

Unification

A first-order inference rule

The key advantage of lifted inference rules over propositionalization is that they make only those substitutions which are required to allow particular inferences to proceed.

Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q), p_1', p_2', \dots, p_n'$$

such that $\text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i')$ for all i

$$\text{SUBST}(\theta, q)$$

- All variables assumed universally quantified
- **Example:**

$$\forall x \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Greedy}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Evil}(x)$$

Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)

$$\frac{(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q), p_1', p_2', \dots, p_n'}{\text{such that SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i') \text{ for all } i}$$

such that $\text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i')$ for all i

$$\text{SUBST}(\theta, q)$$

- All variables assumed universally quantified
- **Example:**

$$\forall x \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Greedy}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Evil}(x)$$

King(John)

Greedy(John)

Brother(Richard, John)

Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)

$$\frac{(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q), p_1', p_2', \dots, p_n'}{\text{such that } \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i') \text{ for all } i}$$

$$\text{SUBST}(\theta, q)$$

- **Example:**

$$\forall x \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Greedy}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Evil}(x)$$

King(John)

Greedy(John)

Brother(Richard, John)

p_1 is King(x), p_2 is Greedy(x), q is Evil(x)

Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP)

$$\frac{(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n \Rightarrow q), p_1', p_2', \dots, p_n'}{\text{such that SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i') \text{ for all } i}$$

such that $\text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i) = \text{SUBST}(\theta, p_i')$ for all i

$$\text{SUBST}(\theta, q)$$

- **Example:**

$$\forall x \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Greedy}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Evil}(x)$$

King(John)

Greedy(John)

Brother(Richard, John)

p_1 is King(x), p_2 is Greedy(x), q is Evil(x)

p_1' is King(John), p_2' is Greedy(y), θ is $\{x/\text{John}, y/\text{John}\}$

$\text{SUBST}(\theta, q)$ is Evil(John)

Unification

It is the process used to find substitutions that make different logical expressions look identical. Unification is a key component of all first-order Inference algorithms.

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST(θ, α) = SUBST(θ, β)** θ is our unifier value (if one exists).

Ex: “Who does John know?”

p	q	θ
Knows(John, <u>x</u>)	Knows(John, <u>Jane</u>)	

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>John</u> ,Jane)	{x/Jane}

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>John</u> ,Jane)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mary)	

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST(θ, α) = SUBST(θ, β)**

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>Jane</u>)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>y</u> , <u>Mary</u>)	{x/Mary, y/John}

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>John</u> ,Jane)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mary)	{x/Mary, y/John}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mother(y))	

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>John</u> ,Jane)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mary)	{x/Mary, y/John}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mother(y))	{y/John, x/Mother(John)}

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>John</u> ,Jane)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mary)	{x/Mary, y/John}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>y</u> ,Mother(y))	{y/John, x/Mother(John)}
Knows(<u>John</u> ,x)	Knows(<u>x</u> ,Mary)	

Unification

UNIFY(α, β) = θ means that **SUBST**(θ, α) = **SUBST**(θ, β)

p	q	θ
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>Jane</u>)	{x/Jane}
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>y</u> , <u>Mary</u>)	{x/Mary, y/John}
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>y</u> , <u>Mother(y)</u>)	{y/John, x/Mother(John)}
Knows(<u>John</u> , <u>x</u>)	Knows(<u>x</u> , <u>Mary</u>)	fails, because both use the same variable {x ₁ /John, x ₂ /Mary}

Standardizing apart eliminates overlap of variables

- sometimes it is possible for more than one unifier returned:
 $\text{UNIFY}(\text{Knows}(\text{John}, x), \text{Knows}(y, z)) = ???$

- This can return two possible unifications:

$\{y/\text{John}, x/z\}$ which means $\text{Knows}(\text{John}, z)$

OR $\{y/\text{John}, x/\text{John}, z/\text{John}\}$.

For each unifiable pair of expressions there is a single most general unifier (MGU), In this case it is $\{y/\text{John}, x/z\}$.

function UNIFY(x, y, θ) **returns** a substitution to make x and y identical

inputs: x , a variable, constant, list, or compound

y , a variable, constant, list, or compound

θ , the substitution built up so far (optional, defaults to empty)

if $\theta = \text{failure}$ **then return** failure

else if $x = y$ **then return** θ

else if VARIABLE?(x) **then return** UNIFY-VAR(x, y, θ)

else if VARIABLE?(y) **then return** UNIFY-VAR(y, x, θ)

else if COMPOUND?(x) **and** COMPOUND?(y) **then**

return UNIFY(ARGS[x], ARGS[y], UNIFY(OP[x], OP[y], θ))

else if LIST?(x) **and** LIST?(y) **then**

return UNIFY(REST[x], REST[y], UNIFY(FIRST[x], FIRST[y], θ))

else return failure

function UNIFY-VAR(var, x, θ) **returns** a substitution

inputs: var , a variable

x , any expression

θ , the substitution built up so far

if $\{var/val\} \in \theta$ **then return** UNIFY(val, x, θ)

else if $\{x/val\} \in \theta$ **then return** UNIFY(var, val, θ)

else if OCCUR-CHECK?(var, x) **then return** failure

else return add $\{var/x\}$ to θ