

I begin with a collection of definitions and formulas useful in this setting.

1. basic definitions

Definition 1.1. *Throuought this document the letters A, B, C, G and F with or without indices represent four-potentials, elements of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4)$. Furthermore we assume that for some fixed compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$ all the appearing four-potentials are supported in K .*

Definition 1.2. *Likewise the greek letter Σ with or without indices and with or without (multiple) 's attached to it represent spacelike hypersurfaces of Minkowski spacetime.*

Definition 1.3. *Furthermore*

$$\forall \Sigma : \mathcal{H}_\Sigma := L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4, i_\gamma(d^4x)). \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.4. *We denote the one-particle Dirac time evolution operator by*

$$U_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^A : \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma'}. \quad (2)$$

Definition 1.5. *For initial polarization $V_{\Sigma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_0}$ with Σ_0 before K and $\Phi_{\Sigma_0} : \ell_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma_0}$ with $\text{range} \Phi_{\Sigma_0} = V_{\Sigma_0}$, we define*

$$\forall \Sigma, \forall A : C_\Sigma(A) := \{V_\Sigma^A \mid V_\Sigma^A \approx U_{\Sigma, \Sigma_0}^A V_{\Sigma_0}\}. \quad (3)$$

It turns out (ref) that $C_\Sigma(A)$ only depends on the projection $\Sigma \ni x \mapsto x_\alpha A^\alpha(x)$, furthermore we define

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma^A := \mathcal{F}(U_{\Sigma, \Sigma_0}^A \Phi_{\Sigma_0}). \quad (4)$$

Definition 1.6. *We call $\tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A : \mathcal{F}_\Sigma^A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma'}^{A'}$ unitary such that $\forall A, A', \Sigma, \Sigma', \Sigma''$:*

$$\tilde{U}_{\Sigma'', \Sigma'}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A = \tilde{U}_{\Sigma'', \Sigma}^A \quad (\text{func})$$

$$\text{germ}_{V_{0|\Sigma'}, \Sigma}(A) = \text{germ}_{V_{0|\Sigma'}, \Sigma}(A') \Rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A = \tilde{U}_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^{A'} \quad (\text{loc})$$

$$(\text{reg})$$

a lift of $U_{\Sigma', \Sigma}^A$. Here the germ of two functions A and A' are equal iff

$$\exists U \supseteq \Sigma, U_{\text{open}} : A|_U = A'|_U. \quad (5)$$

Definition 1.7. *For Σ_{in} before K and Σ_{out} after K we define*

$${}_A \tilde{S}_{A+F} := \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} : \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}}^A \hookrightarrow \quad (6)$$

Definition 1.8. *We denote for a fixed $U_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_0}^A \Phi_{\Sigma_0} \sim \Psi_{\text{in}} : \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{in}}$ by*

$$\mathbb{1}_{\text{in}} : \mathcal{H}_{\text{in}} \hookrightarrow, \quad (7)$$

the identity on that space and denote by

$$\bar{\mathbb{1}}_{\text{in}} : \mathcal{F}(\Psi_{\text{in}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_0}^A \Phi_{\Sigma_0}) \quad (8)$$

its lift.

Definition 1.9. *We also introduce the projector notation:*

$${}_A S_{F--} := \Psi_{\text{in}}^* {}_A S_F \Psi_{\text{in}} : \ell^2 \hookrightarrow. \quad (9)$$

Definition 1.10. and the only (partial) lift which can naturally be written down

$${}_A\bar{S}_F := \mathcal{L}_{{}_AS_F}\mathcal{R}_{{}_FS_A} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det {}_AS_{F--} {}_FS_{A--}}} : \mathcal{F}(\Psi_{in}) \hookrightarrow, \quad (10)$$

where the last factor is just for normalisation i.e. to make this operator unitary. Please note that this is not a lift in the sense of Definition 1.6 since it may not fulfill any of the conditions.

Definition 1.11. We can make a connection between the proper lift and (10) by

$${}_A\hat{S}_F := \bar{\mathbb{1}}_{in\,A}^* \tilde{S}_F \bar{\mathbb{1}}_{in} : \mathcal{F}(\Psi_{in}) \hookrightarrow. \quad (11)$$

Now \bar{S} and \hat{S} agree up to a phase (ref), so we define

$${}_Az_F \circ {}_A\bar{S}_F := {}_A\hat{S}_F. \quad (12)$$

There is yet another phase which characterizes the deficiency of (10), namely

Definition 1.12.

$$\forall A, B, C : \Gamma_{A,B,C}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{1} = {}_A\bar{S}_B {}_B\bar{S}_C {}_C\bar{S}_A. \quad (13)$$

We also introduce a notation for general complex numbers

Definition 1.13.

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \arg(z) := \frac{z}{|z|}. \quad (14)$$

2. useful formulas

Since most of the time we work with the arg of complex numbers it is worth noting that

Corollary 2.1.

$$\forall z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \left(\frac{z}{|z|} \right)' = i \frac{z}{|z|} \Im \frac{z^* z'}{|z|^2} \quad (15)$$

holds.

Lemma 1. It is true that

$$\forall F < G : {}_A\tilde{S}_{A+F+G} = {}_A\tilde{S}_{A+G} {}_A\tilde{S}_{A+F} \quad (\text{temporal separation})$$

holds.

Lemma 2. It is true that

$$\forall F < G : {}_{A+G}z_{A+F+G} = {}_Az_{A+F} \quad (16)$$

holds.

Lemma 3. There are more ways to conveniently express $\Gamma_{A,B,C}$ for all A, B and C , namely

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} = {}_Az_B {}_Bz_C {}_Cz_A, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C}^{-1} = \argdet({}_AS_{B--} {}_BS_{C--} {}_CS_{A--}). \quad (18)$$

Furthermore it is true that

$$\Gamma_{A,B,C} = \Gamma_{B,C,A} = \Gamma_{C,B,A}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

holds. Furthermore, the tetrahedron rule holds for all A, B, C and D

$$\Gamma_{B,C,D} = \Gamma_{A,C,D} \Gamma_{B,A,D} \Gamma_{B,C,A}. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 4. *For the case $F < G$ one can find a relation between z and Γ involving just one instance of each object:*

$$\partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} = -\partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A,A+G,A+F+G} \quad (21)$$

Finally we present a compact formula that connects derivatives of the current to Γ :

Lemma 5. *In the case $F < G$,*

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G \ln \frac{\Gamma_{A,A+F,A+F+G}}{\Gamma_{A,A+G,A+F+G}} \quad (22)$$

holds.

Lemma 5 makes it easy to see that the derivative of the current is antisymmetric with respect to F and G .

There is another simplification of the derivative of the current that follows from the symmetries of Γ .

Lemma 6. *For $F < G$ we can simplify the result of lemma 5 to*

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = -2i \partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A,A+G,A+F} = 2i \partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G}. \quad (23)$$

Theorem 2.2. *For $F < G$, the derivative of the current can more explicitly be expressed as*

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = -2\Im \operatorname{tr} [(\partial_G {}_A S_{A+G})_{-+} (\partial_F {}_A S_{A+F})_{+-}]. \quad (24)$$

3. proofs of useful formulas

Proof of lemma 1: Let F and G be such that $F < G$ holds. Then choose Σ such that Σ is before $\operatorname{supp} G$ but after $\operatorname{supp} F$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_A \tilde{S}_{A+F+G} &= {}_A \tilde{S}_{A+G} {}_A \tilde{S}_{A+F} \\ \iff \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F+G} &= \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} \\ \iff \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F+G} &= \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} \\ \stackrel{(\text{func})}{\iff} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+F+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F+G} &= \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+F} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} \\ \stackrel{(\text{loc})}{\iff} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} &= \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{out}}}^A \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+F} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} \\ \iff \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F} &= \tilde{U}_{\Sigma_{\text{out}}, \Sigma}^{A+G} \tilde{U}_{\Sigma, \Sigma_{\text{in}}}^{A+F}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we prove lemma 2. Let $F < G$. Using definition (12), as well as lemma 1 which holds for \hat{S} as well (simply by inserting the proper identities) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{A+G} \bar{z}_{A+F+G} \circ {}_{A+G} \bar{S}_{A+F+G} &= {}_{A+G} \hat{S}_{A+F+G} = {}_{A+G} \hat{S}_A \hat{S}_{A+F+G} \\ &\stackrel{F < G}{=} {}_{A+G} \hat{S}_A {}_A \hat{S}_{A+G} {}_A \hat{S}_{A+F} = {}_A \hat{S}_{A+F} = {}_A z_{A+F} \circ {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by evaluation ${}_{A+F+G} \bar{S}_{A+G} {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F}$ we find the relation between the appearing phases. By a computation analogous to the one we just did for the one-particle scattering operator we see that the left-operation part of this operator is just the

identity. The right-operation may still contribute a determinant; however, since \bar{S} is unitary the determinant may only be a phase. Therefore we see that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{A+G}z_{A+F+G} {}_{A+F}z_A &= \arg\det(({}_{A+F}S_A)_{--}({}_{A+G}S_{A+F+G})_{--}) \\ &= \arg\det(({}_{A+F}S_A)_{--}({}_{A+G}S_A {}_A S_{A+F+G})_{--}) = \arg\det(({}_{A+F}S_A)_{--}({}_A S_{A+F})_{--}) \\ &= \arg\det(({}_{A+F}S_A)_{--}({}_{A+F}S_A)^*_{--}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

holds. \square

Now for lemma 3. Formula (18) can be seen from the definition of Γ by taking the vacuum expectation value. Formula (19) can directly be seen from the definition of Γ . We prove (17), by observing that

$${}_A \tilde{S}_C = {}_A \tilde{S}_B {}_B \tilde{S}_C \quad (25)$$

holds, therefore it also holds for \hat{S} . Inserting definitions (12) and (13) yields

$${}_A z_C \circ {}_A \bar{S}_C = {}_A z_B {}_B z_C \circ {}_A \bar{S}_B {}_B \bar{S}_C \quad (26)$$

and

$${}_A z_C {}_B z_A {}_C z_B \circ \mathbb{1} = {}_A \bar{S}_B {}_B \bar{S}_C {}_C \bar{S}_A = \Gamma_{A,B,C}^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

Rearranging yields (17). For the tetrahedron rule we simply insert (17) into the right hand side and get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{A,C,D} \Gamma_{B,A,D} \Gamma_{B,C,A} &= {}_A z_C {}_C z_D {}_D z_A {}_B z_A {}_A z_D {}_D z_B {}_B z_C {}_C z_A {}_A z_B \\ &= {}_C z_D {}_D z_B {}_B z_C = \Gamma_{B,C,D}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Now to prove lemma 4. Let again $F < G$ be true. By adding terms which vanish after splitting products into sums in the logarithm and application of derivatives we obtain

$$\partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} = -\partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_{A+F+G} z_A {}_A z_{A+G} {}_A z_{A+F}.$$

Modifying the last factor by (16) yields

$$\partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} = -\partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_{A+F+G} z_A {}_A z_{A+G} {}_{A+G} z_{A+F+G} = -\partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A,A+G,A+F+G}.$$

\square

We come to the proof of lemma 5. Let $F < G$ be true. we start with the definition of the current:

$$j_A(G) = i \partial_G \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_A \tilde{S}_{A+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle$$

Now we take the derivative of this expression and insert the definition of z

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G {}_{A+F} z_{A+F+G} \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle.$$

As the expression which we take derivatives of is equal to 1 at $G = 0$ and the linearisation of the logarithm around 1 is the identity we can safely insert a logarithm, yielding

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_{A+F} z_{A+F+G} \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle.$$

This will greatly simplify the upcoming calculations. Next we insert the relation between $\Gamma_{A+F,A+F+G,A}$ and z using ${}_A z_{A+F}$ with respect to G vanishes, (17) giving

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} \Gamma_{A+F,A+F+G,A} \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle.$$

Now we insert the identity twice inside the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_F j_{A+F}(G) &= i \partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} \Gamma_{A+F, A+F+G, A} \\ &\left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_A {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F} {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_{A+F+G} {}_{A+F+G} \bar{S}_A {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The central three occurrence of \bar{S} give $\Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G}^{-1}$ cancelling exactly the gamma factor in front after cyclic permutation. As a next step we evaluate the scalar product. Since the operators \bar{S} are unitary this yields the argument of a determinant:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_A {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, \mathcal{L}_{A+F} S_A \mathcal{R}_{(A S_{A+F})--} \mathcal{L}_A S_{A+F+G} \mathcal{R}_{(A+F+G S_A)--} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle \frac{1}{N} \\ &= \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, \mathcal{L}_{A+F} S_A {}_A S_{A+F+G} \mathcal{R}_{(A+F+G S_A)--} (A S_{A+F})-- \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle \frac{1}{N} \\ &= \left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, \mathcal{L}_{A+F} S_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi (A+F+G S_A)-- (A S_{A+F})-- \right\rangle \frac{1}{N} \\ &= \arg \det((A+F S_{A+F+G})-- (A+F+G S_A)-- (A S_{A+F})--), \end{aligned}$$

which is, by (18), given by

$$\left\langle \bigwedge \Phi, {}_{A+F} \bar{S}_A {}_A \bar{S}_{A+F+G} \bigwedge \Phi \right\rangle = \Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G}. \quad (29)$$

Taking all of this together yields

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G \ln {}_A z_{A+F+G} \Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G}.$$

Now we replace the appearance of z using lemma 4, giving

$$\partial_F j_{A+F}(G) = i \partial_F \partial_G \ln \frac{\Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G}}{\Gamma_{A, A+G, A+F+G}}. \quad (30)$$

□

Now for lemma 6. We will show the first equality, the second follows by lemma 4. For this proof we abbreviate, for variables $a, b, c, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}$, $x := ((a, \bar{a}), (b, \bar{b}), (c, \bar{c}))$

$$f(x) := f((a, \bar{a}), (b, \bar{b}), (c, \bar{c})) := \ln \Gamma_{A+a \cdot F + \bar{a} \cdot G, A+b \cdot F + \bar{b} \cdot G, A+c \cdot F + \bar{c} \cdot G}. \quad (31)$$

Now we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G} &= \partial_\varepsilon \partial_\delta f((0, 0), (\varepsilon, 0), (\varepsilon, \delta)) \\ &= (\partial_b + \partial_c) \partial_{\bar{c}} f(x)|_{x=0} = \partial_b \partial_{\bar{c}} f(x)|_{x=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The last equality holds due to $f((a, \bar{a}), (b, \bar{b}), (c, \bar{c})) = -f((b, \bar{b}), (a, \bar{a}), (c, \bar{c}))$, which implies

$$\partial_c \partial_{\bar{c}} f(x)|_{x=0} = -\partial_c \partial_{\bar{c}} f(x)|_{x=0} = 0. \quad (33)$$

For the very same reason we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A, A+G, A+F+G} &= -\partial_\varepsilon \partial_\delta f((0, 0), (0, \delta), (\varepsilon, \delta)) \\ &= -\partial_c (\partial_{\bar{b}} + \partial_{\bar{c}}) f(x)|_{x=0} = -\partial_c \partial_{\bar{b}} f(x)|_{x=0} = \partial_b \partial_{\bar{c}} f(x)|_{x=0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows again from the antisymmetry of f . We conclude

$$-\partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A, A+G, A+F+G} = \partial_F \partial_G \ln \Gamma_{A, A+F, A+F+G}. \quad (34)$$

and thereby the lemma. □

We will now continue with the proof of theorem 2.2. The major part of this proof is contained in the following auxiliary

Lemma 7. *For operators*

$$A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H})$$

$$B : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}),$$

such that $A(\varepsilon), B(\varepsilon, \delta) \in I_1$, $A^ = A, B(\varepsilon, 0)^* = B^*(\varepsilon, 0)$ and $A(0) = 0 = B(\varepsilon, 0)$ for all $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ hold,*

$$\partial_\delta \partial_\varepsilon \ln \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)] = i\Im \operatorname{tr} D_1 D_2 B(x)|_{x=0} \quad (35)$$

is true.

Proof of the lemma: We use corollary 2.1 to find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon \ln \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)] &= \frac{\partial_\varepsilon \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(0) + B(0, \delta)]} \\ &= i \frac{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]} \Im \left[\frac{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]^* \partial_\varepsilon \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]^2} \right] \\ &= i\Im \left[\frac{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)] \partial_\varepsilon \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]^2} \right] \\ &= i\Im \left[\frac{\partial_\varepsilon \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use that the linearisation of the determinant around the identity equal to the trace is. This yields

$$\partial_\varepsilon \ln \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)] = i\Im \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}[\partial_\varepsilon A(\varepsilon) + \partial_\varepsilon B(\varepsilon, \delta)]}{\operatorname{argdet}[1 + B(0, \delta)]} \right]. \quad (36)$$

Inserting the second derivative simplifies the expression after one recognizes that the second summand inside the imaginary part is real, since its a product of derivatives of selfadjoint traceclass operators. The corresponding calculation is

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\delta \partial_\varepsilon \ln \operatorname{argdet}[1 + A(\varepsilon) + B(\varepsilon, \delta)] \\ &= i\Im \left[\operatorname{tr} \partial_\delta \partial_\varepsilon B(\varepsilon, \delta) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}[\partial_\varepsilon A(\varepsilon) + \partial_\varepsilon B(\varepsilon, 0)]}{\det(1 + B(0, 0))} \operatorname{tr}[\partial_\delta B(0, \delta)] \right] \\ &= i\Im [\operatorname{tr} \partial_\delta \partial_\varepsilon B(\varepsilon, \delta) - \operatorname{tr}[\partial_\varepsilon A(\varepsilon)] \operatorname{tr}[\partial_\delta B(0, \delta)]] \\ &= i\Im [\operatorname{tr} \partial_\delta \partial_\varepsilon B(\varepsilon, \delta)], \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $B(0, \delta)$ is selfadjoint and $B(\varepsilon, 0) = 0$. This concludes the proof of the lemma.