ASSIGNMENT-1

Designs and Analysis For Algorithm

CSA0614

Financial Portfolio Optimization for Investment Firms

BY:

SACHIN MATHEW 192324033

DATE: 11th November 2024

Objective

The goal of this assignment is to develop and analyze algorithms that optimize investment portfolios by balancing risk and return. These algorithms must take into account market volatility, investor preferences, and forecasted asset performance. You will implement various optimization methods and assess their performance with respect to time complexity, accuracy, and risk management. This includes both brute-force and heuristic methods, along with more sophisticated optimization techniques like dynamic programming and genetic algorithms.

Task Breakdown and Deliverables

1. Brute-Force Portfolio Optimization

Objective: Analyze and implement a brute-force method for portfolio optimization, where all possible combinations of assets are evaluated to determine the optimal portfolio for a given risk-return tradeoff.

• Task

- Describe how brute-force portfolio optimization works, by evaluating every possible combination of asset allocations and calculating the resulting return and risk (variance or standard deviation).
- **Time Complexity**: Analyze the time complexity of this approach. Discuss why brute-force is impractical for large portfolios due to the combinatorial explosion of possible asset combinations.

• Deliverables:

- Code for brute-force portfolio optimization.
- Time Complexity Analysis of the brute-force approach.

2. Proof of Correctness of Markowitz Optimization and Genetic Algorithms

Objective: Prove the correctness of two well-known portfolio optimization techniques: Markowitz mean-variance optimization and genetic algorithms.

• Task:

- Markowitz Optimization: Prove that Markowitz's mean-variance optimization approach correctly minimizes the portfolio's risk for a given expected return, under the assumption of normally distributed returns.
- **Genetic Algorithms**: Prove the correctness and general applicability of genetic algorithms for optimizing portfolios. Specifically, show that with a sufficiently large population size and appropriate selection, mutation, and crossover strategies, the genetic algorithm will converge towards the optimal solution.

• Deliverables:

- **Proof of Correctness** for both the Markowitz and Genetic Algorithms.
- Detailed explanation and steps demonstrating why both methods are valid for portfolio optimization.

3. Dynamic Programming for Portfolio Rebalancing

Objective: Implement a dynamic programming-based approach to optimize portfolio rebalancing in response to market changes, such as changes in asset returns, volatilities, or investor preferences.

• Task:

- Implement a **dynamic programming algorithm** to reoptimize the portfolio's asset allocation over multiple time periods. Consider factors like transaction costs, risk tolerance, and the changing forecasted returns of assets.
- The dynamic programming algorithm should decide the optimal proportion of each asset to hold at each time step, given a set of constraints.

Deliverables:

- Code for dynamic programming-based portfolio rebalancing.
- **Explanation** of how dynamic programming is applied in the context of portfolio optimization and rebalancing.

4. Greedy Algorithms for High-Frequency Trading Adjustments

Objective: Implement a greedy algorithm to handle frequent, small adjustments in portfolio allocations for high-frequency trading.

Task:

- Implement a **greedy algorithm** that iteratively adjusts the portfolio allocation based on the best available options at each decision point (e.g., optimizing for immediate risk-return ratios, or reallocating assets to exploit short-term market movements).
- The greedy approach should be designed to make the fastest possible adjustments to a portfolio without considering the long-term impact of each decision.

Deliverables:

- Code for the greedy algorithm applied to high-frequency trading adjustments.
- **Explanation** of how the greedy algorithm works and why it is suitable for high-frequency trading in portfolio optimization.

5. Assess Polynomial and Non-Polynomial Solutions for Large Portfolios

Objective: Compare polynomial-time solutions (such as Markowitz optimization) with non-polynomial approaches (such as brute-force or genetic algorithms) for large portfolios.

Task^{*}

- **Polynomial-time Algorithms**: Assess the performance of Markowitz mean-variance optimization in terms of time complexity and feasibility for portfolios with a large number of assets.
- Non-Polynomial Algorithms: Compare the performance of non-polynomial algorithms (e.g., genetic algorithms) for large portfolios. Discuss the trade-offs in terms of optimization quality, time complexity, and scalability.

Deliverables:

- **Comparative Report** on polynomial vs. non-polynomial solutions, including time complexity, scalability, and practical application for large portfolios.
- **Performance Graphs** showing the efficiency of different algorithms for large portfolios.

Conclusion

In this assignment, we explored various approaches to portfolio optimization, focusing on both classical and heuristic methods. Brute-force and Markowitz's mean-variance optimization provided

optimal solutions but are computationally expensive for large portfolios. Genetic algorithms offered a promising solution for more complex and dynamic optimization problems, although they may not guarantee an optimal result every time. Dynamic programming and greedy algorithms were used to address the challenges of portfolio rebalancing and high-frequency trading adjustments, offering efficient solutions in changing market conditions. Lastly, we compared polynomial and non-polynomial solutions for large portfolios, providing valuable insights into the trade-offs between scalability and optimization quality.

By examining these methods, we can better understand their applications in real-world investment scenarios and optimize portfolio strategies that balance risk and return while responding to market fluctuations.

Bibliography

- 1. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.
- 2. **Goldberg, D. E.** (1989). *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization, and Machine Learning*. Addison-Wesley.
- 3. Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., & Stein, C. (2009). *Introduction to Algorithms* (3rd ed.). The MIT Press.
- 4. Luenberger, D. G. (1998). *Investment Science*. Oxford University Press.
- 5. **Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H.** (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs, and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.