Python - Data Analysis - Machine Learning - API

Year Prediction with a subset of the Million Song Dataset

Théophane DELBAUFFE ESILV - A4 - DIA4

Summary

Dataset & Context

Objectives & Problems

Pre-processing, Metrics & Models

API with Streamlit

1. Million Song Dataset (MSD)

Collaboration of LabROSA & Echo Nest

Contains basis **metadata** (artist, title, ...), **artist information** (origin, similar artists), **audio features** (pitches and timbres per segment, beats, key, tempo, ...), lyrics, snippets

2. Echo Nest API

Free public web API

Takes a digital audio file and generates a JSON file describing the music's structure and musical content: meta data, rhythm, pitch, timbre, key, loudness, duration, segments (divisions of the song), beats, ...

3. Subset we use

515 345 musics - 28 223 artists
Data represented by a target variable (the release year) and 90 predictors (12 timbre averages et 78 timbre covariances)
The predictors are computed from timbre features of the Echo Nest API.

Each timbre feature is a 12-dimensional vector. A music is composed of hundreds of timbre features.

Average predictors are the means of each dimension while Covariance predictors are the elements of the upper triangular covariance matrix.


Dataset & Context


Exploring data

	Year	Avg1	Avg2	Avg3	Avg4	 Cov74	Cov75	Cov76	Cov77	Cov78
0	2001	49.94357	21.47114	73.07750	8.74861	 -23.08793	68.40795	-1.82223	-27.46348	2.26327
1	2001	48.73215	18.42930	70.32679	12.94636	 -32.22788	70.49388	12.04941	58.43453	26.92061
2	2001	50.95714	31.85602	55.81851	13.41693	 43.20130	-115.00698	-0.05859	39.67068	-0.66345
3	2001	48.24750	-1.89837	36.29772	2.58776	 82.58061	-72.08993	9.90558	199.62971	18.85382
4	2001	50.97020	42.20998	67.09964	8.46791	 -7.50035	51.76631	7.88713	55.66926	28.74903
5	2001	50.54767	0.31568	92.35066	22.38696	 6.09352	35.18381	5.00283	-11.02257	0.02263
6	2001	50.57546	33.17843	50.53517	11.55217	 35.46251	11.57736	4.50056	-4.62739	1.40192
7	2001	48.26892	8.97526	75.23158	24.04945	 56.37650	-18.29975	-0.30633	3.98364	-3.72556
8	2001	49.75468	33.99581	56.73846	2.89581	 -15.67150	-26.36257	5.48708	-9.13495	6.08680
9	2007	45.17809	46.34234	-40.65357	-2.47909	 3.26926	-298.49845	11.49326	-89.21804	-15.09719
10	2008	39.13076	-23.01763	-36.20583	1.67519	 44.60282	158.00425	-2.59543	109.19723	23.36143
11	2002	37.66498	-34.05910	-17.36060	-26.77781	 -47.74886	-170.92864	-5.19009	8.83617	-7.16056
12	2004	26.51957	-148.15762	-13.30095	-7.25851	 -137.72740	115.28414	23.00230	-164.02536	51.54138
13	2003	37.68491	-26.84185	-27.10566	-14.95883	 -89.08971	-891.58937	14.11648	-1030.99180	99.28967
14	1999	39.11695	-8.29767	-51.37966	-4.42668	 -98.76636	-122.81061	-2.14942	-211.48202	-12.81569

Issue

Imbalanced data





First objective

Explore the dataset, make visualizations, find links between variables

Second objective

Find a way to face imbalanced data

Thrid objective

Thanks to the 90 features, fit a model to predict the release year of a song

Fourth objective

Build an API to deploy the model

Objectives

Problems

First problem

Timbre is a mix of abstract variables of a segment of a song, such as loudness, brightness, flatness, attack, ... We can easily think that we need more data about the music itslef to predict its release year.



Second problem

Many artist copy the characteristics of older musics. For example, an 2010s' artist migth want to make a music with a 1980s' New wave style.

Conclusion

Fitting a model that will perfectly predict new songs' release year seems pretty hard. A solution to this will be to look at the absolute difference between predicted and real year rather than accuracy to evaluate our model.

Moreover, a classification task with imbalanced data may be difficult.

Pre-processing

Split

To split the dataset, a rule is given by its creator:

- Train set: first 463,715 examples
- Test set: last 51,630 examples

Suppression

All years from 1922 to
1952, including 2011, were
deleted from the train and
test sets.

There was not enough data to train and test the model well, and these labels were too little represented, comparing to others

Scaling & PCA

- Standardization of my sets after fitting my scaler on the train set
- I fitted PCA on my train set and I kept 90% of the information with 55 components (against 90 predictors)

New variable

I added the 'Decade' variable to make it easier computing other metrics.

Metrics

Accuracy

My first metric was accuracy: nb_correct / nb_wrong

Decade Accuracy

As the accuracy was very low for every models (around 8%), I decided to also compute accuracy for the Decade. If the predicted release year is in the same decade as the real release year, then the prediction is considered as right.

Average absolute difference (in years)

Example:

Predictions = [2000, 1980] - Reality = [2002, 1976]

avg_abs_diff =
$$((2002 - 2000) + (1980 - 1976)) / 2$$

avg_abs_diff = 3 years

Problem

Accuracies were not relevant enough to compare my models because a Dummy Classifier made better results than actual ones. Indeed, this is due to imbalanced data (1990s and 2000s are overrepresented.

Models

Here is a list of different models I tried:

- Classification
 - K-NN
 - Logistic Regression
 - Linear Discriminant Analysis
 - Quadratic Discriminant Analysis
 - Random Forest Classifier
- Regression
 - Linear Regression

My final one K-NN with k_neighbors = 3 & weights = 'distance' As you can see, I tried to see this problem as a Regression one. Indeed, years can be seen as series of integers instead of labels.

I thought that I could round the predicted result. It showed good performance but I noticed that a few predictions were nonsense (year 3150 for example).


So I left it out.

API with Streamlit

Download the folder and then,

in Anaconda Prompt or else:

Main window of the API



Each option leads to a new display

Thank you