}^2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} |\bar{X}_u^{n, x} - \bar{X}_u^{n, y}|^2 du + \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s (\sigma(\bar{X}_u^{n, x}) - \sigma(\bar{X}_u^{n, y})) dW_u \right|^2 \right).$$

3.a. Prove that, for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$g(t) \leq 3 \left(|x - y|^2 + (T[b]_{Lip}^2 + 4[\sigma]_{Lip}^2) \int_0^t g(s) ds \right).$$

3.b. Conclude that, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\bar{X}_t^{n, x} - \bar{X}_t^{n, y}| \right\|_2 \leq \sqrt{3} |x - y| e^{C_{b, \sigma, T}}$$

where $C_{b, \sigma, T}$ is a positive real constant to be specified and that

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^x - X_t^y| \right\|_2 \leq \sqrt{3} |x - y| e^{C_{b, \sigma, T}}.$$

4. Assume that b and σ are differentiable with bounded derivatives. We admit that $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$ -a.s, for every time $t \in [0, T]$, $x \mapsto X_t^x(\omega)$ is differentiable, so that we may define the tangent process by

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega \setminus N_0, \forall t \in [0, T], \quad Y_t^{(x)}(\omega) := \frac{d}{dx} X_t^x(\omega)$$

(and $Y_t^{(x)}(\omega) = 0$ if $\omega \in N_0$) where N_0 is a \mathbb{P} -negligible event of the σ -field \mathcal{A} .

4.a. Justify heuristically why $(Y_t^{(x)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfies an SDE to be specified. Deduce, this time rigorously that, as a solution of this SDE, $Y_t^{(x)}$ satisfies

$$Y_t^{(x)} = \exp \left(\int_0^t (b'(X_s^x) - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma')^2(X_s^x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma'(X_s^x) dW_s \right).$$

4.b. Prove that, $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$ -a.s, for every time $t \in [0, T]$, $x \mapsto X_t^x(\omega)$ is non-decreasing.

4.c. Prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (Y_t^{(x)})^2 < +\infty.$$

5. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable Lipschitz function. Prove rigorously that the function defined by

$$P(x) = \mathbb{E} h(X_T^x).$$

is differentiable on the real line with

$$P'(x) = \mathbb{E} h'(X_T^x) Y_T^{(x)}.$$

Exercice GMC:

1.a) $\varphi_m: x \mapsto \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_k \leq x\}} - x$
 Continue à droite car \leq
 et limite à gauche car $\xi_k \in]0,1[$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_m$ càdlàg

1.b) $\sup_{x \in G, J} \varphi_m(x) = \sup_{x \in G, J} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_k \leq x\}} - x \right)$

Soit $[\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}[$, $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_k \leq x\}} =: F_m(x)$ car cte $\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in [\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}[} (F_m(x) - x) = F_m(\xi_i) - \xi_i = \varphi_m(\xi_i)$

D'où $\sup_{x \in G, J} \varphi_m(x) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \varphi_m(\xi_k)$

D'autre part, $\inf_{x \in [\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}[} \varphi_m(x) = \inf_{x \in [\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}[} (F_m(x) - x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi_{i+1}^-} \varphi_m(x) = \varphi_m(\xi_{i+1}^-)$

Donc $\inf_{x \in G, J} \varphi_m(x) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \varphi_m(\xi_k^-)$

1.c) D'après le cours, $D_m^*(\xi) = \sup_{x \in G, J} |\varphi_m(x)| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} (|\max(\varphi_m(x))|, |\min(\varphi_m(x))|)$
 $= \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left(\left| \frac{k}{m} - \xi_k \right|, \left| \frac{k-1}{m} - \xi_k \right| \right)$
 $= \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left(\left| \xi_k - \frac{k}{m} \right|, \left| \xi_k - \frac{k-1}{m} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{2m} + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left(\left| \xi_k - \frac{2k-1}{2m} \right|, \left| \xi_k - \frac{2k}{2m} \right| \right)$
 $= \frac{1}{2m} + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left| \xi_k - \frac{2k-1}{2m} \right|$

2) Soit $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ une α -Hölder $f \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ c-à-d $[f]_\alpha = \sup_{u \neq v} \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{|u - v|^\alpha} < \infty$

2.a) $\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{m} f(\xi_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} f(\xi_k) du$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{m} f(\xi_k) - \int_0^1 f(u) du = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} f(\xi_k) - f(u) du$

$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m f(\xi_k) - \int_0^1 f(u) du \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} |f(\xi_k) - f(u)| du \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} |\xi_k - u|^\alpha [f]_\alpha du$

$\leq [f]_\alpha \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} |\xi_k - u|^\alpha du$

2.b) $\max_{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}} |\xi_k - x| = \max \left(\left| \xi_k - \frac{k}{m} \right|, \left| \xi_k - \frac{k-1}{m} \right| \right)$
 $= D_m^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$

$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m f(\xi_k) - \int_0^1 f(u) du \right| \leq [f]_\alpha D_m^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)^\alpha$

Problem 1: $V(\xi) = \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2} - 1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}[(X^i - \xi^i)^+]$

Différentiable \leftrightarrow Toutes les dérivées partielles existent et sont continues

1.a) OK car $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2} - 1 \geq 0$
 et $\alpha \in]0,1[\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\alpha} > 0$ et $\mathbb{E}[(X^i - \xi^i)^+] \geq 0 \forall i$
 $\Rightarrow V \geq 0$

De plus, $\xi \mapsto \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}$ est bien dérivable et $\forall \xi, 1+|\xi|^2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}{\partial \xi}$ existe $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Reste à vérifier si $\mathbb{E}[(X^i - \xi^i)^+]$ est dérivable

\rightarrow Thm de dérivation sous l'intégrale ou IE

(E, \mathcal{E}, μ) espace mesuré, I intervalle de \mathbb{R} non trivial ($I \neq \emptyset, \{c\}$)

Soit $\phi: I \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (x, \xi) \mapsto \phi(x, \xi)$

a) Version locale : $x_0 \in I$.

① $\forall x, \phi(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu) \quad I_c: (X^i - \xi^i)^+ \in \mathcal{L}^1$ si: $X^i \in \mathcal{L}^1$

② $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}(x_0, \xi)$ existe $\mu(d\xi)$ -pp $I_c: \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi^i} = -\frac{1}{1+|\xi|^2}$

③ $\exists \Xi: (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \Xi \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$

$\forall x \in I, |\phi(x, \xi) - \phi(x_0, \xi)| \leq \Xi \cdot |x - x_0| \quad \mu(d\xi)$ -pp $I_c: (X^i - \xi^i)^+$ est lipschitzienne ($\Xi = 1$)

Alors, $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ est bien définie par $\phi(x) = \int \phi(x, \xi) \mu(d\xi)$ et $\phi'(x) = \int \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}(x_0, \xi) \mu(d\xi) \quad (*)$

b) Version globale : Si ϕ vérifie

① —

② $\mu(d\xi)$ -pp, $x \mapsto \phi(x, \xi)$ est dérivable sur I .

③ $\exists \Xi: (E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \Xi \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ tq $|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi)| \leq \Xi(\xi) \quad \forall x \in I \quad \mu(d\xi)$ -pp

Alors, $\phi \in D(I)$ et $\phi'(x)$ est donnée par (*) $\forall x \in I$.

Donc par le thm local, V est bien dérivable $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

et $\nabla V(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}(X^1 \geq \xi^1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{P}(X^d \geq \xi^d) \end{pmatrix} \sim \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}[-\mathbb{1}_{\{X^i \geq \xi^i\}}]$

1.b) $V(\xi) \geq \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2} - 1 \sim |\xi| \Rightarrow V(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$

De plus, $\xi \mapsto \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}[(X^i - \xi^i)^+]$ est convexe car $(X^i - \cdot)^+$ est cvx (car linéaire)

Il suffit de mg $\xi \mapsto \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}$ est stt cvx

$\frac{\partial^2 \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{3/2}} > 0$

Donc V est stt cvx

1.c) Par 1.b) on a V stt cvx et $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} V(\xi) = \infty \Rightarrow \exists! \xi^* \text{ tq } \nabla V(\xi^*) = 0$ i.e. $\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}(X^1 \geq \xi^1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{P}(X^d \geq \xi^d) \end{pmatrix}$

1.d) Interprétation: $d=1$: $\mathbb{P}(X \geq \xi) = (1-\alpha) \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1+\xi^2}}$ \leftarrow presque VR

On cherche le ptf tq pour chaque actif la proba de perte + grande que ξ^i soit $\propto (1-\alpha) \xi^i$

On peut considérer cela comme une généralisation de VaR.

2) On veut résoudre $h(\bar{z}) = 0$ où $h(\bar{z}) = (1-\alpha) \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2}} - \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X^i \geq \bar{z}\}}]$

Donc $H(X_i, \bar{z}) = (1-\alpha) \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2}} - (1_{\{X_i \geq \bar{z}\}})_{i=1, \dots, n}$ $X_i \sim X$ iid

Pour Robbins-Monro il faut aussi:

$$* \forall \theta \neq \theta^*, \langle h(\bar{z}, \bar{z} - \theta^*) \rangle > 0$$

$$* \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|h(\bar{z}, \bar{z} - \theta)\|_2 \leq C(1 + \|\bar{z} - \theta^*\|^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\bar{z}_{m+1} = \bar{z}_m - \gamma_m H(\bar{z}_m, X_{m+1}) \rightarrow \gamma_m > 0, \sum_{m \geq 1} \gamma_m^2 < \infty \text{ et } \sum_{m \geq 1} \gamma_m = \infty$$

3) $X \in \mathcal{X}^2(\mathbb{P})$

$$\forall m \geq 0, V_{m+1} = V_m - \frac{1}{m+1} (V_m - v(\bar{z}_m, X_{m+1})) \quad m \geq 0$$

$$\text{avec } V(\bar{z}) = \mathbb{E}[v(\bar{z}, X)] \text{ et } V_0 = 0 \\ = \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{1+\bar{z}^2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d (X^i - \bar{z})^+]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{3.a) Par réc, } V_{m+1} &= \frac{m}{m+1} V_m + \frac{1}{m+1} v(\bar{z}_m, X_{m+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v(\bar{z}_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{z}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\mathbb{E}[V_{m+1} | \mathcal{F}_m^X] = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v(\bar{z}_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{m+1} \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})) | \mathcal{F}_m^X]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{m+1} | \mathcal{F}_m^X] = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})) + \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})) | \mathcal{F}_m^X] - \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} v(\bar{z}_{k-1}) = S_m$$

$$\Rightarrow S_m \text{ mart}$$

$$S_m \text{ est intégrable} \Leftrightarrow v \text{ est de carré intégrable } (X \in \mathcal{X}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{3.b) } v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1}) &= \left(\sqrt{1 + \bar{z}_{k-1}^2} - 1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d (X_k^i - \bar{z}_{k-1})^+ \right) - \left(\sqrt{1 + \bar{z}_{k-1}^2} - 1 + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}[X^i - \bar{z}_{k-1}]^+ \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d ((X_k^i - \bar{z}_{k-1})^+ - \mathbb{E}[(X^i - \bar{z}_{k-1})^+]) \\ &\quad \int (x^i - \bar{z}_{k-1})^+ \mu(dx^i) \quad \int (x^i - \bar{z}_{k-1})^+ \mu(dx^i) \end{aligned}$$

$$x \mapsto (x - k)^+ \text{ est Lipschitzienne}$$

$$\Rightarrow |v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \int |X_k^i - \bar{z}_{k-1}| \mu_i(dx^i)$$

$$\text{3.c) Par 3.b) on a } \forall k, |v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \int |X_k^i - \bar{z}_{k-1}| \mu_i(dx^i)$$

$$\mathbb{V}[X^i] = \int |x^i - \mathbb{E}[X^i]|^2 \mu_i(dx^i) = \int \int |x^i - \tilde{x}^i| \mu_i(dx^i)^2 \mu_i(dx^i)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^m v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})]^2 = ?$$

$$\Rightarrow X_k \perp \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^X \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})) (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1}))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\dots | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^X] (v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1}))] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^m v(\bar{z}_{k-1}, X_k) - v(\bar{z}_{k-1})]^2 = m \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \int |X_k^i - \bar{z}_{k-1}| \mu_i(dx^i)]^2 *$$

$$\text{Or } \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i \right)^2 \leq d \sum_{i=1}^d a_i^2 \\ \langle a, 1 \rangle^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \cdot \|1\|^2 = \|a\|^2 d$$

Per Robbins-Monro, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow * \leq \frac{dm}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{i=1}^d \underbrace{\mathbb{E} [|X^i - x^i| \mu_i(dx^i)]^2 }_{V(X^i)} = \frac{dm}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{i=1}^d V(X^i) \leq \frac{2dm}{(1-\alpha)^2} \sum_{i=1}^d V(X^i)$$