

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION APPRAISAL

Respondent's name: Mr. RONALD FERNANDES

Organization:

FIAT INDIA AUTOMOBILES PVT LTD

Project:

500 Kg Capacity 1 No's cross rail - 5 Mtr x 1 No's with Hoist ST1005-8/2 1/1

SEPL Reference/ ORN: PO: 4503004072 Dt.: 21.03.2017

Dear Sir,

We thank you for your kind co operation and support during execution of the subject project which has been recently completed by us.

In order to assess the quality of our services, we would like to have your valuable feed back. We request you to spare a few minutes of your time to answer the attached questionnaire. Your feedback will help us in our endeavor to continually upgrade the quality of service provided to our customers.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

FOR SPARKLINE EQUIPMENT PVT LTD.

Mrs. Omkar Ravan

Project Manager



(After installation of Building Control System)

	Please tick mark (3) your response on the scale of 1 to 5, in the box against each statement. '1' means 'Strongly Disagree' gradually increasing to '5' which means 'Strongly Agree'. In case any of the statement is not applicable to you, please tick mark (3) '6'.								
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not applica Don't know	ble		
1.	Overall, you are project.	happy that	you chose Spark	dine Equip	oment Pvt Ltd	1 2 for your□ □	3 4	5 6 Ø 🗆	
2.	Considering the property for it, you feel that S	products and SEPL gives you	services you rece value for your money	vive from S	EPL and the pric	ce you pay 🔲 🗀			
3.	You would recorfor your opinion	nmend SEPI	to others as a go	ood compar	ny to deal with,	if asked _□ □		Ø o	
4.	If the need arose,	, you would v	villingly use SEPI	L's services	s again	, D C			
5.	You are satisfied	with the qua	lity of the installa	tion work c	carried out for y	ou. 🗆 🗆		Ø o	
6.	The relevant engand were accurate		cumentation and	drawings c	arried sufficien	nt details □ □			
7.	The relevant drav	wings were su	abmitted on time					b, o	
8.	SEPL willingly a if any	ecommodate	d your requests fo	or changes	in the design/ d	rawings,□ □			
9.	Materials necessa	ary for install	ation were delive	red on time					
10.	SEPL personnel	regularly visi	ted the site to che	ck the prog	ress of the insta	allation 🔲 🗆		Ø o	
11.	You are satisfie during entire exe			supervisor	/ Site Enginee	r at site □ □		Ø o	
12.	The supervisor /	site engineer	was able to handl	e the job ef	ficiently	<u> </u>			
13.	SEPL deployed according to requ	l sufficient irements of t	workmen from t he project schedu	ime to tin le.	ne at your pro	ject site □ □			
14.	The contractors /	sub-contract	ors employed by S	SEPL were	efficient in the	ir work 🛮 🖺			
15.	SEPL is able to the site	co-ordinate t	heir activities we	ll with thos	se of other supp	pliers on 🔲 🗀			
16.	Genuine reasons	are given by	SEPL in case of c	lelay in pro	ject completion	n 🗆 🗆			
17.	The site engineer	was capable	of solving proble	ms at site.					

18. Overall, you are satisfied with the performance of the system provided by SEPL								
19. Any other comment you would like to make.								
We were not only satisfied								
with the sepport, your person								
Came again, when first time								
We were not ready.								
The moment we were ready								
·								
with our Structure, they come one								
degin odrave and completed the								
1et.								
Good exterience.								
ger a veg a river a								
W W TOPS								
R. S. FERNANDES SENIOR MANAGER-SCM (OUTBOND)								
WOR MANAGER-SCHILL								
SENION								
	-							