

Electron-Positron Pair Creation in External Fields

M. Nöth

October 12, 2017

Abstract

In this project we investigate the phenomenon of creation of matter-antimatter pairs of particles, more precisely electron-positron pairs, out of the vacuum subject to strong external electromagnetic fields. Although this phenomenon was predicted already in 1929 and was observed in many experiments, its rigorous mathematical description still lies at the frontier of human understanding of nature. Dirac introduced the heuristic description of the vacuum of quantum electrodynamics (QED) as a homogeneous sea of particles. Although the picture of pair creation as lifting a particle out of the Dirac sea, leaving a hole in the sea, is very explanatory the mathematical formulation of the time evolution of the Dirac sea faces many mathematical challenges. A straightforward interaction of sea particles and the radiation field is ill-defined and physically important quantities such as the total charge current density are badly divergent due to the infinitely many occupied states in the

sea. Nevertheless, in the last century physicists and mathematicians have developed strong methods called “perturbative renormalisation theory” that allow at least to treat the scattering regime perturbatively. Non-perturbative methods have to be developed in order to give an adequate theoretical description of upcoming next-generation experiments allowing a study of the time evolution. Our endeavour focuses on the so-called *external field model of QED* in which one neglects the interaction between the sea particles and only allows an interaction with a prescribed electromagnetic field. It is the goal of this project to develop the necessary non-perturbative methods in this model to give a rigorous construction of the scattering and the time evolution operator.

Keywords: Second Quantised Dirac Equation, non-perturbative QED, external-field QED, Scattering Operator

Contents

Contents	ii
1 Introduction	1
2 Connection to Physical Literature	3

<i>CONTENTS</i>	iii
2.1 Defining One-Particle Scattering-Matrix	4
2.2 Construction of the Second Quantised Scattering-Matrix	10
2.3 Main Conjecture	13
3 Mathematical Justification	25
A One Particle S-Matrix; Explicit Bounds	27
A.1 Bound on $\ (\lambda - m)^{-1}\ _{\text{spec}}$	32
A.2 Young's Inequality on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$	38
Bibliography	39
Cooperating Researchers	41

Chapter 1

Introduction

Todo: Maybe something similar to phd proposal introduction

Chapter 2

Mathematical Framework and Connection to Physical Literature

In order to be able to state our main conjecture (2.3.1) precisely I will need to introduce the one-particle dynamics for electrons and their scattering operator, see section 2.1 below, and then move on to the second quantised dynamics and its corresponding scattering operator in section 2.2. Second quantization is the canonical method of turning a one-particle theory into one of an arbitrary and possibly changing number of particles. The informal series expansion of the one-particle scattering operator U is derived from Dirac's equation of motion for the electron. In section 2.1.1 the convergence of this expansion is shown. The informal expansion of the second quantized scattering operator S is then derived from U by second quantisation in section 2.2. At this point I have gathered enough tools to present the main

conjecture 2.3.1 in section 2.3. After the main conjecture is known, I present several of my own results in sections 2.3.2, 2.3.3 and 2.3.4 about the first order, the second order and all other odd orders. These results give an intuition on how to control the convergence of the informal expansion of the scattering operator S .

2.1 Defining One-Particle Scattering-Matrix

In order to introduce the one-particle dynamics I introduce Diracs equation (2.1) and reformulate it in integral form in equation (2.7). By iterating this equation we will naturally be led to the informal series expansion of the scattering operator equation (2.12), whose convergence is discussed in the next section.

Throughout this thesis I will consider four-potentials A, F or G to be smooth functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, where the index c denotes that the elements have compact support. Also throughout this thesis I will denote by A, F and G some arbitrary but fixed four-potentials. The Dirac equation for a wave function $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ is

$$0 = (i\cancel{\partial} - e\cancel{A} - m\mathbb{1})\phi, \quad (2.1)$$

where m is the mass of the electron, $\mathbb{1} : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ is the identity and crossed out letters mean that their four-index is contracted with Dirac matrices

$$\cancel{A} := A_\alpha \gamma^\alpha, \quad (2.2)$$

where Einstein's summation convention is used. These matrices fulfil the anti-commutation relation

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} : \{\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta\} := \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta + \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha = g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.3)$$

where g is the Minkowski metric. I work with the $+- --$ metric signature and the Dirac representation of this algebra. Squared four dimensional objects always refer to the Minkowski square, meaning for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^4$, $a^2 := a^\alpha a_\alpha$.

In order to define Lorentz invariant measures for four dimensional integrals I employ the same notation as in [2]. The standard volume form over \mathbb{R}^4 is denoted by $d^4x = dx^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3$, the product of forms is understood as the wedge product. The symbol d^3x means the 3-form $d^3x = dx^1 dx^2 dx^3$ on \mathbb{R}^4 . Contraction of a form ω with a vector v is denoted by $i_v(\omega)$. The notation $i_v(\omega)$ is also used for the spinor matrix valued vector $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3) = \gamma^\alpha e_\alpha$:

$$i_\gamma(d^4x) := \gamma^\alpha i_{e_\alpha}(d^4x), \quad (2.4)$$

with $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ being the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^4 . Let \mathcal{C}_A be the space of solutions to (2.1) which have compact support on any spacelike hyperplane Σ . Let ϕ, ψ be in \mathcal{C}_A , the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of elements of \mathcal{C}_A is defined as

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \int_\Sigma \overline{\phi(x)} i_\gamma(d^4x) \psi(x) =: \int_\Sigma \phi^\dagger(x) \gamma^0 i_\gamma(d^4x) \psi(x). \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore define \mathcal{H} to be $\mathcal{H} := \overline{\mathcal{C}_A}^{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. The mas-shell $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid p^2 = m^2\}. \quad (2.6)$$

The subset \mathcal{M}^+ of \mathcal{M} is defined to be $\mathcal{M}^+ := \{p \in \mathcal{M} \mid p^0 > 0\}$. The image of \mathcal{H} by the projector $1_{\mathcal{M}^+}$, given in momentum space representation, is denoted by \mathcal{H}^+ and its orthogonal complement by \mathcal{H}^- . I introduce a family of Cauchy hypersurfaces $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ governed by a family of normal vector fields $(v_t n|_{\Sigma_t})$, where $n : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ and $v : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions. For $x \in \Sigma_t$ the vector $n_t(x)$ denotes the future directed unit-normal vector to Σ_t at x and $v_t(x)$ the corresponding normal velocity of the flow of the Cauchy surfaces.

Now we have the tools to recast the Dirac equation into an integral version which will allow me to define the scattering operator. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_A$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ I denote by ϕ_t the solution to the free Dirac equation, that is equation (2.1) with $A = 0$, with $\psi|_{\Sigma_t}$ as initial condition on Σ_t . Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ have some fixed value, equation (2.1) can be reformulated, c.f. theorem 2.23 of [2], as

$$\phi_t(y) = \phi_{t_0}(y) - i \int_{t_0}^t ds \int_{\Sigma_s} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\not{p} + m}{2m^2} e^{ip(x-y)} \mathbf{i}_p(d^4p) \frac{\mathbf{i}_\gamma(d^4x)}{(2\pi)^3} v_s(x) \not{p}_s(x) \not{A}(x) \phi_s(x), \quad (2.7)$$

which holds for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Employing the following rewriting of integrals

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\not{p} + m}{2m^2} f(p) \mathbf{i}_p(d^4p) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 - i\epsilon e_0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \right) (\not{p} - m)^{-1} f(p) d^4p, \quad (2.8)$$

which is due to the theorem of residues, equation (2.7) assumes the form

$$\phi_t(y) = \phi_{t_0}(y) - \int_{[t_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 - i\epsilon e_0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \right) (\not{p} - m)^{-1} e^{ip(x-y)} d^4p \frac{d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} \not{A}(x) \phi_s(x). \quad (2.9)$$

In the last expression I picked all hypersurfaces Σ_s to be equal time hyperplanes such that $v_s = 1$ and $\not{p}_s = \gamma^0 e_0$. We identify the advanced and retarded Greens functions of the Dirac equation:

$$\Delta^\pm(x) := \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4 \pm i\epsilon e_0} \frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2} e^{-ipx} d^4p, \quad (2.10)$$

yielding

$$\phi_t(y) = \phi_{t_0}(y) + \int_{[t_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta^- - \Delta^+)(y - x) d^4x \mathcal{A}(x) \phi_s(x). \quad (2.11)$$

Iterating equation (2.11) and picking t in the future of $\text{supp } A$ and t_0 in the past of it, denoting them by $\pm\infty$ since their exact value is no longer important, the following series expansion is obtained informally

$$\phi_\infty(y) = U^A \phi_{-\infty} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k(A) \phi_{-\infty}, \quad (2.12)$$

with $Z_0 = \mathbb{1}$, the identity on \mathbb{C}^4 , and where for arbitrary $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, Z_k is defined as

$$Z_k(A) \phi(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\Delta^- - \Delta^+)(y - x_1) d^4x_1 \mathcal{A}(x_1) \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{[-\infty, x_{l-1}^0] \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta^- - \Delta^+)(x_{l-1} - x_l) \mathcal{A}(x_l) d^4x_l \right] \phi(x_k).$$

Now since the integration variables are time ordered and $\text{supp } \Delta^\pm \subseteq \text{Cau}^\pm$ in every one but the first factor the contribution of Δ^- vanishes. Therefore we can simply drop it. Furthermore we may continue the integration domain to all of \mathbb{R}^4 , since there Δ^+ gives no contribution, giving

Todo: führe
Cau als kausale
Menge ein

$$Z_k(A) \phi(y) = (-1)^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x_1 (\Delta^- - \Delta^+)(y - x_1) \mathcal{A}(x_1) \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x_l \Delta^+(x_{l-1} - x_l) \mathcal{A}(x_l) \right] \phi(x_k). \quad (2.13)$$

This is convenient, because we may now use the spacetime integration with the exponential factor of the definition of Δ^- as a Fourier transform acting on the four-potentials and the wave function. Undoing

the substitutions again for the first factor and executing the just mentioned Fourier transforms using the convolution theorem inductively results in

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_k(A)\phi(y) = & -i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\mathbf{i}_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\not{p}_1 + m}{2m} e^{-ip_1 y} \\
 & \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \not{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l) (\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \\
 & \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{i}_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \not{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \hat{\phi}(p_{k+1}). \quad (2.14)
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to the representation (2.13) one may also represent Z_k in terms of The operators

$$\Delta^0 := \Delta^+ - \Delta^- \quad (2.15)$$

$$L_A^{\pm,0} := \Delta^{\pm,0} * \not{A} \quad (2.16)$$

in this manner

$$Z_k(A)\phi(y) = (-1)^k L_A^0 \left(L_A^{+k-1}(\phi) \right) (y), \quad (2.17)$$

where the upper right index for an operator means iterative application of said operator.

2.1.1 Well-definedness of U

I will outline in this section how to prove that the informally inferred series expansion of U in (2.12) is well-defined, i.e. that the series converges. In doing so it is crucial to find appropriate bounds on the summands of said series. The domain of integration of the temporal variables in the iterated form of equation (2.7) is a simplex. The

volume of this simplex is related to the volume of the cube by the factor $n!$, using this one usually introduces the time ordering Operator and the factor of $\frac{1}{n!}$. This line of argument has been translated into the momentum space, which might turn out to be more convenient for proving the main conjecture.

Using Parsevals theorem one translates the operators Z_k into momentum space, then one applies standard approximation techniques and the theorem of Paley and Wiener and Youngs inequality for convolution operators. Next one minimizes with respect to the arbitrary ϵ in the equation (2.14), which can be done due to the rules for changing the contour of integration of analytic functions. The estimate is valid only for $k > 1$, it is given by

$$\|Z_k(A)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(ea)^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-1}} \frac{C_N^k}{\pi^{4k+2}8} f^{k-1} g, \quad (2.18)$$

where $C_N > 0$ is a constant obtained by application of the theorem of Paley and Wiener (it can for example be found in [4]). In order to simplify the notation I used $a := \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))$, $f := \left\| \frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^4, d^4x)}$, $g := \left\| \frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M}, i_p d^4p)}$ and e being Euler's number. By $\mathcal{L}^1(E, d\mu)$ I denote the space of functions with domain of definition E which are integrable with respect to the measure $d\mu$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^1(E, d\mu) := \{\psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_E \|\psi(x)\| d\mu(x) < \infty\}. \quad (2.19)$$

For the operator norm of $Z_1(A)$ the bound

$$\|Z_1(A)\| \leq \| \|A\|_{spec} \|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M})} \quad (2.20)$$

can be found more easily. It is finite, because in position space A is compactly supported, which means that at infinity its Fourier transform falls off faster than any polynomial. Some lengthy calculations

and the use of the well known bound on the factorial $n! \leq \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{\frac{1}{12n}}$ result in the following bound for the series representing the operator U

$$\|U^A\| = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k(A) \right\| \leq 1 + \|\|A\|_{spec}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M})} + fg \frac{aC_N^2}{\pi^{\frac{19}{2}} 4} e^{\frac{aC_N f}{\pi^4 \sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{12}} < \infty. \quad (2.21)$$

The series representing U^A therefore converges, so it gives rise to a well defined operator.

2.2 Construction of the Second Quantised Scattering-Matrix

The main objective of my thesis is to do the analogous proof of section 2.1.1 in the second quantised case, i.e. to prove conjecture 2.3.1. For doing so we have gathered a lot of tools from the one-particle theory. In the following I outline how the construction of the second quantised scattering operator is to be carried out, we will naturally be led to an informal power series representation for the scattering operator S . This time the construction is more delicate, so I will consider different kinds of terms of the expansion using different techniques. I will first consider all odd orders in the expansion in section 2.3.2, then mention additional results about the first order in section 2.3.3 and move on to the second order in section 2.3.4. The control of the orders greater than two are outstanding and forms the main part of the work in this project. In section 2.3 below I will give arguments why the necessary control for the convergence can be achieved.

First I fix some more notation. Using the space of solutions of the Dirac equation \mathcal{H} one constructs Fock space in the following way

2.2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE SECOND QUANTISED SCATTERING-MATRIX

11

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{m,p=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}^+)^{\Lambda m} \otimes (\overline{\mathcal{H}^-})^{\Lambda p}, \quad (2.22)$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation and Λ in the exponent denotes that only elements which are antisymmetric with respect to permutations are allowed. The Factor $(\mathcal{H}^{\pm})^0$ is understood as \mathbb{C} . I will denote the sectors of Fock space of fixed particle numbers by $\mathcal{F}_{m,p} := (\mathcal{H}^+)^{\Lambda m} \otimes (\overline{\mathcal{H}^-})^{\Lambda p}$. The element of $\mathcal{F}_{0,0}$ of norm 1 will be denoted by Ω . The annihilation operator a acts on an arbitrary sector of Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{m,p}$, for any $m, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{m,p} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m-1,p} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{m,p-1} \\ \phi \otimes \alpha &\mapsto \langle P_+ \phi(x), \alpha(x, \cdot, \dots) \rangle_x + \langle P_- \phi(x), \alpha(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, x) \rangle_x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where \langle, \rangle_x denotes that the scalar product of \mathcal{H} is to be taken with respect to x and P_{\pm} denotes the projector onto \mathcal{H}^+ and \mathcal{H}^- respectively. The vacuum sector is mapped to the zero element of Fock space.

Now we turn to the construction of the S -matrix, the second quantised analogue of U . This construction is carried out axiomatically. The first axiom makes sure that the following diagram, and the analogue for the adjoint of the annihilation operator commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{S^A} & \mathcal{F} \\ \uparrow a & & \uparrow a \\ \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{U^A \otimes S^A} & \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{F} \end{array} \quad (2.24)$$

Axiom 1 *The S operator fulfils the “lift condition”.*

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \quad S^A \circ a(\phi) = a(U^A \phi) \circ S^A, \quad (\text{lift condition})$$

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \quad S^A \circ a^*(\phi) = a^*(U^A \phi) \circ S^A, \quad (\text{adjoint lift condition})$$

where a^* is the adjoint of the annihilation operator, the creation operator.

The scattering operator is then expanded in an informal power series

$$S^A = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} T_l(A). \quad (2.25)$$

In order to fully characterise S^A it is enough to characterise all of the T_l operators. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the operators $T_k(A)$ are also defined for k non-identical arguments by homogeneity of $T_k(A)$ to be symmetric in its arguments. Using the (lift condition) one can easily derive commutation relations for the operators T_m , which are given by

$$[T_m(A), a(\phi)] = a(Z_m(A)\phi) + 1_{[2,\infty[}(m) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} a(Z_j(A)\phi) \circ T_{m-j}(A), \quad (2.26)$$

$$[T_m(A), a^*(\phi)] = a^*(Z_m(A)\phi) + 1_{[2,\infty[}(m) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} a^*(Z_j(A)\phi) \circ T_{m-j}(A), \quad (2.27)$$

where 1_Y is the characteristic function of the set Y . The matrix elements of the expansion coefficients T_l of (2.25) can therefore be constructed from the matrix elements of the lower expansion coefficients T_k with $k < l$ and the vacuum expectation value of T_l . As will be shown in section 2.3.2, the vacuum expectation value of all odd orders can naturally be chosen to zero, due to charge conjugation symmetry. I will be using the method of Eppstein and Glaser (see [3, 5]) to find the vacuum expectation value of the even orders.

Besides the scattering operator I will also need the expansion coefficients of its adjoint.

$$(S^A)^* = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \tilde{T}_l(A) \quad (2.28)$$

Since the scattering operator has to be unitary, it is not difficult to find the following expression for the coefficients of its adjoint

$$\forall m > 0 : \quad \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} T_{m-k}(A) \tilde{T}_k(A) = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Thus to find the adjoint coefficient of order n , it suffices to know the coefficients of S itself up to order n .

2.3 Main Conjecture

Now I can state the primary objective of my thesis in terms of the following

Conjecture 2.3.1 *For all smooth four-potentials $A \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, $e \in \mathbb{R}$, and for all $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{F}$ the following limit exists*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \psi, \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{e^k}{k!} T_k(A) \phi \right\rangle. \quad (2.30)$$

Such a uniform convergence would be optimal. In case, it can not be achieved, a weaker form of this conjecture in which $|e|$ has to be chosen sufficiently small and the possible scattering states Ψ, Φ have to be restricted to a certain regularity would still be physically interesting. The main difficulty in proving this theorem is the large number of possible summands in the determinant-like structure of the term of n -th order. I am optimistic about finding the proof of conjecture [2.3.1](#) for several reasons:

1. For the summand involving T_n one gets a factor of $\frac{1}{n!}$ from the simplex. In the expression for T_n there are n time integrals, and in the integrand the temporal variables are ordered. Since there are $n!$ possible orderings each particular order contributes only one part in $n!$. This argument can be made precise and has been translated into momentum space, where it was already used to estimate the one-particle scattering operator, see section 2.1.1.
2. The operators T_n possess the property called “charge conservation”, i.e. T_n maps any element of the b, p particle sector of Fock space to c, o particle sectors fulfilling $c - o = b - p$. Hence many possible transitions are forbidden by the structure of the operators T_n .
3. The iterative character of the operators T_n illustrated by equations (2.26) and (2.27) suggests that the control of T_1 and T_2 , discussed in sections 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, is sufficient to also control the n -th order. This behavior is also suggested by the renormalisability of QED (see [5, Chapter 4.3]) which states that only finite many types of renormalisations are needed.
4. Many of the remaining possible transitions are forbidden by the antisymmetry of the fermionic Fock space.

After a successful proof of the main conjecture this method can be generalised in a canonical manner to yield a direct construction of a more general time evolution operator, as was mentioned in the introduction this is especially desirable in the non-perturbative regime of QED. In the rest of this section I will present the results about T_n for $n = 1$, $n = 2$, and all other odd n .

2.3.1 Explicit Representations

I introduce the operator G as follows. I denote by Q the following set $Q := \{f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ linear} \mid i \cdot f \text{ is selfadjoint}\}$.

Definition 2.3.2 *Let then G be the following function*

$$G : Q \rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) \quad (\text{Def G})$$

$$f \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a^*(f\varphi_n)a(\varphi) - \sum_{n \in -\mathbb{N}} a(\varphi_n)a^*(f\varphi_n).$$

The first expansion coefficient of the scattering operator, T_1 , is then given by

$$T_1(A) = G(Z_1(A)), \quad (2.31)$$

given $\langle T_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$, the second order by

$$T_2 = G(Z_2 - Z_1 Z_1) + T_1 T_1 - \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_1 \\ - & + \end{pmatrix} + \langle T_2 \rangle, \quad (2.32)$$

and the third order by

$$T_3 = G \left(Z_3 - \frac{3}{2} Z_2 Z_1 - \frac{3}{2} Z_1 Z_2 + 2 Z_1 Z_1 Z_1 \right) + \frac{3}{2} T_2 T_1 + \frac{3}{2} T_1 T_2 - 2 T_1 T_1 T_1. \quad (2.33)$$

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary, there is a $C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that T_4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_4 := & 2T_1 T_3 + 2T_3 T_1 + 3T_2 T_2 - bT_1 T_1 T_2 - bT_2 T_1 T_1 - 2(6 - b)T_1 T_2 T_1 \\ & + 6T_1 T_1 T_1 T_1 + G(Z_4 - 2Z_1 Z_3 - 2Z_3 Z_1 - 3Z_2 Z_2 \\ & + bZ_1^2 Z_2 + 2(6 - b)Z_1 Z_2 Z_1 + bZ_2 Z_1^2 - 6Z_1^4) + C. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

These expressions can easily be verified by means of the commutation rules (2.26) and (2.27).

Todo: habe
noch keinen
guten Kandi-
daten für $T_n \dots$

2.3.2 Results About All Odd Orders

In order to show that any serious candidate for the construction of the scattering-matrix fulfils $\langle \Omega, T_{2n+1} \Omega \rangle = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, I also lift the charge conjugation operator to Fock space.

2.3.2.1 Lifting the Charge Conjugation Operator

I will define the second quantised charge conjugation operator \mathfrak{C} on all of Fock space analogously to the way I am currently in the process of defining the second quantised S-matrix operator. The operator $\mathfrak{C} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is defined to be the linear bounded operator on Fock space fulfilling the "lift condition"

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \quad & a(C\phi) \circ \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C} \circ a^*(\phi), \\ & a^*(C\phi) \circ \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C} \circ a(\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

where C is the charge conjugation operator on the one particle Hilbert space. The operator \mathfrak{C} is furthermore defined to fulfil

$$\mathfrak{C}\Omega = \Omega. \tag{2.36}$$

Lemma 1 *Properties of \mathfrak{C} :*

The lifted operator \mathfrak{C} has the following important properties.

$$\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{1} \tag{2.37}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}^* \mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{1} \tag{2.38}$$

The proof of this lemma consists of fairly lengthy but straightforward computations.

2.3.2.2 Commutation of Charge Conjugation and Scattering Operators

I first introduce another operator and use it to find the commutation properties of the charge conjugation operator with the scattering operator. Consider the commuting diagram in the one-particle picture.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{U^A} & \mathcal{H} \\
 \downarrow C & & \downarrow C \\
 \overline{\mathcal{H}} & \xrightarrow{U^{-A}} & \overline{\mathcal{H}}.
 \end{array} \tag{2.39}$$

Inspired by this diagram I introduce for each four potential A the one particle operator $K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with $K = U^A C = C U^{-A}$. It is easy to see that K is unitary and $P_- K P_+$ and $P_+ K P_-$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, due to the analogous property of the one particle scattering Operator, for more details see [1]. This means that K has a second quantised analogue \tilde{K} that is unique up to a phase. The operator is then defined as follows

$$\tilde{K} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}^-} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-} \tag{2.40}$$

$$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} : \quad \tilde{K} a^\#(\psi) = a^\#(K\psi) \tilde{K}, \tag{2.41}$$

where $a^\#$ can be either a or a^* .

Axiom 2 *The two unknown phases between \tilde{K} and $S^A \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C} S^{-A}$ agree, i.e.*

$$\exists \phi[A] \in \mathbb{R} : \mathfrak{C} S^A = e^{i\phi[A]} \tilde{K} = S^{-A} \mathfrak{C}. \tag{2.42}$$

I have now collected enough tools to prove the following

Lemma 2 *It follows from axiom 2 that for all four potentials A*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \langle \Omega, T_{2n+1}(A)\Omega \rangle = 0 \quad (2.43)$$

holds. I.e. the vacuum expectation value of all odd expansion coefficients of (2.25) vanishes.

The proof of lemma 2 uses homogeneity of degree $2n+1$ of T_{2n+1} , and the properties of operator \mathfrak{C} .

2.3.3 Explicit Bound of the First Order

The bound of $T_1(A)$ on a sector of arbitrary but fixed particle number of Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{m,p}$ for any $m, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be found to be

$$\left\| T_1(A) \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_{m,p}} \right\| \leq \sqrt{mp\alpha + (m\beta + p\gamma)^2 + (m+1)(p+1)\delta}, \quad (2.44)$$

for some positive numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. This bound is found by exploiting the commutation properties of T_1 and the determinant like structure of the scalar product of Fock space.

2.3.4 Results about the Second Order

Historically it was found that it is notoriously difficult to give a mathematically well defined description of T_2 . This can now be achieved by means of the method of Epstein und Glaser [3]. Knowing the explicit form of T_2 , (2.32) all that is left to define this operator is to find its vacuum expectation value. This is achieved by

Axiom 3 *Any disturbance of the electromagnetic field should not influence the behaviour of the system previous to its existence. More precisely, the second quantised scattering-matrix should fulfil*

$$(S^f)^{-1} S^{f+g} = (S^0)^{-1} S^g, \quad (\text{causality})$$

for any four potentials f and g such that the support of f is not earlier than the support of g . That is, (causality) should hold whenever

$$\text{supp}(f) \succ \text{supp}(g) : \iff \nexists p \in \text{supp}(f) \exists l \in \text{supp}(g) : (p-l)^2 \geq 0 \wedge p^0 \leq l^0 \quad (2.45)$$

is fulfilled.

Equation (causality) also holds when I choose slightly different functions. Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let g, f be such that (causality) is satisfied then also

$$(S^{\varepsilon f})^{-1} S^{\varepsilon f + \delta g} = (S^0)^{-1} S^{\delta g} \quad (2.46)$$

holds. Expanding equation (2.46) differentiating with respect to ε and δ once, one gets

$$0 = \tilde{T}_1(f)T_1(g) + T_2(f, g) =: A_1(f, g). \quad (2.47)$$

Exchanging f and g in equations (2.45) and (2.46) and taking the same derivatives, one gets

$$0 = \tilde{T}_1(g)T_1(f) + T_2(f, g) =: R_1(f, g). \quad (2.48)$$

I now extent the domain of A_1 and R_1 to all possible sets of two four-potentials and define another operator valued distribution by

$$D_1(f, g) := A(f, g) - R(f, g) = \tilde{T}_1(f)T_1(g) - \tilde{T}_1(g)T_1(f). \quad (2.49)$$

It can be inferred from above that $D_1(f, g)$ is zero if $f \succ g$ and $f \prec g$ are both true. Thus to obtain T_2 , I first compute D_1 using only T_1 and \tilde{T}_1 , then I decompose D_1 into parts fulfilling the support properties of A_1 and R_1 . Finally I subtract from the obtained operator $A_1(f, g)$ the expression $\tilde{T}_1(f)T_1(g)$. I will only work with vacuum expectation values, since it is easier and suffices to define T_2 uniquely.

Using $\tilde{T}_1 = -T_1$, and the closed expression (2.31) for T_1 and the commutation relations of the annihilation and creation operators one obtains

$$\langle \Omega, D_1(f, g) \Omega \rangle = -\operatorname{tr} (P_- Z_1(f) P_+ Z_1(g) P_-) + \operatorname{tr} (P_- Z_1(g) P_+ Z(f) P_-). \quad (2.50)$$

Expressing the traces in terms of integrals, using equation (2.14) together with a lengthy calculation reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega, D_1(f, g) \Omega \rangle &= -\frac{2\pi m^2}{3} \int_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{R}^4, k \in \text{Future} \\ k^2 > 4m^2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} (k^2 + 2m^2) \\ &\quad \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^\alpha k^\beta}{k^2} \right) (f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) - f_\alpha(-k) g_\beta(k)) \, d^4 k \\ &= -\frac{8\pi m^4}{3} \int_{k \in \mathbb{R}^4} d^{\alpha\beta}(k) f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) \, d^4 k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

holds, where d is given by

$$d^{\alpha\beta}(k) := I \left(\frac{k^2}{4m^2} \right) 1_{k^2 > 4m^2}(k) [\theta(k_0) - \theta(-k_0)] \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^\alpha k^\beta}{k^2} \right) \quad (2.52)$$

and I is given by

$$I(\kappa) := \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}} \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (2.53)$$

By $\text{Causal}_\pm \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ I denote the set such that all its elements fulfil $\zeta \in \text{Causal} \Rightarrow \zeta^2 \geq 0 \wedge \zeta^0 \in \mathbb{R}^\pm$. Now, to split up the distribution the following theorem comes in handy; it can be found as Theorem IX.16 in [4].

Theorem 2.3.3 *Paley-Wiener theorem for causal distributions:*

(A) Let $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq \text{Causal}_\pm$ and let \hat{T} denote its Fourier transform. Then the following is true:

(i) $\hat{T}(l + i\eta)$ is analytic for $l, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\eta^2 > 0 \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$ and \hat{T} is the boundary value in the sense of \mathcal{S}' .

(ii) There is a polynomial P and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \hat{T}(l + i\eta) \right| \leq |P(l + i\eta)| (1 + \text{dist}(\eta, \partial \text{Causal}_\pm)^{-n}). \quad (2.54)$$

(B) Let $\hat{F}(l + i\eta)$ be analytic for $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\eta \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$ and let \hat{F} fulfil:

(i) For all $\eta_0 \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$ there is a polynomial P_{η_0} such that for all $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\eta \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$

$$|\hat{F}(l + i(\eta + \eta_0))| \leq |P_{\eta_0}(l, \eta)|. \quad (2.55)$$

(ii) There is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\eta_0 \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$ there is a polynomial Q_{η_0} with

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : |\hat{F}(l + i\varepsilon\eta_0)| \leq \frac{|Q_{\eta_0}(l)|}{\varepsilon^n}. \quad (2.56)$$

Then there is a $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ with $\text{supp} T \subset \text{Causal}_\pm$ such that T is the boundary value of $\hat{F}(l + i\eta)$ in the sense of \mathcal{S}' , the relation between \hat{F} and T being

$$\hat{F}(l + i\eta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^4x e^{-\eta x} e^{ilx} T(x) \quad (2.57)$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $\eta \in \text{Causal}_\pm^\mathcal{P}$ and $x \in \text{supp}(T)$.

As an ansatz for the splitting I take

$$\hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta} : \mathbb{R}^4 + i \cdot \text{Causal}_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad k \mapsto (g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^{\alpha}k^{\beta}}{k^2})J\left(\frac{k^2}{4m^2}\right), \quad (2.58)$$

where

$$J : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad J(\kappa) := \frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi i} \int_1^{\infty} ds \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{s} \frac{s + \frac{1}{2}}{s^2(s - \kappa)}} \quad (2.59)$$

and $\sqrt{\cdot}$ denotes the principal value of the square root with its branch cut at \mathbb{R}_0^- . Therefore J is well defined on its domain. Furthermore, $k = l + i \varepsilon \eta$ with $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\eta \in \text{Causal}_{\pm}$ implies:

$$k^2 \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow k^2 = l^2 - \eta^2 + i \varepsilon l^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (l \perp \eta \wedge \eta^2 > 0 \Rightarrow l^2 \leq 0 \Rightarrow k^2 < 0). \quad (2.60)$$

Hence the argument of the square root $1 - \frac{1}{s}$ stays away from the branch cut and the denominator is never zero, therefore the integral on the right-hand side of equation (2.59) exists. Furthermore, $D_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}(k)$ is holomorphic on its domain.

It can be shown using standard techniques of complex analysis that

$$d^{\alpha\beta}(l) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(D_+^{\alpha\beta}(l + i\varepsilon\eta) - D_-^{\alpha\beta}(l - i\varepsilon\eta) \right) \quad (2.61)$$

holds for almost all $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Using similar techniques and Euler substitutions one finds the boundary value of $\hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}$. For almost all $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\eta \in \text{Causal}_{\pm}$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}(l + i\varepsilon\eta) = & \\
& \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{l^{\alpha} l^{\beta}}{l^2} \right) \left[\pm \mathbb{1}_{l^2 > 4m^2} \operatorname{sgn}(l^0) \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{l^2}} \left(\frac{l^2}{4m^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \frac{l^2}{4m^2} - \left(1 + \frac{l^2}{2m^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{l^2}} \arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{l^2}{4m^2 - l^2}} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (2.62)
\end{aligned}$$

This is not true for the arguments fulfilling $l^2 = 4m^2$; however, this is irrelevant since \hat{D}_{\pm} is to be understood as a distribution which means that changes on sets of Lebesgue measure zero are of no concern.

In order not to convolute notation too much we define $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}(l + i\varepsilon\eta) =: \hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}(l)$, that is we extend the holomorphic functions $\hat{D}_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}$ to the boundary of their domain.

Furthermore we will make use of the abbreviations

$$\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(k) := \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^{\alpha} k^{\beta}}{k^2} \right) \quad (2.63)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(k) := \mathbb{1}_{k^2 > 4m^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} \left(\frac{k^2}{4m^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (2.64)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(k) := & \frac{1}{\pi i} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \frac{k^2}{4m^2} - \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{2m^2} \right) \cdot \right. \\
& \left. \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} \arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{k^2}{4m^2 - k^2}} \right) \right). \quad (2.65)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3.3 guarantees us that $\operatorname{supp} D_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta} \subset \operatorname{Causal}_{\pm}$ holds. We use this property to compare the support properties of $\operatorname{supp} D_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta}$ with the ones of A_1 and R_1 using the following short calculation: Substituting $\operatorname{supp} D_{+}^{\alpha\beta}$ for $d^{\alpha\beta}$ in (2.51) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega, D_+(f, g)\Omega \rangle &:= -\frac{8\pi m^4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} D_+^{\alpha\beta}(k) f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) d^4k = \\ &\quad -\frac{2m^4}{3\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} D^{\alpha\beta}(z-y) f_\alpha(y) g_\beta(z) d^4z d^4y \quad (2.66) \end{aligned}$$

holds. So we see that D_+ vanishes whenever the support of g is earlier than the support of f or they lie acausally or a mixture of these conditions. That is it vanishes whenever $\text{supp } f \succ \text{supp } g$ holds, which is exactly the support property of A_1 . Since the analogous treatment holds for D_- and R_1 and we know

$$\langle \Omega, D\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, A_1\Omega \rangle - \langle \Omega, R_1\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, D_+\Omega \rangle - \langle \Omega, D_-\Omega \rangle,$$

we identify $\langle \Omega, A_1\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, D_+\Omega \rangle$ and $\langle \Omega, R_1\Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega, D_-\Omega \rangle$. Going back to the definition of A_1 we can now find $\langle \Omega, T_2\Omega \rangle$. We reuse the calculation resulting in (2.51) to find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega, T_2(f, g)\Omega \rangle &= \langle \Omega, A_1(f, g)\Omega \rangle - \langle \Omega, T_1^\dagger(f)T_1^\dagger(g)\Omega \rangle \\ &= -\frac{8\pi m^4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} D_+^{\alpha\beta}(k) f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) d^4k - \frac{8\pi m^4}{3} \int_{\{k \in \mathbb{R}^4 | k^0 > 0, k^2 > 4m^2\}} \\ &\quad \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} \left(\frac{k^2}{4m^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k^\alpha k^\beta}{k^2} \right) f_\alpha(-k) g_\beta(k) d^4k \\ &= -\frac{8\pi m^4}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) \mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(k) \left[\mathcal{R}(k) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(k^0) + 1_{k^0 < 0} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \tau(k) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f_\alpha(k) g_\beta(-k) t_2^{\alpha\beta}(k) d^4k, \quad (2.67) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$t_2^{\alpha\beta}(k) := -\frac{4\pi m^4}{3} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta}(k) [\mathcal{R}(k) + \tau(k)] \quad (2.68)$$

Chapter 3

Mathematical Justification

Appendix A

One Particle S-Matrix; Explicit Bounds

We find the estimates of Z_k by using (2.14). Let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ arbitrary, Σ be an arbitrary spacelike hypersurface in Minkowski space,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | Z_k \phi(y) \rangle &= \int_{\Sigma} \bar{\psi}(y) i_{\gamma}(\mathrm{d}^4 y)(-i) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(\mathrm{d}^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\not{p}_1 + m}{2m} e^{-ip_1 y} \\ &\quad \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l)(\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \\ \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(\mathrm{d}^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \hat{\phi}(p_{k+1}) &= -i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(\mathrm{d}^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bar{\psi}(p_1) \frac{\not{p}_1 + m}{2m} \\ &\quad \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l)(\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \hat{\phi}(p_{k+1}) &= -i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\overline{\not{p}_1 + m}}{2m} \psi(p_1) \\
&\quad \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l) (\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \\
\int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \hat{\phi}(p_{k+1}) &= -i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bar{\psi}(p_1) \\
&\quad \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l) (\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore find for the operator norm of Z_k :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_k\| &= \sup_{\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{|\langle \psi | Z_k \phi(y) \rangle|}{\|\psi\| \|\phi\|} = \sup_{\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bar{\psi}(p_1) \right. \\
&\quad \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l) (\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \\
&\quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \frac{\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})}{\|\phi\|} \right| \\
&\stackrel{\text{C.S.I.}}{\leq} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \left| \prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l) (\not{p}_l - m)^{-1} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \mathcal{A}(p_k - p_{k+1}) \frac{\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})}{\|\phi\|} \right|^2 \\
&\leq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \|\mathcal{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l)\|_{\text{spec}} \right] \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\not{p}_l - m)^{-1}\|_{\text{spec}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \|\not{A}(p_k - p_{k+1})\|_{\text{spec}} \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})\|}{\|\phi\|} \right]^2 \\
& \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \|(\not{\lambda}_l - m)^{-1}\|_{\text{spec}}^{k-1} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \|\not{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l)\|_{\text{spec}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \|\not{A}(p_k - p_{k+1})\|_{\text{spec}} \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})\|}{\|\phi\|} \right] \right)^2 \\
& \stackrel{\text{section A.1}}{\leq} \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i_p(d^4 p_1)}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} \frac{d^4 p_l}{(2\pi)^4} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \|\not{A}(p_{l-1} - p_l)\|_{\text{spec}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \|\not{A}(p_k - p_{k+1})\|_{\text{spec}} \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})\|}{\|\phi\|} \right] \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Where we assumed ε to be large enough so that the estimate in section A.1 holds. The following estimation is only valid for $k > 1$. We now apply the theorem of Parley and Wiener (e.g. [4]) to all occurrences of \not{A} . Since A is compactly supported in Minkowski spacetime its Fourier transform fulfills:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} : \exists C_N \in \mathbb{R} : \forall p \in \mathbb{C}^4 \|\hat{A}\|(p) \leq \frac{C_N 8\pi}{1 + |p|^N} e^{\frac{1}{2}|\Im p| \text{diam}(A)}, \tag{A.2}$$

where $\text{diam}(A)$ is the diameter of the support of A in Minkowski spacetime and the constant in the numerator was slightly modified to simplify our notation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \left(\frac{C_N}{\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} e^{\epsilon \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_1) \\
& \quad \left(\prod_{l=2}^k \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0} d^4 p_l \frac{1}{(1 + |p_{l-1} - p_l|)^N} \right] \int_{\mathcal{M}} i_p(d^4 p_{k+1}) \frac{1}{(1 + |p_k - p_{k+1}|)^N} \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(p_{k+1})\|}{\|\phi\|} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{C_N}{\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} e^{\epsilon \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \\
&\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \left\| \bigstar_{\substack{l=2 \\ \mathbb{R}^4}}^k \left[\frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} , \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \bigstar^{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(\cdot)\|}{\|\phi\|} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{M})} \quad (\text{A.3})
\end{aligned}$$

We are going to use Young's inequality for convolution operators acting $L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{M})$, the appropriate lemma is found in appendix [A.2](#)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{\text{Young Inequ. Raum?!}}{\leq} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} e^{\epsilon \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))} \frac{C_N^k}{\pi^{4k-1}} \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)}^{k-1} \\
&\quad \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}} \left\| \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(\cdot)\|}{\|\phi\|} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{M})} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} e^{\epsilon \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))} \frac{C_N^k}{\pi^{4k-1}} \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)}^{k-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})} \left\| \frac{\|\hat{\phi}(\cdot)\|}{\|\phi\|} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{M})} \quad (\text{A.4})
\end{aligned}$$

Where C_N is the constant obtained by application of the theorem of Parley an Wiener, ϵ is still an arbitrary positive number. This is why we now optimise over this parameter. In order to simplify the notation we define $a := \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A))$, $b := k-1$, $f := \left\| \frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)}$,

$$g := \left\| \frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})}.$$

$$h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \epsilon \mapsto \frac{e^{a\epsilon}}{\epsilon^b} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

h is a smooth positive function which diverges at zero and at infinity, so it must attain a minimum somewhere in between. We find this

minimum by elementary calculus:

$$h'(\epsilon) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \iff -b \frac{e^{a\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{b+1}} + a \frac{e^{a\epsilon}}{\epsilon^b} = 0 \iff -b + a\epsilon = 0 \iff \epsilon = \frac{b}{a} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Therefore the value of the minimum is:

$$\inf_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+} h(\epsilon) = h\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) = \frac{e^b}{\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^b} = \frac{(ae)^b}{b^b} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Which means for the operator norm of Z_k , $k > 1$:

$$\|Z_k\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(ea)^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-1}} \frac{C_N^k}{\pi^{4k-1}} f^{k-1} g \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This means that we can find the operator norm of the S operator, once we have read off the operator norm of Z_1 . In order to do so, we start at the end of (A.1) and use the Young inequality right away to find:

$$\|Z_1\| \leq \|\|A\|_{spec}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M})} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Which is finite, because A is compactly supported, which means that its Fouriertransform falls off at infinity faster than any polynomial. We will be using the well known upper bound for the factorial of an arbitrary number:

$$n! \leq \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{\frac{1}{12n}} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We will employ the abbreviation $w = \frac{aC_N f}{\pi^4 \sqrt{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|Z_k\| \leq 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{k-1} \frac{(ea)^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-1}} \frac{C_N}{\pi^4 k} \\
&= 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + g \frac{C_N}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(we)^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-1}} = 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + g \frac{C_N}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k} \\
&\stackrel{\text{(A.10)}}{\leq} 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + g \frac{C_N}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{k!} e^{\frac{1}{12k}} \sqrt{2\pi k} \\
&\stackrel{e^{\frac{1}{12k}} \leq \sqrt{k} e^{\frac{1}{12}}}{\leq} 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + e^{\frac{1}{12}} g \frac{C_N \sqrt{2}}{\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{k!} k \\
&= 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + e^{\frac{1}{12}} g \frac{w C_N \sqrt{2}}{\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^l}{l!} = 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + e^{\frac{1}{12}} g \frac{w C_N \sqrt{2}}{\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} e^w \\
&= 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} a g f C_N^2 e^{\frac{a C_N f}{\pi^4 \sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{12}} \\
&= 1 + \|\mathbb{A}\|_{\text{spec}} + \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})} 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \text{diam}(\text{supp}(A)) C_N^2 \\
&\quad e^{\frac{\text{diam}(\text{supp}(A)) C_N \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + |\cdot|)^N} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)} + \frac{1}{12}}}{\pi^4 \sqrt{2}} < \infty \quad (\text{A.11})
\end{aligned}$$

A.1 Bound on $\|(\lambda - m)^{-1}\|_{\text{spec}}$

In this section we will find an upper bound on the supremum over all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^4 + i\epsilon e_0$ of

$$\|(\lambda - m)^{-1}\|_{\text{spec}} = \left\| \frac{\lambda + m}{\lambda^2 - m^2} \right\|_{\text{spec}}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

In order to do so, we will find a lower bound on the inverse of the expression in question. To simplify the notation call $(\Re \lambda^0)^2 = x \geq 0$

and write out $\Im \lambda = \varepsilon e_0$ explicitly. Since the problem is symmetric in λ^0 this suffices. Furthermore, since nothing depends on the direction of $\vec{\lambda}$, the problem is really just two-dimensional. Therefore we define $r := \|\vec{\lambda}\|^2 > 0$ and will only speak of these quantities from now on. The object to minimize is

$$f_0(x, r) := \frac{\sqrt{(x - r - \varepsilon^2 - m^2)^2 + 4\varepsilon^2 x}}{\sqrt{x + \varepsilon^2 + r + m}}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

We continue with the triangular inequality in the denominator and the concavity of the square root in the numerator giving.

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x, r) &\geq f_1(x, r) := \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |x - r - \varepsilon^2 - m^2| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 2\varepsilon \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|x - r - \varepsilon^2 - m^2| + 2\varepsilon \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

In order to find the minimum of this expression we will use the following strategy. First we find stationary points in $M^+ := \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2} \mid x > r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2\}$ and $M^- := \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2} \mid x < r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2\}$, since there may be Minima on the boundary between these sets we also minimize f_1 in $M^0 := \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{+2} \mid x = r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2\}$. Finally, since there might be no minimum, we find estimates on the boundary of $M^+ \cup M^- \cup M^0$.

case a) $x > r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2$:

The gradient of f_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon)^2 \nabla f_1(x, r) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon + \varepsilon \frac{m + \sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{r + m^2 + 3\varepsilon^2}{2\sqrt{x}} \\ -\sqrt{x} - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2} - m - \varepsilon - \frac{x - \varepsilon^2 - m^2}{2\sqrt{r}} - \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x}{r}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

since the first element of this vector is always positive, there are no stationary points in this case.

case b) $x < r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2$:

Here the gradient takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon)^2 \nabla f_1(x, r) = \\ \left(\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{-\sqrt{x}}{2} - \sqrt{r} - m - \varepsilon + \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{r+m}}{\sqrt{x}} - \frac{m^2 - \varepsilon^2 + r}{2\sqrt{x}} \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{x} + \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2} + m + \varepsilon - \frac{m^2 + \varepsilon^2 - x}{2\sqrt{r}} - \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x}{r}} \end{aligned} \right) \\ = \left(\begin{aligned} &\frac{-1}{\sqrt{x}} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{r}{2} + \sqrt{xr} - \varepsilon(\sqrt{r} + m) + \sqrt{x}(m + \varepsilon) + \frac{m^2 - \varepsilon^2}{2} \right) \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{r}{2} + \sqrt{xr} + \sqrt{r}(m + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon\sqrt{x} - \frac{m^2 + \varepsilon^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we can read off the relation

$$\sqrt{x^*} = \sqrt{r^*} + \frac{m}{2} \frac{1 - \frac{m}{\varepsilon}}{1 + \frac{m}{2\varepsilon}} =: \sqrt{r} + \frac{m}{2} c, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which holds for stationary points (x^*, r^*) and use it to solve for them. If we want to make sure that the stationary point stays within M^- we have to ensure that $x^* < r^* + m^2 + \varepsilon^2$ for (x^*, r^*) being a solution to $\nabla f_1(x, r) = 0$. This results in the condition

$$r < \frac{1}{m^2} \left[\frac{\varepsilon^2 + m^2(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2)}{c} \right]^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{m^2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).$$

Since for the estimation of the one particle scattering matrix we are interested in the regime where ε , this is the relevant estimation. We will shortly see that $r^* = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$, therefore we need not worry about the stationary point being outside of M^- for ε large. Indeed, plugging the relation (A.15) into $\nabla f_1(x^*, r^*) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ and solving for r^* we find

$$\sqrt{r^*} = -\frac{m}{4}(c+1) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \frac{\varepsilon cm}{4} + \frac{m^2}{4}(5+2c)}.$$

One can immediately see that the right hand side is actually positive once one has restored the summand $\frac{m^2}{4}(c+1)^2$ in the discriminant. By substituting Taylor's where appropriate we find for x^*, r^* :

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{r^*} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{3}{8}m + \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{91}{128} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ \sqrt{x^*} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{8}m - \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{5}{128} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ x^* &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} + \varepsilon m \frac{1}{8} - m^2 \frac{3}{128} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ r^* &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} - \varepsilon m \frac{3}{8} + m^2 \frac{109}{128} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),\end{aligned}$$

yielding for the stationary point

$$f_1(x^*, r^*) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{m}{4\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

case c) $x = r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2$:

Plugging this into f_1 gives us

$$f_1(r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2, r) =: f_2(r) = \sqrt{2}\varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2}}{\sqrt{r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon}$$

to minimise. The derivative of this function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2} + \sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon)^2 f_2'(r) \\ = \varepsilon \left(\frac{\sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon}{\sqrt{r + m^2 + \varepsilon^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2 + \varepsilon^2}{r}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

From the derivative we can read off that the function has a minimum at $\sqrt{r^*} = \varepsilon \frac{1 + \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2}}{1 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon}}$. We estimate this value, its square and the minimum to be

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{r^*} &= \varepsilon - m + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ r^* &= \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon m + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ f_2(r^*) &= (2 - \sqrt{2})\varepsilon - (3 - 2\sqrt{2})m + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{A.17}$$

case d) $x = 0$:

In this case f_1 simplifies to

$$f_1(0, r) =: f_3(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{r + \varepsilon^2 + m^2}{\sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon},$$

its derivative is given by

$$2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{r} + m + \varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{r} f_3'(r) = r + 2\sqrt{r}(m + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon^2 - m^2.$$

So we read off that f_3 has a minimum at $\sqrt{r^*} = -m - \varepsilon + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon m + m^2}$. The same approximations as above yield

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{r^*} &= \varepsilon(\sqrt{2} - 1) - \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2}m + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ r^* &= \varepsilon^2(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) - (3\sqrt{2} - 4)\varepsilon m + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ f_3(r^*) &= \varepsilon(2 + \sqrt{2}) - m\left(\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} - 1\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{A.18}$$

case e) $x \rightarrow \infty$:

In this case f_1 diverges to $+\infty$.

case f) $r = 0$:

In this case f_1 reduces to

$$f_1(x, 0) := f_4(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|x - \varepsilon^2 - m^2| + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} + m + \varepsilon},$$

for $x \neq \varepsilon^2 + m^2$ its derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2x}(\sqrt{x} + m + \varepsilon)^2 f_4'(x) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x - \varepsilon^2 - m^2)(x + \varepsilon^2 + m^2) \\ + \sqrt{x}(m + \varepsilon) \operatorname{sgn}(x - \varepsilon^2 - m^2) + \varepsilon m + \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

For ε large this function has a minimum at the kink and a maximum between 0 and $\varepsilon^2 + m^2$. So we take note of the minimum at the kink and the minimum for $x \rightarrow 0$. These values are

$$f_4(0) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2}}{1 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$f_4(\varepsilon^2 + m^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1 + \frac{1 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2}}}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad (\text{A.20})$$

case g) $r \rightarrow \infty$:

In this case holds $f_1 \rightarrow \infty$.

case h) simultaneous limits $x, r \rightarrow \infty$. The non trivial limits $\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{r} + c'$ for $c' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x - r = c''$ for $c'' \in \mathbb{R}$ all give limits equal to or greater than $\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Therefore the global minimum is the minimum of [case c](#)), which is $(2 - \sqrt{2})\varepsilon - (3 - 2\sqrt{2})m + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$. So for ε large enough relative to m

we found the lower bound $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ of f_1 . So overall

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^4 + \varepsilon i e_0} \|(\lambda - m)^{-1}\|_{spec} \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

holds.

A.2 Young's Inequality on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$

Bibliography

- [1] D-A Deckert, D Dürr, F Merkl, and M Schottenloher, *Time-evolution of the external field problem in quantum electrodynamics*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **51** (2010), no. 12, 122301.
- [2] D-A Deckert and Franz Merkl, *Dirac equation with external potential and initial data on cauchy surfaces*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **55** (2014), no. 12, 122305.
- [3] Henri Epstein and Vladimir Glaser, *The role of locality in perturbation theory*, Annales de l'IHP Physique théorique, vol. 19, 1973, pp. 211–295.
- [4] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, vol. ii*, 1975.
- [5] Gunter Scharf, *Finite quantum electrodynamics: the causal approach*, Courier Corporation, 2014.

Cooperating Researchers

Prof. Dr. Franz Merkl (LMU)

Junior Research Group Leader Dr. Dirk Deckert (LMU)