

# Bachelor's-Thesis Project

**Topic:** On energy-based vector hysteresis models

**Student:** Franz Scharnreiter

**Supervisors:** Michael Mandlmayr, Herbert Egger

**Scope.** We review the two hysteresis models of [Lavet] and [Prigozhin], establish their equivalence, and investigate their efficient numerical realization. Both models are based on a magneto-quasistatic consideration with magnetic energy density given by

$$E(b, m) = \frac{\mu_0}{2} |h|^2 + U(m), \quad (1)$$

with  $U(m)$  the energy stored in the magnetization  $m$ , and magnetic field strength

$$h = \frac{1}{\mu_0} b - m. \quad (2)$$

Here  $b$  denotes the magnetic flux density, and  $\mu_0$  the permeability of vacuum.

**Note.** All the following considerations concern a single material point. Thus  $m$ ,  $h$ ,  $b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in dimension  $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ .

## 1 Models

### 1.1 First Model

The constitutive model in [Lavet] is based on the variational principle

$$m = \arg \min_m U(m) - \langle h, m \rangle + \chi |m - m_p|, \quad (3)$$

with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denoting the Euclidean inner product,  $\chi > 0$  a given parameter, and  $m_p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the given magnetization of the "previous time step". If  $U(\cdot)$  is assumed strictly convex, this uniquely determines  $m$  as a function of  $h$  and  $m_p$  consequently allows to express  $m = m(h, m_p)$  and  $b = b(h, m_p)$  using (2).

## 1.2 Second Model

In [Prigozhin], the following alternative problem is proposed:

$$h_r = \arg \min_{u \in K(h)} S(u) - \langle m_p, u \rangle, \quad (4)$$

with  $S(u)$  defined as the Legendre-Fenchel conjugate function of  $\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(m)$ , which simply means that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial u}(h_r) = m \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_r = \frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(m). \quad (5)$$

The set  $K(h)$  over which is minimized is given by

$$K(h) = \{u : |u - h| \leq \chi\}. \quad (6)$$

Once  $h_r$  is found, we can determine  $m$  as a function of  $h_r$  (and of  $h$  and  $m_p$ ) using (5), and then find  $b$  as a function of  $h$  and  $m_p$  using (2). Both models are motivated by an analogy with mechanics, i.e., a model for dry friction; see [Moreau].

## 1.3 Equivalence

**Theorem 1.** *The two proposed models are equivalent in the sense that if  $\bar{m}$  minimizes the unrestrained model, then  $h_r = \frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m})$  minimizes the restrained problem and inversely if  $h_r$  minimizes the restrained problem, then  $\bar{m} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial u}(h_r)$  minimizes the unrestrained problem.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(u) = S(u) - \langle u, m_p \rangle + \lambda(\|u - h\| - \chi)$  be the Lagrangian of the restrained problem. Let  $h_r$  be a minimizer of the restrained problem. Then there exists  $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$ , so that the following conditions holds:

$$\bar{\lambda}(\|h_r - h\| - \chi) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}}{\partial u}(h_r) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial u}(h_r) - m_p + \bar{\lambda} \frac{h_r - h}{\|h_r - h\|} = m - m_p + \bar{\lambda} \frac{h_r - h}{\|h_r - h\|} \quad (8)$$

The last equality holds, because of the Legendre-Fenchel property. First assume  $\lambda = 0$ , then from (8) it follows, that  $m - m_p = 0$ . Also  $\|\frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m}) - h\| = \|h_r - h\| \leq \chi$  follows directly from the Legendre Fenchel property and the constraints. By combining these two properties we get that the optimality condition  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m}) - h \in \partial\|m - m_p\|$  is indeed fulfilled, because  $\partial\|0\| = \{x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  Now let  $\|h_r - h\| = \chi$ . Inserting into (8) yields .

$$m - m_p = -\frac{\lambda}{\chi}(h_r - h)$$

Inserting into the optimality condition yields  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial m} - h - \chi(\frac{\lambda}{\chi} \frac{h_r - h}{\|\frac{\lambda}{\chi}(h_r - h)\|}) = h_r - h - (h_r - h) = 0$ . So the optimality condition of the unrestrained problem is indeed fulfilled.

Conversely, let  $\bar{m}$  be a minimizer of the unrestrained problem and  $h_r = \frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m})$ . Then the optimality condition holds. So

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m}) - h = -\chi \partial \|\bar{m} - m_p\| \quad (9)$$

Assume  $\bar{m} = m_p$ , then for  $\lambda = 0$ , we get that  $\mathcal{L}_0(h_r) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial u}(h_r) - m_p = m - m_p = 0$ . Now let  $m \neq m_p$ , then the subdifferential only contains the derivative, and out of (9) with the use of the Legendre-Fenchel conjugate one get's

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial m}(\bar{m}) - h = h_r - h = -\chi \frac{\bar{m} - m_p}{\|\bar{m} - m_p\|} \quad (10)$$

So  $\|h_r - h\| = \chi$ , i.e (7) is fulfilled for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Also

$$\mathcal{L}_{\|m - m_p\|}(h_r) = \bar{m} - m_p - \chi \|\bar{m} - m_p\| \frac{\bar{m} - m_p}{\|\bar{m} - m_p\|} (\chi \|\frac{\bar{m} - m_p}{\|\bar{m} - m_p\|}\|)^{-1} = 0$$

□