## 1. Does the image of a general element not specify the operator uniquely?

Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Let  $H : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  be a linear Fock space valued operator on Fock space. Assume it has zero vacuum expectation value and fulfils the commutation relations

$$[H, a(\mathfrak{T})] = a(N\mathfrak{T}), \tag{1}$$

$$[H, a^*(\mathfrak{T})] = a^*(N\mathfrak{T}). \tag{2}$$

Let  $(\forall l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} =: B \subset \mathcal{H}$ ,  $(\varphi_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} =: B_+ \subset \mathcal{H}^+$  and  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} =: B_- \subset \mathcal{H}^-$  be Schauder basis of all of, respectively positive respectively negative part of the Hilbert space. We introduce for a more compact notation

$$\forall n, l \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_{n,l} : (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})) \to (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$$

$$F \mapsto \begin{cases} F & \text{if } n = l \\ \mathbb{1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\forall n, l \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_{n,l} : (\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}) \to (\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H})$$

$$F \mapsto \begin{cases} F & \text{if } n = l \\ \mathbb{1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ . We would like to compute  $H\alpha$  for any element  $\alpha$  of the canonical Schauder basis of the fixed m-p particle Sector of Fockspace. In order to do so we first compute the image of the vacuum. It holds that

$$\langle \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) \Omega, H\Omega \rangle = (-1)^{m+p} \langle \Omega, \prod_{k=1}^{p} a^{*}(y_{k}) \prod_{l=1}^{m} a(\varphi_{l}) H\Omega \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{m+p+1} \delta_{m,1} \langle \Omega, \prod_{k=1}^{p} a^{*}(y_{k}) \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} a(\varphi_{l}) a(N\varphi_{M}) \Omega \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{p} \delta_{m,1} \delta_{p,1} \langle \Omega, \prod_{k=1}^{p} a^{*}(y_{k}) a(N_{-+}\varphi_{1}) \Omega \rangle = -\delta_{m,1} \delta_{p,1} \langle y_{1}, N_{-+}\varphi_{1} \rangle.$$

So we conclude that

$$H\Omega\rangle = -\sum_{y \in B^{-}} \sum_{\varphi \in B^{+}} \langle y, N_{-+}\varphi \rangle a^{*}(\varphi)a(y)\Omega\rangle$$
 (3)

holds. We can now compute the image of a general Element of the canonical basis of the m-p particle sector. It is

$$H \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) \Omega \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m+p} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*} (\Delta_{l,b}(N) \varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a (\Delta_{m+k,b}(N) y_{k}) \Omega \rangle + \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) H \Omega \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m+p} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*} (\Delta_{l,b}(N) \varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a (\Delta_{m+k,b}(N_{--}) y_{k}) \Omega \rangle$$
1

$$-\prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) \sum_{y \in B^{-}} \sum_{\varphi \in B^{+}} \langle y, N_{-+}\varphi \rangle a^{*}(\varphi) a(y) \Omega \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m+p} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^* \left( \Delta_{l,b} (N_{++}) \varphi_l \right) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a \left( \Delta_{m+k,b} (N_{--}) y_k \right) \Omega \rangle$$
 (H1)

$$-\sum_{\varphi \in B^{+}} a^{*}(\varphi) a\left(N_{-+}\varphi\right) \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) \Omega \rangle \tag{H2}$$

$$+\sum_{b=1}^{m}\sum_{c=1}^{p}(-1)^{m-b+c}\left\langle y_{c},N_{-+}^{2}\varphi_{b}\right\rangle \prod_{\substack{l=1\\l\neq b}}^{m}a^{*}(\varphi_{l})\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq c}}^{p}a(y_{k})\Omega\rangle$$
(H3)

We define a second operator on Fock space  $G: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  by

$$G := \sum_{\phi \in B^+} a^* (N\phi) a(\phi) + \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in B} a (P_- N \mathbf{v}) a^* (\mathbf{v}). \tag{4}$$

You can easily see that

$$\left(\sum_{\phi \in B^{+}} a^{*} (N\phi) a(\phi) + \sum_{\varnothing \in B} a (P_{-}N ) a^{*}(\varnothing)\right) \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k})\Omega\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*} (\Delta_{b,l} (N) \varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k})\Omega\rangle + \sum_{b=1}^{p} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a (\Delta_{k,b} (N_{--}) y_{k}) \Omega\rangle$$

$$- \sum_{\varphi \in B^{+}} a^{*}(\varphi) a (N_{-+}\varphi) \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k})\Omega\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{m} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*} (\Delta_{b,l} (N_{++}) \varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k})\Omega\rangle + \sum_{b=1}^{p} \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a (\Delta_{k,b} (N_{--}) y_{k}) \Omega\rangle$$
(G1)

$$-\sum_{\varphi \in B^{+}} a^{*}(\varphi) a\left(N_{-+}\varphi\right) \prod_{l=1}^{m} a^{*}(\varphi_{l}) \prod_{k=1}^{p} a(y_{k}) \Omega \rangle$$
(G2)

$$+\sum_{b=1}^{m}\sum_{c=1}^{p}(-1)^{m-b+c}\langle y_c, N_{-+}\varphi_b\rangle \prod_{\substack{l=1\\l\neq b}}^{m}a^*(\varphi_l)\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq c}}^{p}a(y_k)\Omega\rangle$$
 (G3)

holds. Now the lines (G1)-(G3) are identical to (H1)-(H3). Which leads me to wanting to conclude that

$$H = G \tag{5}$$

holds. However we can compute the commutation relations analogous to (1) and (2). They are

$$\left[\sum_{\phi \in B^{+}} a^{*} (N\phi) a(\phi) + \sum_{\mathbf{P} \in B} a (P_{-}N\mathbf{P}) a^{*}(\mathbf{P}), a(\mathbf{E})\right]$$
$$= -a (P_{+}N^{*}\mathbf{E}) + a (P_{-}N\mathbf{E}) \stackrel{!}{=} a (N\mathbf{E}),$$

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \sum_{\phi \in B^{+}} a^{*} \left( N \phi \right) a(\phi) + \sum_{\mathbf{\forall} \in B} a \left( P_{-} N \, \mathbf{\forall} \right) a^{*} (\mathbf{\forall}), a^{*} (\mathbf{\Xi}) \right] \\ & = a^{*} \left( N P_{+} \, \mathbf{\Xi} \right) - a^{*} \left( N^{*} P_{-} \, \mathbf{\Xi} \right) \stackrel{!}{=} a^{*} \left( N \, \mathbf{\Xi} \right). \end{split}$$

These constraints on N seem to appear out of the structure of Fock space.