

Dynamic Programming

Bjarki Ágúst Guðmundsson Tómas Ken Magnússon

Árangursrík forritun og lausn verkefna

School of Computer Science Reykjavík University

Today we're going to cover

• Dynamic Programming

What is dynamic programming?

- A problem solving paradigm
- Similar in some respects to both divide and conquer and backtracking
- Divide and conquer recap:
 - Split the problem into independent subproblems
 - Solve each subproblem recursively
 - Combine the solutions to subproblems into a solution for the given problem
- Dynamic programming:
 - Split the problem into overlapping subproblems
 - Solve each subproblem recursively
 - Combine the solutions to subproblems into a solution for the given problem
 - Don't compute the answer to the same subproblem more than once

Dynamic programming formulation

- Formulate the problem in terms of smaller versions of the problem (recursively)
- 2. Turn this formulation into a recursive function
- 3. Memoize the function (remember results that have been computed)

Dynamic programming formulation

```
map<problem, value> memory;
value dp(problem P) {
    if (is base case(P)) {
        return base_case_value(P);
    }
    if (memory.find(P) != memory.end()) {
        return memory[P];
    value result = some value;
    for (problem Q in subproblems(P)) {
        result = combine(result, dp(Q));
    memory[P] = result;
    return result;
```

The first two numbers in the Fibonacci sequence are 1 and 1. All other numbers in the sequence are defined as the sum of the previous two numbers in the sequence.

- Task: Find the *n*th number in the Fibonacci sequence
- Let's solve this with dynamic programming
- Formulate the problem in terms of smaller versions of the problem (recursively)

```
fibonacci(1) = 1


fibonacci(2) = 1

fibonacci(n) = fibonacci(n-2) + fibonacci(n-1)
```


2. Turn this formulation into a recursive function

```
int fibonacci(int n) {
   if (n <= 2) {
      return 1;
   }
   int res = fibonacci(n - 2) + fibonacci(n - 1);
   return res;
}</pre>
```

• What is the time complexity of this?



• What is the time complexity of this? Exponential, almost $O(2^n)$



3. Memoize the function (remember results that have been computed)

```
map<int, int> mem;
int fibonacci(int n) {
    if (n \le 2) {
        return 1;
    }
    if (mem.find(n) != mem.end()) {
        return mem[n];
    }
    int res = fibonacci(n - 2) + fibonacci(n - 1);
    mem[n] = res;
    return res;
```

```
int mem[1000];
for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
    mem[i] = -1;
int fibonacci(int n) {
    if (n \le 2) {
       return 1;
    if (mem[n] != -1) {
       return mem[n];
    int res = fibonacci(n - 2) + fibonacci(n - 1);
    mem[n] = res;
    return res;
```


- What is the time complexity now?
- We have n possible inputs to the function: 1, 2, ..., n.
- Each input will either:
 - be computed, and the result saved
 - be returned from memory
- Each input will be computed at most once
- Time complexity is $O(n \times f)$, where f is the time complexity of computing an input if we assume that the recursive calls are returned directly from memory (O(1))
- Since we're only doing constant amount of work to compute the answer to an input, f = O(1)
- Total time complexity is O(n)

• Given an array arr[0], arr[1], ..., arr[n-1] of integers, find the interval with the highest sum

• Given an array arr[0], arr[1], ..., arr[n-1] of integers, find the interval with the highest sum

• The maximum sum of an interval in this array is 13

• Given an array arr[0], arr[1], ..., arr[n-1] of integers, find the interval with the highest sum



- The maximum sum of an interval in this array is 13
- But how do we solve this in general?
 - Easy to loop through all $\approx n^2$ intervals, and calculate their sums, but that is $O(n^3)$
 - We could use our static range sum trick to get this down to $O(n^2)$
 - Can we do better with dynamic programming?

- First step is to formulate this recursively
- Let $\max_{\underline{}} \operatorname{sum}(i)$ be the maximum sum interval in the range $0, \ldots, i$
- Base case: $\max_{\underline{}} \operatorname{sum}(0) = \max(0, \operatorname{arr}[0])$
- What about max_sum(i)?
- What does $\max_{i} \operatorname{sum}(i-1)$ return?
- Is it possible to combine solutions to subproblems with smaller *i* into a solution for *i*?
- At least it's not obvious...

- Let's try changing perspective
- Let max_sum(i) be the maximum sum interval in the range 0,..., i, that ends at i
- Base case: $\max_{0} = \min(0) = arr[0]$
- $\max_{\text{sum}(i)} = \max(arr[i], arr[i] + \max_{\text{sum}(i-1)})$
- Then the answer is just $\max_{0 \le i < n} \{ \max_{sum}(i) \}$

• Next step is to turn this into a function

```
int arr[1000];
int max_sum(int i) {
    if (i == 0) {
        return arr[i];
    }
    int res = max(arr[i], arr[i] + max_sum(i - 1));
    return res;
```

• Final step is to memoize the function

```
int arr[1000];
int mem[1000];
bool comp[1000];
memset(comp, 0, sizeof(comp));
int max_sum(int i) {
    if (i == 0) {
        return arr[i];
    if (comp[i]) {
        return mem[i];
    int res = max(arr[i], arr[i] + max_sum(i - 1));
    mem[i] = res;
    comp[i] = true;
    return res;
```

• Then the answer is just the maximum over all interval ends

```
int maximum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    maximum = max(maximum, best_sum(i));
}
printf("%d\n", maximum);</pre>
```

• If you want to find the maximum sum interval in multiple arrays, remember to clear the memory in between

- What about time complexity?
- There are *n* possible inputs to the function
- Each input is processed in O(1) time, assuming recursive calls are O(1)
- Time complexity is O(n)

- Given an array of coin denominations d₀, d₁, ..., d_{n-1}, and some amount x: What is minimum number of coins needed to represent the value x?
- Remember the greedy algorithm for Coin change?
- It didn't always give the optimal solution, and sometimes it didn't even give a solution at all...
- What about dynamic programming?

- First step: formulate the problem recursively
- Let $\operatorname{opt}(i,x)$ denote the minimum number of coins needed to represent the value x if we're only allowed to use coin denominations d_0, \ldots, d_i
- Base case: $opt(i, x) = \infty$ if x < 0
- Base case: opt(i, 0) = 0
- Base case: $opt(-1, x) = \infty$
- opt $(i,x) = \min \begin{cases} 1 + \text{opt}(i,x-d_i) \\ \text{opt}(i-1,x) \end{cases}$

```
int INF = 100000;
int d[10];
int opt(int i, int x) {
    if (x < 0) return INF;
    if (x == 0) return 0;
    if (i == -1) return INF;
    int res = INF;
    res = min(res, 1 + opt(i, x - d[i]));
    res = min(res, opt(i - 1, x));
    return res;
```

```
int INF = 100000;
int d[10];
int mem[10][10000];
memset(mem, -1, sizeof(mem));
int opt(int i, int x) {
    if (x < 0) return INF;
    if (x == 0) return 0;
    if (i == -1) return INF;
    if (mem[i][x] != -1) return mem[i][x];
    int res = INF;
    res = min(res, 1 + opt(i, x - d[i]));
    res = min(res, opt(i - 1, x));
    mem[i][x] = res;
    return res;
```

- Time complexity?
- Number of possible inputs are $n \times x$
- ullet Each input will be processed in O(1) time, assuming recursive calls are constant
- Total time complexity is $O(n \times x)$

- How do we know which coins the optimal solution used?
- We can store backpointers, or some extra information, to trace backwards through the states
- See example...

- Given an array a[0], a[1], ..., a[n-1] of integers, what is the length of the longest increasing subsequence?
- First, what is a subsequence?
- If we delete zero or more elements from a, then we have a subsequence of a
- Example: a = [5, 1, 8, 1, 9, 2]
- [5, 8, 9] is a subsequence
- [1,1] is a subsequence
- [5, 1, 8, 1, 9, 2] is a subsequence
- [] is a subsequence
- [8,5] is **not** a subsequence
- [10] is **not** a subsequence

- Given an array a[0], a[1], ..., a[n-1] of integers, what is the length of the longest increasing subsequence?
- An increasing subsequence of a is a subsequence of a such that the elements are in (strictly) increasing order
- [5,8,9] and [1,8,9] are the longest increasing subsequences of a=[5,1,8,1,9,2]
- How do we compute the length of the longest increasing subsequence?
- There are 2^n subsequences, so we can go through all of them
- That would result in an $O(n2^n)$ algorithm, which can only handle $n \le 23$
- What about dynamic programming?

- Let lis(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of the array $a[0], \ldots, a[i]$
- Base case: lis(0) = 1
- What about lis(i)?
- We have the same issue as in the maximum sum problem, so let's try changing perspective

- Let lis(i) denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of the array $a[0], \ldots, a[i]$, that ends at i
- Base case: we don't need one
- $\operatorname{lis}(i) = \max(1, \max_{j < i \text{ s.t. } a[j] < a[i]} \{1 + \operatorname{lis}(j)\})$

```
int a[1000];
int mem[1000];
memset(mem, -1, sizeof(mem));
int lis(int i) {
    if (mem[i] != -1) {
        return mem[i];
    int res = 1;
    for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {
        if (a[j] < a[i]) {</pre>
            res = max(res, 1 + lis(j));
    mem[i] = res;
    return res;
```

 And then the longest increasing subsequence can be found by checking all endpoints:

```
int mx = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    mx = max(mx, lis(i));
}
printf("%d\n", mx);</pre>
```

- Time complexity?
- There are *n* possible inputs
- Each input is computed in O(n) time, assuming recursive calls are O(1)
- Total time complexity is $O(n^2)$
- This will be fast enough for $n \le 10\,000$, much better than the brute force method!

- Given two strings (or arrays of integers) $a[0], \ldots, a[n-1]$ and $b[0], \ldots, b[m-1]$, find the length of the longest subsequence that they have in common.
- a = "bananinn"
- b = "kaninan"
- ullet The longest common subsequence of a and b, "aninn", has length 5

- Let lcs(i, j) be the length of the longest common subsequence of the strings $a[0], \ldots, a[i]$ and $b[0], \ldots, b[j]$
- Base case: lcs(-1, j) = 0
- Base case: lcs(i, -1) = 0

•
$$lcs(i,j) = max$$

$$\begin{cases}
lcs(i,j-1) \\
lcs(i-1,j) \\
1 + lcs(i-1,j-1)
\end{cases} if a[i] = b[j]$$

```
string a = "bananinn",
       b = "kaninan";
int mem[1000][1000];
memset(mem, -1, sizeof(mem));
int lcs(int i, int j) {
    if (i == -1 || i == -1) {
        return 0:
    if (mem[i][j] != -1) {
        return mem[i][j];
    int res = 0:
    res = max(res, lcs(i, j - 1));
    res = max(res, lcs(i - 1, j));
    if (a[i] == b[j]) {
        res = \max(\text{res}, 1 + \text{lcs}(i - 1, j - 1));
    }
    mem[i][j] = res;
    return res:
```

- Time complexity?
- There are $n \times m$ possible inputs
- ullet Each input is processed in O(1), assuming recursive calls are O(1)
- Total time complexity is $O(n \times m)$

DP over bitmasks

- Remember the bitmask representation of subsets?
- Each subset of n elements are mapped to an integer in the range 0, ..., $2^n 1$
- This makes it easy to do dynamic programming over subsets

- We have a graph of n vertices, and a cost c_{i,j} between each pair of vertices i, j. We want to find a cycle through all vertices in the graph so that the sum of the edge costs in the cycle is minimal.
- This problem is NP-Hard, so there is no known deterministic polynomial time algorithm that solves it
- Simple to do in O(n!) by going through all permutations of the vertices, but that's too slow if n > 11
- Can we go higher if we use dynamic programming?

- Without loss of generality, assume we start and end the cycle at vertex 0
- Let tsp(i, S) represent the cheapest way to go through all vertices in the graph and back to vertex 0, if we're currently at vertex i and we've already visited the vertices in the set S
- Base case: $tsp(i, all vertices) = c_{i,0}$
- Otherwise $tsp(i, S) = \min_{j \notin S} \{ c_{i,j} + tsp(j, S \cup \{j\}) \}$

```
const int N = 20;
const int INF = 100000000;
int c[N][N];
int mem[N][1<<N]:
memset(mem, -1, sizeof(mem));
int tsp(int i, int S) {
    if (S == ((1 << N) - 1)) {
        return c[i][0];
    if (mem[i][S] != -1) {
        return mem[i][S];
    int res = INF;
    for (int j = 0; j < N; j++) {
        if (S & (1 << j))
            continue;
        res = min(res, c[i][j] + tsp(j, S | (1 << j)));
    mem[i][S] = res;
    return res;
```

• Then the optimal solution can be found as follows:

```
printf("%d\n", tsp(0, 1<<0));
```

- Time complexity?
- There are $n \times 2^n$ possible inputs
- ullet Each input is computed in O(n) assuming recursive calls are O(1)
- Total time complexity is $O(n^22^n)$
- Now *n* can go up to about 20

