Geometric Class Field Theory

Assaf Marzan

December 23, 2025

Contents

1 Introduction

In this thesis, we give an elementary proof of a certain imporant geometric theorem occuring in Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We (usually) work over a perfect field k, C is a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k, with genus g. One of the main geometric ingredients in the approach, is showing why a local system $\mathcal{F}$ with ramification bounded by a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ on $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ descends via the Abel-Jacobi $\Phi : U \to \mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ to $\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$. The approach, innovated by Deligne, relies on analyzing the symmetric powers $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ on the symmetric powers $U^{(d)}$ of U, and showing that for sufficiently large d, $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ descends to $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ via the degree d Abel-Jacobi map $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$. The geometric-fibers of Φ_d (for $d \geq \deg \mathfrak{m} + 2g - 1$) over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1}_{k^{sep}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{d-g}_{k^{sep}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where g is the genus of the curve C. The unramified case ($\mathfrak{m} = 0$) is relatively simple, as the Abel-Jacobi map is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers, which follows from the fact that it is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by using the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group,

one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of $U^{(d)}(= C^{(d)})$ and that of $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}(= \mathrm{Pic}^d_C)$.

The ramified case ($\mathfrak{m} > 0$) is more subtle, as the Abel-Jacobi map is not proper anymore, and one needs to analyze the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ "along the boundary" of $U^{(d)}$ in $C^{(d)}$.

Previous work has generalized Deligne's approach to the ramified case, most notably by Guignard [Gui19] and Takeuchi [Tak19]. Their approaches differ. To descend, Guignard proves that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ to any line in the fiber of the degree d Abel-Jacobi map is a constant étale sheaf. He achieves this by demonstrating that the restriction is at most tamely ramified and invoking the triviality of the tame fundamental group of $\mathbb{A}^1_k$. His analysis relies on local geometric class field theory. It is also worth noting that Guignard's method generalizes to relative curves over arbitrary base schemes. Takeuchi, on the other hand, constructs a compactification of $U^{(d)}$ by blowing up $C^{(d)}$ along certain well-chosen centers. This compactification, denoted by $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, has $U^{(d)}$ as an open subscheme with a codimension 1 closed subscheme H as complement. He then shows that the Abel-Jacobi map extends to a proper morphism from $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, which is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group, one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and that of $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$. To conclude the descent, Takeuchi analyzes the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ along the boundary H of $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, showing that it is tamely ramified there, which suffices. His methods relies on the theory of Witt vectors and refined Swan conductors.

For an account of these approaches, see [Gui19] and [Tak19]. For a full approach following Deligne's method in the unramified case, and the tamely ramified case see [Ten15], and [Tót11].

In this thesis, we combine techniques and ideas from the approaches, and from [Ten15], to give an elementary proof of the ramified case of Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We follow Takeuchi's construction of the compactification $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $U^{(d)}$ by blowing up $C^{(d)}$ and calculate the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ along the boundary H of $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ directly, avoiding the use of Swan conductors.

In the rest of the introduction, we state the main theorem of geometric class field theory Theorem 1, and its reduction to Theorem 2, which we prove in this thesis.

Let k be a perfect field, and let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k, with genus g. Geometric class field theory gives a geometric description of abelian coverings of C by relating it to isogenies of the generalized picard schemes.

Fix a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$, i.e. an effective Cartier divisor of C and let U be its complement in C. The pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_C$-module and α is a rigidification of $\mathcal{L}$ along $\mathfrak{m}$, are parametrized by a k-group scheme $\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, called the rigidified Picard scheme. The Abel-Jacobi morphism

$$\Phi : U \to \mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

is the morphism which sends a section x of U to the pair $(\mathcal{O}(x), 1)$. The fundamental result of geometric class field theory can be formulated as:

Theorem 1 (Geometric Class Field Theory)**.** *Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf of Λ-modules, locally free of rank 1 on U, with ramification bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) multiplicative étale sheaf of Λ-modules $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of $\mathcal{G}$ by Φ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$.*

The notion of a multiplicative locally free Λ-module of rank 1 is due to [Gui19] and corresponds to isogenies $G \to \mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ with constant kernel $\Lambda^\times$. This concept corresponds to multiplicative characters of $H^1(\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ in the formulation of [Tak19], and generalizes Hecke eigensheaves in the context of [Ten15].

Let d be a positive integer. We denote by $U^{(d)}$ the d-th symmetric power of U over k. For an étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U, we denote by $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ the d-th symmetric power of $\mathcal{F}$ on $U^{(d)}$. The degree d Abel-Jacobi morphism is defined as the map

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

which sends a section $x_1 + \cdots + x_d$ of $U^{(d)}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{O}(x_1 + \cdots + x_d), 1)$.

The method of descent shows that to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to prove the following reduced version (see the last page of [Gui19], Section 8.3 of [Ten15], or the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [Tak19] for details on this reduction):

Theorem 2. *Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf of Λ-modules, locally free of rank 1 on U, with ramification bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then, for sufficiently large integer d, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) étale sheaf of Λ-modules $\mathcal{G}_d$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of $\mathcal{G}_d$ by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$.*

Using the equivilance between G-torsors and locally free Λ-modules of rank 1 ($G = \Lambda^\times$, see Proposition 4), Theorem 2 can be reformulated in terms of G-torsors as follows:

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \Lambda^\times$ be a finite abelian group (Λ as before), and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a G-torsor on U, with ramification bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then, for sufficiently large integer d, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) G-torsor $\mathcal{Q}_d$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, such that the pullback of $\mathcal{Q}_d$ by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$.*

To prove Theorem 3 we follow the work of [Tak19], there he analyzed the ramification of $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ after blowing up $C^{(d)}$, we analyze this ramification using elementary methods, drawing techniques and ideas from the works of [Gui19] and [Tak19], and [Ten15].

Notation and conventions.

- S is a base scheme.
- $C \to S$ is a relative curve. i.e. smooth morphism of schemes of relative dimension 1, with connected geometric fibers of genus g, which is Zariski-locally projective over S. Note that the genus g is a locally cosntant function on S.
- Most of the time we will assume that $S = \mathrm{Spec}\, k$, where k is a perfect field.

- A modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ on $C \to S$, is defined as an effective Cartier divisor of C over S (i.e., a closed subscheme of C which is finite flat of finite presentation (hence locally free) over S).

1. Say something about the ramification condition.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the necessariy work, including work from [Gui19], [Ten15] and [Tak19].

2.1 Generalities

2.1.1 Equivalence between Torsors and Invertible Modules

The following proposition establishes the fundamental dictionary between the geometric theory of principal homogeneous spaces and the algebraic theory of invertible modules. This equivalence allows us to transport the monoidal structure from the category of modules (the tensor product) to the category of torsors (the contracted product), strictly within the categorical framework.

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a topos and let Λ be a ring object in $\mathcal{E}$. Let $G = \Lambda^\times$ denote the internal group object of units of Λ.*

There is a canonical equivalence of monoidal categories between the category of G-torsors in $\mathcal{E}$ and the category of locally free Λ-modules of rank 1 in $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\Phi : \mathbf{Tors}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda)$$

The equivalence is defined by the associated module functor:

$$P \longmapsto P \times^{\Lambda^\times} \Lambda := \Lambda^\times \backslash (\Lambda \times P)$$

where the quotient is taken with respect to the diagonal action of $\Lambda^\times$ on $\Lambda \times P$. The inverse functor associates to an invertible module L its sheaf of basis frames $\underline{\mathrm{Isom}}_\Lambda(\Lambda, L)$.

In light of this canonical equivalence, we will pass freely between the language of G-torsors and that of locally free Λ-modules throughout the text.

For a topos $\mathcal{E}$, a group object G in $\mathcal{E}$ and an object X in $\mathcal{E}$, there is a canonical identification between $(G\mathcal{E})_{/X}$ and $G(\mathcal{E}_{/X})$, given by endowing X with the trivial G-action.

We denote by $\mathbf{Tors}(X, G)$ the category of G-torsors over X in $G\mathcal{E}_{/X}$. Similarly, for a ring object Λ in $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $\mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$ the category of locally free Λ-modules of rank 1 over X in $\mathcal{E}_{/X}$. The above equivilance of categories becomes

$$\Phi_X : \mathbf{Tors}(X, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$$

.

2.1.2 Ramification of Sheaves

Define and discuss a bit

2.1.3 Symmetric Powers of Schemes and Torsors

This section reviews the construction of quotients for schemes and torsors under finite group actions, specifically focusing on symmetric powers. To ensure these quotients exist as schemes, we utilize the framework of admissible actions from [SGA1]. Our treatment here closely follows the exposition in [Gui19] The definitions and results presented below are adapted from their work. This foundation provides the necessary criteria for admissibility and base change required to define the symmetric powers of a scheme X and a G-torsor $\mathcal{P}$.

Let S be a scheme.

Definition 5 (([SGA1], V.1.7).)**.**

- Let T be an object of a category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a right action of a group Γ. We say that **the quotient** T/Γ **exists** in $\mathcal{C}$ if the covariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &\to \mathrm{Sets} \\ U &\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, U)^{\Gamma} \end{aligned}$$

  is representable by an object of $\mathcal{C}$.

- Let T be an S-scheme. An action of a finite group Γ on T is **admissible** if there exists an affine Γ-invariant morphism $f : T \to T'$ such that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{T'} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_T$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ to $(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)^{\Gamma}$.

Proposition 6. *The following holds:*

1. ***([SGA1] V.1.3).*** *Let T be an S-scheme endowed with an admissible right action of a finite group Γ. If $f : T \to T'$ is an affine Γ-invariant morphism such that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{T'} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_T$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ to $(f_*\mathcal{O}_T)^{\Gamma}$, then the quotient T/Γ exists and is isomorphic to T'.*

2. ***([SGA1], V.1.8).*** *Let T be an S-scheme endowed with a right action of a finite group Γ. Then, the action of Γ on T is admissible if and only if T is covered by Γ-invariant affine open subsets.*

3. ***([SGA1], V.1.9).*** *Let T be an S-scheme endowed with an admissible right action of a finite group Γ, and let S' be a flat S-scheme. Then, the action of Γ on the S'-scheme $T \times_S S'$ is admissible, and the canonical morphism*

$$(T \times_S S')/\Gamma \to (T/\Gamma) \times_S S'$$

   is an isomorphism.

Proposition 7 ([SGA1], IX.5.8)**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a G-torsor over an S-scheme X in $S_{\acute{E}t}$. Assume that $\mathcal{P}$ and X are endowed with right actions from a finite group Γ such that the morphism $\mathcal{P} \to X$ is Γ-equivariant, and that the following properties hold:*

(a) The right Γ-action on $\mathcal{P}$ commutes with the left G-action.

(b) The right Γ-action on X is admissible, and the quotient morphism $X \to X/\Gamma$ is finite.

(c) For any geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X, the action of the stabilizer $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ of $\bar{x}$ in Γ on the fiber $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{x}}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ at $\bar{x}$ is trivial.

Then the action of Γ on $\mathcal{P}$ is admissible, and $\mathcal{P}/\Gamma$ is a G-torsor over X/Γ in $S_{\acute{E}t}$.

Symmetric Powers of Schemes

Let X be an S-scheme and let $d \geq 0$ be an integer. The group S_d of permutations of $[\![1,d]\!]$ acts on the right on the S-scheme $X^{\times_S d} = X \times_S \cdots \times_S X$ by the formula

$$(x_i)_{i\in[\![1,d]\!]} \cdot \sigma = (x_{\sigma(i)})_{i\in[\![1,d]\!]}.$$

Proposition 8 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.27)**.** *If X is a scheme, Zariski locally quasi-projective over S, then the right action of the symmetric group S_d on the d-fold fiber product $X^{\times_S d}$ is admissibleq. Consequently, the quotient $\mathrm{Sym}^d_S(X) = X^{\times_S d}/S_d$ exists as a scheme over S.*

Remark. When the base S is understood from context, this quotient is also denoted by $X^{(d)}$.

Guingard shows that when $X = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ and $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ then $\mathrm{Sym}^d_S(X)$ is representable by an affine S-scheme (See [Gui19] Remark 2.28).

Proposition 9 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.28)**.** *If X is flat and Zariski-locally quasi-projective over S, then $Sym^d_S(X)$ is flat over S. Moreover, for any S-scheme S', the canonical morphism*

$$Sym^d_{S'}(X \times_S S') \to Sym^d_S(X) \times_S S'$$

is an isomorphism.

Symmetric Powers of Torsors

change below the exposition to be more accurate... Let S be a scheme, let X be an S-scheme and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. Let G be a finite abelian group, and let $\mathcal{P} \to X$ be a G-torsor over X in $S_{\text{Ét}}$. It is easy to show that the sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ is representable by a finite étale X-scheme. (For example [Gui19] Proposition 2.12)

For each $i \in [\![1,d]\!]$ let $p_i : X^{\times_S d} \to X$ be the projection on i-th factor, and let us consider the G-torsor

$$p_1^{-1}P \otimes \cdots \otimes p_d^{-1}P = G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$$

over $X^{\times_S d}$, where $G_d \subseteq G^d$ is the kernel of the multiplication morphism $G^d \to G$. The object $G_d\backslash\mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$ of $S_{\text{Ét}}$ is too representable by an S-scheme which is finite étale over $X^{\times_S d}$. The group S_d acts on the right on $G_d\backslash\mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$ by the formula

$$(p_i)_{i\in[\![1,d]\!]} \cdot \sigma = (p_{\sigma(i)})_{i\in[\![1,d]\!]}.$$

This action of S_d commutes with the left action of G on $G_d\backslash\mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$.

Proposition 10 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.32.). *If X is Zariski-locally quasi-projective on S, then the right action of S_d on $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$ is admissible, so that the quotient $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ of $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times_S d}$ by S_d exists in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$. Moreover, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{P}^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Sym}^d_S(X)$ is a G-torsor, and the morphism*

$$p_1^{-1}\mathcal{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes p_d^{-1}\mathcal{P} \to r^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{[d]}$$

where $r : X^{\times_S d} \to \mathrm{Sym}^d_S(X)$ is the canonical projection, is an isomorphism of G-torsors over $X^{\times_S d}$.

consider replacing $\mathcal{P}$ with P because it is a scheme Add proposition about how it is being a scheme

2.1.4 Etale Fundamental Groups and Tame Fundamental Groups

We recall the definition and basic properties of the etale fundamental group, following stacks project [Stacks, Tag 0BQ6]

Proposition 11 ([Stacks, Tag 0C0J]). *Let $f : X \to S$ be a flat proper morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected and reduced. Assume S is connected and let $\overline{s}$ be a geometric point of S. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\overline{s}}) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(S) \to 1$$

of fundamental groups.

Corollary 12. *Let $f : X \to S$ be a proper smooth morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected. Assume S is connected and let $\overline{s}$ be a geometric point of S. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\overline{s}}) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(S) \to 1$$

of fundamental groups.

add about tameness?

2.2 Symmetric Powers of Local Systems on Curves

Let X be Zariski locally quasi-projective over a scheme S. And let G be a finite abelian group. Let $\mathcal{P} \to X$ be a G a G-torsor

2.3 Generalized Picard Scheme

In this section, we recall the notion of generalized Jacobian varieties and study their fundamental properties. The material presented here is primarily adapted from [Gui19] and [Tak19]. For further background on the general theory of abelian varieties and Jacobians, the reader may also consult [Mil08]. Let S be a scheme and let C be a projective smooth S-scheme whose geometric fibers are connected and of dimension 1. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus on C, defined as an effective Cartier divisor of C/S (i.e., a closed subscheme of C which is finite flat of finite presentation over S). We denote the projection $C \times_S T \to T$ by pr for any S-scheme T.

The Functor of Points

Let d be an integer. For an S-scheme T, we consider the set of data $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ where:

- $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf of degree d on C_T.
- $\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}$ is a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}$ along the modulus.

Two such pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ and $(\mathcal{L}', \psi')$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of invertible sheaves $f : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \arrow[dl, "\psi'"'] \arrow[dr, "\psi"] & \\ \mathcal{L}'|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \arrow[rr, "f|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}"] & & \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \end{tikzcd}$$

We define the presheaf $\mathrm{Pic}^{d,\mathrm{pre}}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ on Sch/S by assigning to T the set of isomorphism classes of such pairs. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the étale sheafification of this presheaf.

Representability and Structure

The fundamental properties of this functor are as follows:

1. $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ is represented by an S-scheme. (Note: If $\mathfrak{m}$ is faithfully flat over S, the presheaf is already an étale sheaf).
2. $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ is a smooth commutative group S-scheme with geometrically connected fibers, referred to as the *generalized Jacobian variety* of C with modulus $\mathfrak{m}$.
3. For any d, $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ is a $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$-torsor.

In the case where $\mathfrak{m} = 0$, we recover the standard Jacobian variety, denoted simply as Pic^d_C.

Relation to the Standard Jacobian

We now examine the behavior of the generalized Picard scheme under the variation of the modulus. By viewing the structure along the modulus as an additional rigidification, we obtain natural transition maps corresponding to the inclusion of moduli.

Let $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ be moduli such that $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_2$. There exists a natural map

$$\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}_2} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}_1}$$

obtained by restricting the isomorphism ψ. Since $\mathfrak{m}_2$ is a finite S-scheme, this map is a surjection as a morphism of étale sheaves. In particular, for any modulus $\mathfrak{m}$, there is a natural surjective morphism of étale sheaves:

$$\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_C.$$

Local Freeness and Base Change

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus which is everywhere strictly positive. Let g denote the genus of C, which is a locally constant function on S. We restrict our attention to degrees d satisfying the condition:

$$d \geq \max\{2g - 1 + \deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}. \tag{1}$$

Assuming S is quasi-compact, such a d always exists.

Fix an integer d satisfying the condition above. Let T be an S-scheme and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf of degree d on C_T. One can show that the pushforwards $\mathrm{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_*\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})$ are locally free sheaves and their formations commute with any base change. Explicitly, for any morphism of S-schemes $f : T' \to T$, the base change morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$f^*\mathrm{pr}_*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_* f^*\mathcal{L}$$

and

$$f^*\mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_* f^*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})).$$

In particular, following [Gui19], if $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible $\mathcal{O}_C$-module with degree d satisfying 1 on each fiber of f then, $\mathrm{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $d - g + 1$.

For further background and verification of these constructions, we refer the reader to Milne's notes on Abelian Varieties ([Mil08]).

2.4 The Abel-Jacobi Morphism and its Fibers

Let $U = C \backslash \mathfrak{m}$ be the complement of the modulus in C. The effective cartier divisors of degree d which are prime to $\mathfrak{m}$ are parameterized by the symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}^d_S(U) = U^{(d)}$ over S (See [Gui19] Proposition 4.12, [Mil08] Theorem 3.13). For any such divisor $D \in U^{(d)}$, the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ admits a canonical trivialization along $\mathfrak{m}$. Specifically, the canonical section 1_D is regular and non-vanishing on $\mathfrak{m}$ because $\mathrm{supp}(D) \cap \mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{m}) = \emptyset$. This section restricts to a nowhere-vanishing section on the subscheme $\mathfrak{m}$, thereby determining a trivialization $\psi_D^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_C(D)|_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This is done functorially in families, yielding a morphism from the symmetric power to the generalized Picard scheme (over S):

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}, \quad D \mapsto [(\mathcal{O}_C(D), \psi_D)], \tag{2}$$

When $\mathfrak{m} = 0$, $d \geq max\{2g-1, 0\}$ and C admits a section over S, $C^{(d)}$ is a projective space bundle over Pic^d_C, It is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers.

Guignard ([Gui19] Theorem 4.14) proves that for $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ and d satisfying (1), the Abel-Jacobi morphism Φ_d is surjective smooth of relative dimension $d - \deg \mathfrak{m} - g + 1$, with geometrically connected fibers.

When $S = \mathrm{spec}(k)$, the geometric-fibers of Φ_d are well understood:

Theorem 13. *Assuming $S = \mathrm{spec}(k)$ and $d \geq max\{2g-1+\deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}$. Then, the geometric-fibers of the Abel-Jacobi morphism*

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1}_{k^{sep}} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{d-g}_{k^{sep}} & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

In both cases Φ_d is a fibration in affine spaces or projective spaces, depending on whether $\mathfrak{m}$ is non-zero or zero.

Proof. see [Ten15] Propositions 3.13-3.14, or [Tót11] Prop 2.1.4:

□

2.5 Blowup of Smooth Schemes

complete this section In this seciton we prove some auxliary lemmas and propositions about blowups and local systems.

Proposition 14. *Let X, Y be smooth over k. $x \in X, y \in Y$ closed points. Let $\mathrm{Bl}_x(X), \mathrm{Bl}_y(Y)$, $Bl_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y)$ be the respective blowups. Let $\eta_X, \eta_Y, \eta_{X\times Y}$ be the generic points of the exceptional divisor of the respective blowups. Then, there exists a scheme $\tilde{U}$ and maps f_1, f_2 making the diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \tilde{U} \arrow[dl, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, hook, "f_2"] & \\ \mathrm{Bl}_x(X) \times_k \mathrm{Bl}_y(Y) & & \mathrm{Bl}_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y) \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

such that:

1. *f_2 is open immersion*
2. *f_1 is open map (?open immersion?)*
3. *$\eta_{X\times Y} \in \tilde{U}$*
4. *$\eta_{X\times Y} \overset{f_1}{\mapsto} \eta_X \times \eta_Y$*

Let $P^{[d]} \to U^{(d)}$ be the corresponding G-torsor over $U^{(d)}$.

A conlusion maybe:

Lemma 15. *Let $p : C^{(d)} \times_k C^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{+} C^{(n)}$ be the plus map, restricting p to $U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)}$ we get a map*

$$p : U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{p} U^{(n)}$$

Then,

$$p^*(\mathcal{P}^{(n)}) \cong \mathcal{P}^{[d]} \boxtimes_k \mathcal{P}^{(n-d)}$$

define box product somewhere

Lemma 16. *rephrase this lemma Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be two moduli of the form $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1$, $\mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are distinct points. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be local systems on $U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}$, $U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$, at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}$, $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ respectively. Then the local system $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 := p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ on is at most tamely ramified at the point $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \times \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ of*

2.6 Compactification of Blowup of Symmetric Powers of a Curve

We recall that our objective is to descend the local system $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ from $U^{(d)}$ to $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ along the Abel-Jacobi map Φ_d:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}^{(d)} \arrow[d] & \\
U^{(d)} \arrow[r, "\Phi_d"] & \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Here, the purple arrow emphasizes that the morphism is of sheaves on the étale site).

However, we encounter an obstruction: in the case we are considering ($\mathfrak{m} > 0$), the fibers of Φ_d are affine spaces (of the same degree) rather than the better-behaved projective spaces. This hint that a solution to this problem is to compactify the morphism to yield projective fibers.

This section describes the result of the compactification constructed by [Tak19] via the method of blowup.

Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_P P$ with $\deg P = d_P$ be a modulus on C, and let d satisfy (1). Takeuchi ([Tak19]) defines $Z_0 = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d)$ as the closed subscheme of $C^{(d)}$ defined by the map $C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \to C^{(d)}$ adding $\mathfrak{m}$. He also defines $X_{\mathfrak{m},d}$ as the blowup of $C^{(d)}$ along Z_0. Let $E_0 = E_{\mathfrak{m},d} = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d) \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{m},d}$ be the exceptional divisor of the blowup. It is irreducible of codimension 1, and we let $\eta_0 = \eta_{\mathfrak{m},d}$ be its generic point.

Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
Z_0 \arrow[r, "c.i"] & C^{(d)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Incoporating $U^{(d)}$, the local system $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ and the Abel-Jacobi map, we have:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} \arrow[d] & \\
\overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} \arrow[d, "\pi"] & U^{(d)} \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[r, "\Phi_d"] \arrow[dl] & \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}} \\
Z_0 \arrow[r, "c.i"] & C^{(d)} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In Section 3 of [Tak19] Takeuchi constructs, for large enough d a compactification denoted by $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and proves the following: exactly determined the fate of that d

Theorem 17 (Takeuchi)**.** *The scheme $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an open subscheme of $X_{\mathfrak{m},d}$ containing $U^{(d)}$. The morphism $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ which makes $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ a projective space bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$. Furthermore, the complement of $U^{(d)}$ in $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is isomorphic to the fiber product $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. Add outline of construction and proofs $\square$

Diagrammatically we have:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} \arrow[d] \\
E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[dr, "\tilde{\Phi}_d"] & U^{(d)} \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d, "\Phi_d"] \\
\overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}} \\
Z_0 \arrow[r, "c.i"] & C^{(d)} &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Also note that $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} = Z_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$

3 Ramification of Sheaves after Blowup

do we assume here $S = k$? The main theorem of this section is

Theorem 18. *Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf of Λ-modules, locally free of rank 1 on U, with ramification bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Considering $U^{(d)}$ as an open subscheme of the blowup $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ of $C^{(d)}$, we have that for sufficiently large integer d, $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified on $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)} = E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$.*

Following the notation of Section 2.6, For any modulus $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, we define $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$ as the closed subscheme of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ defined by $\mathfrak{n}$ as a point of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$.

We then define $X_{\mathfrak{n}}$ as the blowup of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ at $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$, and we denote by $E_{\mathfrak{n}} = Z_{\mathfrak{n}} \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the exceptional divisor of this blowup, it is irreducible of codimension 1. We denote by $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the generic point of $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Diagrammatically:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\{\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}\}} = E_{\mathfrak{n}} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & X_{\mathfrak{n}} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathfrak{n}}"] \\
Z_{\mathfrak{n}} \arrow[r, hook] & C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Theorem 18 easily follows from:

Theorem 19. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a local system on U with ramification at P bounded by $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ is tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$*

In the upcoming section, we perform the reduction and derive Theorem 18 from Theorem 19. We then prove Theorem 19 in the section that follows.

3.1 Reduction Lemmas

The first lemma is from [Tak19], we include their proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 20 ([Tak19], Lemma 4.1). *Let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i P_i$ where $P_1, ..., P_r$ are disctinct closed points of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let U be the comlement of $\mathfrak{m}$ in C. And let $d_i = \deg Pi$. Take $d \geq \mathfrak{m}$. Then: The morphism $\pi : C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \to C^{(d)}$, taking the sum, is étale at the generic point of the closed subvariety $\{n_1 P_1\} \times \cdots \times \{n_r P_r\} \times C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ of $C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$.*

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed (hence $d_i = 1$ for all i). Since the map $\pi : C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \to C^{(d)}$ is finite flat, it is enough to show that there exists a closed point Q of $n_1 P_1 + \ldots n_r P_r + C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ over which there are $\deg \pi$ points on $C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$. Choose Q as a point corresponding to a divisor $n_1 P_1 + \ldots n_r P_r + P_{r+1} + \cdots + P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$, where $P_1, \ldots, P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$ are distinct points of $U(k)$. □

Plan for Corollary

1. State precisly.
2. Make sure all the notions are well defined.
3. Prove.
4. State in maximum generality as in previous lemma.

Corollary 21. *Suppose $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at η. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point θ of $E_{\mathfrak{m}} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ and thus $\mathcal{F}^d$ is taemly ramified at the generic point ? which one.*

Proof. Compete and make precise. □

Lemma 22. *Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be two moduli of the form $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1$, $\mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are distinct points. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}$, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$ are at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}$, $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1 + \deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$ is at most tamely tamified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2}$.*

Proof. Complete □

Lemma 23. *Let $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}' \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be coprime sub moduli of $\mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathfrak{n}' = k_P P$. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}')}$ are at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n} + \deg \mathfrak{n}')}$ is at most tamely tamified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{n}'}$.*

Proof. Complete □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 18

Proof of Theorem 18. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as in Theorem 18, $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_P P$ with $\deg P = d_P$ Then by Theorem 19 for every $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ of the form $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P$, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ is at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$. By Lemma 23, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is then at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. And thus by lemma Lemma 20 (maybe do another step here directly, in addition to the lemma?) $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point of H. □

3.3 Proof of Theorem 19

In this section we prove Theorem 19 complete - this is not finished

We will work this out along an example: Let $X = \mathbb{G}_m = \operatorname{spec} R[t,t^{-1}]$ and Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^n} G_m$ be the n'th power map. It is a $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ torsor. The ring map is $R[t,t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} R[t,t^{-1}]$ which corresponds to ring extension: $R[t,t^{-1}] \to R[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, t^{-\frac{1}{n}}]$. The field of fractions of $\mathbb{G}_m$ is $K(t)$ and the corresponding map between fields of $\mathcal{P} \to X$ is $K(t) \xrightarrow{t \to t^n} K(t)$. which corresponds to the field extension: $K(t) \hookrightarrow K(t^{\frac{1}{n}}) = K(t)[X]/(X^n - t)$. Where $K = FracR$

The points $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ correspond to the local rings $\mathcal{O}_0 = K[t]_{(t)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty = K[\frac{1}{t}]_{(\frac{1}{t})}$ of $K(t)$, which are DVRs. The corresponding valuations of $K(t)$ are given by:

$$v_0\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \text{maximal exponent } n \text{ s.t. } t^n \mid \frac{f}{g}, \quad v_\infty\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \deg g - \deg f$$

So the diagram of the G-torsor $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m$ over $\mathbb{G}_m$ is:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m \arrow[d, "(\cdot)^n"] \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \mathbb{G}_m \arrow[l] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

The bounded ramification condition is given by:

$$\text{ram } P_\eta \leq k \tag{4}$$

We wish to understand

In the general case of a G torsor $P \to C$ we have similarly: $K(C) \cong K(t)$ the function ring of C for some variable t and a finite field extension $K/\mathbb{F}_p(t')$. If the basefield K contains n'th roots of unity, then the torsor is the same... and continue here: $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}$ the same.. and continue.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

We work over $S = \operatorname{spec} k$, for k perfect.

By Proposition 4, its equivalent to prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \Lambda^\times$ be a finite abelian group (Λ as before), and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a G-torsor on U, with ramification bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then, for sufficiently large integer d, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) G-torsor $\mathcal{Q}_d$ on $\operatorname{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, such that the pullback of $\mathcal{Q}_d$ by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$.*

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases, when $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ and when $\mathfrak{m} > 0$.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{m} = 0$. By Section 2.4, for d large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism $\Phi_d : C^{(d)} \to \operatorname{Pic}^d_C$ is proper surjective and smooth, with geometrically connected fibers, each isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{d-g}_{k^{sep}}$, Hence by Corollary 12 it induces an exact sequence of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\mathbb{P}^{d-g}_{k^{sep}}) \to \pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \to \pi_1^{et}(\operatorname{Pic}^d_C) \to 1$$

But $\mathbb{P}^{d-g}_{k^{sep}}$ is simply connected ([Ten15] Example 4.9, [Tót11] Example 1.4.12), hence its etale fundamental group is trivial, and we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\mathrm{Pic}^d_C)$$

Implying the theorem in this case.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{m} > 0$. In this case by Theorem 17, for d large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ extends to a proper surjective and smooth map, with geometrically connected fibers isomorphic to projective spaces,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

Hence we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}})$$

By Theorem 18, $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified on the boundary divisor $H = \tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus U^{(d)}$.

Thus, by Lemma 24 below, we have: $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ extends to a locally constant sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$ on $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which by the isomorphism of etale fundamental groups above, corresponds to a unique locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{G}_d$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, such that $\tilde{\Phi}^*_d\mathcal{G}_d \cong \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$. Restricting back to $U^{(d)}$, we get $\Phi^*_d\mathcal{G}_d \cong \mathcal{F}^{(d)}$, as required. □

Lemma 24. *If $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is a locally constant sheaf on $U^{(d)}$ which is tamely ramified along the boundary divisor $H = \tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}} \setminus U^{(d)}$, then $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ extends to a locally constant sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$ on $\tilde{C}^{(d)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. The lemma we are referencing above can be proved in two routes:
Route 1 - Showing $\ker\{\pi_1^{ab}(U^{(d)}) \to \pi_1^{ab}(\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}})\}$ is pro-p group.
Route 2 - Showing

$$\pi_1^{t,ab}(U^{(d)}) \to \pi_1^{t,ab}(\mathrm{Pic}^d_{C,\mathfrak{m}})$$

is isomorphism to its image. here one needs to be precise.

□

References

[SGA1] Alexander Grothendieck. *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Vol. 224. Lecture notes in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[Mil08] James S. Milne. *Abelian Varieties (v2.00)*. Available at www.jmilne.org/math/. 2008.

[Tót11] Péter Tóth. "Geometric Abelian Class Field Theory". Master of Science thesis. Universiteit Utrecht, May 2011. URL: https://math.bu.edu/people/rmagner/Seminar/GCFTthesis.pdf.

[Ten15] Avichai Tendler. *Geometric Class Field Theory*. 2015. arXiv: 1507.00104 [math.AG]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00104.

[Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. https://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 2018.

[Gui19] Quentin Guignard. "On the ramified class field theory of relative curves". In: *Algebra & Number Theory* 13 (May 2019). Revised version submitted on 29 May 2019, pp. 1299–1326. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2019.13.1299. arXiv: 1804.02243 [math.AG].

[Tak19] Daichi Takeuchi. "Blow-ups and the class field theory for curves". In: *Algebraic Number Theory* 13.6 (2019), pp. 1327–1351. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327. URL: https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327.