

Master 2 – Probabilités et Finance
Sorbonne Université et Ecole Polytechnique

Convexity, Optimization and Stochastic Control

✓ Exercise 1 : Maximum Principle

Let $T > 0$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. We give ourselves the maps $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times A \ni (t, x, a) \mapsto (b, f)(t, x, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz in (x, a) and there exists $C > 0$ s.t. for each (t, x, a)

$$|b(t, x, a)| + |f(t, x, a)| + |g(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all predictable process $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfying $\int_0^T \alpha_t^2 dt < \infty$. We set $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, let X^α be a process satisfying:

$$X_t^\alpha = x + \int_0^t b(r, X_r^\alpha, \alpha_r) dr, \quad \text{for each } t \leq T.$$

The goal of this exercise is to solve the following optimization problem

$$R := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} J(\alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad J(\alpha) := \int_0^T f(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) dt + g(X_T^\alpha).$$

We introduce for any α and $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$, the process $V^{\alpha, \beta} := V$ verifying:

$$V_t = \int_0^t V_r \partial_x b(r, X_r^\alpha, \alpha_r) + \beta_r \partial_a b(r, X_r^\alpha, \alpha_r) dr, \quad \text{for each } t \leq T.$$

1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$. We assume that for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha^\varepsilon := \alpha + \varepsilon\beta \in \mathcal{A}$. Show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{X_t^{\alpha^\varepsilon} - X_t^\alpha}{\varepsilon} - V_t^{\alpha, \beta} \right| = 0.$$

2. Deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\alpha + \varepsilon\beta) - J(\alpha)}{\varepsilon} = \int_0^T V_t^{\alpha, \beta} \partial_x f(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) + \beta_t \partial_a f(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) dt$$

We introduce the map $H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$H(t, x, y, a) := b(t, x, a) y + f(t, x, a).$$

The map H is called the Hamiltonian. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote Y^α the process verifying

$$Y_t = \partial_x g(X_T^\alpha) - \int_t^T \partial_x H(r, X_r^\alpha, Y_r^\alpha, \alpha_r) dr, \quad \text{for all } t \leq T.$$

3. Show that

$$Y_T^\alpha V_T^{\alpha,\beta} = \int_0^T Y_t^\alpha \partial_a b(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) \beta_t - \partial_x f(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) V_t^{\alpha,\beta} dt.$$

4. Deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\alpha + \varepsilon \beta) - J(\alpha)}{\varepsilon} = \int_0^T \partial_a H(t, X_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) \beta_t dt.$$

5. We assume that H is convex in a , show that if α is an optimal control then for each $a \in A$,

$$H(t, X_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, a) \leq H(t, X_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, \alpha_t).$$

6. Let us assume that g is convex, H is convex in (x, a) and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t.

$$H(t, X^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) = \sup_{a \in A} H(t, X_t^\alpha, Y_t^\alpha, a).$$

Show that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ is an optimal control i.e. $R = J(\alpha)$.

Exercise 2 : Fenchel–Rockafellar Theorem and application

✓ Part I : Fenchel–Rockafellar Theorem

The goal here is to prove that: for two proper convex functions $(f, g) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, for any $x_0 \in \text{Dom}(f) \cap \text{Dom}(g)$, we have

$$(f + g)^*(x_0) = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{f^*(z) + g^*(x_0 - z)\}. \quad (1)$$

1. Verify that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $(f + g)^*(x) \leq \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{f^*(z) + g^*(x - z)\}$.
2. Justify why the map $h(u) := \inf_{x \in E} f(x) + g(x + u)$ is convex ?
3. Let $x_0 = 0 \in \text{Dom}(f) \cap \text{Dom}(g)$.

- (a) Show that there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t.

$$h(0) + \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle \leq h(u), \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- (b) Verify that for any x and u ,

$$f(x) + g(x + u) - \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle \geq h(0).$$

- (c) Deduce that (1) is true for $x_0 = 0$.

4. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and we set $\ell(u) := g(u) - \langle z, u \rangle$.

- (a) Verify that $\ell^*(x) = g^*(x + z)$ and $(f + \ell)^*(0) = (f + g)^*(z)$.
(b) Deduce (1) for the general case.

Exo 1 Principe du maximum

$\forall t \geq 0$ ACR. g différentiables en (x, a) aux dérivées bornées

$$\exists C > 0 : |b(t, x, a)| + |f(t, x, a)| + |g(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (\lambda_t)_{t \in [0, T]} : \text{prévisible}, \int_0^T \lambda_t^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

$$X_t^\lambda = x + \int_0^t b(r, X_r^\lambda, \lambda_r) dr$$

$$R := \inf_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \int_0^T f(t, X_t^\lambda, \lambda_t) dt + g(X_T^\lambda) \right\}$$

$$\text{On introduit } V^{\lambda, \beta} = V : V_t = \int_0^t V_r \cdot \partial_x b(r, X_r^\lambda, \lambda_r) + \beta_r \partial_a b(r, X_r^\lambda, \lambda_r) dr$$

$$(1) \quad \lambda, \beta \in \mathcal{A} \quad \lambda^\varepsilon = \lambda + \varepsilon \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ M.g. } \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{X_t^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_t^\lambda}{\varepsilon} - V_t^{\lambda, \beta} \right| = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{X_t^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_t^\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (b(r, X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon}, \lambda_r^\varepsilon) - b(r, X_r^\lambda, \lambda_r)) dr \right| \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \left| b'(r, \xi, \lambda_r + \varepsilon \beta_r) \right| |X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda| + \left| b'(r, \eta, \lambda_r + \varepsilon \beta_r) \right| |\varepsilon \beta_r| dr \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|b'\|_\infty \left| \frac{X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right| dr + \|b'\|_\infty \int_0^t |\beta_r| dr \stackrel{\text{Brounwall}}{\leq} C e^{\|b'\|_\infty T} = \tilde{C} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Taylor pour } b(r, X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon}, \lambda_r^\varepsilon) &\\ \left| \frac{X_t^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_t^\lambda}{\varepsilon} - V_t^{\lambda, \beta} \right| &= \left| \int_0^t b_x(r, X_r^\lambda, \lambda_r) \left(\frac{X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda}{\varepsilon} - V_r^{\lambda, \beta} \right) + \underbrace{\tilde{o}\left(\left| \frac{X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right| V_1\right)}_{\rightarrow 0} dr \right| \leq \\ &\leq \|b'\|_\infty \int_0^t \left| \frac{X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda}{\varepsilon} - V_r^{\lambda, \beta} \right| dr + \underbrace{\int_0^t m_r dt}_{\rightarrow 0} \stackrel{\text{Brounwall}}{\rightarrow} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{X_r^{\lambda^\varepsilon} - X_r^\lambda}{\varepsilon} - V_r^{\lambda, \beta} \right| \leq \underbrace{\int_0^t m_r dr}_{m_r \rightarrow 0} e^{\|b'\|_\infty T} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

(2) Déduire que

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\lambda + \epsilon \beta) - J(\lambda)}{\epsilon} = \int_0^T V_t^{L, \beta} f_x(t, X_t^L, L_t) + \beta_t f_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) dt + V_T^{L, \beta} g_x(X_T^L)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J(\lambda + \epsilon \beta) - J(\lambda)}{\epsilon} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\underbrace{\int_0^T (f(t, X_t^L, L_t) - f(t, X_t^L, L_t)) dt}_{\text{term 1}} + \underbrace{g(X_T^L) - g(X_T^L)}_{\text{term 2}} \right) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{term 1: } f'_x(t, X_t^L, L_t)(X_t^L - X_t^L) + \\ &\quad + f'_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) \epsilon \beta_t \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{term 2: } g'(\xi) \frac{(X_T^L - X_T^L)}{\epsilon} \rightarrow g_x(X_T^L) \rightarrow V_T^{L, \beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \int_0^T f_x(t, X_t^L, L_t) V_t^{L, \beta} + f_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) \beta_t dt + g_x(X_T^L) V_T^{L, \beta}$$

$$H(t, x, y, a) := b(t, x, a) \cdot y + f(t, x, a)$$

$$\text{Lévit } Y_t^L = g_x(X_T^L) + \int_t^T \partial_x H(r, X_r^L, Y_r^L, L_r) dr \text{ pour } t \leq T$$

$$(3) \text{ M.Q. } Y_T^L V_T^{L, \beta} = \int_0^T Y_t^L f_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) \beta_t - f_x(t, X_t^L, L_t) V_t^{L, \beta} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Y_t^L V_t^{L, \beta}) &= V_t^{L, \beta} \dot{Y}_t^L + Y_t^L \dot{V}_t^{L, \beta} = V_t^{L, \beta} (-b_x(t, X_t^L, L_t) Y_t^L - f_x(t, X_t^L, L_t)) + \\ &+ Y_t^L (V_t^{L, \beta} f'_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) + \beta_t f'_a(t, X_t^L, L_t)) = \beta_t Y_t^L f'_a(t, X_t^L, L_t) - f'_x(t, X_t^L, L_t) V_t^{L, \beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_0^L V_0^{L, \beta} = 0 \text{ car } V_0^{L, \beta} = 0 \rightarrow (3)$$

$$(4) \text{ Déduire que } \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\lambda + \epsilon \beta) - J(\lambda)}{\epsilon} = \int_0^T \partial_a H(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) \beta_t dt$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\lambda + \epsilon \beta) - J(\lambda)}{\epsilon} \stackrel{(2)}{=} \int_0^T (V_t \cdot f_x + \beta_t f_a) dt + V_T \overset{''}{g}_x =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^T (\nu f_x + \beta_t f_a) dt + \int_0^T (\gamma_t b_a \beta_t - \nu f_x) dt = \int_0^T \beta_t (\underbrace{b_a \gamma_t + f_a}_{\frac{\partial H}{\partial a}}) dt = \\
 (5) \quad &= \int_0^T \beta_t H_a(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) On suppose que H est convexe en a . M.g. si ω est un contrôle optimale $\rightarrow \forall a \in A \quad H(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, a) \geq H(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, \omega_t)$

$$L \text{ est optimale} \rightarrow \forall \beta \in A \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \frac{J(x + \varepsilon \beta) - J(x)}{\varepsilon} \geq 0$$

$$\text{En plus, } \forall \beta \in A \quad \int_0^T \beta_t H_a(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) dt \geq 0$$

$$\text{On prend } \beta_t^n = n \mathbb{1}_{[t, t + \frac{1}{n}]}$$

$$n \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{n}} H_a(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) dt \geq 0$$

$$H_a(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) \geq 0$$

$$\beta_t^n = -n \mathbb{1}_{[t, t + \frac{1}{n}]} \rightarrow H_a(\dots) \leq 0$$

On suppose que les deux sont admissibles. Sinon on a $L_t = \inf A$ où $L_t = \sup A \rightarrow \min$, et $H_a \geq 0$ et $H_a \leq 0$ $\rightarrow H_a(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) = 0$
 $\square H$ convexe \rightarrow minimum

(6) Supposons que g est convexe, H est convexe en (x, a) et $x \in A$ t.q.

$$H(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, L_t) = \inf_{a \in A} H(t, X_t^L, Y_t^L, a)$$

M.g. ω est un contrôle optimale.

$$h(y) \geq h(x) + \nabla h(x) \cdot (y - x)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\tilde{x}) - \mathcal{J}(x) = \left[\int_0^T f(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{z}_t) - f(t, \hat{x}_t^x, z_t) dt + g(\hat{x}_T^{\tilde{x}}) - g(\hat{x}_T^x) \right] \geq \underbrace{\{ \text{convex; } t \in \mathbb{R} \}}_{Y_T^x} \{ f \geq$$

$$\geq \underbrace{\int_0^T f(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{z}_t)}_{Y_T^x} - \underbrace{f(t, \hat{x}_t^x, z_t)}_{L_t} dt + \underbrace{g_x(\hat{x}_T^x)(\hat{x}_T^{\tilde{x}} - \hat{x}_T^x)}_{Y_T^x} =$$

$$\left\{ Y_T^x (\hat{x}_T^{\tilde{x}} - \hat{x}_T^x) = \int_0^T \left(- \partial_x H(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, Y_t^x, L_t) (\hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}} - \hat{x}_t^x) + \underbrace{Y_t^x (b(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{z}_t) - b(t, \hat{x}_t^x, z_t))}_{H(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, Y_t^x, \tilde{z}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t^x, Y_t^x, z_t)} \right) dt \right\}$$

$$H(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, Y_t^x, L_t)$$

II

$$= \int_0^T \left[H(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, Y_t^x, \tilde{z}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t^x, Y_t^x, z_t) - H_x(t, \hat{x}_t^x, Y_t^x, L_t) (\hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}} - \hat{x}_t^x) \right] dt \geq$$

$$\geq \int_0^T \left[H(t, \hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}}, Y_t^x, \tilde{z}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t^x, Y_t^x, z_t) - H_x(t, \hat{x}_t^x, Y_t^x, L_t) (\hat{x}_t^{\tilde{x}} - \hat{x}_t^x) \right] dt \geq 0$$

≥ 0 inégalité de convexité.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_y \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(y) - g(y) \} = \{ x - z + \langle x-z, y \rangle - g(y) \} = \\ & = \sup_y \{ \langle z, y \rangle - f(y) + \langle x-z, y \rangle - g(y) \} \leq \\ & \leq \sup_y \{ \langle z, y \rangle - f(y) \} + \sup_y \{ \langle x-z, y \rangle - g(y) \} = f^*(z) + g^*(x-z) \end{aligned}$$

Dans tout $\forall z$ $(f+g)^*(x) \leq f^*(z) + g^*(x-z)$

$$(f+g)^*(x) \leq \inf_z \{ f^*(z) + g^*(x-z) \}$$

2) Justifier que $h(u) = \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+u) \}$ est convexe

$$h(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) = \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x + \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \} \leq$$

$$\leq \{ g(x+\cdot) \text{ est ev} x \in \} \leq \inf_x \{ f(x) + \lambda g(x+u) + (1-\lambda)g(x+v) \} \leq$$

$$\lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(x)$$

?

~~$\lambda \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+u) \} + (1-\lambda) \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+v) \}$~~

$$\inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x + \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \} \stackrel{?}{\leq} \lambda \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+u) \} + (1-\lambda) \inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+v) \}$$

$\uparrow \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\lambda \left(\inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+u) \} \right) + (1-\lambda) \left(\inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x+v) \} \right) - \varepsilon$$

$$\inf_x \{ f(x) + g(x + \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \} - \varepsilon \leq f(\lambda x^u + (1-\lambda)x^v) + g(\underbrace{\lambda x^u + (1-\lambda)x^v + \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v}_{\text{convexe}})$$

Autre solution: $f(x) + g(x+\cdot)$ x^0 convexe $\rightarrow \inf$ de $f(x) + g(x+\cdot)$ x^0 convexe est convexe.

3) $x_0 = 0 \in \text{Dom } f \cap \text{Dom } g$ \uparrow ~~ça ne marche pas pour inf:~~ \approx n'est pas convexe

(a) Mq $\exists \bar{x}$: $h(0) + \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle \leq h(u) \quad \forall u$

(b) Vérifier que $\forall x, u \quad f(x) + g(x+u) - \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle \geq h(u)$

(C) Démontrer $(f+g)^*(x_0) = \inf_z \{f^*(z) + g^*(x_0 - z)\}$ pour $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

© Théo Jalabert pour x_0 = 0

(a) h est convexe

$h'_d(0, v)$ - dérivée directionnelle

$h'_d(0, v) \leq h(v) - h(0)$ par grâce à convexité

$v \mapsto h'_d(0, v)$ est convexe et positivement 1-homogène

$$\text{i.e. } h'_d(0, \lambda v) = \lambda h'_d(0, v), \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\text{D'où } h'_d(0, v) = \sup_{\tilde{x}} \{ \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle + \lambda : \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle + \lambda \leq h'_d(0, v) \}$$

$$h(\lambda v) \leq \lambda h(v) + (1-\lambda) h(0), \lambda > 0$$

$$\frac{h(\lambda v) - h(0)}{\lambda} \leq h(v) - h(0)$$

$$\underbrace{\downarrow}_{h'_d(0, v)}$$

$$h'_d(0, v) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(\lambda v) - h(0)}{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\frac{h(\lambda v) - h(0)}{\lambda}}_{h'_d(0, \lambda v)}$$

$$\exists \tilde{x}, \lambda : \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle + \lambda \leq h'_d(0, v)$$

Positivement homogène, minorée par la fonction affine $\lambda \mapsto \lambda v$ $\Rightarrow \lambda = 0$
 (Exo) (linéaire)

$$\rightarrow \langle \tilde{x}, v \rangle \leq h'_d(0, v) \leq h(v) - h(0)$$

$$(P) \quad \inf_x \{f(x) + g(x+u)\} \geq \underbrace{\inf_x \{f(x) + g(x)\}}_{h(0)} + \langle \tilde{x}, u \rangle$$

$$\text{Donc } h(0) \leq f(x) + g(x+u) - \langle \tilde{x}, u \rangle$$

$$(c) (f+g)^*(0) \leq \inf_{\epsilon} \{ f^*(\epsilon) + g^*(-\epsilon) \}$$

$$h(0) = -\inf \{ f(x) + g(x) \} = -\sup \{ -(f+g)(x) \} = -(f+g)^*(0)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} -(f+g)^*(0) + \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle < f(x) + g(x+u) \quad \forall x, u$$

$$(f+g)^*(0) \geq \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle - f(x) - g(x+u) \quad \stackrel{u=0}{\forall x, u} \quad (2)$$

$$f^*(z) = \sup_y \{ \langle z, y \rangle - f(y) \} \quad f^*(z) + f(y) \geq \langle z, y \rangle$$

$$g^*(-z) = \sup_y \{ -\langle z, y \rangle - g(y) \} \quad g^*(-z) + g(y) \geq -\langle z, y \rangle \quad \begin{cases} f(y) + g(y) + \\ f(z) + g(z) \end{cases} \geq 0$$

$$\inf_z \{ f^*(z) + g^*(-z) \} \leq f^*(z) + g^*(-z)$$

$$(2) -f(x) + \langle \bar{x}, x \rangle - \langle \bar{x}, x+u \rangle - g(x+u) + \langle \bar{x}, u \rangle = \underbrace{\langle \bar{x}, x \rangle + \langle \bar{x}, x+u \rangle}_{u = -x+u} \rightsquigarrow \sup_x, \sup_u$$

$$4. l(u) = g(u) - \langle z, u \rangle$$

$$(a) Vérifier que l^*(x) = g^*(x+z) \quad (f+l)^*(0) = (f+g)^*(z)$$

(b) déduire (a) dans le cas général

$$l^*(x) = \sup_y \{ \langle x, y \rangle - l(y) \} = \sup_y \{ \langle x+z, y \rangle - g(y) \} = g^*(x+z)$$

$$(f+l)^*(0) = \sup_y \{ -l(y) \} = \sup_y \{ -(f+g - \langle z, \cdot \rangle)(y) \} =$$

$$= \sup_y \{ \langle y, z \rangle - (f+g)(y) \} = (f+g)^*(z)$$

$$(f^* \square g^*)(x_0) \stackrel{?}{\geq} (f+g)^*(x_0)$$

On connaît ça pour $x_0 = 0$

$$(f^* \square g^*)(0) = \inf \left\{ f^*(y) + \underbrace{g^*(z-y)}_{g^*(z-y)} \right\} \stackrel{3.}{=} (f+g)^*(0) = (f+g)^*(z)$$

$$\text{Alors } (f+g)^*(z) = (f^* \square g^*)(z)$$



Part II – Application: Monge Kantorovich duality

Let $d, \ell \geq 1$. We give ourselves two probability distributions $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, d\})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, \ell\})$. We identify ν and μ as vectors of \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^ℓ respectively. We denote by $\Gamma(d, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ the set $(\gamma(i, j))_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq \ell}$ s.t. $\gamma \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^\ell \gamma(i, j) = \nu(j)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma(i, j) = \mu(i)$. We consider $(c(i, j))_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq \ell} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$. We want to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(d, \ell)} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^\ell \gamma(i, j) c(i, j) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \phi(i) \nu(i) + \sum_{j=1}^\ell \psi(j) \mu(j) : \phi(i) + \psi(j) \leq c(i, j), \text{ for any } (i, j) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce

$$f(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^\ell \gamma(i, j) c(i, j), & \text{if } \gamma \geq 0 \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(\pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^\ell \gamma(i, j) = \nu(i) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma(i, j) = \mu(j) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that $f^*(\pi) = 0$ if $\pi(i, j) \leq c(i, j)$ and $f^*(\pi) = +\infty$ otherwise.
2. Compute that $g^*(\pi)$ for any $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$.
3. Let $i_0 \neq i_1$ s.t. $\exists j_0 \neq j_1$, $\pi(i_0, j_0) - \pi(i_1, j_0) \neq \pi(i_0, j_1) - \pi(i_1, j_1)$. We suppose that $\pi(i_0, j_0) - \pi(i_1, j_0) > \pi(i_0, j_1) - \pi(i_1, j_1)$

- (a) Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d+\ell}$ with $\eta(i_0, j_0) = \eta(i_1, j_1) = 1$ and $\eta(i_0, j_1) = \eta(i_1, j_0) = -1$. Show that, for all t , $\sum_{j=1}^\ell (\gamma + t\eta)(i, j) = \nu(i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d (\gamma + t\eta)(i, j) = \mu(j)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} \pi(i, j) (\gamma + t\eta)(i, j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \pi(i, j) \gamma(i, j) + t(\pi(i_0, j_0) + \pi(i_1, j_1) - \pi(i_1, j_0) - \pi(i_0, j_1)) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Deduce that $g^*(\pi) = \sum_i \phi(i) \nu(i) + \sum_j \psi(j) \mu(j)$ for $\pi(i, j) = \phi(i) + \psi(j)$ and $g^*(\pi) = +\infty$ otherwise.
- (c) Apply the Fenchel–Rockafellar Theorem and deduce the result

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j} \delta(i,j) a(i,j) & \text{si } x \geq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{sinon} \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{si } \sum_{i,j} \delta(i,j) = \varphi(i) \text{ et } \sum_{i,j} \delta(i,j) = \psi(j) \\ +\infty & \text{sinon} \end{cases}$$

1) $f^*(\pi) = \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ \langle \pi, x \rangle - f(x) \} = \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ \langle \pi, x \rangle - f(x) \} =$

$$= \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ \langle \pi - c, x \rangle \} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{si } \pi \leq c \\ +\infty & \text{sinon} \end{cases}$$

si $\pi \leq c \rightarrow \langle \pi - c, x \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \geq 0$

sinon $\exists (i,j)$ $\pi(i,j) - a(i,j) > 0$ on prend $x(i,j) = 0$ $(i,j) \neq (i,j)$
 $x(i,j) \rightarrow +\infty$

2) $g^*(\pi) = \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ \langle \pi, x \rangle - g(x) \} = \sup_{x \geq 0} \{ \langle \pi, x \rangle \} - \sup_{x \in \Pi(k,l)} \langle \pi, x \rangle$
 $\pi_x x \in \Sigma$
 $\pi_x x = 0$

3) si $\pi(i,j)$ n'est pas de la forme $\pi(i,j) = \varphi(i) + \psi(j)$ alors $g^*(\pi) = +\infty$

$\exists i_0 \neq i_1$ t.q. $\pi(i_0, j_0) - \varphi(i_0, j_0) \neq \pi(i_1, j_0) - \varphi(i_1, j_0)$

a) $\eta(i_0, j_0) = \eta(i_1, j_0) = 1$ $\eta(i_0, j_0) = 0$ sinon
 $\eta(i_0, j_0) = \eta(i_1, j_0) = -1$

$$x + t\eta \in \Pi(k,l)$$

$$i_0 + -$$

$$i_0 + -$$

$$i_0 i_1$$

$$\varphi(i_0, j_0) + \varphi(i_1, j_0) - \varphi(i_0, j_0) - \varphi(i_1, j_0) > 0$$

$$\sum_i \pi(i,j) (x + t\eta)(i,j) = \sum_i \pi(i,j) \delta(i,j) + t \sum_i \eta(i,j) \pi(i,j)$$

$$\text{Si } \varphi(i,j) \neq \varphi(i) + \psi(j) \Rightarrow t \rightarrow +\infty \Rightarrow g^*(\bar{u}) = +\infty$$

Dans ce cas $g^*(\bar{u}) = \sum_i \delta(i,j)(\varphi(i) + \psi(j)) = \sum_i (\vartheta(i)\varphi(i) + \psi(j)\psi(i))$

(c) Théorème de Fenchel-Rockafellar

$$(f+g)^*(x) = (f^* \circ g^*)(x)$$

$$\text{Dom } f \cap \text{Dom } g \neq \emptyset \quad (\varphi(i,j) = \varphi(i)\varphi(j))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \text{R}(d,1)} \sum_i \sum_j \varphi(i,j) \alpha(i,j) &= -\sup_{\bar{x}} \{-f(\bar{x}) - g(\bar{x})\} = -(f+g)^*(0) = \\ &= -(f^* \circ g^*)(0) = -\inf_{\bar{x}} \{f^*(\bar{x}) + g^*(-\bar{x})\} = \sup_{\bar{x}} \{-f^*(\bar{x}) - g^*(-\bar{x})\} = \\ &= \sup_{\bar{x} \in C} \left\{ \sum_i \varphi(i) \bar{x}(i) + \sum_j \psi(j) \bar{x}(j) \right\} \\ &\quad \varphi(i,j) = \varphi(i) + \psi(j) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi(i) + \psi(j) \leq \varphi(i,j) \\ \varphi(i,j) \leq \varphi(i) + \psi(j) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$



Part III – Application: Super replication in complete market

The general version of the Monge Kantorovich duality is

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{(X,Y) \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[c(X, Y)] \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(e) \nu(de) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^\ell} \psi(u) \mu(du) : \phi(e) + \psi(u) \leq c(e, u), \text{ for any } (e, u) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $(X, Y) \in \Theta$ if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \nu$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y) = \mu$.

Let $(S^1)_{t \leq T}$ and $(S^2)_{t \leq T}$ be two assets martingale under the risk neutral measures \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{P}^2 respectively (the interest rate $r = 0$). The price p_0 of super replication of an European option with payoff $c(S_T^1, S_T^2)$ is given by

$$p_0 := \inf \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^1}[\lambda^1(S_T^1)] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^2}[\lambda^2(S_T^2)] : c(x, y) \leq \lambda^1(x) + \lambda^2(y) \text{ for all } (x, y) \right\}.$$

Apply the Monge Kantorovich duality and comment.

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^2)} \mathbb{E}^{\pi} [c(S_T^1, S_T^2)] = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^2)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^1}[\varphi(S_T^1)] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^2}[\psi(S_T^2)] \quad \text{prix de sur-replication} = \sup \mathbb{E}^{\pi} \text{payoff}, \text{ Q: } S_T^i \text{ est martingale} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \underbrace{\int \varphi(s_T^1) \mathbb{P}^1(ds_T^1)}_{= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^1}[\varphi(S_T^1)]} + \underbrace{\int \psi(s_T^2) \mathbb{P}^2(ds_T^2)}_{= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^2}[\psi(S_T^2)]} : \varphi(s_T^1) + \psi(s_T^2) \geq c(s_T^1, s_T^2) \right\} \\ &\quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ &\quad \text{séparation en deux options européennes} \\ &\quad \text{aux payoff } \varphi \text{ et } \psi: \varphi + \psi \geq c \text{ (sur-replication de payoff } c(s_1, s_2) \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

