

# The Phase of the Second Quantised Time Evolution Operator

D.-A. Deckert\*, Franz Merkl† and Markus Nöth‡

December 6, 2019

## Abstract

abstract to be written

## 1 Introduction

We follow the necessary definitions in [?].

**Definition 1.** For a Cauchy surface  $\Sigma$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$  to be the Hilbert space of  $\mathcal{C}^4$  valued, square integrable functions on  $\Sigma$ . Furthermore, let  $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma)$  denote the set of all closed, linear subspaces  $V \subset \mathcal{H}_\Sigma$  such that both  $V$  and  $V^\perp$  are infinite dimensional. Any  $V \in \text{Pol}(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma)$  is called a polarisation of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $V \in \text{Pol}$ , let  $P_\Sigma^V : \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow V$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$  onto  $V$ .

The Fock space corresponding to polarisation  $V$  on Cauchy surface  $\Sigma$  is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma) := \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_c(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma), \quad \mathcal{F}_c(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma) := \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ c = m - n}} (V^\perp)^{\wedge n} \otimes \overline{V}^{\wedge m}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\bigoplus$  denotes the Hilbert space direct sum,  $\wedge$  the antisymmetric tensor product of Hilbert spaces, and  $\overline{V}$  the conjugate complex vector space of  $V$ , which coincides with  $V$  as a set and has the same vector space operations as  $V$  with the exception of the scalar multiplication, which is replaced by  $(z, \psi) \mapsto z^* \psi$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}, \psi \in V$ .

Each polarisation  $V$  splits the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma$  into a direct sum, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma = V^\perp \oplus V$ . The "standard" polarisation  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^+$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^-$  are determined by the orthogonal projectors  $P_\Sigma^+$  and  $P_\Sigma^-$  onto the free positive and negative energy Dirac solutions, respectively, restricted to  $\Sigma$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^+ := P_\Sigma^+ \mathcal{H} = (1 - P_\Sigma^-) \mathcal{H}_\Sigma, \quad \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^- := P_\Sigma^- \mathcal{H}_\Sigma. \quad (2)$$

Given two Cauchy surfaces  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  and two polarisations  $V \in \text{Pol}(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma)$  and  $W \in \text{Pol}(\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma'})$  a sensible lift of the one particle Dirac evolution  $U_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Sigma$  should be given by a unitary operator  $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A : \mathcal{F}(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(W, \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma'})$  that fulfils

$$\tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A \psi_{V, \Sigma}(f) (\tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A)^{-1} = \psi_{W, \Sigma'}(U_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma. \quad (3)$$

\*deckert@math.lmu.de

Mathematisches Institut der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

†merkl@math.lmu.de

Mathematisches Institut der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

‡noeth@math.lmu.de

Mathematisches Institut der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

is das ok hier  
ivp2 so aus-  
giebig zu zi-  
tieren? Mir  
scheint aber,  
die ganzen  
Sachen  
müssen  
eben genau  
nochmal  
eingeführt  
werden.

Here,  $\psi_{V,\Sigma}$  denotes the Dirac field operator corresponding to Fock space  $\mathcal{F}(V, \Sigma)$ , i.e.,

$$\psi_{V,\Sigma}(f) := b_\Sigma(P_\Sigma^{V^\perp} f) + d_\Sigma^*(P_\Sigma^V f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma, \quad (4)$$

where  $b_\Sigma, d_\Sigma^*$  denote the annihilation and creation operators on the  $V^\perp$  and  $\bar{V}$  sectors of  $\mathcal{F}_c(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma)$ , respectively. Note that  $P_\Sigma^{V^\perp} : \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow \bar{V}$  is anti-linear; thus,  $\psi_{V,\Sigma}(f)$  is anti-linear in its argument  $f$ . The condition under which such a lift  $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma',\Sigma}^A$  exists can be inferred from a straight-forward application of Shale and Stinespring's well-known theorem [?]

**Theorem 1** (Shale-Stinespring). *The following statements are equivalent:*

- *There is a unitary operator  $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma\Sigma'}^A : \mathcal{F}(V, \mathcal{H}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(W, \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma'})$  which fulfils (3).*
- *The off-diagonals  $P_{\Sigma'}^{W^\perp} U_{\Sigma'\Sigma}^A P_\Sigma^V$  and  $P_{\Sigma'}^W U_{\Sigma'\Sigma}^A$  are Hilbert-Schmidt operators.*

Please note that condition (3) is for fixed polarisations  $V, W$  and general external field  $A$  not always satisfied; see e.g. [?]. However, when carefully adapting the choices of polarisation  $V$  to  $A|_\Sigma$  and  $W$  to  $A|_{\Sigma'}$  one can always fulfil condition (3) and therefore construct a lift  $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma'\Sigma}^A$ , see [?, ?, ?].

Furthermore condition (3) does not fix the phase of the lift  $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma'\Sigma}^A$ . Considering Bogolyubov's formula

$$j^\mu(x) = i\tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}},\Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \frac{\delta \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}},\Sigma_{\text{in}}}^A}{\delta A_\mu(x)}, \quad (5)$$

we notice that the current operator depends in a rather sensitive way on the phase of  $\tilde{U}^A$ . Since the current is experimentally accessible we would like to fix the phase by additional physical constraints. This paper is a step this direction.

Throughout this paper  $A, A', B, C, F, G, H \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$  denote external electromagnetic four-potentials. Furthermore,  $\Sigma, \Sigma', \Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{ou}}$  denote Cauchy surfaces,  $\Sigma_{\text{in}}$  is in the remote past and  $\Sigma_{\text{out}}$  in the far future. We introduce the standard polarisation in the remote past

$$P^- := P_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}}^-, \quad P^+ = 1 - P^-, \quad (6)$$

given by the negative respectively positive energy subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}}$ .

... some glue text

**Definition 2.** *We define for all four potentials  $A, B$*

$$S_{A,B} := U_{\Sigma_{\text{in}},\Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A U_{\Sigma_{\text{out}},\Sigma_{\text{in}}}^B. \quad (7)$$

*Using the notation of [?, ?] we choose for all  $S_{A,B} \in U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}}, \mathcal{H}^-)$  such that  $P^- S_{A,B} P^-$  is invertible the lift*

$$\bar{S}_{A,B} = \mathcal{R}_{P^- S_{B,A} P^- | P^- S_{B,A} P^- |^{-1}} \mathcal{L}_{S_{A,B}}. \quad (8)$$

*Furthermore, we define for any complex number  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$*

$$\overset{\circ}{z} := \frac{z}{|z|} \quad (9)$$

and for four-potentials  $A, B, C$  such that  $P^\pm S_{A,B} P^\mp, P^\pm S_{B,C} P^\mp, P^\pm S_{C,A} P^\mp \in I_2(\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}})$ , the complex number of unit magnitude

$$\gamma_{A,B,C} := \det_{\mathcal{H}^-} (P^- - P^- S_{A,C} P^+ S_{C,A} P^- - P^- S_{A,B} P^+ S_{B,C} P^- S_{C,A} P^-), \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} := \overset{\circ}{\gamma}_{A,B,C}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a vacuum vector corresponding to the standard polarisation on  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}}$ . Here,  $\Gamma$  is defined whenever  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Lastly we introduce the partial derivative in the direction of any four-potential  $F$  by

$$\partial_F T(F) := \partial_\varepsilon T(\varepsilon F)|_{\varepsilon=0} \quad (12)$$

and for four-potentials  $A, B, C$  the function

$$c_A(F, G) := -i \partial_F \partial_G \Im \text{tr}[P^- S_{A,A+F} P^+ S_{A,A+G} P^-]. \quad (13)$$

## 2 Main Result

**Definition 3.** We define a causal splitting as a function

$$c^+ : (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4))^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (14)$$

$$(A, F, G) \mapsto c_A^+(F, G), \quad (15)$$

smooth in the first and linear in the second and third argument, satisfying

$$c_A(F, G) = c_A^+(F, G) - c_A^+(G, F), \quad (16)$$

$$\partial_H c_{A+H}^+(F, G) = \partial_G c_{A+G}^+(F, H), \quad (17)$$

$$\forall F < G : c_A^+(F, G) = 0. \quad (18)$$

**Definition 4.** Given a lift  $\hat{S}_{A,B}$  of the one-particle scattering operator  $S_{A,B}$  we define the associated current by Bogolyubov's formula:

$$j_A^{\hat{S}}(F) := i \partial_F \langle \Omega, \hat{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \rangle. \quad (19)$$

**Theorem 2.** Given a causal splitting  $c^+$ , there is a second quantised scattering operator  $\tilde{S}$ , lift of the one-particle scattering operator  $S$  with the following properties

$$\forall A, B, C \in C_c^\infty : \tilde{S}_{A,B} \tilde{S}_{B,C} = \tilde{S}_{A,C} \quad (20)$$

$$\forall F < G : \tilde{S}_{A,A+F} = \tilde{S}_{A+G,A+F+G} \quad (21)$$

and the associated current satisfies

$$\partial_G j_{A+G}^{\tilde{S}}(F) = \begin{cases} -2i c_A(F, G) & \text{for } G < F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

## 3 Proofs

Throughout this section we will assume we have a function  $c^+$  fulfilling (16), (17) and (18). Since the phase of a lift relative to any other lift is fixed by a single matrix element, we may use the vacuum expectation values to characterise the phase of a lift. The function  $c$  captures the dependence of this object on variation of the external fields, the connection between vacuum expectation values and  $c$  becomes clearer with the next lemma.

should I remove all outermost factors fo  $P^-$ , or will that decrease ease of reading?

**Lemma 1.** *The function  $\Gamma$  has the following properties for all four-potentials  $A, B, C$  such that the expressions occurring in each equation are well defined, as well as  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} = \det_{\mathcal{H}^-} (P^- S_{C,A} P^- S_{A,B} P^- S_{B,C}) \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} = \langle \Omega, \bar{S}_{A,B} \bar{S}_{B,C} \bar{S}_{C,A} \Omega \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} = \Gamma_{B,C,A} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{B,A,C}} \quad (23)$$

$$\Gamma_{A,A,B} = 1 \quad (24)$$

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} \Gamma_{B,A,D} \Gamma_{A,C,D} \Gamma_{C,B,D} = 1 \quad \Leftarrow \text{is that necessary?} \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{S}_{A,C} = \Gamma_{A,B,C} \bar{S}_{A,B} \bar{S}_{B,C} \quad (25)$$

$$c_A(B, C) = \partial_B \partial_C \ln \Gamma_{A,A+B,A+C}. \quad (26)$$

In order to construct the desired lift, we first construct a reference lift  $\hat{S}$ , that is well defined for any four-potential  $A$  such that  $\text{supp } \vec{A} \cap \Sigma_{\text{in}} = \emptyset$ . Afterwards we will study the dependence of the relative phase between this global lift  $\hat{S}_{0,A}$  and a local lift given by  $\hat{S}_{0,B} \bar{S}_{B,A}$  for  $B - A$  small as a multiplication operator on one-particle wave functions. By exploiting properties of this phase we will be able to construct a global lift that has the desired properties. Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$  is star shaped, we may reach any four-potential  $A$  from 0 through the straight line  $\{tA \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ .

**Definition 5.** *For any four-potentials  $A, B$  and any two lifts  $S'_{A,B}, S''_{A,B}$  of the one particle scattering operator  $S_{A,B}$  we define*

$$\frac{S'_{A,B}}{S''_{A,B}} \quad (27)$$

*to be the unique complex number  $z \in S^1$  such that*

$$\frac{S'_{A,B}}{S''_{A,B}} S''_{A,B} = S'_{A,B} \quad (28)$$

*holds. Furthermore, for any four-potential  $A$  we define the lift  $\hat{S}_{0,A}$  as the unique solution of the differential equation*

$$A, B \text{ linearly dependent} \Rightarrow \partial_B \frac{\hat{S}_{0,A+B}}{\hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,A+B}} = 0, \quad (29)$$

*subject to the boundary condition  $\hat{S}_{0,0} = \mathbb{1}$*

**Remark 1.** *The lift  $\hat{S}_{0,A}$  can also be constructed differently: pick  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\mathbb{1} - S_{0,N^{-1}A}\| < 1$  holds true. Then  $P^- S_{nN^{-1}A, (n+1)N^{-1}A} P^-$  is invertible for  $N > n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Now,*

$$\hat{S}_{0,A} = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \bar{S}_{nN^{-1}A, (n+1)N^{-1}A}. \quad (30)$$

*This can be seen as follows: by (25) we notice that if  $\Gamma_{\alpha A, \beta A, \gamma A}$  were equal to 1 for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$  small enough, the claim would follow by taking the continuum limit  $1/N \rightarrow 0$*

in (31). However, we do have this equality, as the following calculation shows:

$$\ln \Gamma_{A,\beta A,\gamma A} = \int_1^\beta d\beta' \partial_{\beta'} \ln \Gamma_{A,\beta' A,\gamma A} + \overbrace{\ln \Gamma_{A,A,\gamma A}}^{=0} \quad (31)$$

$$= \int_1^\beta d\beta' \left( \int_1^\gamma d\gamma' \partial_{\gamma'} \partial_{\beta'} \ln \Gamma_{A,\beta' A,\gamma' A} + \partial_{\beta'} \overbrace{\ln \Gamma_{A,\beta' A,A}}^{=0} \right) \quad (32)$$

$$= \int_1^\beta d\beta' \int_1^\gamma d\gamma' c_A(\beta' A, \gamma' A) = \int_1^\beta d\beta' \int_1^\gamma d\gamma' \beta' \gamma' \overbrace{c_A(A, A)}^{=0} = 0, \quad (33)$$

where we have without loss of generality restricted to  $\alpha = 1$  and used various properties of lemma 1.

**Definition 6.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|1 - S_{A,B}\| < 1$  holds. We define  $\theta_{A,B} \in [-\pi, \pi[$  by

$$e^{i\theta_{A,B}} := \frac{\hat{S}_{0,B}}{\hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,B}}. \quad (34)$$

**Lemma 2.** For all  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|1 - S_{A,F}\| < 1, \|1 - S_{F,G}\| < 1, \|1 - S_{A,G}\| < 1$  hold, as well as for all  $H, K \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\theta_{A,F} = -\theta_{F,A} \quad (35)$$

$$e^{i(\theta_{F,A} + \theta_{A,G} + \theta_{G,F})} = \Gamma_{F,A,G} \quad (36)$$

$$i\partial_{\varepsilon_1} \partial_{\varepsilon_2} \theta_{A+\varepsilon_1 H, A+\varepsilon_2 K} = c_A(H, K). \quad (37)$$

*Proof.* Pick  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$  as in the lemma. We start off by analysing

$$\hat{S}_{0,F} \bar{S}_{F,G} \stackrel{(35)}{=} e^{i\theta_{A,F}} \hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,F} \bar{S}_{F,G} \quad (38)$$

$$\stackrel{(25)}{=} e^{i\theta_{A,F}} \Gamma_{A,F,G}^{-1} \hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,G}. \quad (39)$$

Exchanging  $A$  and  $F$  in this equation and bringing the phases to the other side leads to

$$\hat{S}_{0,F} \bar{S}_{F,G} = e^{-i\theta_{F,A}} \Gamma_{F,A,G} \hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,G}, \quad (40)$$

taking (23) into account this means that

$$\theta_{A,F} = -\theta_{F,A} \quad (41)$$

holds true. Equation (40) solved for  $\hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,G}$  also gives us

$$\hat{S}_{0,G} \stackrel{(35)}{=} e^{i\theta_{A,G}} \hat{S}_{0,A} \bar{S}_{A,G} \stackrel{(40)}{=} e^{i\theta_{A,G}} e^{-i\theta_{A,F}} \Gamma_{A,F,G} \hat{S}_{0,F} \bar{S}_{F,G}. \quad (42)$$

The latter equation compared with

$$\hat{S}_{0,G} \stackrel{(35)}{=} e^{i\theta_{F,G}} \hat{S}_{0,F} \bar{S}_{F,G}, \quad (43)$$

yields a direct connection between  $\Gamma$  and  $\theta$ :

$$e^{i\theta_{A,G} - i\theta_{A,F}} \Gamma_{A,F,G} = e^{i\theta_{F,G}}, \quad (44)$$

or by (36)

$$\Gamma_{A,F,G} = e^{i\theta_{F,G} + i\theta_{A,F} + i\theta_{G,A}}. \quad (45)$$

Finally, in this equation we replace  $F = A + \varepsilon_1 H$  as well as  $G = A + \varepsilon_2 K$ , where  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  is small enough so that  $\theta$  and  $\Gamma$  are still well defined. Then we take the logarithm and derivatives to find

$$i\partial_{\varepsilon_1}\partial_{\varepsilon_2}\theta_{A+\varepsilon_1 H, A+\varepsilon_2 K} = \partial_{\varepsilon_1}\partial_{\varepsilon_2}\ln \Gamma_{A, A+\varepsilon_1 H, A+\varepsilon_2 K} \stackrel{(26)}{=} c_A(H, K). \quad (46)$$

□

So we find that  $\theta$  is an anti derivative of  $c$ . In the following we will characterise  $\theta$  more thoroughly by  $c$  and  $c^+$ .

**Definition 7.** We define the one form  $\chi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$\chi_A(B) := \partial_B \theta_{A, A+B} \quad (47)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore for a differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the exterior derivative of  $\omega$ ,  $d\omega \in \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$(d\omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_{p+1}) := \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{k+1} \partial_{B_k} \omega_{A+B_k}(B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_{p+1}), \quad (48)$$

for general  $A, B_1, \dots, B_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}$ , where the notation  $\cancel{B_k}$  denotes that  $B_k$  is not to be inserted as an argument.

**Lemma 3.** The differential form  $\chi$  fulfils

$$(d\chi)_A(F, G) = c_A(F, G) \quad (49)$$

for all  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ , we calculate

$$(d\omega)_A(F, G) = \partial_F \partial_G \theta_{A+F, A+F+G} - \partial_F \partial_G \theta_{A+G, A+F+G} \quad (50)$$

$$= \partial_F \partial_G (\theta_{A, A+F+G} + \theta_{A+F, A+G}) - \partial_F \partial_G (\theta_{A, A+F+G} + \theta_{A+G, A+F}) \quad (51)$$

$$\stackrel{(36)}{=} 2\partial_F \partial_G \theta_{A+F, A+G} \stackrel{(38)}{=} -2ic_A(F, G). \quad (52)$$

□

Now since  $dc = 0$ , we might have by Poincaré's lemma a way independent of  $\theta$  to construct a differential form  $\omega$  such that  $d\omega = c$ . In order to execute this plan, we first need to prove Poincaré's lemma for our setting:

**Lemma 4** (Poincaré). Let  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  be closed, i.e.  $d\omega = 0$ . Then  $\omega$  is also exact, moreover we have

$$\omega = d \int_0^1 \iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega dt, \quad (53)$$

where  $Y$ ,  $\iota_t$  and  $f$  are given by  $X : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A}, (t, B) \mapsto (1, 0)$ ,  $\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A}, B \mapsto (t, B)$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, (t, B) \mapsto tB$ . The function  $f_t$  is then given by  $f_t = f(t, \cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Pick some  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$ . We will first show the more general formula

$$f_b^* \omega - f_a^* \omega = d \int_a^b \iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega \, dt + \int_a^b \iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega \, dt. \quad (54)$$

The lemma follows then by  $b = 1, a = 0$ ,  $f_1^* \omega = \omega$ ,  $f_0^* \omega = 0$  and  $d\omega = 0$  for a closed  $\omega$ . First, to prove (55). We begin by summarising the right hand side of (55):

$$d \int_a^b \iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega \, dt + \int_a^b \iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega \, dt = \int_a^b (d\iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega + \iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega) dt. \quad (55)$$

Next we look at both of these terms separately. Let therefore  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t, s_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A, B_k \in \mathcal{A}$  for each  $p+1 \geq k \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we calculate  $d\iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega$

$$(f^* \omega)_{(t,A)}((s_1, B_1), \dots, (s_p, B_p)) = \omega_{tA}(s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, s_p A + tB_p) \quad (56)$$

$$(i_X f^* \omega)_{(t,A)}((s_1, B_1), \dots, (s_{p-1}, B_{p-1})) = \omega_{tA}(A, s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, s_{p-1} A + tB_{p-1}) \quad (57)$$

$$(\iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_{p-1}) = t^{p-1} \omega_{tA}(A, B_1, \dots, B_{p-1}) \quad (58)$$

$$(d\iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_p) = \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k+1} t^{p-1} \omega_{tA+\varepsilon tB_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) \quad (59)$$

$$+ \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k+1} \omega_{tA}(A + \varepsilon B_k, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) \quad (60)$$

$$= \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p t^p (-1)^{k+1} \omega_{tA+\varepsilon B_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) + p t^{p-1} \omega_{tA}(B_1, \dots, B_p). \quad (61)$$

Now, we calculate  $\iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega$ :

$$(d\omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_{p+1}) = \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{k+1} \omega_{A+\varepsilon B_k}(B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_{p+1}) \quad (62)$$

$$(f^* d\omega)(t, A)((s_1, B_1), \dots, (s_{p+1}, B_{p+1})) = (d\omega)_{tA}(s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, s_{p+1} A + tB_{p+1}) \quad (63)$$

$$= \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{k+1} \omega_{tA+\varepsilon(s_k A + tB_k)}(s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, \cancel{s_k A + tB_k}, \dots, s_p A + tB_p) \quad (64)$$

$$+ \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, s_p A + tB_p) \quad (65)$$

$$(i_X f^* d\omega)_{(t,A)}((s_1, B_1), \dots, (s_p, B_p)) = \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, s_p A + tB_p) \quad (66)$$

$$+ \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \omega_{tA+\varepsilon(s_k A + tB_k)}(A, s_1 A + tB_1, \dots, \cancel{s_k A + tB_k}, \dots, s_p A + tB_p) \quad (67)$$

$$= \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p (s_k t^{p-1} (-1)^{k+1} \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(A, B_1, \dots, B_p) + t^p \omega_{tA+\varepsilon tB_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p)) \quad (68)$$

$$+ \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k t^{p-1} (\omega_{(t+s_k \varepsilon)A}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) + \omega_{tA+\varepsilon tB_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p)) \quad (69)$$

$$= t^p \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \left( \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(B_1, \dots, B_p) + \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \omega_{tA+\varepsilon B_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) \right) \quad (70)$$

$$(\iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_p) = t^p \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \left( \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(B_1, \dots, B_p) \right) \quad (71)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \omega_{tA+\varepsilon B_k}(A, B_1, \dots, \cancel{B_k}, \dots, B_p) \quad (72)$$

Adding (62) and (73) we find for (56):

$$\int_a^b (d\iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega + \iota_t^* i_X f^* d\omega) dt = \quad (73)$$

$$\int_a^b \left( t^p \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} \omega_{(t+\varepsilon)A}(B_1, \dots, B_p) + p t^{p-1} \omega_{tA}(B_1, \dots, B_p) \right) dt \quad (74)$$

$$= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} (t^p \omega_{tA}(B_1, \dots, B_p)) dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} (f_t^* \omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_p) dt \quad (75)$$

$$= (f_b^* \omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_p) - (f_a^* \omega)_A(B_1, \dots, B_p). \quad (76)$$

□

**Definition 8.** For a closed exterior form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{A})$  we define the form  $\prod[\omega]$

$$\prod[\omega] := \int_0^1 \iota_t^* i_X f^* \omega dt. \quad (77)$$

For  $A, B_1, \dots, B_{p-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  it takes the form

$$\prod[\omega]_A(B_1, \dots, B_p) = \int_0^1 t^{p-1} \omega_{tA}(A, B_1, \dots, B_{p-1}) dt. \quad (78)$$



By lemma 4 we know  $d\prod[\omega] = \omega$ .

Now we found two one forms each produces  $c$  when the exterior derivative is taken. The next lemma informs us about their relationship.

**Lemma 5.** *The following equality holds*

$$\chi = -2i\prod[c]. \quad (79)$$

*Proof.* We have  $d(c + 2i\prod[c]) = 0$  so by lemma 4 we know that there is  $v : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$dv = \chi + 2i\prod[c] \quad (80)$$

holds. Now (30) translates into the following ODE for  $\theta$ :

$$\partial_B \theta_{0,B} = 0, \quad \partial_B \theta_{A,A+B}|_{A=B} = 0 \quad (81)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . This means that

$$\chi_0(B) = 0 = \prod[c]_0(B), \quad \chi_{A,A} = 0 = \prod[c]_A(A) \quad (82)$$

hold. This implies

$$\partial_\varepsilon v_{A+\varepsilon A} = 0, \quad \partial_\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon A} = 0, \quad (83)$$

which means that  $v$  is constant.  $\square$

Recall equation (17):

$$\forall A, F, G, H : \partial_H c_{A+H}^+(F, G) = \partial_G c_{A+G}^+(F, H). \quad (84)$$

For a fixed  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ , this condition can be read as  $d(c^+(F, \cdot)) = 0$ . As a consequence we can apply lemma 4 to define a one form.

**Definition 9.** *For any  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define*

$$\beta_A(F) := 2i\prod[c^+(F, \cdot)]_A. \quad (85)$$

**Lemma 6.** *The following two equations hold:*

$$d\beta = -2ic \quad (86)$$

$$d(\beta - \chi) = 0. \quad (87)$$

*Proof.* We start with the exterior derivative of  $\beta$ . Pick  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$d\beta_A(F, G) = \partial_F \beta_{A+F}(G) - \partial_G \beta_{A+G}(F) \quad (88)$$

$$= d\left(\prod[c^+(G, \cdot)]_A\right)(F) - d\left(\prod[c^+(F, \cdot)]_A\right)(G) \quad (89)$$

$$= 2ic_A^+(G, F) - 2ic_A^+(F, G) \stackrel{(16)}{=} -2ic_A(F, G). \quad (90)$$

This proves the first equality. The second equality follows directly by  $d\chi = -2ic$ .  $\square$

**Definition 10.** *Since  $\beta - \chi$  is closed, we may use lem 4 again to define the phase*

$$\alpha := \prod[\beta - \chi]. \quad (91)$$

*Furthermore, for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  we define the corrected second quantised scattering operator*

$$\tilde{S}_{0,A} := e^{i\alpha_A} \hat{S}_{0,A} \quad (92)$$

$$\tilde{S}_{A,B} := \tilde{S}_{0,A}^{-1} \tilde{S}_{0,B}. \quad (93)$$

**Corollary 1.** *We have  $\tilde{S}_{A,B}\tilde{S}_{B,C} = \tilde{S}_{A,C}$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *The corrected second quantised scattering operator fulfils the following causality condition for all  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F < G$ :*

$$\tilde{S}_{A,A+F} = \tilde{S}_{A+G,A+G+F}. \quad (94)$$

*Proof.* Let  $A, F, G \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F < G$ . We note that for the first quantised scattering operator we have

$$S_{A+G,A+G+F} = S_{A,A+F}, \quad (95)$$

so by definition of  $\bar{S}$  we also have

$$\bar{S}_{A+G,A+G+F} = \bar{S}_{A,A+F}. \quad (96)$$

So for any lift this equality is true up to a phase, meaning that

$$f(A, F, G) := \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G,A+G+F}}{\tilde{S}_{A,A+F}} \quad (97)$$

is well defined. We see immediately

$$f(A, 0, G) = 1 = f(A, F, 0). \quad (98)$$

Pick  $F_1, F_2 < G_1, G_1$ . We abbreviate  $F = F_1 + F_2, G = G_1 + G_2$ , we calculate

$$f(A, F, G) = \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G,A+F+G}}{\tilde{S}_{A,A+F}} \quad (99)$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G,A+F+G}}{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1,A+G_1+F}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1,A+G_1+F}}{\tilde{S}_{A,A+F}} \quad (100)$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G,A+G+F_1}}{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1,A+F_1+G_1}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G+F_1,A+F+G}}{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1+F_1,A+G_1+F}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1,A+G_1+F}}{\tilde{S}_{A,A+F}} \quad (101)$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G,A+G+F_1}}{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1,A+F_1+G_1}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{A+G+F_1,A+F+G}}{\tilde{S}_{A+G_1+F_1,A+G_1+F}} f(A, G_1, F_1 + F_2) \quad (102)$$

$$= f(A + G_1, F_1, G_2) f(A + G_1 + F_1, G_2, F_2) f(A, G_1, F_1 + F_2). \quad (103)$$

Taking the logarithm and differentiating we find:

$$\partial_{F_2} \partial_{G_2} \ln f(A, F_1 + F_2, G_1 + G_2) = \partial_{F_2} \partial_{G_2} \ln f(A + F_1 + G_1, F_2, G_2). \quad (104)$$

Next we pick  $F_2 = \alpha_1 F_1$  and  $G_2 = \alpha_2 G_1$  for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  small enough so that

$$\|1 - S_{A+F+G,A+F_1+G_1}\| < 1 \quad (105)$$

$$\|1 - S_{A+F+G,A+F_1+G}\| < 1 \quad (106)$$

$$\|1 - S_{A+F+G,A+F+G_1}\| < 1 \quad (107)$$

hold. We abbreviate  $A' = A + G_1 + F_1$  and compute

$$f(A', F_2, G_2) = \frac{e^{i\alpha_{A'+F_2+G_2} + i\theta_{A',A'+F_2+G_2} - i\alpha_{A'+G_2} - i\theta_{A',A'+G_2}}}{e^{i\alpha_{A'+F_2} + i\theta_{A',A'+F_2} - i\alpha_{A'} - i\theta_{A',A'}}} \frac{\bar{S}_{A'+G_2,A'} \bar{S}_{A',A'+F_2+G_2}}{\bar{S}_{A',A'} \bar{S}_{A',A'+F_2}}. \quad (108)$$

The second factor in this product can be simplified significantly:

$$\frac{\overline{S}_{A'+G_2,A'} \overline{S}_{A',A'+F_2+G_2}}{\overline{S}_{A',A'} \overline{S}_{A',A'+F_2}} = \frac{\overline{S}_{A'+G_2,A'} \overline{S}_{A',A'+F_2+G_2}}{\overline{S}_{A',A'+F_2}} \quad (109)$$

$$\stackrel{(25)}{=} \Gamma_{A'+G_2,A',A'+F_2+G_2}^{-1} \frac{\overline{S}_{A'+G_2,A'+F_2+G_2}}{\overline{S}_{A',A'+F_2}} \quad (110)$$

$$\stackrel{(97)}{=} \Gamma_{A',A'+G_2,A'+F_2+G_2} \stackrel{(37)}{=} e^{i\theta_{A',A'+G_2} + i\theta_{A'+G_2,A'+G_2+F_2} + i\theta_{A'+F_2+G_2,A'}}. \quad (111)$$

So in total we find

$$f(A', F_2, G_2) = \frac{e^{i\alpha_{A'+F_2+G_2} + i\theta_{A',A'+F_2+G_2} - i\alpha_{A'+G_2} - i\theta_{A',A'+G_2}}}{e^{i\alpha_{A'+F_2} + i\theta_{A',A'+F_2} - i\alpha_{A'} - i\theta_{A',A'}}} \times \quad (112)$$

$$e^{i\theta_{A',A'+G_2} + i\theta_{A'+G_2,A'+G_2+F_2} + i\theta_{A'+F_2+G_2,A'}} \quad (113)$$

$$= \exp(i\alpha_{A'+F_2+G_2} - i\alpha_{A'+G_2} - i\alpha_{A'+F_2} + i\alpha_{A'} + i\theta_{A'+G_2,A'+G_2+F_2} - i\theta_{A',A'+F_2}). \quad (114)$$

Most of the terms in the exponent do not depend on  $F_2$  and  $G_2$ , so taking the mixed logarithmic derivative things simplify:

$$\partial_{G_2} \partial_{F_2} \ln f(A', F_2, G_2) = i \partial_{G_2} \partial_{F_2} (\alpha_{A'+F_2+G_2} + \theta_{A'+G_2,A'+G_2+F_2}) \quad (115)$$

$$\stackrel{(92),(48)}{=} i \partial_{G_2} (\beta_{A'+G_2}(F_2) - \chi_{A'+G_2}(F_2) + \chi_{A'+G_2}(F_2)) \quad (116)$$

$$\stackrel{(87)}{=} -2c_{A'}^+(F_2, G_2) \stackrel{F_2 \leq G_2}{=} 0. \quad (117)$$

So by (105) we also have

$$\partial_{F_2} \partial_{G_2} \ln f(A, F_1 + F_2, G_1 + G_2) = 0 = \partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} \ln f(A, F_1(1 + \alpha_1), G_1(1 + \alpha_2)) \quad (118)$$

But then we can integrate and obtain

$$0 = \int_{-1}^0 d\alpha_1 \int_{-1}^0 d\alpha_2 \partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} \ln f(A, F_1(1 + \alpha_1), G_1(1 + \alpha_2)) \quad (119)$$

$$= \ln f(A, F_1, G_1) - \ln f(A, 0, G_1) - \ln f(A, F_1, 0) + \ln f(A, 0, 0) \quad (120)$$

$$\stackrel{(99)}{=} \ln f(A, F_1, G_1). \quad (121)$$

remembering equation (98), the definition of  $f$ , this ends our proof.  $\square$

Using  $\tilde{S}$  we introduce the current associated to it.

**Definition 11.** Let  $A, F \in \mathcal{A}$ , define

$$j_A(F) := i \partial_F \left\langle \Omega, \tilde{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \right\rangle = i \partial_F \ln \left\langle \Omega, \tilde{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \right\rangle. \quad (122)$$

**Theorem 4.** For general  $A, F \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$j_A(F) = -\beta_A(F). \quad (123)$$

So in particular for  $G \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\partial_G j_{A+G}(F) = -2ic_A(F, G). \quad (124)$$

holds.

*Proof.* Pick  $A, F \in \mathcal{A}$  as in the theorem. We calculate

$$i\partial_F \ln \langle \Omega, \tilde{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \rangle \quad (125)$$

$$= i\partial_F \left( i\alpha_{A+F} - i\alpha_A + \ln \langle \Omega, \hat{S}_{0,A}^{-1} \hat{S}_{0,A+F} \Omega \rangle \right) \quad (126)$$

$$= i\partial_F \left( i\alpha_{A+F} + i\theta_{A,A+F} + \ln \langle \Omega, \bar{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \rangle \right) \quad (127)$$

The last summand vanishes, as can be seen by the following calculation

$$\partial_F \ln \langle \Omega, \bar{S}_{A,A+F} \Omega \rangle = \partial_F \ln \det P^- \bar{S}_{A,A+F} P^- \bar{S}_{A,A+F}^{-1} P^- \quad (128)$$

$$= \partial_F \ln \det (P^- - P^- \bar{S}_{A,A+F} P^+ \bar{S}_{A+F,A} P^-) \stackrel{*}{=} -\partial_F \operatorname{tr} (P^- \bar{S}_{A,A+F} P^+ \bar{S}_{A+F,A} P^-) \quad (129)$$

$$= -\partial_F \operatorname{tr} (P^- \bar{S}_{A,A+F} P^+ \bar{S}_{A,A} P^-) - \partial_F \operatorname{tr} (P^- \bar{S}_{A,A} P^+ \bar{S}_{A+F,A} P^-) = 0, \quad (130)$$

where for the marked identity we used that for any  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I_1$  we have

$$\partial_\varepsilon \det(1 + f(\varepsilon)) = \operatorname{tr}(\partial_\varepsilon f(\varepsilon)). \quad (131)$$

So we are left with

$$j_A(F) = -\partial_F(\alpha_{A+F} + \theta_{A,A+F}) = -(\beta_A(F) - \chi_A(F) + \chi_A(F)) = -\beta_A(F). \quad (132)$$

Finally by taking the derivative with respect to  $G \in \mathcal{A}$  and using the definition of  $\beta$  we find

$$\partial_G j_{A+G}(F) = -2ic_A^+(F, G). \quad (133)$$

□