

Quant. Comp. HW - 2

Steven MacCoun

Oct. 18, 2005

1 Simon's Problem

(a) There are 128 possible outputs

(b) See attached source code:

```
0011001100001110100110000011111110111101100000010000001001110011010100001
110001001000001110111000101001001011001010010010010001
```

67866407927622586744034130520498283665

(c) I reported an average of 152 trials

(d) For the first query there are $(1/2) * 2^n = 2^{n-1}$ possible outputs, since only half will dot with a to zero. All of these except zero will be LI, so there are $2^{n-1} - 1$ valid strings, making the probability on the first try $(2^{n-1} - 1)/2^{n-1}$ and so the number of queries is $2^{n-1}/(2^{n-1} - 1)$. For the next string, the only LD vectors are 0 and the previous one, so the expected number of queries for the second string is $2^{n-1}/(2^{n-1} - 2)$. So, at each stage, the number of valid strings is $2^{n-1} - (numPrevStrings + 1)$, so for the last string it should be $2^{n-1}/(2^{n-1} - 2^{n-2}) = 2^{n-1}/2^{n-2} = 2$

2 Modular Exponentiation

Here was my python code:

```
def successive_squaring(base, exponent, modulus):
    e = 1
    vals = {}
```

```

while e <= exponent:
    sq = str(pow(base, e, modulus))
    vals[e] = sq
    print base , "^" , e, " " , sq
    e *= 2

ex = exponent
keys = sorted(vals)
print keys

i = len(keys)-1
total = 1
while ex > 1:
    k = keys[i]
    i -= 1
    if ex - k < 0:
        continue
    else:
        total = (total*int(vals[k])) % modulus
        ex -= k

print total

successive_squaring(1234, 1234*1234, int(math.pow(10,10)))

```

And the output was:

3102217216.0

3 RSA Misuse

I first tried to solve this as strictly a math problem, but had little success, in large part because I thought that the $\text{gcd}(e_1, e_2)$ was somehow irrelevant to the problem. However, I noticed that normally the exponents are the same value when performing RSA, so I scoured google to see if there was some well known attack where you have a common modulus with different attacks. Turns out that it is fairly well documented, and Simmons wrote a paper on it a while back.

The basic idea is: Since

$$\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$$

, then

$$\exists u, v \text{ s.t. } e_1 * u + e_2 * v = 1$$

To solve for u and v, I used the extended Euclidean algorithm. I then raise each side to u and v

$$c1^u = (M^{e1})^u \bmod n$$

$$c2^v = (M^{e2})^v \bmod n$$

$$c1^u * c2^v = (M^{e1})^u * (M^{e2})^v \bmod n = M^{e1*u+e2*v} \bmod n = M \bmod n$$

Because my modular exponentiation code can't handle negatives, note that $c2^{-v^{-1}} \bmod n = c2^{n+v} \bmod n$ Using my attached code, I computed

3212790810508942120998734201487211474076472537783700137
22534356594819460385825586617211817817458902529441531

4 Prime factorization

Problem: Consider $n=121932632103337941464563328643500519$

(a) How many bits is n?

```
print len(str(121932632103337941464563328643500519))
```

Output:

36

(b) Find if n is prime with program that runs in less than one second.

```
def miller_rabin_pass(a, s, d, n):
    a_to_power = pow(a, d, n)
    if a_to_power == 1:
        return True
    for i in xrange(s-1):
        if a_to_power == n - 1:
            return True
```

```

        a_to_power = (a_to_power * a_to_power) % n
    return a_to_power == n - 1

def miller_rabin(n):
    #compute s and d
    d = n - 1
    s = 0
    while d % 2 == 0:
        d >>= 1
        s += 1

    #Run several miller_rabin passes
    for repeat in xrange(20):
        a = randint(2, n-1)
        if not miller_rabin_pass(a, s, d, n):
            return False

    return True

print miller_rabin(n)

```

False

(c) Simple trial divisions only need to try up to \sqrt{n} in the worst case.
So

$O(2^{n/2})$

(d)

(e) See attached code