

# Stochastic modelling and derivatives

## – Exercises –

## M2 Probability and finance

Tutorials 1 to 3

## 1 Notations

We define:

- ▷ The call price in Black-Scholes model:

$$\text{Call}^{\text{BS}}(t, T, S, K, \sigma, r, q) = S e^{-q(T-t)} N(d_+) - K e^{-r(T-t)} N(d_-),$$

where

$$d_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \ln \left( \frac{S e^{(r-q)(T-t)}}{K} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sqrt{T-t}$$

and

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) du.$$

- ▷  $B(t, T)$  is the price in  $t \leq T$  of a zero-coupon bond, paying 1€ in  $T$ .
- ▷  $F_t(\phi_T, T)$  is the forward price at time  $t$  (and paid in  $T$ ) for the cashflow  $\phi_T$  delivered at  $T$ .

In what follows, easier course-related exercises are in blue and other problems are in black.

## 2 Exercises

**Exercise 1.** [Convexity] Prove that, under the no-arbitrage assumption, the European call price is a convex function of the strike.

**Exercise 2.** [Arbitrage] The aim of this exercise is to detect arbitrage opportunities. A trainee presents his new program to compute European call and put prices. We assume that the considered asset does not provide dividends. Assume that the price of the asset is  $S_0 = 100\text{€}$  today with  $r = 1\%$ ,  $T = 1$  (1 year). The program gives the following outputs for call and put prices with different strikes:

If these prices were market prices, provide

Option	Call $K = 95\text{€}$	Call $K = 100\text{€}$	Call $K = 105\text{€}$	Put $K = 95\text{€}$	Put $K = 100\text{€}$	Put $K = 105\text{€}$
Price	11€	9.5€	7.27€	6.95€	10.51€	9.83€

- ▷ arbitrage opportunities between call options,
- ▷ arbitrage opportunities between put options,
- ▷ arbitrage opportunities between call and put options.

**Exercise 3.** [Payoff and strategies] For each strategy below, write and draw (including the premium) the payoff at maturity. Note that all the options used in these strategies have the same maturity. What is the financial purpose of each of these strategies?

1. **Straddle:** Long 1 Call and long 1 Put, with same strike.
2. **Strip:** Long 1 Call and long 2 Puts, with same strike.
3. **Strap:** Long 2 Calls and long 1 Put, with same strike.
4. **Butterfly:** Long 1 Call of strike  $K - \delta K$ , long 1 Call of strike  $K + \delta K$ , short 2 Calls of strike  $K$ .
5. **Strangle:** Long 1 Call of strike  $K_C$  and long 1 Put of strike  $K_P$ , with, usually (but not necessarily),  $K_P < K_C$ .
6. **Condor:** Long 1 Call of strike  $K_1$ , short 1 Call of strike  $K_2 = K_1 + \delta K > K_1$ , short 1 Call of strike  $K_3 > K_2$ , and long 1 Call of strike  $K_4 = K_3 + \delta K$
7. **Bull call spread:** Long 1 Call of strike  $K_1$  and short 1 Call of strike  $K_2 > K_1$ .
8. **Bull put spread:** Long 1 Put of strike  $K_1$  and short 1 Put of strike  $K_2 > K_1$ .

**Exercise 4.** [Discrete time market] We consider a market with two periods (3 times  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2 = T$ ) with:

- ▷ a risky asset denoted by  $S$  with price  $S \times u$  or  $S \times d$  after one period (with probability  $p$  and  $1 - p$  respectively), by assuming that  $0 < d < 1 + r < u$  where  $r$  is the interest rate on one period,
- ▷ a call option with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T = t_2$ .

Questions:

1. Assume that  $S_0 = 4\text{€}$  at time  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $u = 2$ ,  $d = 1/2$ ,  $r = 0.25$ ,  $K = 5\text{€}$ , compute
  - ▷ the price  $V_{t_1}$  and the hedging strategy  $\delta_{t_1}$ , in the two possible states at time  $t_1$  (that is if  $S_{t_1} = S_0u$  and if  $S_{t_1} = S_0d$ ),
  - ▷ the price  $V_0$  and the hedging  $\delta_0$ .
2. Same questions with a put option.

3. Check the call/put parity at time  $t = t_0$  and  $t = t_1$ .

**Exercise 5.** [Convergence of the binomial model towards Black-Scholes model] We are given a financial market comprising a risk-free asset of price  $R$ , equal to 1 in  $t = 0$ , and a risky asset of price  $S$ .

We discretize the time interval  $[0, T]$  in  $n$  smaller intervals  $[t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]$ , with  $t_i^n = iT/n$ , in order to build a  $n$ -period binomial tree. We note  $r_n$  the interest rate of the risk-free asset, the value of this asset at the time  $t_i^n$  being

$$R_{t_i^n}^n = (1 + r_n)^i.$$

We note  $X_i^n$  the quantity equal to 1 plus the price return of the risky asset between times  $t_{i-1}^n$  and  $t_i^n$ . We then have, under the historical probability,

$$\mathbb{P}^n(X_i^n = u_n) = p_n = 1 - \mathbb{P}^n(X_i^n = d_n).$$

The random variables  $X_1^n, \dots, X_n^n$  are independent to each other. We base the quantities  $r_n, d_n$ , and  $u_n$  on the parameters  $r$  and  $\sigma$ :

$$r_n = \frac{rT}{n}, \quad d_n = \left(1 + \frac{rT}{n}\right) e^{-\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}, \quad \text{and } u_n = \left(1 + \frac{rT}{n}\right) e^{\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}.$$

1. Draw the tree representing the evolution of the risky asset.
2. What is the limit of  $R_T^n$  when  $n$  tends to infinity?
3. Is the market consistent with the no-arbitrage assumption?
4. Give an expression for  $S_{t_i^n}^n$  using  $S_0$  and  $(X_1^n, \dots, X_i^n)$ .
5. Give the dynamic of the process  $X^n$  under the risk-neutral probability  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . We then note  $q_n = \mathbb{Q}^n(X_i^n = u_n)$ .
6. Show that

$$q_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}, \quad n\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^n}[\ln(X_1^n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T, \quad \text{and } n\mathbb{V}\text{ar}_{\mathbb{Q}^n}[\ln(X_1^n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^2 T.$$

7. Using characteristic functions, prove the following convergence in distribution:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i^n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left[\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T, \sigma^2 T\right].$$

8. Deduce that

$$S_T^n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} S_0 e^{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T + \sigma W_T},$$

with  $W_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, T)$ .

9. Write the price of a put of strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$  in the  $n$ -period binomial model, as the expected value of some variable.

10. Deduce that the price of the put converges toward

$$P_0 = K e^{-rT} N(-d_-) - S_0 N(-d_+),$$

when  $n$  tend to infinity.

11. Conclude that the call price tends toward

$$C_0 = S_0 N(d_+) - K e^{-rT} N(d_-).$$

**Exercise 6.** [Lookback option with a binomial tree] We consider a market with two periods (3 times  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2 = T$ ) with:

- ▷ a risky asset denoted by  $S$  with price  $S \times u$  or  $S \times d$  after one period, with  $u = 1.1$ ,  $d = 0.95$ ,  $S_{t_0} = 100$ , and  $r = 0.05$  is the interest rate on one period,
- ▷ a European call option with strike  $K_E = 105$  and maturity  $T$ .
- ▷ a lookback option of strike  $K_L = 100$ , maturity  $T$ , and whose payoff is  $(\sup_{t \leq T} S_t - K_L)_+$ .

Questions:

1. Draw the tree representing the evolution of the risky asset.
2. Describe  $\Omega$ .
3. What is the risk-neutral probability in this binomial tree?
4. What is the price of the European call in this model?
5. What is the price of the lookback option in this model?

**Exercise 7.** [Carr formula] We assume we have access to call and put options of maturity  $T$  and strike  $K$ , whatever  $K \geq 0$ . We want to use these options to replicate a derivative of payoff  $\psi(S_T)$ , where  $\psi$  is any regular function.

1. Prove the Carr formula, that is that the cash price (which is the price paid today, “*prix au comptant*”, as opposed to the forward price) at time  $t$  of the payoff  $\psi(S_T)$ , which we note  $C_t(\psi(S_T), T)$ , follows, under the no-arbitrage assumption:

$$C_t(\psi(S_T), T) = \psi(F_t(S_T, T))B(t, T) + \int_{F_t(S_T, T)}^{+\infty} \psi''(K)\text{Call}_t(T, K)dK + \int_0^{F_t(S_T, T)} \psi''(K)\text{Put}_t(T, K)dK$$

2. Give a static hedging strategy for the following payoff, by using infinitely many calls and puts:

- ▷  $\psi_T = (S_T)^p$  (power underlying) for some  $p > 0$ ,
- ▷  $\psi_T = ((S_T)^p - K)_+$  (call power) for some  $p > 0$ .

**Exercise 8.** [Trinomial model] We consider a discrete-time market, with a bond whose price is multiplied by  $(1 + r)$  at each step, as well as a risky security of price  $S_n$ . This price is led by the stochastic process  $h_n$ :  $h_1, \dots, h_N$  are  $N$  i.i.d. random variables such that

$$h_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } p_1 \\ 2 & \text{with probability } p_2 \\ 3 & \text{with probability } p_3 = 1 - p_1 - p_2, \end{cases}$$

with probabilities different from zero. The price  $S_n$  is defined by  $S_n = S_{n-1}(1 + \mu(h_n))$ , with

$$1 + \mu(h_n) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } h = 1 \\ m & \text{if } h = 2 \\ d & \text{if } h = 3, \end{cases}$$

and  $0 < d < m < u$ .

1. Draw the trinomial tree.
2. Studying the martingale condition, show that this market is incomplete.
3. Alternatively, show there is no replicating strategy for a given derivative defined by its random payoff  $X$ . This will show again that the market is incomplete.
4. Show, following two distinct methods, that adding a second asset  $S^2$  defined in a similar way as  $S$  ( $S_n^2 = S_{n-1}^2(1 + \mu^2(h_n))$ ), but with a different and well-chosen  $\mu^2$ ) is enough to make the market complete.

**Exercise 9.** [Asian options] We consider three kinds of derivatives:

- ▷ European calls  $C_t$  and puts  $P_t$  of strike  $K$ ,
- ▷ Asian calls  $\bar{C}_t$  and puts  $\bar{P}_t$  of strike  $K$ , whose payoff is  $(\bar{S} - K)_+$  or  $(K - \bar{S})_+$ , with

$$\bar{S} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{t_i},$$

for  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < T$ ,

- ▷ exotic European calls  $\hat{C}_t$  and puts  $\hat{P}_t$  with “average strike”  $\bar{S}$ , that is of payoff  $(S_T - \bar{S})_+$  or  $(\bar{S} - S_T)_+$ .

All these options have the same underlying and same maturity. Find a relation between the six option prices at time 0.

**Exercise 10.** In Black-Scholes model, what boundary conditions are satisfied when  $S \rightarrow 0$ ,  $S \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ?

**Exercise 11.** Suppose two assets in a Black-Scholes world have the same volatility but different drifts. How will the price of the call options on them compare?

Then suppose one of the assets undergoes downward jumps at random times. How will this affect option prices?

**Exercise 12.** Starting from the expression of the call price in the Black-Scholes model,  $\text{Call}^{\text{BS}}(0, T, S_0, K, \sigma, r) = S_0 N(d_+) - K e^{-rT} N(d_-)$ , prove:

1. the key relation  $x \exp\left(\frac{-d_+^2(x,y)}{2}\right) = y \exp\left(\frac{-d_-^2(x,y)}{2}\right)$ , for  $x, y > 0$  and  $d_{\pm}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sqrt{T}$ ;

2. that the delta of the call is  $N(d_+)$ ;
3. that the delta of the put is  $N(d_+) - 1$ .

**Exercise 13.** [Option on a futures contract] We consider a futures contract on an underlying  $S$  and maturity  $T$ . The future price at time  $t$  is  $F_t(S, T)$ . In Black-Scholes framework, what is the price of a call of maturity  $\tau < T$ , on this futures.

**Exercise 14.** [Binary options] Assume that the interest rate has a constant value  $r$ . The value of a stock follows the dynamic

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t), \quad S_0 = x_0.$$

The stock does not pay dividends. A binary option pays out 1€ if the stock price is greater than or equal to the strike  $K$  at maturity  $T$ , and 0 otherwise.

1. What is the price of this binary option in Black-Scholes model?
2. What is its delta? In particular, what happens to the number of stocks in the portfolio as the time to maturity goes to zero while the option stays at the money?
3. Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, K)$ . For a given maturity  $T > 0$ , consider a static portfolio in which the holder has longed  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  calls with strike  $K - \varepsilon$  and shorted  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  calls with strike  $K$  at time 0. Prove that this is a *superhedging* portfolio for the binary option, i.e. the value of the portfolio at maturity *exceeds* the payoff of the binary.

What is the interest of such static strategy compared to the dynamic one?

**Exercise 15.** [Power option] We are given a derivative of payoff  $h(S_t) = S_T^n$ . Show that the Black-Scholes price is of the form  $v(t, x) = \phi(t, T)x^n$ , with the expression of  $\phi(t, T)$  to be found, following the two following distinct methods:

1. the risk-neutral pricing rule;
2. starting from Black-Scholes PDE, find the ODE in  $\phi$  and solve it.

**Exercise 16.** [Forward-start call option] We study a variant of call option in which the strike is fixed in the future. We discuss how to price and hedge the contract.

Assume that the interest rate has a constant value  $r$ . A forward start call with maturity  $T$  and parameter  $\theta \in (0, T)$  is an option that pays out  $(S_T - S_\theta)_+$  at time  $T$ , where  $S$  is the price of the underlying following the Black-Scholes model.

1. What is the value of the option in the time interval  $[\theta, T]$ ?
2. What is the value of the option in the time interval  $[0, \theta]$ ?
3. Compute the Delta and the Gamma of this option.
4. Describe the hedging strategy on  $[0, T]$ .

**Exercise 17.** [Chooser option] A chooser option is a derivative providing its holder with the right to choose at a future date  $\tau$  either a call or a put of maturity  $T > \tau$  and strike  $K$ . We note  $C_t(K, T)$  (respectively  $P_t(K, T)$ ) the price of a call (resp. put) at time  $t$  and of strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$ . We assume the underlying stock doesn't pay any dividend.

1. What is the payoff of a chooser option?
2. Show that the no-arbitrage price of the chooser option, at time  $t = 0$  is

$$\Pi_0 = C_0(K, T) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [e^{-rT} (K - S_T) \mathbb{1}_{C_{\tau}(K, T) < P_{\tau}(K, T)}].$$

3. Prove that this price may also write  $\Pi_0 = C_0(K, T) + P_0(K e^{-r(T-\tau)}, \tau) = P_0(K, T) + C_0(K e^{-r(T-\tau)}, \tau)$ .
4. Using a replication point of view, interpret the value of this derivative at time  $\tau$ .

**Exercise 18.** [Another proof of Black-Scholes formula] Starting from the expression of the call price  $\text{Call}^{\text{BS}}(0, T, S_0, K, \sigma, r) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT} (S_T - K)^+]$ , and *using Girsanov's theorem*, prove the Black-Scholes formula:  $\text{Call}^{\text{BS}}(0, T, S_0, K, \sigma, r) = S_0 N(d_+) - K e^{-rT} N(d_-)$ .

**Exercise 19.** [Option of exchanging two assets] We consider the option providing its holder with the right of exchanging a risky asset  $S^2$  with another risky asset  $S^1$ , at a given maturity  $T$ . We assume a zero risk-free rate.

1. Write the payoff of this option.
2. Show that the Black-Scholes price of this option is

$$C_0 = S_0^1 \mathbb{Q}^{S^1}(S_T^1 > S_T^2) - S_0^2 \mathbb{Q}^{S^2}(S_T^1 > S_T^2).$$

3. We assume the following dynamic for the price of the risky asset:

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = S_t^1 \sigma_1 dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = S_t^2 \sigma_2 dW_t^2, \end{cases}$$

where  $W^1$  and  $W^2$  are two Brownian motions in  $\mathbb{Q}$  with correlation  $\rho$ . Show that

$$C_0 = S_0^1 N(d_1) - S_0^2 N(d_2),$$

where  $d_1 = [\ln(S_0^1/S_0^2) + T\sigma^2/2]/\sigma\sqrt{T}$ ,  $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}$ , and  $\sigma$  a parameter to be detailed.

4. What is the price of the option paying the maximum of two risky assets at a given maturity.
5. Same question for the minimum instead of the maximum.

**Exercise 20.** [Option based on a product] We consider two assets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  whose dynamic is given, under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by the Black-Scholes models :

$$dS_t^i = S_t^i (rdt + \sigma_i dW_t^i),$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $W^1$  and  $W^2$  are correlated Brownian motions under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with correlation  $\rho$ .

1. Prove that

$$\sqrt{S_T^1 S_T^2} = S_0 \exp \left( \left( r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} T \right) + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z \right),$$

with  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $S_0$  and  $\sigma$  constants to be detailed.

2. For  $K > 0$  and using Black-Scholes approach, determine the price of the option of payoff  $(\sqrt{S_T^1 S_T^2} - K)_+$  at maturity  $T$ .

**Exercise 21.** [Barrier options] A barrier option pays a payoff equal to:

- ▷ in the Up and In case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \geq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Up and Out case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \leq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Down and In case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \leq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Down and Out case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \geq B}$ .

If  $f(z) = 0$  for  $z$  at the barrier or beyond, the option is said regular and it is said reverse otherwise. We write the price of a barrier option, for example for an Up and In option,  $\text{UI}_t(S_t, B, f(S_T), T)$ . In addition to these derivatives, we introduce the corresponding European option, whose price is  $\text{EUR}_t(S_t, f(S_T), T)$ .

1. Show that, in the Black-Scholes model, we have

$$\text{EUR}_t(S_t, f(S_T), T) = \text{EUR}_t \left( S_t, \left( \frac{S_T}{S_t} \right)^\gamma f \left( \frac{S_t^2}{S_T} \right), T \right),$$

with  $\gamma = 1 - 2r/\sigma^2$ .

2. Considering  $\tau_B$ , the first hitting time of the barrier, propose a dynamic replicating strategy of an Up and In regular barrier option and deduce its price.
3. What is the price of an Up and Out regular barrier option?
4. Propose a static replication of an Up and In regular barrier put option using a combination of European calls and puts.

**Exercise 22.** [Quanto option] A quanto option is an option on an asset in a foreign currency with a strike in the same foreign currency, and whose pay-off is converted in the domestic currency with an exchange rate  $\bar{X}$  fixed by the contract and supposed here equal to 1. The pay-off thus writes  $\bar{X}(S_T - K)_+$ . The dynamic of the foreign underlying  $S_t$  and of the FX rate  $X_t$  (value in domestic currency of one unit of foreign currency) is, under historical probability  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = S_t \mu dt + S_t \sigma d\widehat{W}_t \\ dX_t = X_t \mu^X dt + X_t \sigma^X d\widehat{W}_t^X, \end{cases}$$

with  $\widehat{W}_t$  and  $\widehat{W}_t^X$  correlated Brownian motions, of correlation  $\rho$ . The domestic and foreign risk-free rates are  $r$  and  $r^f$ .

1. Prove that, for a 2-dimensional Gaussian vector  $(U, V)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{U+V}] = \mathbb{E}[e^U] \mathbb{E}[e^V] e^{\text{Cov}(U, V)}.$$

2. Show that the value of the portfolio  $F_t$  replicating in domestic currency the value of the foreign asset at maturity  $T$  is

$$F_t = S_t \exp((r^f - r - \rho\sigma^X\sigma)(T - t)).$$

3. What is the price of the quanto option?

**Exercise 19.** [Option of exchanging two assets] We consider the option providing its holder with the right of exchanging a risky asset  $S^2$  with another risky asset  $S^1$ , at a given maturity  $T$ . We assume a zero risk-free rate.

1. Write the payoff of this option.

2. Show that the Black-Scholes price of this option is

$$C_0 = S_0^1 \mathbb{Q}^{S^1}(S_T^1 > S_T^2) - S_0^2 \mathbb{Q}^{S^2}(S_T^1 > S_T^2).$$

3. We assume the following dynamic for the price of the risky asset:

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = S_t^1 \sigma_1 dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = S_t^2 \sigma_2 dW_t^2, \end{cases}$$

where  $W^1$  and  $W^2$  are two Brownian motions in  $\mathbb{Q}$  with correlation  $\rho$ . Show that

$$C_0 = S_0^1 N(d_1) - S_0^2 N(d_2),$$

where  $d_1 = [\ln(S_0^1/S_0^2) + T\sigma^2/2]/\sigma\sqrt{T}$ ,  $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}$ , and  $\sigma$  a parameter to be detailed.

4. What is the price of the option paying the maximum of two risky assets at a given maturity.

5. Same question for the minimum instead of the maximum.

## Exercice 19:

1) Payoff :  $(S_T^1 - S_T^2)_+$

$$2) \frac{dQ^{S^2}}{dQ} = \frac{S_T^2}{S_0^2}$$

Mon cashflow carbone mal

$$C_0 = \mathbb{E}_Q^S [(S_T^1 - S_T^2)_+]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_Q^S [(S_T^1 - S_T^2)_+ \frac{S_0^2}{S_T^2}]$$

$$= S_0^2 \mathbb{E}_Q^S [\frac{S_T^1}{S_T^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T^1 > S_T^2\}}] - S_0^2 \mathbb{E}_Q^S [\mathbf{1}_{\{S_T^1 > S_T^2\}}]$$

$$\frac{dQ^{S^1}}{dQ^{S^2}} = \frac{S_T^1}{S_0} \frac{S_0^2}{S_T^2}$$

$$\rightarrow C_0 = S_0^2 \mathbb{E}_Q^S [\frac{S_T^1}{S_T^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T^1 > S_T^2\}}] - S_0^2 Q^{S^2}(S_T^1 > S_T^2)$$

$$= S_0^1 Q^{S^1}(S_T^1 > S_T^2) - S_0^2 Q^{S^2}(S_T^1 > S_T^2)$$

3)  $I_r = \frac{S_r}{S_t}$  Déterminons la loi de  $I_r$ .

Par le lemme d'Ito :

$$dI_r = \frac{dS_r^2}{S_r^2} + S_r^2 d(\frac{1}{S_r}) + d(S^2, \frac{1}{S_r})$$

$$d(\frac{1}{S_r}) = -\frac{dS_r}{(S_r)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{(S_r)^3} d(S_r) = -\frac{1}{S_r} dW_r' - \frac{\sigma^2}{S_r^2} dt$$

$$\Rightarrow dI_r = I_r (\sigma_2 dW_r' - \sigma_1 dW_r + (\sigma_1^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2) dt)$$

$$d(S^2, \frac{1}{S_r}) = (S_r^2, \sigma_2) (-\frac{\sigma_1}{S_r}) d\langle W^2, W' \rangle = -\frac{S_r^2}{S_r} \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 dt$$

$\rightarrow$  On a la dynamique de  $I_r$  sous  $Q$ .

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Sous } Q^{S^1}: \frac{dQ^{S^1}}{dQ} = \frac{S_r^1}{S_0^1} \text{ avec } S_r^1 = e^{\sigma_1 W_r' + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} t}$$

$$\text{Girsanov } \tilde{W}_r^1 = W_r^1 - \sigma_1 t$$

$$\tilde{W}_r^1 = W_r^1 - \underbrace{\langle W_r^2, \frac{S_r^1}{S_0^1} \rangle}_{\text{avoir}} = W_r^1 - \rho \sigma_1 t \quad \parallel \text{MB sous } Q^{S^1}.$$

Sous  $Q^{S^2}$ :

$$dI_r = I_r (\sigma_2 d\tilde{W}_r^2 - \sigma_1 d\tilde{W}_r^1)$$

$$\text{Résultat: } I_r = I_0 \exp(-\frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} T + \sigma_2 \tilde{W}_T^2 - \sigma_1 \tilde{W}_T^1)$$

avec  $\sigma$  à déterminer

$$\text{Ito donne: } dI_r = I_r \sigma_2 d\tilde{W}_r^2 - I_r \sigma_1 d\tilde{W}_r^1 - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} I_r dT + I_r \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} d\langle \tilde{W}_r^2 \rangle + I_r \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} d\langle \tilde{W}_r^1 \rangle - \frac{2}{2} I_r \sigma_1 \sigma_2 d\langle \tilde{W}_r^1, \tilde{W}_r^2 \rangle$$

$$\text{Donc } \sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

$$\textcircled{2} Q^{S^1}(S_T^1 > S_T^2) = Q^{S^1}(I_T < 1)$$

$$= Q^{S^1} (\underbrace{\sigma_2 \tilde{W}_T^2 - \sigma_1 \tilde{W}_T^1}_{N(0, \sigma^2 T)} < h(\frac{1}{I_0}, \frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}))$$

$$= N(d_1)$$

© Théo Jalabert  
Changement de numéraire

Si  $\mathbb{E}[Q^{S^1}] = 1$  et  $\frac{S_r}{S_0} \geq 0$

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{E}^{Q^S}[1_A] = \mathbb{E}^Q[1_A \frac{S_r}{S_0}]$$

$$\frac{dQ^S}{dQ} = \frac{S_r}{S_0}$$

Girsanov:

Si  $\frac{dQ^S}{dQ} = Z_r$  avec  $Z_r = \exp(\sigma_1 W_r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 r^2)$

$$\tilde{W}_r = W_r - \sigma_1 r \quad \text{MB sous } Q^S$$

$$\tilde{B}_r = B_r - \langle B, \sigma_1 W_r \rangle, \quad \text{aussi}$$

4) Payoff =  $\max(S_T^1, S_T^2) = S_T^2 + (S_T^1 - S_T^2)_+$

Prix =  $S_0^1 N(d_1) + S_0^2 \frac{(1 - N(d_2))}{N(d_1)}$

5)  $\min(S_T^1, S_T^2) = S_T^1 - (S_T^1 - S_T^2)_+$

Prix =  $S_0^1 N(d_1) - S_0^2 N(d_2)$

Exercise 21. [Barrier options] A barrier option pays a payoff equal to:

- ▷ in the Up and In case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \geq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Up and Out case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \leq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Down and In case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \leq B}$ ,
- ▷ in the Down and Out case:  $h(S_T, B) = f(S_t) \mathbb{1}_{\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} S_t \geq B}$ .

If  $f(z) = 0$  for  $z$  at the barrier or beyond, the option is said regular and it is said reverse otherwise. We write the price of a barrier option, for example for an Up and In option,  $UI_t(S_t, B, f(S_T), T)$ . In addition to these derivatives, we introduce the corresponding European option, whose price is  $EUR_t(S_t, f(S_T), T)$ .

1. Show that, in the Black-Scholes model, we have

$$EUR_t(S_t, f(S_T), T) = EUR_t \left( S_t, \left( \frac{S_T}{S_t} \right)^\gamma f \left( \frac{S_T^2}{S_t} \right), T \right),$$

with  $\gamma = 1 - 2r/\sigma^2$ .

2. Considering  $\tau_B$ , the first hitting time of the barrier, propose a dynamic replicating strategy of an Up and In regular barrier option and deduce its price.

3. What is the price of an Up and Out regular barrier option?

4. Propose a static replication of an Up and In regular barrier put option using a combination of European calls and puts.

## Exercice 21:

1) Payoff  $f(S_T) \rightarrow \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right)^\gamma f\left(\frac{S_T^2}{S_t}\right)$

$$\textcircled{*} \quad \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right)^\gamma = \exp \underbrace{\gamma \ln(T-t)}_{= -\frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2}} - \underbrace{\gamma \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}_{\gamma \sigma^2} + \gamma \sigma (W_T - W_t)$$

Car  $\gamma = 1 - \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}$

Dans  $E^Q \left[ \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right)^\gamma \right] = 1$

$$\frac{d\widehat{Q}}{dQ} = \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right)^\gamma$$

Girsanov:  $\tilde{W}_t = W_t - \gamma t$  est un MB sous  $\widehat{Q}$

2) Dynamique de  $\frac{S_T^2}{S_t}$  sous  $\widehat{Q}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_T^2}{S_t} &= S_t \exp \left( -\left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right)(T-t) - \gamma t (W_T - W_t) \right) \\ &= S_t \exp \left( \left( -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \gamma \right)(T-t) - \gamma (W_T - \tilde{W}_t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Sous  $\widehat{Q}$ ,  $\frac{S_T^2}{S_t}$  a une distribution que  $S_t$  sous  $Q$

$$S_T = S_t e^{\frac{(\sigma^2 - \gamma^2)}{2}(T-t) + \gamma(W_T - \tilde{W}_t)}$$

$$(\frac{\sigma^2 - \gamma^2}{2})(T-t) + \gamma(W_T - \tilde{W}_t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} \quad EUR_t(S_t, f(S_T), T) &= E^Q_r \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} f(S_T) \right] \\ &= E^Q_r \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} f\left(\frac{S_T^2}{S_t}\right) \right] \text{ car } \frac{S_T^2}{S_t} \sim S_t \text{ sous } Q \end{aligned}$$

$$= E^Q_r \left[ e^{-r(T-t)} \left( \frac{S_T}{S_t} \right)^\gamma f\left(\frac{S_T^2}{S_t}\right) \right] \text{ par changement de numéraire}$$

3)  $f(z) = 0$  si  $z \geq B$ .

Stratégie

a) Si  $\tau_B \leq t$  (Barrière atteinte)

$\rightarrow$  opt<sup>e</sup> européen de payoff  $f(S_T)$

b) Si  $t < \tau_B$ ,  $\rightarrow$  option europ. de payoff  $\left(\frac{S_T}{B}\right)^\gamma f\left(\frac{S_T^2}{B}\right)$

Eneffet:

- Si barrière jamais atteinte ( $\tau_B > T$ ), payoff mul car  $Z = \frac{B^2}{S_T} \geq B$

- Si barrière atteint ( $\tau_B \in ]t, T]$ )

$$\text{Prf}_{\tau_B}^b \rightarrow \text{EUR}_{\tau_B}(B, (\frac{S_t}{B})^{\delta} f(\frac{B^2}{S_t}), T)$$

$$\text{Prf}_{\tau_B}^a \rightarrow \text{EUR}_{\tau_B}(B, f(S_t), T) \text{ donc par Q1, } \text{Prf}_{\tau_B}^b = \text{Prf}_{\tau_B}^a$$

④ Prix opt°: valeur pf de replicat°

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pour } b \in \mathcal{I}_B, \text{ UI}_r(S_r, B, f(S_r), T) &= \text{EUR}_r(S_r, (\frac{S_r}{B})^{\delta} f(\frac{B^2}{S_r}), T) \\ &= [E_r^a [e^{-r(T-t)} (\frac{S_r}{B})^{\delta} f(\frac{B^2}{S_r})]] \\ &= (\frac{S_r}{B})^{\delta} [E_r^a [e^{-r(T-t)} \underbrace{f(\frac{B^2}{S_r})}_{F_{\text{mes}}^a}]] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Dac } \text{UI}_r = \text{EUR}_r(S_r, f(\frac{B^2}{S_r} S_r), T) \times (\frac{S_r}{B})^{\delta}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{UI}_r = \text{EUR}_r(S_r, f(S_r), T)$$