

Reviewer Form for Paper Review Study

For each individual criteria, please give a rating between 1-4 as well as a short comment (1 sentence) explaining your decision.

For reference, the paper is intended for a *Deployed Highly Innovative Applications of AI* track, an application-focused track of a large AI conference like AAAI.

* Indicates required question

1. Email *

2. Significance

*

Please give the article a score corresponding to the significance of its ideas and results. Are the results important? Are others likely to use these ideas or build upon them? Do the results advance the state of the art in a demonstrable way?

Mark only one oval.

☐ 4) Excellent

☐ 3) Good

☐ 2) Fair

☐ 1) Poor

3. Please provide a short justification (1 sentence) for the above significance score. *

4. Novelty *

Please give the article a score based on the novelty of its approaches, the related work introduced, and how it differentiates itself from previous contributions. Does it contain novel ideas to solve existing problems? Is it a novel combination of past techniques?

Mark only one oval.

☐ 4) Excellent

☐ 3) Good

☐ 2) Fair

☐ 1) Poor

5. Please provide a short justification (1 sentence) for the above novelty score. *

6. Soundness *

Please give the article a score corresponding to the soundness of its technical claims and concepts.

Mark only one oval.

☐ 4) Excellent

☐ 3) Good

☐ 2) Fair

☐ 1) Poor

7. Please provide a short justification (1 sentence) for the above soundness score. *

8. Evaluation *

Please give the article a score for how its evidence supports its conclusions. Are claims backed up by theoretical analysis or experimental results? Are both the strengths and weaknesses of the work presented equally?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 4) Excellent
- ☐ 3) Good
- ☐ 2) Fair
- ☐ 1) Poor

9. Please provide a short justification (1 sentence) for the above evaluation score. *

10. Clarity *

Please give the article a score on how clear and informative it is. Is the article clearly written and well organized? Does it adequately inform the reader?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 4) Excellent
- ☐ 3) Good
- ☐ 2) Fair
- ☐ 1) Poor

11. Please provide a short justification (1 sentence) for the above clarity score. *

12. Overall Score

*

Please combine your above scores into an overall score mirroring conference acceptance.

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 10) Award quality: Clearly outstanding paper. No further discussion would be needed.
- ☐ 9) Very strong accept: An excellent paper. I would fight for its acceptance.
- ☐ 8) Strong accept: A very good paper. I would vote and argue for acceptance.
- ☐ 7) Accept: A good paper, I vote for acceptance, but would not be upset if it were rejected.
- ☐ 6) Marginal accept: I would tend to vote for acceptance, but rejecting it would be no great loss.
- ☐ 5) Marginal reject: I would tend to vote for rejection, but accepting it would be not that bad.
- ☐ 4) Reject: OK, but not good enough. A rejection.
- ☐ 3) Clear reject: I would vote and argue for rejection.
- ☐ 2) Strong reject: I would argue strongly for rejection.
- ☐ 1) Very strong reject: trivial or wrong. No further discussion would be needed.

13. Confidence

*

Please provide a confidence score for your assessment of this submission to indicate how confidence you are in your evaluation.

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 5) Absolutely certain
- ☐ 4) Confident
- ☐ 3) Fairly confident
- ☐ 2) Willing to defend
- ☐ 1) Educated guess

New Forms of Media

Please comment on the quality, effectiveness, and overall experience with respect to each form of new media you interacted with during the experiment. (Limit to 1 sentence)

14. Please comment on the use of **hyperlinks**. (If you did not see this form of media, please answer 'N/A') *

15. Please comment on the use of **animated figures**. (If you did not see this form of media, please answer 'N/A') *

Participant Information

16. Please provide your current institution of study. *

17. Please select your current program and year. *

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ PhD candidate 1st year
- ☐ PhD candidate 2nd year
- ☐ PhD candidate 3rd year
- ☐ PhD candidate 4th year
- ☐ PhD candidate 5th year
- ☐ PhD candidate 6th year or higher
- ☐ Postdoc
- ☐ Professor
- ☐ None of the above

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms