PLpgSQL (iii)

- PLpgSQL Functions (recap)
- Query results in PLpgSQL
- Dynamically Generated Queries
- Functions vs Views

COMP3311 20T3 \$ PLpgSQL (iii) \$ [0/11]

>>

∧ >:

PLpgSQL Functions (recap)

```
Defining PLpgSQL functions:
```

```
CREATE OR REPLACE

funcName(param1, param2, ...)

RETURNS rettype

AS $$

DECLARE

variable declarations

BEGIN

code for function

END;

$$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
```

COMP3311 20T3 \$ PLpgSQL (iii) \$ [1/11]

COMP3311 20T3 \$ PLpgSQL (iii) \$ [2/11]

Query results in PLpgSQL (cont)

Example: count the number of Employees earning more than min.salary

```
create or replace function
   well_paid(_minsal integer) returns integer
as $$
declare
   nemps integer := 0;
   tuple record; -- could also be tuple Employees;
begin
   for tuple in
       select * from Employees where salary > _minsal
   loop
       nemps := nemps + 1;
   end loop;
   return nemps;
end;
$$ language plpgsql;
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [3/11]

Query results in PLpgSQL (cont) Alternative to the above (but less efficient): create or replace function well paid(minsal integer) returns integer as \$\$ declare nemps integer := 0; tuple record; begin for tuple in select name, salary from Employees loop if (tuple.salary > minsal) then nemps := nemps + 1; end if; end loop; return nemps; end; \$\$ language plpgsql;

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [4/11]

If you can do the logic in the where condition of the query, then do that, because it is more efficient. It's not hard to see that we will return all employee records here, and so we are needlessly iterating over ones that don't factor into the answer, and we have to do the if check every loop because of it.

Query results in PLpgSQL (cont)

And the example could be done more simply (and efficiently) as:

```
create or replace function
   well_paid(_minsal integer) returns integer
as $$
declare
   nemps integer;
begin
   select count(*) into nemps
   from Employees where salary > _minsal
   return nemps;
end;
$$ language plpgsql;
```

COMP3311 20T3 \$ PLpgSQL (iii) \$ [5/11]

ayyy, I was thinking about using count!

now we don't need a loop at all!

I'm not sure if this is true, but perhaps you can think of the SQL queries as being O(1), and so putting the count and where condition into the query, instead of using a loop and if check, speeds it up by making it O(1) overall and not $O(n)^*O(1) = O(n)$ (with the loop and if check).

Dynamically Generated Queries

EXECUTE takes a string and executes it as an SQL query.

Examples:

Can be used in any context where an SQL query is expected

This mechanism allows us to construct queries "on the fly".

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [6/11]

Dynamically Generated Queries (cont)

Example: a wrapper for updating a single text field

```
create or replace function
    set(_table text, _attr text, _val text) returns void
as $$
declare
    query text;
begin
    query := 'update ' || quote_ident(_table);
    query := query || ' SET ' || quote_ident(_attr);
    query := query || ' = ' || quote_literal(_val);
    execute query;
end; $$ language plpgsql;
which could be used as e.g.
select set('branches', 'assets', '0.00');
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [7/11]

Dynamically Generated Queries (cont)

One limitation of **EXECUTE**:

• cannot use **select** into inside dynamic queries

Needs to be expressed instead as:

```
declare tuple R%rowtype; n int;
execute 'select * from R where id='||n into tuple;
-- or
declare x int; y int; z text;
execute 'select a,b,c from R where id='||n into x,y,z;
```

Notes:

- if query returns multiple tuples, first one is stored
- if query returns zero tuples, all nulls are stored

COMP3311 20T3 \$ PLpgSQL (iii) \$ [8/11]

Functions vs Views

A difference between views and functions returning a **SETOF**:

- **CREATE VIEW** produces a "virtual" table definition
- **SETOF** functions require an existing tuple type

In examples above, we used existing **Employees** tuple type.

In general, you need to define the tuple return type via

```
create type TupleType as ( attr_1 type<sub>1</sub>, ... attr_n type<sub>n</sub> );
```

Other major differences between **setof** functions and views ...

- functions have parameters; views don't (although where might help)
- functions are "run-time" objects; views are interpolated into queries

 $\mathsf{COMP3311}\,\mathsf{20T3} \diamond \mathsf{PLpgSQL}\,\mathsf{(iii)} \diamond \mathsf{[9/11]}$

<< \ \ >>

Functions vs Views (cont)

Another example of function returning setof tuples ...

```
create type EmpInfo as (name text, pay integer);
create or replace function
   richEmps(_minsal integer) returns setof EmpInfo
as $$
declare
                 info EmpInfo;
   emp record;
begin
   for emp in
      select * from Employees where salary > minsal
   loop
      info.name := emp.name;
      info.pay := emp.salary;
      return next info;
   end loop;
end; $$ language plpgsql;
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [10/11]

< /

Functions vs Views (cont)

Using the function ...

```
select * from richEmps(100000);
```

versus a view

```
create or repalce view richEmps(name,pay) as view is hard-coded select name, salary from Employees where salary > 100000;
```

```
select * from richEmps; -- but no scope for different salary
```

versus an SQL function

```
create or replace function
   richEmps(_minsal integer) returns setof EmpInfo
as $$
select name, salary from Employees where salary > _minsal;
$$ language sql;
```

COMP3311 20T3 ♦ PLpgSQL (iii) ♦ [11/11]

Produced: 10 Oct 2020