

Chapter 7

Optimal control of diffusion processes and dynamic programming

7.1 Stochastic control problem

To define the control problem, we introduce the following elements.

Set of controls We fix a subset A of some \mathbb{R}^p for $p \geq 1$. We suppose that A is bounded and we denote by \mathcal{A} the set of \mathbb{F} -progressive processes $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ valued in A .

Controlled diffusion process We fix two functions $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$. We suppose that b and σ are continuous and there exists a constant L such that

$$|b(x, a) - b(x', a')| + |\sigma(x, a) - \sigma(x', a')| \leq L(|x - x'| + |a - a'|),$$

for all $(x, a), (x', a') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$. From Theorem 6.1.1, we have existence and uniqueness of the controlled process $X^{t,x,\alpha}$ defined by

$$X_s^{t,x,\alpha} = x + \int_t^s b(X_u^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_u) du + \int_t^s \sigma(X_u^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_u) dW_u \quad (7.1.1)$$

for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and any control process $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Following the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.1.2, we get the following result.

Proposition 7.1.3 *For $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant C_p such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t, x, \alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p (1 + |x|^p), \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_s^{t, x, \alpha} - X_s^{t, x', \alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p |x - x'|^p, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |X_s^{t, x, \alpha} - X_{s \vee (t+h)}^{t+h, x, \alpha}|^p \right] &\leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}} (1 + |x|^p), \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_s^{t, x, \alpha} - X_s^{t, x, \alpha'}|^p \right] &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |\alpha_s - \alpha'_s|^p ds \right],\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $h \in [0, T-t]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$.

Reward functions and gain We fix two reward functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We assume that f and g are locally Lipschitz continuous, that is, for any $N > 0$, there exists a constant L_N such that

$$|f(x, a) - f(x', a')| + |g(x) - g(x')| \leq L_N (|x - x'| + |a - a'|)$$

for any $(x, a), (x', a') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$ such that $|x| \leq N$ and $|x'| \leq N$. We also assume that f and g have a polynomial growth, that is, there exist a constant C and an integer p such that

$$|f(x, a)| + |g(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p)$$

for all $(x, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$. We next define the gain functional $J : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J(t, x, \alpha) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^{t, x, \alpha}) + \int_t^T f(X_s^{t, x, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right]$$

for $(t, x, \alpha) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{A}$. We observe that $J(t, x, \alpha)$ is well defined under the polynomial growth assumption from Proposition 7.1.3.

We now define the value function v of the considered stochastic control problem by

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t} J(t, x, \alpha) \tag{7.1.2}$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ where

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \alpha \text{ independent of } \mathcal{F}_t\}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Our goal is to characterize the function v in terms of a partial differential equation called the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation.

Remark 7.1.1 *It can be proved that v satisfies*

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} J(t, x, \alpha)$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, as the reward $J(t, x, .)$ involves only randomness independent of \mathcal{F}_t , one can show by a conditioning property that a control in \mathcal{A} provides the same reward as a control in \mathcal{A}_t . This property is admitted.

7.2 Dynamic programming principle

To state the dynamic programming principle, we first provide a regularity result on the function v .

Proposition 7.2.4 *For a compact set $\Theta \subset [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a real map $\lambda_\Theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lambda_\Theta(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$|J(t, x, \alpha) - J(s, y, \alpha)| \leq \lambda_\Theta(|t - s| + |x - y|) \quad (7.2.3)$$

for all $(t, x), (s, y) \in \Theta$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. The value function v is locally uniformly continuous and has polynomial growth.

Proof. Since f and g are locally Lipschitz continuous with polynomial growth, we get from Proposition 7.1.3 that there exist a constant C , an integer p such that for each N , there exists a constant C_N such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |J(t, x, \alpha) - J(s, y, \alpha)| \\ & \leq C_N |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |x|) + L_N (|x - y| + |s - t|) \\ & \quad + C(1 + |x|^p + |y|^p) \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{u \in [t, T]} \min\{|X_u^{t,x,\alpha}|, |X_{u \vee s}^{s,y,\alpha}|\} \geq N\right), \end{aligned}$$

for any $t, s \in [0, T]$ $t \leq s$, any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(t, x), (s, y) \in \Theta$ and any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Using Markov inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} |J(t, x, \alpha) - J(s, y, \alpha)| \\ & \leq C_N |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |x|) + L_N (|x - y| + |s - t|) \\ & \quad + C(1 + |x|^p + |y|^p)/N . \end{aligned}$$

which gives 7.2.3 and the local uniform continuity of v from Remark 7.1.1. The polynomial growth of v follows from the polynomial growth of f and g and Proposition 7.1.3. \square

We can now state the DPP.

Theorem 7.2.4 (Dynamic programming principle) *The value function v satisfies*

$$v(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[v(\theta^\alpha, X_{\theta^\alpha}^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^{\theta^\alpha} f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right]$$

for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and any family of stopping times $\{\theta^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ valued in $[t, T]$.

Proof. To alleviate notations, we omit the dependence of θ in α . We proceed in two steps by proving that each term of the equality is greater than the other.

Step 1. Fix $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t$. By the conditioning property for controlled diffusions, we can find for \mathbb{P} -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$ a control $\alpha^\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{\theta(\omega)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^T f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_\theta \right] (\omega) \\ & = J(\theta(\omega), X_{\theta(\omega)}^{t,x,\alpha}(\omega), \alpha^\omega) + \int_t^{\theta(\omega)} f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds . \end{aligned}$$

Since $J \leq v$, we get from the tower property

$$v(t, x) \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[v(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^\theta f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right] .$$

Step 2. We now prove the reverse inequality. Fix $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{t_0}$, a ball $B_0 = B((t_0, x_0), r)$ of radius r centered on (t_0, x_0) and fix a compact subset Θ of $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $B_0 \subset \Theta$. Let $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a partition of Θ and $(t_n, x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence such that $(t_n, x_n) \in B_n$ for each $n \geq 1$. By definition, for each $n \geq 1$, we can find $\alpha^n \in \mathcal{A}_{t_n}$ such that

$$J(t_n, x_n, \alpha^n) \geq v(t_n, x_n) - \varepsilon \quad (7.2.4)$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, from the local uniform continuity of $J(., \alpha)$ and v , we can chose $(t_n, x_n, B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$B_n \subset [t_n - \eta, t_n] \times B(x_n, \eta)$$

for some $\eta > 0$ and

$$|v(.) - v(t_n, x_n)| + |J(., \alpha_n) - J(t_n, x_n, \alpha_n)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ on } B_n. \quad (7.2.5)$$

Let us now define the stopping time

$$\vartheta = \inf\{s \in [t_0, T] : (s, X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) \notin B_0\} \wedge \theta$$

where θ is a given stopping time valued in $[t_0, T]$. We next define the control $\bar{\alpha}$ by

$$\bar{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t \mathbf{1}_{t < \vartheta} + \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \vartheta} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_t^n \mathbf{1}_{(\vartheta, X_\vartheta^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) \in B_n} \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since α^n is independent of \mathcal{F}_{t_n} for each $n \geq 1$, we get from (7.2.4) and (7.2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} J(t_0, x_0, \bar{\alpha}) &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[J(\vartheta, X_\vartheta^{t_0, x_0, \bar{\alpha}}, \bar{\alpha}) + \int_{t_0}^\vartheta f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \bar{\alpha}}, \bar{\alpha}_s) ds \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} \left(J(t_n, x_n, \alpha^n) - \varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^\vartheta f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right) \mathbf{1}_{(\vartheta, X_\vartheta^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) \in B_n} \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} \left(v(t_n, x_n) - 2\varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^\vartheta f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right) \mathbf{1}_{(\vartheta, X_\vartheta^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) \in B_n} \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[v(\vartheta, X_\vartheta^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) + \int_{t_0}^\vartheta f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right] - 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$v(t_0, x_0) \geq \mathbb{E} \left[v(\vartheta, X_{\vartheta}^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) + \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right].$$

Letting $r \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $\vartheta \rightarrow \theta$ and by dominated convergence, we get

$$v(t_0, x_0) \geq \mathbb{E} \left[v(\theta, X_{\theta}^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}) + \int_{t_0}^{\theta} f(X_s^{t_0, x_0, \alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right].$$

□

7.3 Dynamic programming equation

We prove in this section that, if v is smooth enough, it solves a PDE called the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation. More precisely, define the second order local operator \mathcal{L}^a , for $a \in A$, by

$$\mathcal{L}^a \varphi(t, x) = \partial_t \varphi(t, x) + b(x, a) \cdot \nabla \varphi(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma(x, a) \sigma^\top(x, a) \nabla^2 \varphi(t, x))$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, the HJB equation takes the following form

$$\sup_{a \in A} \{ \mathcal{L}^a v(t, x) + f(x, a) \} = 0, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad (7.3.6)$$

together with the terminal condition

$$v(T, x) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7.3.7)$$

To simplify notations, we denote by \mathcal{H} the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{H} \varphi(t, x) = \sup_{a \in A} \{ \mathcal{L}^a \varphi(t, x) + f(x, a) \}$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 7.3.5 *Suppose that $v \in C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, v is a solution to (7.3.6)-(7.3.7).*

Proof. Fix $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}v(t, x) \neq 0$. We then distinguish two cases and work toward a contradiction in each of them.

Case 1: $\mathcal{H}v(t, x) > 0$. Let $a \in A$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^a \varphi(t, x) + f(x, a) > 0 .$$

By continuity of the involved functions, there exists a compact neighborhood $V \subset [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of (t, x) and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^a \varphi(\cdot) + f(\cdot, a) > \eta \text{ on } V . \quad (7.3.8)$$

Let $\alpha = a$, be the constant control of \mathcal{A} equal to a , and θ be the first exit time of $(s, X_s^{t,x,\alpha})$ from V . From Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[v(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^\theta f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds \right] \\ &= v(t, x) + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^\theta (\mathcal{L}^a v(s, X_s^{t,x,\alpha}) + f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, a)) ds \right] \\ &\geq v(t, x) + \eta \mathbb{E}[\theta] . \end{aligned}$$

In view of (7.3.8) and since $\theta > 0$ a.s., this contradict the DPP.

Case 2: $\mathcal{H}v(t, x) < 0$. Still using the continuity of the involved functions, this implies

$$\mathcal{H}v < 0$$

on $V := B((t, x), r) \subset [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for r small enough, we also have

$$\mathcal{H}w \leq 0 \text{ on } V \quad (7.3.9)$$

where the function w is defined by

$$w(s, y) = v(s, y) + (s - t)^2 + |y - x|^2 , \quad (s, y) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n .$$

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t$, let θ be the first exit time of $(s, X_s^{t,x,\alpha})$ from V . Using Itô's formula and (7.3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[w(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^\theta f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds\right] \\ &= w(t, x) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\theta (\mathcal{L}^{\alpha_s} w(s, X_s^{t,x,\alpha}) + f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s)) ds\right] \\ &\leq v(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of w and θ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &\geq \mathbb{E}\left[(\theta - t)^2 + |X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha} - x|^2\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[v(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^\theta f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We then notice from the definition of θ that

$$(\theta - t)^2 + |X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha} - x|^2 \geq r^2 > 0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$v(t, x) \geq r^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[v(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,\alpha}) + \int_t^\theta f(X_s^{t,x,\alpha}, \alpha_s) ds\right],$$

for any control $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_t$. This contradicts the DPP. \square