

Convexity, Optimization and Stochastic Control  
 Master 2 Probabilités et Finance  
 Session V

We denote throughout  $\partial_t$  the partial gradient with respect to  $t$ . Subscripts indicate partial gradients with respect to space variables, e.g.  $f_x := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ ,  $f_{xx} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$ ,  $f_{xy} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ , etc...

**EXERCISE**

Let  $W$  be a scalar Brownian motion, and  $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$  the corresponding completed filtration. Answer the following questions with a formal justification.

- ✓ 1. Provide the dynamic programming equation of the stochastic control problem

$$V_0 := \inf_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \nu_t^2 + f(X_t^\nu) \right) dt \right],$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the collection of all  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable processes with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the controlled process is defined by :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\nu = Y_t^\nu dW_t, & dY_t^\nu = (X_t^\nu + \nu_t) dt + \nu_t dW_t & t \in [0, T] \\ \mathcal{L}f(x, y) = \partial_y f \cdot (x+u) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} f \cdot y^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{yy} f \cdot u^2 + \partial_{xy} f \cdot uy \end{cases}$$

- ✓ 2. What is the dynamic programming equation corresponding to the control problem

$$V_0 := \inf_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\int_0^T \nu_t dt} \right],$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is the collection of all  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable processes with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the controlled process is defined by :

$$dX_t^\nu = X_t^\nu dt + \nu_t dW_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- ✓ 3. Which stochastic control problem induces the following nonlinear PDE as the corresponding dynamic programming equation :  $\mathcal{V}_{xx} = \mathcal{V}_{xx}^+ - \mathcal{V}_{xx}^- ; \quad V_0 = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\nu_s ds} X_s^2 ds + e^{-T} g(X_T) \right]$   
 $+ \partial_t v + 8v_{xx}^+ - 2v_{xx}^- - 3v + x^2 = 0, \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v|_{t=T} = g, \quad dX_t = \sqrt{(2+\epsilon v_t)} dW_t$   
 $\underbrace{2v_{xx}^+}_{\mathcal{V}_{xx}} + \underbrace{\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{ \mathcal{L}u \mathcal{V}_{xx} \}}_{\mathcal{V}_{xx}^-}$   
 where  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded continuous, and  $y^+ := \max\{y, 0\}$ ,  $y^- := (-y)^+$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- ✓ 4. Which stochastic control problem induces the following nonlinear PDE as the corresponding dynamic programming equation :

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T e^{-\nu_s} f(X_s^\nu) ds \right] \\ -\beta v + 5v_x^+ - 2v_x^- + 2v_{xx} + f &= 0, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}. \quad dX_t = (2+3Q_t)dt + 2dW_t \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is a given scalar,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded continuous function, and  $y^+ := \max\{y, 0\}$ ,  $y^- := (-y)^+$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\mathcal{V}_s \in [0, 1] \quad \forall s$$

- ✓ 5. Which problem induces the following nonlinear PDE as the corresponding dynamic programming equation :

$$\max_{\nu} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nu_t^2 + g \nu_{xx} + f, -\nu \right] = 0 \quad V_0 = \max_{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s) ds \right]$$

$$\min \left\{ -\partial_t v - 8v_{xx} - f, v \right\} = 0, \quad \text{on } [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v|_{t=T} = 0, \quad dX_t = 2dW_t,$$

$$g = 0$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given bounded continuous function.

### ✓ PROBLEM : Stochastic control under expectation constraint

Throughout this problem,  $W$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ . Let  $X^\nu$  be a process valued in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined as the solution of the controlled stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t^\nu = b(X_t^\nu, \nu_t)dt + \sigma(X_t^\nu, \nu_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where the control  $\nu$  is to be chosen in the set  $\mathcal{U}$  of all  $\mathbb{H}^2$  processes  $\nu$  with values in some subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , for some given  $k$ . The coefficients  $(b, \sigma) : \mathbb{R}^d \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(d, d)$  satisfy all appropriate conditions so that, for all control process  $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ , the controlled SDE has a unique solution  $X^\nu$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^\nu|^2] < \infty$ .

Our objective is to solve the stochastic control problem

$$V_0 := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_t^\nu, \nu_t) dt \right], \quad \text{with } \mathcal{A} := \{ \nu \in \mathcal{U} : \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^\nu)] \leq 0 \},$$

for some continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  with quadratic growth  $\sup_{(x,u)} \frac{|f(x,u)| + |g(x)|}{1+|x|^2+|u|^2} < \infty$ .

- ✓ 1. Show that

$$V_0 \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_0^\lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad V_0^\lambda := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_t^\nu, \nu_t) dt - \lambda g(X_T^\nu) \right].$$

- ✓ 2. Denote  $a(x, u) := \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x, u)\sigma(x, u)^\top$ , and

$$H(x, z, \gamma) := \sup_{u \in U} h(x, z, \gamma, u), \quad \text{with } h(x, z, \gamma, u) := b(x, u) \cdot z + \text{Tr}[a(x, u)\gamma] + f(x, u).$$

Suppose that the partial differential equation

$$-\partial_t v(t, x) - H(x, Dv(t, x), D^2v(t, x)) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

has a solution  $v^\lambda \in C^{1,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying  $v^\lambda(T, .) = -\lambda g$ , together with the quadratic growth condition  $\sup_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|v^\lambda(t,x)|}{1+|x|^2} < \infty$ .

- (a) Show that  $v^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq V_0^\lambda$ .
- (b) Deduce that  $\inf_{\lambda \geq 0} v^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq V_0$ .
- ✓ 3. Assume in addition that the functions  $v^\lambda$  of Question 2 satisfy in addition :

(i) there is a unique function  $\hat{u}^\lambda : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow U$  such that

$$H(x, Dv^\lambda(t, x), D^2v^\lambda(t, x)) = h(x, Dv^\lambda(t, x), D^2v^\lambda(t, x), u^\lambda(t, x));$$

(ii) the SDE  $d\hat{X}_t = b(\hat{X}_t, \hat{u}^\lambda(t, \hat{X}_t))dt + \sigma(\hat{X}_t, \hat{u}^\lambda(t, \hat{X}_t))dW_t$  has a unique square integrable solution and the process  $\{\hat{v}_t^\lambda := \hat{u}^\lambda(t, \hat{X}_t), t \in [0, T]\}$  is in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

- (a) Show that  $v^\lambda(0, X_0) = V_0^\lambda$  with optimal control  $\hat{v}^\lambda$ .
- (b) Assume further that there exists some  $\lambda^* \geq 0$  such that, denoting  $\nu^* := \hat{v}^{\lambda^*}$  :
  - (iii) either  $\lambda^* = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\nu^*})] \leq 0$ , or  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\nu^*})] = 0$ .  
Show that  $V^{\lambda^*} = \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_0^\lambda = V_0$ , and that  $\nu^*$  is an optimal control for the problems  $V_0^{\lambda^*}$  and  $V_0$ .

✓ 4. In this question, we assume the two following properties :

- $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\nu^0})] < 0$  for some  $\nu^0 \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- $\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{v}^\lambda})]$  is continuous at the point  $\lambda^*$  (right-differentiable if  $\lambda^* = 0$ ).

Our goal is to justify that Condition (iii) of the previous question is a consequence of (i) and (ii).

- (a) Show that  $V_0^\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\lambda \searrow +\infty$ .
- (b) Verify that  $\lambda \mapsto V_0^\lambda$  is convex, and deduce that  $V_0^\lambda$  attains a minimum at some point  $\lambda^* \geq 0$ . Specify the first order optimality condition.
- (c) Verify that for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  :

$$-(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\nu^*})] \leq V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \leq -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{v}^\lambda})],$$

and deduce that Condition (iii) of Question 3b holds.

✓ 5. We now consider the one dimensional ( $d = 1$ ) example

$$V_0 := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (a(X_t^\nu)^2 + \nu_t^2) dt \right], \text{ for some given } a \geq 0,$$

- with controlled state dynamics  $dX_t^\nu = \nu_t dt + dW_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , X<sub>•</sub> = 0
- and scalar control process  $\nu$  restricted to  $\mathcal{A} := \{\nu \in \mathbb{H}^2 : \mathbb{E}[X_T^\nu] \leq 0\}$ .

- (a) Verify that we may find a control process  $\nu^0$  so that  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^{\nu^0}] < 0$ .
- (b) Verify that the dynamic programming equation for the penalized problem  $V_\lambda^0$ , for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ , is solved by the following function

$$v^\lambda(t, x) := -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2} \tanh(\sqrt{a}(T-t)) x^2 + B(t)x + C(t), \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some function  $t \mapsto (B, C)(t)$  to be determined.

- (c) Deduce the expression of the value function  $V_0^\lambda$ , provide an optimal control  $\hat{v}^\lambda$ , together with the corresponding SDE for the state  $\hat{X}^\lambda := X^{\hat{v}^\lambda}$ , and compute  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_T^\lambda]$ .
- (d) Find the minimizer  $\hat{\lambda}$  of the function  $\lambda \mapsto V_0^\lambda$ , and check whether Condition (iii) of Question 3b is satisfied.

Problème

$$dX_t^{\vartheta} = b(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dt + \sigma(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dW_t \quad \vartheta \in \mathbb{H}^2$$

On a  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{[0,T]}|X_t^{\vartheta}|^2\right] < \infty$

•  $|b(x,u) - b(y,u)| + |\sigma(x,u) - \sigma(y,u)| \leq C|x-y| \quad \forall x,y,u$

•  $|b(x,u)| + |\sigma(x,u)| \leq C(1+|x|+|u|)$

$$V_0 = \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{H}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_s^{\vartheta}, s) ds\right] \text{ où } \mathcal{A} = \{\vartheta \in \mathbb{H} : \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\vartheta})] \leq 0\}$$

où  $|f(x,u)| + |g(u)| \leq C(1+|x|^2+|u|^2)$

(1) M.g.  $V_0 \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_0^{\lambda}$  où  $V_0^{\lambda} := \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dt - \lambda g(X_T^{\vartheta})\right]$

$\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{A} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dt\right] - \underbrace{\lambda \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\vartheta})]}_{\leq 0} \leq V_0^{\lambda}$

$\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0 \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dt\right] \leq V_0^{\lambda}$

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T f(X_t^{\vartheta}, \vartheta_t) dt\right) = V_0 \leq V_0^{\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0$$

Donc  $V_0 \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_0^{\lambda}$

(2)  $a(x,u) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(x,u) \sigma(x,u)^T$

$$H(x,y,z) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} h(x,z,y,u) \text{ où } h(x,z,y,u) = b(x,u) \cdot z + \text{Tr}(a(x,u) \cdot z) + f(x,u)$$

On suppose qu'il existe la solution  $N^{\lambda}$  régulière de

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v^\lambda(t, x) + H(x, Dv^\lambda(t, x), \nabla^2 v^\lambda(t, x)) = 0 \\ v^\lambda|_{t=T} = -\lambda g \end{array} \right.$$

t.q.  $|v^\lambda(t, x)| \leq C(1+|x|^2)$

(a) M.q.  $v^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq V_0^\lambda$

Technique (à la vérification)

On considère  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{U}$  et on montre que

$$v^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_s^\lambda, \mathcal{D}_s) dt - \lambda g(X_T^\lambda)\right]$$

L'idée est d'appliquer Itô sur  $(X_t^\lambda)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  et utilise l'EPP:

$$\begin{aligned} v^\lambda(T, X_T^\lambda) &= v^\lambda(0, X_0) + \underbrace{\int_0^T (\partial_t v^\lambda + \mathcal{L} v^\lambda)(s, X_s^\lambda, \mathcal{D}_s) ds}_{\text{EPP}} + \underbrace{\int_0^T \nabla_x v^\lambda \cdot \zeta dW_t}_{M_T} \\ &\quad - \lambda g(X_T^\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$v^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T f(X_s^\lambda, \mathcal{D}_s) dt - \lambda g(X_T^\lambda)\right] - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \nabla_x v^\lambda \cdot \zeta dW_t\right]}_{\text{(on rcut)}} \quad \text{O}$$

Si  $(M_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  n'est pas une martingale, il faut localiser

$$\tau^n := \inf\{t : |X_t^\lambda| \geq n\} \wedge T \quad M_t^{\tau^n} \text{ une mart. U.I.}$$

$\nabla_x v^\lambda$  continue  $\Rightarrow$  bornée sur  $\{|X_t^\lambda| \leq n\}$

$$|\mathcal{G}(X_t^\lambda, \mathcal{D}_t)| \leq C(1+|X_t^\lambda| + |\mathcal{D}_t|)$$

→ comme  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , on peut vérifier  $E\left[\int_0^T |U_X(s, X_s^\lambda)|^2 ds\right] < \infty$   
 (en vrai, on aurait pu justifier localiser  $M_t$  par  $G_n$  ou  $\mathcal{C}_n \wedge G_n$ )

On obtient que  $E[U(\tilde{\tau}^n, X_{\tilde{\tau}^n}) + \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}^n} f(X_s^\lambda, \dot{X}_s) ds] \leq U(0, X_0)$

$$E[U(\tilde{\tau}^n, X_{\tilde{\tau}^n}) + \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}^n} f(X_s^\lambda, \dot{X}_s) ds]$$

On sait que  $U(\tilde{\tau}^n, X_{\tilde{\tau}^n}) \xrightarrow{\text{P.S.}} U(T, X_T) = -\lambda g(X_T)$

$$\text{et } \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}^n} f(X_s^\lambda, \dot{X}_s) ds \rightarrow \int_0^T f(X_s^\lambda, \dot{X}_s) ds$$

De plus,  $|U(\tilde{\tau}^n, X_{\tilde{\tau}^n})| \leq C(f + |X_{\tilde{\tau}^n}|) \leq C(1 + \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^\lambda|^2)$

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\tau}^n} |f(X_s^\lambda, \dot{X}_s)| ds \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}^n} (1 + |X_s^\lambda| + |\dot{X}_s|) ds$$

$\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{H}^2$ ,  $|X_s| \leq \sup_t |X_t|$  et  $E(\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t|) < \infty$

Donc on peut appliquer le thm. d'arrêt

$$(b) \quad U^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq V^\lambda \geq V_0. \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} U^\lambda(0, X_0) \geq V_0.$$

(3) En plus, on suppose que

$$(i) \exists! \hat{u}^\lambda : H(x, Du^\lambda(t, x), D^2 u^\lambda(t, x)) = h(x, Du^\lambda(t, x), D^2 u^\lambda(t, x), u^\lambda(t, x))$$

(ii) l'EDS  $d\hat{X}_t = b(\hat{X}_t, \hat{u}^\lambda) dt + \sigma(\hat{X}_t, \hat{u}^\lambda) dW_t$  admet unique solution

de carré intégrable et  $(\hat{V}^\lambda = \hat{u}^\lambda(t, \hat{X}_t), t \in [0, T])$  est dans  $\mathbb{H}^2$

(a) On peut appliquer maintenant le théorème de vérification

qui donne

$$\bullet \quad U^\lambda(0, X_0) = V^\lambda(0, X_0)$$

Yai on peut faire le m<sup>e</sup> raisonnement avec  $\hat{u}^\lambda$  au lieu de  $\hat{V}^\lambda$  car il existe  $\hat{u}^\lambda$  et  $(\hat{X}_t^{\hat{u}^\lambda})$

•  $\hat{V}^\lambda$  contrôle optimale

(b) On suppose que  $\exists \lambda^* \geq 0$  t.q.  $(\hat{V}^\lambda = \hat{V}^{\lambda^*})$

- Soit  $\lambda^* = 0$  et  $E[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})] \leq 0$  soit  $E[g(X_T)] = 0$  (\*)

M.Q.  $V^{\lambda^*} = \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_\circ^\lambda = V_\circ$  et  $\mathcal{G}^*$  contrôle optimale pour  $V_\circ^{\lambda^*}$  et  $V_\circ$   
 (par (a))

On a  $V_\circ \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} V_\circ^\lambda \leq V^{\lambda^*}$  donc il suffit de m.Q.  $V^{\lambda^*} \leq V_\circ$

$$\sup_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{U}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{Q}_s) ds - \lambda^* g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}}) \right] \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}^*}, \mathcal{Q}_s^*) ds - \lambda^* g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}}) \right] \stackrel{(1)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}^*}, \mathcal{Q}_s^*) ds \right]$$

Il faut m.Q.  $\forall \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{U} : \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}})] \leq 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}^*}, \mathcal{Q}_s^*) ds \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{Q}_s) ds \right]$$

On sait que  $\mathcal{G}^*$  est sol. de  $V^{\lambda^*} \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{U}$  si  $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{G}^*$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}^*}, \mathcal{Q}_s^*) ds \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{Q}_s) ds - g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}}) \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{Q}_s) ds \right]$$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$  est un contrôle optimale pour  $V_\circ$ .

(4) On suppose que

- $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}})] < 0 \quad \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{U}$

- $\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}^*})]$  est continue en  $\lambda^*$  (dérivable à droite) si  $\lambda^* = 0$

On veut montrer que (i) et (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

(a) M.Q.  $V_\circ^\lambda \xrightarrow[\lambda \uparrow +\infty]{} +\infty$  ne dépend pas de  $\lambda$  (si  $\lambda \downarrow -\infty$ )  $< 0$

On prend  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^*$   $\rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\mathcal{G}^*}, \mathcal{Q}_s) ds \right] - \lambda \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\mathcal{G}^*})] \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow +\infty]{} +\infty$

(B) Vérifier que  $\lambda \mapsto V_0^\lambda$  est convexe  $\rightarrow \exists$  point de min  $\lambda^* \geq 0$   
 Condition de 1<sup>re</sup> ordre?

$V$  continue ↗  
 + coercive (a)

Soit  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ ,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^{\lambda\lambda_1+(1-\lambda)\lambda_2} &= \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - (\lambda\lambda_1 + (1-\lambda)\lambda_2) g(X_T^0) \right) \right] = \\ &= \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \left\{ \lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - \lambda_1 g(X_T^0) \right] + (1-\lambda) \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - \lambda_2 g(X_T^0) \right] \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \lambda V_0^{\lambda_1} + (1-\lambda) V_0^{\lambda_2} \quad \left( \text{On peut dire aussi que } V_0^\lambda \text{ est } \sup \text{ de fonctions convexes} \rightarrow \text{convexe} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Condition de 1<sup>re</sup> ordre:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} V_0^{\lambda^*} \geq 0$  et  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} V_0^{\lambda^*} \geq 0$  si  $\lambda^* > 0$

$$\text{Si } \lambda^* = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} V_0^0 \geq 0$$

$$(C) \text{ Vérifier que } \forall \lambda \geq 0 \quad -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})] \leq V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \leq -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})]$$

En déduire (iii)

$$V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\lambda^*}, \hat{\eta}_s^{\lambda^*}) - f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - \lambda g(X_T^{\lambda^*}) - \lambda^* g(X_T^0) \right]$$

$$\text{De plus, on a } \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\lambda^*}, \hat{\eta}_s^{\lambda^*}) ds - \lambda g(X_T^{\lambda^*}) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - \lambda g(X_T^0) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\lambda^*}, \hat{\eta}_s^{\lambda^*}) - f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds \right] \geq -\lambda \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})] + \lambda \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^0)]$$

$$\text{Donc } V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \geq -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})]$$

$$\text{Pour } V_0^{\lambda^*} \text{ on a } \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\lambda^*}, \hat{\eta}_s^{\lambda^*}) ds - \lambda^* g(X_T^{\lambda^*}) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds - \lambda^* g(X_T^0) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s^{\lambda^*}, \hat{\eta}_s^{\lambda^*}) - f(X_s^0, \hat{\eta}_s^0) ds \right] \leq -\lambda^* \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\lambda^*})] + \lambda^* \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^0)]$$

$$\text{Donc } V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \leq -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})]$$

$$-(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \leq V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \leq -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\tilde{\lambda}})]$$

Si  $\lambda^* = 0$   $0 \leq V_0^\lambda - V_0^{\lambda^*} \leq -\lambda \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \leq 0 \quad \forall \lambda$   
 $\lambda > 0 \quad \downarrow \lambda \neq 0 \text{ (continuité en } \lambda^*)$   
 $\mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \leq 0$

$$\text{Si } \lambda^* > 0 \quad -(\lambda - \lambda^*) \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \leq 0 \quad \forall \lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda > \lambda^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \leq 0 \\ \lambda < \lambda^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cont. en } \lambda^* \\ \rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^* \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(X_T^{\hat{\lambda}})] = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(5) \quad V_0 := \sup_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\alpha(X_t^{\mathcal{D}})^2 + \sigma_t^2) dt\right] \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

$$dX_t^{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{\alpha}_t dt + dW_t \quad t \geq 0$$

$$\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{H}^2 : \mathbb{E}[X_T^{\mathcal{D}}] \leq 0\}$$

(a) Vérifier qu'il existe  $\mathcal{D}^*$  :  $\mathbb{E}[X_T^{\mathcal{D}^*}] < 0$

$$\mathcal{D}^* = -1 \rightarrow X_T^{\mathcal{D}^*} = -T + W_T \quad \mathbb{E}[X_T^{\mathcal{D}^*}] = -T < 0$$

(b) Vérifier que la sol. de  $V_0^\lambda$  peut être écrite dans la forme

$$V^\lambda(t, x) = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \operatorname{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) x^2 + B(t)x + C(t), \quad t \in (0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$V_0^\lambda = \sup_{\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{H}^2} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\alpha(X_t^{\mathcal{D}})^2 + \sigma_t^2) dt - \lambda X_T^{\mathcal{D}}\right] \right\}$$

$$f(x, u) = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha x^2 + u^2) \quad g(x) = x$$

$$\mathcal{L}v(t, x) = \partial_t v + u \partial_x v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} v$$

$$\sup_u \{ \partial_t v + u \partial_x v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} v - \frac{1}{2} \alpha x^2 - \frac{1}{2} u^2 \} = 0$$

$$u^* = \partial_x v$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^* + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} v^* + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x v^*)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha x^2 = 0 \\ v^*|_{t=T} = -\lambda x \quad \rightarrow \quad B(T) = -\lambda, \quad C(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(th(\theta))' = \left( \frac{sh(\theta)}{ch(\theta)} \right)' = \frac{ch(\theta)^2 - sh(\theta)^2}{ch(\theta)^2} = \frac{1}{ch(\theta)^2}$$

$$v^*(t, x) = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))x^2 + B(t)x + C(t)$$

$$\partial_t v^* = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \cdot \frac{-\sqrt{\alpha} x^2}{ch(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))^2} + Bx + C = \frac{\alpha x^2}{2} ch(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))^{-2} + Bx + C$$

$$\partial_{xx} v^* = -\sqrt{\alpha} th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))$$

$$(\partial_x v^*)^2 = (B(t) - \sqrt{\alpha} th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))x)^2 = B(t)^2 - 2\sqrt{\alpha} B(t) th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))x + \\ + \alpha th(\underbrace{\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)}_{\theta})^2 x^2 = \\ sh^2(\theta) = ch(\theta)^2 - 1$$

$$\left\{ th(\theta)^2 = \frac{sh(\theta)^2}{ch(\theta)^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{ch(\theta)^2} \right\} = B(t)^2 - 2\sqrt{\alpha} B(t) th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))x + \alpha x^2 - \frac{\alpha x^2}{ch(\theta)^2}$$

Dans l'EPP

$$x^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} ch(\theta)^{-2} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} th(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} B(t)^2 + \sqrt{\alpha} B(t) th(\theta)x + \cancel{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} - \cancel{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2 ch(\theta)^2}} - \cancel{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} = 0 \\ + Bx + C$$

$$\begin{cases} B(t) + \sqrt{\alpha} B(t) th(\theta) = 0 & B(T) = -\lambda \\ C(t) - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} th(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} B(t)^2 = 0 & C(T) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rightsquigarrow B(t) = \dots \\ C(t) = \dots \end{array}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log |B(t)| = -\sqrt{\alpha} th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t))$$

$$\log |B(T)| - \log |B(t)| = -\sqrt{\alpha} \int_t^T th(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-s)) ds$$

$$\log(B(t)) = \log \lambda + \sqrt{\alpha} \int_0^T \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-s)) ds$$

on peut calculer ça, mais

$$B(t) = -\lambda e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} \int_t^T \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-s)) ds}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\Psi(t)}$

$$\dot{C}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha} \int_t^T \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-s)) ds}$$

$$C(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \Psi(t) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_t^T e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(s)} ds$$

$$U^\lambda(t, x) = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) x^2 + B(t)x + C(t)$$

$$V_0^\lambda = U^\lambda(0, 0) = C(0) = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2} \Psi(0) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^T e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(s)} ds$$

$$\hat{\partial}_t^\lambda: \hat{\mathcal{D}}_t^\lambda = \partial_x U(t, x) = -\sqrt{\alpha} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) x - \lambda e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(t)}$$

$$d\hat{X}_t^\lambda = -(\sqrt{\alpha} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) \hat{X}_t^\lambda + \lambda e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(t)}) dt + dW_t$$

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t^\lambda] = - \int_0^t (\sqrt{\alpha} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-s)) m(s) + \lambda e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(s)}) ds$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{m}(t) = -\sqrt{\alpha} \text{th}(\sqrt{\alpha}(T-t)) m(s) + \lambda e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} \Psi(s)} & \text{EDO} \\ m(0) = 0 & \text{linéaire} \end{cases} \Rightarrow m(t) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t^\lambda]$$

$$(d) \text{ Minimiser } \lambda \mapsto V_0^\lambda \quad \lambda \mapsto A + B\lambda^2, \quad B > 0 \rightarrow \underline{\lambda^* = 0}$$

Vérifier la condition (iii). soit  $\lambda^* = 0$  et  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{X}_T^\lambda)] \leq 0$  soit  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{X}_T^\lambda)] = 0$

On a  $\lambda^* = 0$  et  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_T^\lambda] = 0$  ( $m(t) = 0$  est l'unique solution si  $\lambda = 0$ )

→ la condition est vérifiée.