SQL Queries (iii)

- Sets in SQL
- Bags in SQL
- The IN Operator
- The **EXISTS** Operator
- Quantifiers
- Union, Intersection, Difference
- Division
- Selection with Aggregation

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [0/13]

>>

Sets in SQL

The relational model is set-based

Set literals are written as (expr₁, expr₂, ...) (each expr_i yields an atomic value)

SQL query results are (more or less) sets of tuples or atomic values

Examples:

```
-- set literals
(1,2,3)         ('a','b','c','d')
-- set of atomic values
(select salary from Employees)
-- set of tuple values
(select id, name from Employees)
```

SQL provides a variety of set-based operators: \in , \cup , \cap , \neg , /, \exists , \forall , ...

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [1/13]

Bags in SQL

SQL query results are actually bags (multisets), allowing duplicates, e.g.

```
select age from Students;
-- yields (18,18,18,...19,19,19,19,...20,20,20,...)
```

Can convert bag to set (eliminate duplicates) using **DISTINCT**, e.g

```
select distinct age from Students;
```

SQL set operations UNION, INTERSECT, EXCEPT ...

- yield sets by default (i.e. eliminate duplicates)
- can produce bags with keyword ALL (e.g. UNION ALL)

```
(1,2,3) UNION (2,3,4) yields (1,2,3,4) (1,2,3) UNION ALL (2,3,4) yields (1,2,3,2,3,4)
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [2/13]

The IN Operator

Tests whether a specified tuple is contained in a relation (i.e. t∈R)

tuple IN relation is true iff the tuple is contained in the relation.

Conversely for tuple **NOT IN** relation.

Syntax:

SELECT *

FROM R

WHERE R.a IN (SELECT x FROM S WHERE Cond)

-- assume multiple results

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [3/13]

<<

The IN Operator (cont)

Example: Find the name and brewer of beers that John likes.

Subquery = "What are the names of the beers that John likes?"

Lord Nelson

(This and subsequent beer queries use an older smaller version of the Beer database)

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [4/13]

Three Sheets

Λ



The EXISTS Operator

EXISTS (*relation*) is true iff the relation is non-empty.

Example: Find the beers that are the unique beer by their manufacturer.

```
SELECT name, brewer

FROM Beers b1

WHERE NOT EXISTS

(SELECT *

FROM Beers b2

WHERE b2.brewer = b1.brewer

AND b2.name <> b1.name);
```

A subquery that refers to values from a surrounding query is called a correlated subquery.

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [5/13]

Quantifiers

<<

ANY and **ALL** behave as existential and universal quantifiers respectively.

Example: Find the beers sold for the highest price.

```
SELECT beer
FROM Sells
WHERE price >=
          ALL(SELECT price FROM sells);
```

Beware: in common use, "any" and "all" are often synonyms.

E.g. "I'm better than any of you" vs. "I'm better than all of you".

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [6/13]

Λ



Union, Intersection, Difference

SQL implements the standard set operations

R1 UNION R2 set of tuples in either R1 or R2

R1 INTERSECT R2 set of tuples in both R1 and R2

R1 EXCEPT R2 set of tuples in R1 but not R2

R1 and R2 must be union-compatible (i.e. same schema)

Union and intersection semantics are straightforward.

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [7/13]

<< //>// >.

Union, Intersection, Difference (cont)

Example: Find the drinkers and beers such that the drinker likes the beer and frequents a bar that sells it.

John | Three Sheets
Justin | Victoria Bitter

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [8/13]

<< / >>

Union, Intersection, Difference (cont)

Set difference is implemented by EXCEPT

3	-
Α	В
1	'a'
2	'b'
3	'a'

	Í
A	В
1	'a'
1	'b'
2	'a'

R except S

A	В
2	'b'
3	'a'

S except R

Α	В
1	'b'
2	'a'

Semantics of set difference: R except $S = \{x \in R, where x \notin S\}$

for each entry in R, if it is in S then don't include it in the result

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [9/13]

R except S: 1:a is in S so don't include 2:b is not in S, include 3:a is not in S, include

therefore result of R except S is 2:b, 3:a

S except R: 1:a is in R, so don't include 1:b is not in R, include 2:a is not in R, include

therefore result of S except R is 1:b, 2:a

Division

Division aims to find values in one table that occur in conjunction with all values in another table:

3	
A	В
1	'a'
2	'b'
3	'a'
1	'b'
2	'a'

<u>s</u>		
	В	
	'a'	
	'b'	

R/S	
Α	
1	
2	

can i assign every entry of this table to a key in the other table? i.e. can i assign both a and b to 1? yes. how about to 2? yes how about to 3? no! it can only be assigned a, it has no b entry. 3 doesn't divide out

Arises in queries like "Find Xs that are related to all Ys every Y"

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [10/13]

in table R, only 1 and 2 have an entry for both 1 and 2. 3 only has an entry with a, hence it is missing b and so wont show up in the division.

Division is a bit of a weird name. I like to think of it as "divided out" i.e. each entry of B can be assigned to the same entry in A in the other table i.e. i can give a to 1 and i can give b to 1, hence i can give all of the entries out and the division is ==1. For 3 on the other hand, i can only dish out the a, the b cannot be divided out, hence it is only 0.5 divided out, and hence not a proper division.

❖ Division (cont)

Not all SQL implementations provide a division operator

But can be achieved by combination of existing operations

Example: Find bars that each sell all of the beers Justin likes.

```
SELECT DISTINCT a.bar select all the bars (no duplicates) where

FROM Sells a

WHERE NOT EXISTS (

(SELECT beer FROM Likes

WHERE drinker = 'Justin') all the beers Justin likes

EXCEPT

S (SELECT beer FROM Sells b

WHERE bar = a.bar) all the beers that are sold at the current bar

);

i.e. is (all my liked beers) - (this bars beers) = 0?
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [11/13]

<<

remember the except is: for each beer in R, if it is also in S, remove from the resulting set. Hence if there is anything in the resulting set, then this bar doesn't sell all the beers he likes, hence the not exists returns false and this bar won't be included.

Another way of looking at it is the resulting set starts as being all the beers justin likes i.e. R. You then remove a beer from the resulting set if it is also in S. Hence there are at minimum 0 beers (all get removed), or the result set doesn't change from its initial state (i.e. it remains = R).

How is this a division? Well the bars are being divided by all beers justin likes i.e. only the bars that have a mapping to EVERY beer that justin likes will remain in the result set.

Λ

<<



Selection with Aggregation

Selection clauses can contain aggregation operations.

Example: What is the average price of New?

- the bag semantics of SQL gives the correct result here
- the price for New in all hotels will be included, even if two hotels sell it at the same price
- if we used set semantics, we'd get the average of all the different prices for New.

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [12/13]

<<

Selection with Aggregation (cont)

If we want set semantics, can force using **DISTINCT**.

Example: How many different bars sell beer?

SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT bar) Sells; **FROM**

count 6

Without **DISTINCT**, counts number of entries in the **Sells** table.

Aggregation operators on numbers: SUM, AVG, MIN, MAX,

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ SQL Queries (iii) ♦ [13/13]

SQL Queries (iii)

Produced: 4 Oct 2020