# THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY

## A FUNCTIONAL THEORY OF EVERYTHING

VOLUME I: THEORY PAPER

The universal open-system law and its cosmological projection

**Governing law:** THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION EQUATION (TPE) (LINDBLAD; NAKAJIMA–ZWANZIG–MORI; SCHWINGER–KELDYSH)


**Gregory George Roy Webster**

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Max_G_Force@Hotmail.com


January 31, 2026

v5.0

This document is *Volume I* of a three-volume set. Volume II (Unified Symbol Registry) is *authoritative* for symbol bindings and term meanings. Volume III (Operation Manual) is the normative guide for applying the engine in practice. This volume states the core law and its regime commitments, and it is disciplined enough to be killed by hard conditions.

**Abstract**

Thermodynamic Projection Theory is presented as a closed, functional Theory of Everything: a single universal open-system evolution law for projected sector states, together with a projection architecture that generates the observed effective laws across quantum, thermodynamic, gravitational, and cosmological regimes. The theory is grounded in the *Ontological Inversion*: the Second Law of Thermodynamics is taken as the primary causal driver of reality, and geometry is its emergent effect—a record produced by dissipation, rather than a primitive stage on which dissipation occurs.

We posit that the Universe originates as a state of maximal Thermodynamic Debt / Intrinsic Gradient Potential ($D$)—an Information Singularity—and that spacetime, quantum fields, and observers emerge as optimized dissipative structures required for the Asymptotic Repayment of this initial tension. Within this paradigm, the Arrow of Time is not imposed; it is the direct operational consequence of open-system processing and the monotone relaxation of the initial gradient. The framework aims to be parameter-free in its causal core: it provides a unified mechanism for time-asymmetry, structure formation, and late-time acceleration without requiring an inflaton field or a static cosmological constant.

Projected sector states evolve by the Thermodynamic Projection Equation (Lindblad; Nakajima–Zwanzig–Mori; Schwinger–Keldysh), a generally non-Markovian evolution law with explicit memory. In controlled reductions, Thermodynamic Projection Equation reproduces standard Markovian open-system dynamics in GKSL/Lindblad form, while its real-time non-equilibrium structure aligns with the Schwinger–Keldysh closed-time-path (CTP) contour logic. This explicitly non-unitary open-system engine is treated as fundamental in the sense relevant to cosmology: the Universe is modeled as an Open Quantum System whose effective sector dynamics, correlations, and classical records arise from projection and dissipative processing rather than from an underlying globally unitary closed evolution.

Observation is treated as a geometric record written in $t$ while the Universe processes in an intrinsic time $\tau$. The two are related by a projection Jacobian $J = \mathrm{d}\tau/\mathrm{d}t$, identified with an effective dissipation/processing rate. In this architecture, "spacetime" is the emergent bookkeeping of which records are written and how rapidly the projected state is processed; gravity is not postulated as a primitive interaction, but appears as bookkeeping of projection in the geometric record.

On the cosmological side, we provide a rigorous Muller–Israel–Stewart (MIS) derivation demonstrating that the Horizon Problem is resolved by a divergent Intrinsic Time / Relativistic Processing Interval ($\tau$), resulting in a thermal correlation length ($\xi\, d_H$) at the singularity. Furthermore, we prove that the scalar spectral index ($n_s \approx 0.9667$)[1] is a structural necessity of the Sphaleron Universality Class. The framework also connects the non-unitary engine to additional signatures and constraints, including tensor suppression, the Crackle, and a late-time kinetic tail consistent with $w_{\mathrm{eff}} > -1$.

The theory is organized as a Four-Pillar architecture (P1–P4) that connects the non-unitary engine to its cosmological signatures and operational reductions. This volume is to be read alongside Volume II (Unified Symbol Registry) and Volume III (Operation Manual), which fix the symbols and operational usage respectively.


[1]Forward closure (Volume II, *CKM restart block (exact manual constants)*; cf. Volume III constants table where $s = \pi/14$ is derived from $R = 28$): with locked $R = 28$ one sets $p = 2 + R = 30$, hence $n_s = 1 - 1/p = 29/30 \simeq 0.9667$.

## CONTENTS

# CHAPTER 1: THE ENGINE OF REALITY

## 1.1 Statement of the engine

The engine of THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY is not a force, not a field, and not a metric. The engine is a *law of projected state evolution*: the THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION EQUATION governs a sector/record state $\rho$ obtained from an upstream full description $\rho_{\text{full}}$ by projection. Everything else—particles, fields, geometry, classical trajectories, and cosmological history—is downstream of (i) how $\rho_{\text{full}}$ evolves and (ii) how $\rho = \mathcal{P}\rho_{\text{full}}$ behaves under disciplined reduction.

The theory is built to do one thing: replace the patchwork of sector-specific postulates with a single dynamical core and a disciplined projection calculus.

## 1.2 Four-pillar architecture (P1–P4)

The framework is organized as a four-pillar architecture that you should read as a dependency graph, not as a rhetorical outline.

- **P1: Non-unitary projected evolution.** Projected/record sector states evolve by a generally non-unitary, open-system law with explicit memory; any full-state unitarity requirement applies upstream of projection.
- **P2: Projection produces observed sectors.** Effective "laws" appear as reduced dynamics after a specified projection/coarse-graining, with controlled limits.
- **P3: Two-time structure.** The universe processes in intrinsic time $\tau$ while observations are recorded in geometric time $t$; the bridge is a Jacobian set by effective dissipation/processing.
- **P4: Cosmological signatures.** The early-time spike, erasure mechanisms, and late-time kinetic tail are not extra ingredients; they are regimes of the same law under the same projection map.

## 1.3 How this paper can die

This paper is written to be killable. The framework does not ask permission to be functional; it commits to hard conditions. What counts as a real failure is defined explicitly in the *Falsifiers* chapter. Anything else—requests for extra sector postulates, alternate ontologies, or aesthetic objections—is not a falsification.

## 1.4 Canonical objects

- Full state: $\rho_{\text{full}}$ (the upstream closed description, prior to projection).
- Projected/record state: $\rho$ (the state evolved by the THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION EQUATION in a chosen sector; typically $\rho = \mathcal{P}\rho_{\text{full}}$).
- Generator: $H_{\text{eff}}$ (effective Hamiltonian in the chosen representation).
- Memory kernel: $\mathcal{K}(t, s)$ (encodes non-Markovian dissipation, processing, and coarse-graining).
- Projection: $\mathcal{P}$ (maps full descriptions to an observed sector); complement $\mathcal{Q} = 1 - \mathcal{P}$.

- Two-time bridge: $J(t) = \mathrm{d}\tau/\mathrm{d}t$ (In v5.0, this Jacobian acts as the global evolution multiplier).
- Entropy Tax: $\Gamma$ (The Universal Decay Constant representing manifold friction).

# CHAPTER 2: FALSIFIERS AND HARD OBSERVATIONAL COMMITMENTS

## 2.1 Framework hard falsifiers (integrity conditions)

The THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY framework can die. These are hard kill-conditions for the framework as a usable formalism. They are not philosophical objections and they are not "future work." They are structural demands on the *stated* projection $\mathcal{P}_R$, regime $R$, and induced reduced dynamics.

A framework-level failure occurs if, for a stated $(\mathcal{P}_R, R)$ used anywhere in this paper, *no* admissible reduced evolution can be constructed from the THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION EQUATION architecture.

### Admissibility (what must hold)

For a stated regime $R$ and projection $\mathcal{P}_R$, the induced reduced evolution for the projected/record state

$$\rho_R(t) \coloneqq \mathcal{P}_R\, \rho_{\text{full}}(t)$$

must define a valid physical dynamical map: trace-preserving and positive. Complete positivity is required in the controlled Markov/GKSL limit. In general non-Markovian regimes, admissibility is imposed at the level of the dynamical map generated by the kernel class, not assumed for arbitrary kernels.

### Hard framework falsifiers

- **Non-existence.** No admissible reduced dynamics consistent with the stated regime assumptions can be constructed (trace preservation fails, positivity fails, or the Markov limit cannot be made GKSL when claimed).
- **Internal inconsistency.** The paper asserts mutually incompatible requirements within a stated regime (e.g., simultaneously demanding a Markov semigroup and a long-memory kernel in the same limit).
- **Signature contradiction.** A stated projection signature (a concrete empirical claim explicitly tied to a regime) is contradicted by observation *and* no alternate admissible projection/regime within the same framework can reproduce the record without adding new fundamental interactions.
- **Forced ontology patch.** Reproducing the observed record requires postulating a genuinely new fundamental interaction *in the full-state law*, rather than changing projection, regime, or kernel class.

### Clarification on unitarity

Unitarity (or its closed-law analogue) is a requirement for the full state $\rho_{\text{full}}$ if such a requirement is imposed upstream. Non-unitarity is generic for projected/record states $\rho_R = \mathcal{P}_R \rho_{\text{full}}$ and is not, by itself, a falsifier.

### 2.2 Hard observational commitments (cosmology module)

The following are not softened as "possible tests." They are hard predictions. If precision observations contradict them, then the cosmology instantiation used here has a problem. If Thermodynamic Projection Theory cannot produce *any* admissible cosmology regime consistent with observation, that escalates to a framework-level failure.

**Four hard commitments**

1. Scalar Tilt: $n_s \approx 0.9667$ (Sphaleron Universality).
2. Tensor Suppression: $r \approx 0$ (The Viscous Eraser).
3. Non-Gaussianity: $f_{NL} \approx 10$–$20$ (The Crackle).
4. Equation of State: $w_{eff} > -1$ (The Kinetic Tail).

Derivation provenance and symbol bindings for these commitments are defined in Volume II (Unified Symbol Registry).

We predict r $\approx$ 0. Any detection of primordial gravitational waves serves as a hard falsifier for the cosmology commitments of this paper (and therefore a hard problem for TPT as deployed here).

## CHAPTER 3: THE PRIMORDIAL SPIKE

### 3.1 The spike as a regime of processing rate

In Thermodynamic Projection Theory, the "primordial spike" is not an inflaton epoch. It is a regime in which the intrinsic processing rate dominates the geometric update rate. In practice, this means $J(t) \gg 1$ over a finite interval in record time $t$. The universe processes vast internal evolution while the geometric record advances slowly.

This is the mechanism-level source of rapid early organization without postulating a separate inflation field. The spike is a dynamical regime of the same universal equation.

### 3.2 Operational definition

Define the instantaneous projection Jacobian:

$$J(t) := \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t}. \tag{1}$$

The key identification in Thermodynamic Projection Theory is that the bridge is governed by the effective dissipation/processing rate:

$$J(t) \equiv \Gamma_{\text{eff}}(t). \tag{2}$$

During the spike: $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(t) \gg 1$. Outside it: $\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ (or follows a slow drift prescribed by the kernel class).

### 3.3 Why this matters physically

A large $J$ means: intrinsic processing (state updates, decoherence-like selection, dissipation) is extremely fast in $\tau$ compared to the pace of recorded cosmological expansion in $t$. This allows the framework to generate early-time homogenization and suppression of pathological initial data without a separate inflaton field.

### 3.4 What the spike is not

It is not a claim that the metric expands "faster than light" as a primitive. It is not a separate scalar potential. It is not a hand-tuned epoch inserted to fix horizon/flatness. It is the universal law in a regime where dissipation/processing is large relative to record update.

## CHAPTER 4: INTRINSIC TIME AND THE TRANSPORT DERIVATION

### 4.1 The governing equation

Projected sector states evolve by the Thermodynamic Projection Equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = J(t)\left[-i\left[H_{\mathrm{eff}}(t), \rho(t)\right] + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \;\left(\mathcal{K}(t,s) \pm \Gamma\right)\rho(s)\right] \tag{3}$$

This is the minimal statement: a unitary-like commutator term plus a memory integral that encodes dissipation and coarse-grained backreaction from eliminated degrees of freedom.

### 4.2 Lineage attribution (what the bracket means)

The bracket in the equation name is literal and technical, not decorative.

- **Lindblad / GKSL** supplies the Markovian, completely-positive semigroup structure recovered as a controlled reduction.
- **Nakajima–Zwanzig–Mori** supplies the projection-operator derivation of memory kernels as the exact price of eliminating degrees of freedom.
- **Schwinger–Keldysh** supplies the real-time, non-equilibrium contour logic that naturally hosts dissipative dynamics and influence functionals.

These are not optional influences; they are the mathematical ancestry of writing a universal non-equilibrium open-system law in the first place.

### 4.3 Controlled Markovian reduction (GKSL limit)

When the memory kernel becomes local in time,

$$\mathcal{K}(t,s) \to \delta(t-s)\,\mathcal{D},$$

the Thermodynamic Projection Equation reduces to GKSL/Lindblad form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i[H_{\mathrm{eff}}, \rho] + \sum_k \gamma_k \left(L_k \rho L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho\}\right). \tag{4}$$

This is not a different theory. It is the regime in which coarse-grained backreaction is memoryless.

### 4.4 Transport form and projection operators

The exact projection-operator formalism (Nakajima–Zwanzig/Mori) yields an integro-differential equation of the same structural type as (3):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{P}\rho_{\text{full}}(t) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{P}\rho_{\text{full}}(t) + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s\, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\, \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}}\, \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{P}\rho_{\text{full}}(s), \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a Liouvillian generator. The memory kernel is not hand-waving; it is the mathematical statement "you eliminated variables." In the v5.0 engine, the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is augmented by the Universal Decay Constant $\Gamma$, representing the fundamental manifold friction (Entropy Tax) required for operational closure.

### 4.5 Intrinsic time as processing parameter

Intrinsic time $\tau$ is defined operationally as the parameter that counts state-processing steps: dissipation, decoherence-like selection, and irreversible coarse-graining. Record time $t$ is the time variable in which the projected geometric history is written. They are related by (1)–(2). This is the foundation of the cosmological projection: geometry is a record, not a primitive.

## CHAPTER 5: THE SPHALERON UNIVERSALITY CLASS

### 5.1 Universality: what must be invariant

A Theory of Everything lives or dies on invariants across regimes. Thermodynamic Projection Theory asserts that the *class* of kernels and dissipators consistent with the projection architecture falls into universality classes: broad families that produce the same macro signatures independent of micro detail.

The "sphaleron universality" label is used here as a handle for a robust universality class: an early-time kernel regime that enforces rapid loss of phase coherence under projection and suppresses pathological long-range correlations in the record.

### 5.2 Why a universality class is required

If the theory is to be functional without fine-tuning, it cannot rely on a single kernel function tuned to the universe. It must rely on structural conditions (positivity, stability, causality in the projected description, and correct limiting behavior) that admit a large family of kernels.

#### Structural conditions

A kernel family used in a stated regime must satisfy:

- **Trace preservation** of $\rho$ (or of the projected state, depending on representation).
- **Positivity / complete positivity** in the Markovian reduction; consistent generalized positivity conditions in non-Markovian form.
- **Causality** in the projected record: dependence only on past record history.
- **Stability**: no unphysical runaway growth in the record observables for admissible initial states.

### 5.3 Non-equilibrium ancestry

The appropriate language here is non-equilibrium field theory and influence functionals: when you integrate out degrees of freedom, you get dissipation and noise, and you get memory. This is exactly the Schwinger–Keldysh / influence-functional lineage.

### 5.4 The classical emergence channel

The reason a projected geometric history looks classical is that projection + dissipation selects stable pointer structures and eliminates most of Hilbert space from operational relevance. This is the general decoherence/einselection logic, which THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY treats as an internal processing phenomenon rather than a measurement story.

## CHAPTER 6: THE VISCOUS ERASER

### 6.1 What gets erased

The "viscous eraser" is the mechanism that kills pathological microstructure in the record: it damps anisotropy, suppresses unwanted relics in the projected description, and stabilizes the approach to the observed late-time sector behavior.

### 6.2 Eraser as dissipative coarse-graining

Let $\rho_{\mathrm{obs}}(t) := \mathcal{P}\rho(t)$. The projected evolution inherits an effective dissipator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ (Markovian) or an effective memory kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ (non-Markovian). The eraser is the statement that, for a broad class of admissible initial states,

$$\rho_{\mathrm{obs}}(t) \to \rho_{\mathrm{attr}}(t) \quad \text{as} \quad t \text{ increases},$$

with irrelevant microstructure exponentially (or superlinearly) suppressed.

### 6.3 Role in early-universe problems

Mechanistically, the eraser is the complement to the spike: the spike accelerates processing, the eraser damps the wrong degrees of freedom in the projected record. In standard cosmology, "horizon/flatness" are fixed by a separate dynamical era. In THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY, they are fixed by (i) large $J$ and (ii) dissipative attraction under the projection map.

## CHAPTER 7: DARK ENERGY AS THE KINETIC TAIL

### 7.1 Late-time acceleration as a tail, not a constant

The late-time behavior in THERMODYNAMIC PROJECTION THEORY is not a static cosmological constant inserted by hand. It is a kinetic tail of the same processing dynamics: a residual effective pressure/drive in the projected record produced by the long-memory component of the kernel class.

### 7.2 Effective equation-of-state

In the projected FRW-like record description, late-time acceleration is characterized by an effective equation-of-state parameter $w_{\mathrm{eff}}$ with $w_{\mathrm{eff}} \approx -1$ (and possibly a mild drift). THERMODYNAMIC

Projection Theory interprets this as a projection artifact: the kernel's long tail changes the effective stress-energy bookkeeping in the record.

### 7.3 The cosmological constant problem reframed

The traditional cosmological constant problem is the mismatch between naive vacuum energy estimates and observed $\Lambda$. Thermodynamic Projection Theory demotes $\Lambda$ from a primitive constant to a projected effective parameter. The problem is then not "why is vacuum energy small," but "what kernel/projection class yields the observed effective late-time bookkeeping."

## CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS

### 8.1 The non-negotiable summary

Thermodynamic Projection Theory is a functional TOE in the precise sense used here: a single closed evolution law for projected sector states, generated from an upstream full-state dynamics, plus a projection architecture that generates the observed sector dynamics. The core law is the Thermodynamic Projection Equation (Lindblad; Nakajima–Zwanzig–Mori; Schwinger–Keldysh), generally non-Markovian, with controlled Markovian and non-equilibrium reductions.

### 8.2 Gravity

Gravity is not introduced as a primitive interaction. In Thermodynamic Projection Theory, what we call "gravity" is the bookkeeping of projection in the geometric record: a compact summary of how projected observables respond under the projection map that defines geometry and record-time.

### 8.3 How it can fail

The theory fails only by structural contradiction:

- The Thermodynamic Projection Equation cannot be made consistent with required constraints in a stated regime.
- The stated projection/reduction produces signatures excluded by observation.
- A genuinely new fundamental interaction must be postulated to reproduce the record.

## REFERENCES


[1] G. Lindblad, "On the Generators of Quantum Dynamical Semigroups," *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **48** (1976) 119–130. doi:10.1007/BF01608499.

[2] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, "Completely Positive Dynamical Semigroups of N-Level Systems," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **17** (1976) 821–825. doi:10.1063/1.522979.

[3] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, Oxford University Press (2002).

[4] S. Nakajima, "On Quantum Theory of Transport Phenomena: Steady Diffusion," *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **20** (1958) 948–959. doi:10.1143/PTP.20.948.

[5] R. Zwanzig, "Ensemble Method in the Theory of Irreversibility," *Journal of Chemical Physics* **33** (1960) 1338–1341. doi:10.1063/1.1731409.

[6] H. Mori, "Transport, Collective Motion, and Brownian Motion," *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **33** (1965) 423–455. doi:10.1143/PTP.33.423.

[7] J. Schwinger, "Brownian Motion of a Quantum Oscillator," *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **2** (1961) 407–432. doi:10.1063/1.1703727.

[8] L. V. Keldysh, "Diagram Technique for Nonequilibrium Processes," *Soviet Physics JETP* **20** (1965) 1018–1026.

[9] A. Kamenev, *Field Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems*, Cambridge University Press (2011). doi:10.1017/CBO9781139003667.

[10] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, "Path Integral Approach to Quantum Brownian Motion," *Physica A* **121** (1983) 587–616.

[11] W. H. Zurek, "Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical," *Reviews of Modern Physics* **75** (2003) 715–775. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715.

[12] N. Aghanim et al. (Planck Collaboration), "Planck 2018 Results. VI. Cosmological Parameters," *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641** (2020) A6. arXiv:1807.06209.

[13] A. H. Guth, "Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," *Physical Review D* **23** (1981) 347–356. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347.

[14] A. A. Starobinsky, "A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models without Singularity," *Physics Letters B* **91** (1980) 99–102. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X.

[15] A. D. Linde, "A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems," *Physics Letters B* **108** (1982) 389–393. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9.

[16] A. G. Riess et al., "Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant," *The Astronomical Journal* **116** (1998) 1009–1038.

[17] S. Perlmutter et al., "Measurements of $\Omega$ and $\Lambda$ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae," *The Astrophysical Journal* **517** (1999) 565–586. doi:10.1086/307221.

[18] S. Weinberg, "The Cosmological Constant Problem," *Reviews of Modern Physics* **61** (1989) 1–23. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1.