

Convexité, Optimisation et Contrôle Stochastique

Simon Depaule

Novembre 2023

Table des matières

1 Chapitre 1 - Convexité et Optimisation	2
1.1 Ensembles et fonctions convexes	2
1.2 Convex functions	3
2 Chapter 2 : Structure of convex sets	6
2.1 Topological properties	6
2.2 Separation of convex sets	6
3 Chapter 3 : Regularity of convex functions	8
3.1 Convexity and continuity	8
3.2 Convexity and differentiability	8
4 Chapter 4 : Optimization of differentiable functions	10
4.1 Optimality conditions	10
4.2 Gradient descent	11
5 Chapter 5 : Duality of convex functions	13
5.1 Upper envelopes of affine functions	13
5.2 Fenchel-Moreau conjugate	13
5.3 Bi-conjugate	13
6 Chapter 6 : Diffusion processes	14
6.1 SDEs with random coefficients	14
6.2 Markov SDEs	15
6.3 Connection with PDEs	15
7 Chapter 7 : Optimal control of diffusion processes and dynamic programming	17
7.1 Stochastic control problem	17
7.2 Dynamic programming principle	18
7.3 Dynamic programming equation	19

1 Chapitre 1 - Convexité et Optimisation

1.1 Ensembles et fonctions convexes

On fixe dans le reste du cours un **espace vectoriel normé** de dimension finie.

Définition (Ensemble convexe) : Un sous ensemble $\mathcal{C} \subseteq E$ est dit **convexe** si :

$$\forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \mathcal{C}$$

Définition (Combinaison convexe) : Pour $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ et $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ tel que $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, la quantité $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i = 1$ est appelée **combinaison convexe** des x_1, \dots, x_n

Propriété : $\mathcal{C} \subseteq E$ est convexe \iff toute combinaison convexe d'éléments de \mathcal{C} est dans \mathcal{C} .

Proposition : Soit $(C_i)_{i \in I}$ une famille de sous ensemble convexes de E . Alors $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$ est un **ensemble convexe**.

Définition (Enveloppe convexe) : Soit $A \subseteq E$, l'**enveloppe convexe** de A , notée $\text{conv}(A)$ est le plus petit (au sens de l'inclusion) convexe contenant A . Elle est donnée par :

$$\text{conv}(A) = \bigcap_{\substack{A \subseteq \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{C} \text{ convexe}}} \mathcal{C}$$

Proposition : Pour $A \subseteq E$, $\text{conv}(A)$ est l'ensemble des combinaisons convexes de A i.e :

$$\text{conv}(A) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \quad n \geq 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in A, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}_+, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

Définition : Soit \mathcal{C} convexe de E , $x \in \mathcal{C}$ est dit **point extrémal** si $x \neq \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$ pour $y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ pour tout $y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ avec $y \neq z$ et $0 < \lambda < 1$.

On note $\text{ext}(A)$ l'ensemble des points extrémaux de \mathcal{C} .

Remarque : Autrement dit, un point x d'un convexe A est extrémal si, pour tout segment de A qui contient x , alors x est une extrémité de ce segment.

- L'ensemble des points extrémaux d'un disque fermé est le cercle qui ferme ce disque.
- L'ensemble des points extrémaux d'un carré est constitué par les 4 sommets du carré.

Proposition : Les assertions suivantes sont équivalentes :

- i) $x \in \text{ext}(C)$
- ii) $x \neq \frac{y+z}{2}$ pour $y, z \in C$ tel que $y \neq z$
- iii) $x = \frac{z+y}{2}$ avec $y, z \in C \Rightarrow x = y = z$

Proposition : $x \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{C}) \iff \mathcal{C} \setminus \{x\}$ est convexe.

Proposition : Pour $A \in E$, on a $\text{ext}(\text{conv}(A)) \subset A$

Théorème (Minkowski en dimension finie - Krein Milman en dimension infinie) : Si $A \subset E$, A convexe de E, $A = \text{conv}(\text{ext}(A))$

1.2 Convex functions

Definition 1.2.5 (Convex function) : A function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be **convex** if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$ with $f(x) < +\infty$, $f(y) < +\infty$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Remark :

- We notice that for f convex function, the sets $A_f(a) = \{x \in E : f(x) \leq a\}$ and $B_f(a) = \{x \in E : f(x) < a\}$ are convex sets.
- Unfortunately, the reverse implication is not true. Indeed, for $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ monotone function, the sets $A_f(a)$ and $B_f(a)$ are convex sets as they are intervals of \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.2.6 (Domain of a function) : The **domain of a function** $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is the set

$$\text{dom}(f) = \{x \in E : f(x) < +\infty\}.$$

Remark 1.2.1 : The function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex if and only if

- 1) its domain $\text{dom}(f)$ is convex and
- 2) its restriction $f|_{\text{dom}(f)}$ to its domain is convex.

Definition 1.2.7 (Strictly convex function) : A function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be **strictly convex** if it is convex and

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) < \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ with $x \neq y$ and all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition 1.2.6 : Let C be a **convex subset** of E and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a **strictly convex function** such that $C \subset \text{dom}(f)$. Let $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$f(x_0) = \max_{x \in C} f(x).$$

Then $x_0 \in \text{ext}(C)$.

Proposition 1.2.7 (Convex extension) : If $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **convex function defined on the convex set** C , it can be **extended to the convex function** $\tilde{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by setting

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C, \\ +\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2.8 (Epigraph of a function) : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

- Its **epigraph** is the subset of $E \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, t) \in E \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq t\}.$$

- The **strict epigraph** of f is defined by

$$\text{stepi}(f) = \{(x, t) \in E \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) < t\}.$$

Proposition 1.2.8 :

- The function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is **convex** if and only if $\text{epi}(f)$ is convex.
- If the function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is **strictly convex**, then $\text{stepi}(f)$ is convex.

Proposition 1.2.9 :

- Let $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of **convex functions**. Its **upper envelope** f defined by $f = \sup_{i \in I} f_i$ is a **convex function**.
- If g is the pointwise limit of a sequence $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of convex functions, then g is convex.

We may also need to consider convex functions valued in $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$. We then take the convexity property of the epigraph as the definition.

Definition 1.2.9 (Convex function valued in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$). The function $f : E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be **convex** if its epigraph

$$\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, t) \in E \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq t\}.$$

is convex.

Remark : In the case where f is valued in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, the definition of convexity coincides with the initial one. For the case where f is valued in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$, we have the following result.

Proposition 1.2.10 : A function $f : E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex if and only if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in E$ with $f(x) < +\infty$, $f(y) < +\infty$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, with the convention $-\infty + t = -\infty$, for $t < +\infty$, $a \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty$, for $a > 0$, and $0 \cdot (-\infty) = 0$.

Definition 1.2.10 (Proper convex function) : A **convex function** is said to be **proper** if it does not take the value $-\infty$, and is not identically equal to $+\infty$. If not, the function is said to be improper.

2 Chapter 2 : Structure of convex sets

2.1 Topological properties

Proposition 2.1.11 : Let C be a convex set. The adherence $\text{adh}(C)$ of C is convex.

Definition 2.1.11 (Closed convex hull) : The closed convex hull of a subset A of E is the adherence of its convex hull $\text{adh}(\text{conv}(A))$.

Proposition 2.1.12 :

- (i) $\text{adh}(\text{conv}(A))$ is the smallest closed convex set containing A .
- (ii) If A_1, \dots, A_p are convex compact subsets of E , then $\text{conv}(A_1, \dots, A_p)$ is compact.
- (iii) If A is a compact subset of E , $\text{conv}(A)$ is compact.

Proposition 2.1.13 : Let C be a convex subset of E such that $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{int}(C)$ is **convex**. Moreover, we have $\text{adh}(\text{int}(C)) = \text{adh}(C)$ and $\text{int}(\text{adh}(C)) = \text{int}(C)$.

2.2 Separation of convex sets

Theorem 2.2.2 (Projection on convex sets) : Let C be a **closed convex subset** of E and $x_0 \notin C$. There exists a unique $y_0 \in C$, called the **projection of x_0 on C** , such that

$$|x_0 - y_0| = \inf_{y \in C} |x_0 - y|.$$

The projection of x_0 on C is characterized by the following inequality

$$\langle x_0 - y_0, y - y_0 \rangle \leq 0 \quad (2.2.1)$$

for all $y \in C$.

Definition 2.2.12 (Affine Hyperplane) : An **affine hyperplane** H is a subset of E such that the set

$$H - x = \{y - x : y \in H\}$$

is a vector hyperplane of E for some $x \in E$.

From Riesz representation Theorem, we deduce that a subset H of E is an **affine hyperplane** if and only if there exist a **linear form** f and a **constant** c such that

$$H = \{x \in E : f(x) = c\}.$$

Theorem 2.2.3 (Separation of a point and a closed convex set) : Let C be a **closed convex subset** of E and $x_0 \in E$ such that $x_0 \notin C$. There exists an **affine hyperplane** H strictly separating x_0 from C . That is, there exist a linear form f and a constant c such that

$$f(x_0) > c \quad \text{and} \quad f(y) < c \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Theorem 2.2.4 (Separation of a point from an open convex set) : Let C be an **open convex subset** of E and $x_0 \notin C$. There exists an affine hyperplane H such that $x_0 \in H$ and $H \cap C = \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.2.1 (Hahn-Banach Theorem) : Let C_1 and C_2 be two nonempty convex subsets of E such that $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose that C_1 is open. There exists an affine hyperplane H strictly separating C_1 and C_2 . More precisely, there exists a linear form f on E and a constant c such that

$$f(x_1) < c \leq f(x_2)$$

for all $x_1 \in C_1$ and $x_2 \in C_2$.

Corollary 2.2.2 : Let C_1 and C_2 be two nonempty convex subsets of E such that $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. There exists an affine hyperplane H separating C_1 and C_2 . More precisely, there exists a nonzero linear form f on E and a constant c such that

$$f(x_1) \leq c \leq f(x_2)$$

for all $x_1 \in C_1$ and $x_2 \in C_2$.

Corollary 2.2.3 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex function and $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ a concave function, i.e., $-g$ convex, such that $f \geq g$ on E . Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in E$ such that $f(x_0)$ and $g(x_0)$ are finite and g is continuous at x_0 . Then, there exists an affine form h such that $f \geq h \geq g$ on E .

3 Chapter 3 : Regularity of convex functions

3.1 Convexity and continuity

Définition : Pour $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ et $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(f))$. On dit que f est **localement Lipschitz** en x s'il existe $r > 0$ et $L > 0$ tels que $B(x, r) \subset \text{dom}(f)$ et :

$$\forall y, z \in B(x, r), \quad |f(z) - f(y)| \leq L|z - y|$$

Proposition 3.1.15 (Continuity of convex functions) : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a **convex function** and $x_0 \in \text{int}(\text{dom}(f))$. If f is **upper bounded in the neighborhood of x_0** , Then f is **continuous**, and also **locally Lipschitz** in the neighborhood of x_0 .

Definition 3.1.13 (Locally Lipschitz functions) : A function f is said to be **locally Lipschitz** on $\text{int}(\text{dom}(f))$ if it is Lipschitz in the neighborhood of all points of $\text{int}(\text{dom}(f))$.

Corollary 3.1.5 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a **convex function**. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) There exists $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$ such that f is **upper bounded** at the neighborhood of x_0 .
- (ii) There exists $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$ such that f is **continuous** at x_0 .
- (iii) $\text{int}(\text{dom}(f)) \neq \emptyset$ and f is **continuous** on $\text{dom}(f)$.
- (iv) $\text{int}(\text{dom}(f)) \neq \emptyset$ and f is **locally Lipschitz** on $\text{dom}(f)$.

Corollary 3.1.6 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a **convex function**. Then, f is **continuous** and even **locally Lipschitz** on $\text{int}(\text{dom}(f))$.

3.2 Convexity and differentiability

Definition 3.2.14 (Directional derivative) : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$ and $h \in E \setminus \{0\}$. The function f is said to be **right-differentiable at x_0 in the direction h** if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

exists in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. When it exists, this limit is denoted by $f'_d(x_0, h)$ and is called the **directional derivative of f in the direction h at x_0** .

Proposition 3.2.16 : A **convex function** $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is **right-differentiable** at any $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$ in any direction h . Moreover, we have the inequality

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \geq f'_d(x_0, x - x_0) \tag{3.2.2}$$

for all $x \in E$.

Lemma 3.2.2 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a **convex function**, $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$, and $h \in E \setminus \{0\}$. The function $\Delta_{x_0, h} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$\Delta_{x_0, h}(t) = \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t}, \quad t \in (0, +\infty)$$

is **nondecreasing**.

Corollary 3.2.8 (Minimum of a convex function) : A **convex proper function** f reaches its minimum at x_0
 $\iff f'_d(x_0, h) \geq 0 \quad \forall h \in E \setminus \{0\}$

Proposition 3.2.17 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function differentiable on $\text{dom}(f)$ that is supposed to be convex.
Then

$$f \text{ convex} \iff f(y) - f(x) \geq \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \quad \forall x \in \text{dom}(f), \forall y \in E$$

Proposition 3.2.18 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function differentiable on $\text{dom}(f)$ that is supposed to be convex.
Then

$$f \text{ convex} \iff \langle \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$$

Proposition 3.2.19 (Coercivity of the gradient) : Let f be a **convex and differentiable** function from E to \mathbb{R} . We suppose that ∇f is **L -Lipschitz continuous** on E . Then

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in E$$

Proposition 3.2.20 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function differentiable on $\text{dom}(f)$. Suppose that the function $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \nabla f(x + th)$ is differentiable and

$$\left\langle \frac{\nabla f(x + th) - \nabla f(x)}{t}, h \right\rangle \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0^+]{} Q(x, h)$$

with $Q(x, h) \geq 0$ for all $h \in E$ and all $x \in \text{dom}(f)$. **Then f is convex.**

4 Chapter 4 : Optimization of differentiable functions

4.1 Optimality conditions

Framework : In this chapter we are interested in solving the problem

$$\min_{x \in K} f(x) \quad (4.1.1)$$

where $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **differentiable convex function** such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty \quad (4.1.2)$$

and K is a **closed convex subset** of E .

Theorem 4.1.5 : Under the previous assumptions, the optimisation problem (4.1.1) has **at least one solution**. If moreover f is **strictly convex** then (4.1.1) has a **unique solution**.

Theorem 4.1.6 : Suppose that f is **convex and differentiable** and K is **closed and convex**. Then, x^* is a solution of (4.1.1) if and only if

$$\langle \nabla f(x^*), v - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K$$

Lagrange multipliers : We consider the case where the set K is defined by $m \geq 1$ functions F_1, \dots, F_m from E to \mathbb{R} as follows

$$K = \{x \in E : F_i(x) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Theorem 4.1.7 : Suppose that f is **differentiable** and that the functions F_1, \dots, F_m are C^1 . Let $x^* \in E$ be a solution to (4.1.1) such that $(\nabla F_i(x^*))_{i=1}^m$ is a family of **linearly independent** vectors of E . Then there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla F_i(x^*) = 0.$$

The coefficients $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are called **Lagrange multipliers**.

We next turn to the case where the set K is defined by inequalities instead of equalities. More precisely, we suppose that K is given by

$$K = \{x \in E : F_i(x) \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (4.1.3)$$

Before stating the main result, we need to introduce the following definition.

Definition 4.1.15 (Qualified constraints) : The constraints defining K in (4.1.3) are said to be **qualified** at $x^* \in K$ if there exists $w \in E$ such that

$$\langle \nabla F_i(x^*), w \rangle < 0,$$

or

$$\langle \nabla F_i(x^*), w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and } F_i \text{ is affine}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that $F_i(x^*) = 0$.

Theorem 4.1.8 : Suppose that K is given by (4.1.3). Let $x^* \in K$ such that the functions f and $(F_i)_{i=1}^m$ are differentiable at x^* and the **constraints are qualified** at x^* . Then, if x^* is a **local minimizer** of f over K , there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ called Lagrange multipliers, such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla F_i(x^*) = 0,$$

and $\lambda_i = 0$ if $F_i(u) < 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 4.1.9 Suppose that the functions f and $(F_i)_{i=1}^m$ are **convex, continuous on E and differentiable on K** defined by (4.1.3). Let $x^* \in K$ be such that the constraints are **qualified** at x^* . Then, x^* is a global minimum of f over K if and only if there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ called Lagrange multipliers, such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla F_i(x^*) = 0,$$

and $\lambda_i = 0$ if $F_i(x^*) < 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

4.2 Gradient descent

Framework : For a convex and differentiable function f , there exist several gradient descent methods allowing to construct minimizing sequences. In this section, we shall present a classical method using the gradient ∇f of f to approximate solutions of the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in E} f(x). \quad (4.2.4)$$

- We consider $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$ called step size, $x_0 \in E$ and we define the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \gamma \nabla f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.2.5)$$

- Denote by T the map from E to E defined by

$$T(x) = x - \gamma \nabla f(x) = (I - \gamma \nabla f)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in E$$

- The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given by

$$x_{n+1} = T(x_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Without any assumption on ∇f , this algorithm may diverge, especially if γ is taken too large. However, if we suppose that ∇f is Lipschitz continuous, we are able to make this algorithm converge to a minimizer by choosing correctly γ as the following result shows.

Theorem 4.2.10 : Suppose that f is **convex differentiable** with **gradient L -Lipschitz**. Suppose also that f has a global minimum at $x^* \in E$. Then for any $x_0 \in E$, t , and any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{2}{L})$ the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by (4.2.5) converges to x^* .

Lemma 4.2.3 : Let T be a map from E to E , 1-Lipschitz continuous and admitting at least one fixed point. Let $x_0 \in E$ and $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the sequence defined by (4.2.5). If $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0$, $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Lemma 4.2.4 : Let f be a **convex differentiable function** whose gradient ∇f is L -Lipschitz continuous. For $\gamma \in (0, \frac{2}{L}]$, the map $T = \text{Id} - \gamma \nabla f$ is 1-Lipschitz continuous.

5 Chapter 5 : Duality of convex functions

5.1 Upper envelopes of affine functions

Definition 5.1.16 : An affine function $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

$$h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in E$. Equivalently, h is affine if and only if there exists $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear form such that $h = g + h(0)$.

Theorem 5.1.13 : A function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex and lsc if and only if it is the upper envelope of affine functions.

5.2 Fenchel-Moreau conjugate

Definition 5.2.17 (Conjugate function) : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Its conjugate function (in the sense of Fenchel-Moreau) is the function $f^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f^*(x^*) = \sup_{x \in E} \{\langle x^*, x \rangle - f(x)\} \quad \forall x^* \in E$$

Definition 5.2.18 (Convex lsc regularization) : The convex lsc regularization of a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the greatest lsc convex function $\hat{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ dominated by f .

Proposition 5.2.21 : The conjugate function f^* of a function f is either a convex lsc function from E to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, or identically equal to $-\infty$.

5.3 Bi-conjugate

Definition 5.3.19 : The biconjugate function of $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as the conjugate of the conjugate f^* of f and is denoted by $(f^*)^*$.

Theorem 5.3.14 (Bi-conjugate function theorem) : The bi-conjugate $(f^*)^*$ is equal to the convex lsc regularization of f if f is lower bounded by an affine function. If not, $(f^*)^*$ is identically equal to $-\infty$.

Corollary 5.3.9 : Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex proper lsc function. We then have $(f^*)^* = f$. The equality also holds if f is identically equal to $-\infty$.

6 Chapter 6 : Diffusion processes

6.1 SDEs with random coefficients

Framework : We recall in this section the basic results for stochastic differential equations

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t)dt + \sigma_t(X_t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (6.1.1)$$

Here, b and σ are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -progressively measurable functions from $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ respectively. In particular, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the processes $\{b_t(x), \sigma_t(x), t \in [0, T]\}$ are \mathcal{F} -progressively measurable.

Definition 6.1.1 : A strong solution of (6.1.1) is an \mathcal{F} -progressively measurable process X such that

$$\int_0^T (|b_t(X_t)| + |\sigma_t(X_t)|^2) dt < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_s)dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Definition :

- $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{\text{stochastic process } U \text{ such that } \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |U_s|^2 ds \right] < \infty\}$
- Let c be a positive constant. We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}_c^2}$ by

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{H}_c^2} = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{-ct} |\phi_s|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right].$$

Theorem 6.1.1 : Let X_0 be a **square integrable** random variable **independent** of W . Assume that the processes $b(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ are in \mathbb{H}^2 , and there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|b_t(x) - b_t(y)| + |\sigma_t(x) - \sigma_t(y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \text{ and } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6.1.2)$$

Then there exists a unique **strong solution** of (6.1.1) in \mathbb{H}^2 . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t|^2 \right] \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}[|X_0|^2]) \quad (6.1.3)$$

for some constant $C = C(T, L)$ depending only on T and L .

Remark : The previous result can be easily extended to any initial time $t \in [0, T]$ instead of 0. In the sequel, we shall denote by $X^{t,x} = \{X_s^{t,x}, t \leq s \leq T\}$ the process solution to

$$X_s = x + \int_t^s b_u(X_u)du + \int_t^s \sigma_u(X_u)dW_u, \quad u \in [t, T],$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 6.1.2 : Suppose that assumptions of Theorem 6.1.1 hold.

(i) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq t'} |X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t,x'}|^2 \right] \leq C e^{Ct'} |x - x'|^2$$

for $t, t' \in [0, T]$ such that $t \leq t'$ and $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) Moreover, if we have

$$B := \sup_{0 \leq s < s' \leq T} (s' - s)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \int_s^{s'} (|b_r(0)|^2 + |\sigma_r(0)|^2) dr < +\infty$$

then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t' \leq s \leq T} |X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x}|^2 \right] \leq C e^{CT} (B + |x|^2) (t' - t)$$

for $t, t' \in [0, T]$ such that $t < t'$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

6.2 Markov SDEs

Proposition 6.2.1 (Markov property) : We have

$$\mathbb{E} [\Phi(X_r^{t,x}, u \leq r \leq s) | \mathcal{F}_u] = \mathbb{E} [\Phi(X_r^{t,x}, u \leq r \leq s) | X_u^{t,x}]$$

for any $u \in [t, s]$ and any measurable bounded (or nonnegative) function

$$\Phi : C([u, s]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

6.3 Connection with PDEs

Let $X^{t,x}$ be the unique solution to the SDE

$$X_s = x + \int_t^s b_u(X_u) du + \int_t^s \sigma_u(X_u) dW_u, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad (1)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition : We next define the operator \mathcal{L} by

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(t, x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{t+h}^{t,x})] - \varphi(x)}{h}$$

for any function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{L}\varphi$ is well defined. \mathcal{L} is called the generator of the diffusion.

Remark : From Itô's formula, $\mathcal{L}\varphi$ is well defined for any φ bounded C^2 with bounded derivatives and we have

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(t, x) = b_t(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma_t(x) \sigma_t(x)^\top \nabla^2 \varphi(x))$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The generator provides a connection between diffusion processes and linear partial differential equations.

Proposition 6.3.2 : Suppose that the function v defined by

$$v(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{t,x})], \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is in $C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, v solves the partial differential equation:

$$\partial_t v + \mathcal{L}v = 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

with terminal condition

$$v(T, \cdot) = g \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Feynman-Kac representation of Cauchy problem : We consider the following linear partial differential equation called Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathcal{L}v - kv + f = 0, & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v(T, \cdot) = g, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (6.3.6)$$

with k and f two functions from $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R} . The next result provides a representation of this purely deterministic problem by means of stochastic differential equations.

Theorem 6.3.3 : Assume that the coefficients b and σ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.1.1. Assume further that the function k is uniformly lower bounded, and f has quadratic growth in x uniformly in t . Let $v \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a solution of (6.3.6) with quadratic growth in x uniformly in t . Then

$$v(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \beta_s^{t,x} f(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds + \beta_T^{t,x} g(X_T^{t,x}) \right]$$

where $X^{t,x}$ is the unique solution to (6.3.5) and

$$\beta_s^{t,x} = e^{- \int_t^s k(u, X_u^{t,x}) du}, \quad s \in [t, T],$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

7 Chapter 7 : Optimal control of diffusion processes and dynamic programming

7.1 Stochastic control problem

Definition (Set of controls) : We fix a subset A of some \mathbb{R}^p for $p \geq 1$. We suppose that A is bounded and we denote by \mathcal{A} the set of \mathcal{F} -progressive processes $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ valued in A .

Controlled diffusion process : We fix two functions $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$. We suppose that b and σ are continuous and there exists a constant L such that

$$|b(x, a) - b(x', a')| + |\sigma(x, a) - \sigma(x', a')| \leq L(|x - x'| + |a - a'|),$$

for all $(x, a), (x', a') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$. From Theorem 6.1.1, we have existence and uniqueness of the controlled process $X_s^{t,x,\alpha}$ defined by

$$X_s^{t,x,\alpha} = x + \int_t^s b(X_u^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_u) du + \int_t^s \sigma(X_u^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_u) dW_u, \quad (7.1.1)$$

for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and any control process $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 7.1.3 : For $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant C_p such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x,\alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p(1 + |x|^p), \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x,\alpha} - X_s^{t,x',\alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p|x - x'|^p, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |X_s^{t,x,\alpha} - X_{s \vee (t+h), x, \alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p h^{p/2}(1 + |x|^p), \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x,\alpha} - X_s^{t,x,\alpha'}|^p \right] &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |\alpha_s - \alpha'_s|^p ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $h \in [0, T - t]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$.

Reward Functions and Gain :

- We fix two **reward functions** $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- We assume that f and g are **locally Lipschitz continuous**, that is, for any $N > 0$, there exists a constant L_N such that

$$|f(x, a) - f(x', a')| + |g(x) - g(x')| \leq L_N(|x - x'| + |a - a'|),$$

for any $(x, a), (x', a') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$ such that $|x| \leq N$ and $|x'| \leq N$.

- We also assume that f and g have **polynomial growth**, that is, there exist a constant C and an integer p such that

$$|f(x, a)| + |g(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p),$$

for all $(x, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$.

- We next define the **gain functional** $J : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J(t, x, \alpha) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^T f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right],$$

for $(t, x, \alpha) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{A}$.

- We observe that $J(t, x, \alpha)$ is well-defined under the polynomial growth assumption from Proposition 7.1.3.
- We now define the **value function** v of the considered stochastic control problem by

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t} J(t, x, \alpha). \quad (7.1.2)$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathcal{A}_t = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \alpha \text{ independent of } \mathcal{F}_t\}$, $t \in [0, T]$.

7.2 Dynamic programming principle

Proposition 7.2.4 : For a compact set $\Theta \subset [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a real map $\lambda_\Theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lambda_\Theta(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and

$$|J(t, x, \alpha) - J(s, y, \alpha)| \leq \lambda_\Theta(|t - s| + |x - y|) \quad (7.2.3)$$

for all $(t, x), (s, y) \in \Theta$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. The value function v is locally uniformly continuous and has polynomial growth.

Theorem 7.2.4 (Dynamic programming principle) : The value function v satisfies

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[v(\theta^\alpha, X_{\theta^\alpha}^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^{\theta^\alpha} f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right]$$

for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and any family of stopping times $\{\theta^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ valued in $[t, T]$.

7.3 Dynamic programming equation

We prove in this section that, if v is smooth enough, it solves a PDE called the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation.

- More precisely, define the second-order local operator \mathcal{L}^a , for $a \in A$, by

$$\mathcal{L}^a \varphi(t, x) = \partial_t \varphi(t, x) + b(x, a) \cdot \nabla \varphi(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma(x, a) \sigma^\top(x, a) \nabla^2 \varphi(t, x))$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

- Then, the HJB equation takes the following form:

$$\sup_{a \in A} \left\{ \mathcal{L}^a v(t, x) + f(x, a) \right\} = 0, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad (7.3.6)$$

together with the terminal condition:

$$v(T, x) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7.3.7)$$

- To simplify notations, we denote by \mathcal{H} the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{H} \varphi(t, x) = \sup_{a \in A} \left\{ \mathcal{L}^a \varphi(t, x) + f(x, a) \right\}$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 7.3.5 : Suppose that $v \in C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, v is a solution to (7.3.6)-(7.3.7).