

# Geometric Class Field Theory

Assaf Marzan

December 15, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Generalities . . . . .	4
2.1.1	Equivalence between Torsors and Invertible Modules . . . . .	4
2.1.2	Ramification of Sheaves . . . . .	5
2.1.3	Symmetric Powers of Schemes and Torsors . . . . .	5
2.1.4	Etale Fundamental Groups and Tame Fundamental Groups . . . . .	5
2.2	Generalized Picard Scheme . . . . .	5
2.3	The Abel-Jacobi Morphism and its Fibers . . . . .	7
2.4	Blowup of Symmetric Curves . . . . .	8
2.5	Compactification of Blowup of Symmetric Powers Curve . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Ramification of Sheaves after Blowup</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Reduction Lemmas . . . . .	11
3.2	Proof of Theorem 18 . . . . .	12
3.3	Proof of Theorem 19 . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 2</b>	<b>13</b>

## 1 Introduction

In this thesis, we give an elementary proof of a certain important geometric theorem occurring in Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We (usually) work over a perfect field  $k$ ,  $C$  is a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over  $k$ , with genus  $g$ . One of the main geometric

ingredients in the approach, is showing why a local system  $\mathcal{F}$  with ramification bounded by a modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$  on  $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  descends via the Abel-Jacobi  $\Phi : U \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$  to  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ . The approach, innovated by Deligne, relies on analyzing the symmetric powers  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the symmetric powers  $U^{(d)}$  of  $U$ , and showing that for sufficiently large  $d$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  descends to  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  via the degree  $d$  Abel-Jacobi map  $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ . The geometric-fibers of  $\Phi_d$  (for  $d \geq \deg \mathfrak{m} + 2g - 1$ ) over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where  $g$  is the genus of the curve  $C$ . The unramified case ( $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ ) is relatively simple, as the Abel-Jacobi map is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers, which follows from the fact that it is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by using the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group,

one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of  $U^{(d)}$  ( $= C^{(d)}$ ) and that of  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  ( $= \text{Pic}_C^d$ ).

The ramified case ( $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ ) is more subtle, as the Abel-Jacobi map is not proper anymore, and one needs to analyze the ramification of  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  "along the boundary" of  $U^{(d)}$  in  $C^{(d)}$ .

Previous work has generalized Deligne's approach to the ramified case, most notably by Guignard [Gui19] and Takeuchi [Tak19]. Their approaches differ. To descend, Guignard proves that the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  to any line in the fiber of the degree  $d$  Abel-Jacobi map is a constant étale sheaf. He achieves this by demonstrating that the restriction is at most tamely ramified and invoking the triviality of the tame fundamental group of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . His analysis relies on local geometric class field theory. It is also worth noting that Guignard's method generalizes to relative curves over arbitrary base schemes. Takeuchi, on the other hand, constructs a compactification of  $U^{(d)}$  by blowing up  $C^{(d)}$  along certain well-chosen centers. This compactification, denoted by  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ , has  $U^{(d)}$  as an open subscheme with a codimension 1 closed subscheme  $H$  as complement. He then shows that the Abel-Jacobi map extends to a proper morphism from  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  to  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ , which is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group, one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  and that of  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ . To conclude the descent, Takeuchi analyzes the ramification of  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  along the boundary  $H$  of  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ , showing that it is tamely ramified there, which suffices. His methods relies on the theory of Witt vectors and refined Swan conductors.

For an account of these approaches, see [Gui19] and [Tak19]. For a full approach following Deligne's method in the unramified case, and the tamely ramified case see [Ten15], and [Tót11].

In this thesis, we combine techniques and ideas from the approaches, and from [Ten15], to give an elementary proof of the ramified case of Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We follow Takeuchi's construction of the compactification  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  of  $U^{(d)}$  by blowing up  $C^{(d)}$  and calculate the ramification of  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  along the boundary  $H$  of  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  directly, avoiding the use of Swan conductors.

In the rest of the introduction, we state the main theorem of geometric class field theory [Theorem 1](#), and its reduction to [Theorem 2](#), which we prove in this thesis.

Let  $k$  be a perfect field, and let  $C$  be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over  $k$ , with genus  $g$ . Geometric class field theory gives a geometric description of abelian coverings of  $C$  by relating it to isogenies of the generalized picard schemes.

Fix a modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$ , i.e. an effective Cartier divisor of  $C$  and let  $U$  be its complement in  $C$ . The pairs

$(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -module and  $\alpha$  is a rigidification of  $\mathcal{L}$  along  $\mathfrak{m}$ , are parametrized by a  $k$ -group scheme  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ , called the rigidified Picard scheme. The Abel-Jacobi morphism

$$\Phi : U \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

is the morphism which sends a section  $x$  of  $U$  to the pair  $(\mathcal{O}(x), 1)$ . The fundamental result of geometric class field theory can be formulated as:

**Theorem 1** (Geometric Class Field Theory). *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in  $k$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an étale sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on  $U$ , with ramification bounded by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) multiplicative étale sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ , locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\Phi$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

The notion of a multiplicative locally free  $\Lambda$ -module of rank 1 is due to [Gui19] and corresponds to isogenies  $G \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$  with constant kernel  $\Lambda^\times$ . This concept corresponds to multiplicative characters of  $H^1(\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  in the formulation of [Tak19], and generalizes Hecke eigensheaves in the context of [Ten15].

Let  $d$  be a positive integer. We denote by  $U^{(d)}$  the  $d$ -th symmetric power of  $U$  over  $k$ . For an étale sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  the  $d$ -th symmetric power of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U^{(d)}$ . The degree  $d$  Abel-Jacobi morphism is defined as the map

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

which sends a section  $x_1 + \cdots + x_d$  of  $U^{(d)}$  to the pair  $(\mathcal{O}(x_1 + \cdots + x_d), 1)$ .

The method of descent shows that to prove **Theorem 1**, it suffices to prove the following reduced version (see the last page of [Gui19], Section 8.3 of [Ten15], or the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [Tak19] for details on this reduction):

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in  $k$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an étale sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on  $U$ , with ramification bounded by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then, for sufficiently large integer  $d$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) étale sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $\mathcal{G}_d$  on  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ , locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of  $\mathcal{G}_d$  by  $\Phi_d$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ .*

Using the equivalence between  $G$ -torsors and locally free  $\Lambda$ -modules of rank 1 ( $G = \Lambda^\times$ , see [Proposition 4](#)), **Theorem 2** can be reformulated in terms of  $G$ -torsors as follows:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G = \Lambda^\times$  be a finite abelian group ( $\Lambda$  as before), and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -torsor on  $U$ , with ramification bounded by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then, for sufficiently large integer  $d$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism)  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_d$  on  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ , such that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_d$  by  $\Phi_d$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ .*

To prove **Theorem 3** we follow the work of [Tak19], there he analyzed the ramification of  $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$  after blowing up  $C^{(d)}$ , we analyze this ramification using elementary methods, drawing techniques and ideas from the works of [Gui19] and [Tak19], and [Ten15].

### Notation and conventions.

- $S$  is a base scheme.
- $C \rightarrow S$  is a relative curve. i.e. smooth morphism of schemes of relative dimension 1, with connected geometric fibers of genus  $g$ , which is Zariski-locally projective over  $S$ . Note that the genus  $g$  is a locally constant function on  $S$ .
- Most of the time we will assume that  $S = \text{Spec } k$ , where  $k$  is a perfect field.

- A modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$  on  $C \rightarrow S$ , is defined as an effective Cartier divisor of  $C$  over  $S$  (i.e., a closed subscheme of  $C$  which is finite flat of finite presentation (hence locally free) over  $S$ ).

1. Say something about the ramification condition.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the necessary work, including work from [Gui19], [Ten15] and [Tak19].

### 2.1 Generalities

#### 2.1.1 Equivalence between Torsors and Invertible Modules

The following proposition establishes the fundamental dictionary between the geometric theory of principal homogeneous spaces and the algebraic theory of invertible modules. This equivalence allows us to transport the monoidal structure from the category of modules (the tensor product) to the category of torsors (the contracted product), strictly within the categorical framework.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a topos and let  $\Lambda$  be a ring object in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $G = \Lambda^\times$  denote the internal group object of units of  $\Lambda$ .*

*There is a canonical equivalence of monoidal categories between the category of  $G$ -torsors in  $\mathcal{E}$  and the category of locally free  $\Lambda$ -modules of rank 1 in  $\mathcal{E}$ :*

$$\Phi : \mathbf{Tors}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda)$$

*The equivalence is defined by the associated module functor:*

$$P \longmapsto P \times^{\Lambda^\times} \Lambda := \Lambda^\times \backslash (\Lambda \times P)$$

*where the quotient is taken with respect to the diagonal action of  $\Lambda^\times$  on  $\Lambda \times P$ . The inverse functor associates to an invertible module  $L$  its sheaf of basis frames  $\underline{\text{Isom}}_\Lambda(\Lambda, L)$ .*

In light of this canonical equivalence, we will pass freely between the language of  $G$ -torsors and that of locally free  $\Lambda$ -modules throughout the text.

For a topos  $\mathcal{E}$ , a group object  $G$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  and an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is a canonical identification between  $(G\mathcal{E})_X$  and  $G(\mathcal{E}_X)$ , given by endowing  $X$  with the trivial  $G$ -action.

We denote by  $\mathbf{Tors}(X, G)$  the category of  $G$ -torsors over  $X$  in  $G\mathcal{E}_X$ . Similarly, for a ring object  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$  the category of locally free  $\Lambda$ -modules of rank 1 over  $X$  in  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . The above equivalence of categories becomes

$$\Phi_X : \mathbf{Tors}(X, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$$

### 2.1.2 Ramification of Sheaves

Define and discuss a bit

### 2.1.3 Symmetric Powers of Schemes and Torsors

There are several important theorems that repeat themselves throughout deligne approach to class field theory, one of them, is that the symmetric power of  $C$  over  $k$ , denoted by  $C^{(d)}$ , is a scheme over  $k$ , Guigard proves this in the most general setting so we quote his result here:

**Proposition 5.** *If  $X$  is Zariski locally quasi-projective over a scheme  $S$ , the the right action of  $S_d$  on  $X^{\times s^d}$  is admissible, and the quotient  $X^{(d)} = X^{\times s^d}/S_d$  exists as a scheme over  $S$ .*

treat more carefully

### 2.1.4 Etale Fundamental Groups and Tame Fundamental Groups

We recall the definition and basic properties of the etale fundamental group, following stacks project [Stacks, Tag 0BQ6]

**Proposition 6** ([Stacks, Tag 0C0J]). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a flat proper morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected and reduced. Assume  $S$  is connected and let  $\bar{s}$  be a geometric point of  $S$ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

of fundamental groups.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a proper smooth morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected. Assume  $S$  is connected and let  $\bar{s}$  be a geometric point of  $S$ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

of fundamental groups.

add about tameness?

## 2.2 Generalized Picard Scheme

In this section, we recall the notion of generalized Jacobian varieties and study their fundamental properties. The material presented here is primarily adapted from [Gui19] and [Tak19]. For further background on the general theory of abelian varieties and Jacobians, the reader may also consult [Mil08]. Let  $S$  be a scheme and let  $C$  be a projective smooth  $S$ -scheme whose geometric fibers are connected and of dimension 1. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a modulus on  $C$ , defined as an effective Cartier divisor of  $C/S$  (i.e., a closed subscheme of  $C$  which is finite flat of finite presentation over  $S$ ). We denote the projection  $C \times_S T \rightarrow T$  by  $\text{pr}$  for any  $S$ -scheme  $T$ .

## The Functor of Points

Let  $d$  be an integer. For an  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , we consider the set of data  $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$  where:

- $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf of degree  $d$  on  $C_T$ .
- $\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}$  is a trivialization of  $\mathcal{L}$  along the modulus.

Two such pairs  $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}', \psi')$  are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of invertible sheaves  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} & \\ \psi' \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\ \mathcal{L}'|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} & \xrightarrow{f|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}} & \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \end{array}$$

We define the presheaf  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^{d,\text{pre}}$  on  $\text{Sch}/S$  by assigning to  $T$  the set of isomorphism classes of such pairs. Let  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  denote the étale sheafification of this presheaf.

## Representability and Structure

The fundamental properties of this functor are as follows:

1.  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  is represented by an  $S$ -scheme. (Note: If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is faithfully flat over  $S$ , the presheaf is already an étale sheaf).
2.  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^0$  is a smooth commutative group  $S$ -scheme with geometrically connected fibers, referred to as the *generalized Jacobian variety* of  $C$  with modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
3. For any  $d$ ,  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  is a  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^0$ -torsor.

In the case where  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ , we recover the standard Jacobian variety, denoted simply as  $\text{Pic}_C^d$ .

## Relation to the Standard Jacobian

We now examine the behavior of the generalized Picard scheme under the variation of the modulus. By viewing the structure along the modulus as an additional rigidification, we obtain natural transition maps corresponding to the inclusion of moduli.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_2$  be moduli such that  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_2$ . There exists a natural map

$$\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}_2}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}_1}^d$$

obtained by restricting the isomorphism  $\psi$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}_2$  is a finite  $S$ -scheme, this map is a surjection as a morphism of étale sheaves. In particular, for any modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$ , there is a natural surjective morphism of étale sheaves:

$$\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^d.$$

## Local Freeness and Base Change

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a modulus which is everywhere strictly positive. Let  $g$  denote the genus of  $C$ , which is a locally constant function on  $S$ . We restrict our attention to degrees  $d$  satisfying the condition:

$$d \geq \max\{2g - 1 + \deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}. \quad (1)$$

Assuming  $S$  is quasi-compact, such a  $d$  always exists.

Fix an integer  $d$  satisfying the condition above. Let  $T$  be an  $S$ -scheme and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf of degree  $d$  on  $C_T$ . One can show that the pushforwards  $\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$  and  $\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})$  are locally free sheaves and their formations commute with any base change. Explicitly, for any morphism of  $S$ -schemes  $f : T' \rightarrow T$ , the base change morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$f^*\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_*f^*\mathcal{L}$$

and

$$f^*\text{pr}_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_*f^*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})).$$

In particular, following [Gui19], if  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -module with degree  $d$  satisfying 1 on each fiber of  $f$  then,  $\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank  $d - g + 1$ .

For further background and verification of these constructions, we refer the reader to Milne's notes on Abelian Varieties ([Mil08]).

## 2.3 The Abel-Jacobi Morphism and its Fibers

Let  $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  be the complement of the modulus in  $C$ . The effective Cartier divisors of degree  $d$  which are prime to  $\mathfrak{m}$  are parameterized by the symmetric power  $\text{Sym}_S^d(U) = U^{(d)}$  over  $S$  (See [Gui19] Proposition 4.12, [Mil08] Theorem 3.13). For any such divisor  $D \in U^{(d)}$ , the associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$  admits a canonical trivialization along  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Specifically, the canonical section  $1_D$  is regular and non-vanishing on  $\mathfrak{m}$  because  $\text{supp}(D) \cap \text{supp}(\mathfrak{m}) = \emptyset$ . This section restricts to a nowhere-vanishing section on the subscheme  $\mathfrak{m}$ , thereby determining a trivialization  $\psi_D^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_C(D)|_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . This is done functorially in families, yielding a morphism from the symmetric power to the generalized Picard scheme (over  $S$ ):

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d, \quad D \mapsto [(\mathcal{O}_C(D), \psi_D)], \quad (2)$$

When  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ ,  $d \geq \max\{2g - 1, 0\}$  and  $C$  admits a section over  $S$ ,  $C^{(d)}$  is a projective space bundle over  $\text{Pic}_C^d$ . It is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers.

Guignard ([Gui19] Theorem 4.14) proves that for  $\mathfrak{m} > 0$  and  $d$  satisfying (1), the Abel-Jacobi morphism  $\Phi_d$  is surjective smooth of relative dimension  $d - \deg \mathfrak{m} - g + 1$ , with geometrically connected fibers.

When  $S = \text{spec}(k)$ , the geometric-fibers of  $\Phi_d$  are well understood:

**Theorem 8.** *Assuming  $S = \text{spec}(k)$  and  $d \geq \max\{2g - 1 + \deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}$ . Then, the geometric-fibers of the Abel-Jacobi morphism*

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_{k^{sep}}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}_{k^{sep}}^{d-g} & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

In both cases  $\Phi_d$  is a fibration in affine spaces or projective spaces, depending on whether  $\mathfrak{m}$  is non-zero or zero.

*Proof.* see [Ten15] Propositions 3.13-3.14, or [T6t11] Prop 2.1.4:

□

## 2.4 Blowup of Symmetric Curves

For  $P \rightarrow U$  a  $G$ -torsor. We denote by  $P^{[d]} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$  the corresponding  $G$ -torsor over  $U^{(d)}$ . Let  $\eta^i$  be the generic point of the exceptional divisor  $E^i$  of the blowup of  $C^{(d_i n_i)}$  by  $n_i P_i$  (Where  $\deg P_i = d_i$ ). Where  $P_i \in C \setminus U$  We want to prove:

**Proposition 9.** *If  $\text{ram}P_{\eta^1}^{[d_1 n_1]} \leq k_1$  and  $\text{ram}P_{\eta^2}^{[d_2 n_2]} \leq k_2$  Then  $\text{ram}P_{\eta}^{[d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2]} = \max(k_1, k_2)$  where  $\eta$  is the generic point of the exceptional divisor  $E$  of the blowup of  $C^{(d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2)}$  by  $\mathfrak{m} = n_1 P_1 + n_2 P_2$*

This follows by the following: Start talking about local rings, and take everything locally and prove it locally.

**Proposition 10.** *Let*

sss

**Proposition 6 is proved in takeuchi's paper [Tak19].** We show here a simpler proof for a simpler case that we would need.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -torsor over  $U$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} = n_1 P_1 + n_2 P_2 = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2$  be a modulus on  $C$ . Let  $X_{\mathfrak{m}_1}, X_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$  be the blowups of  $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_1)}, C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_2)}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2$  respectively. Let  $E_1, E_2$  be the respective exceptional divisors, and let  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  be their generic points respectively.

**Proposition 11.** *Assume  $\mathcal{P}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_1)}, \mathcal{P}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_2)}$  are tamely ramified on  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  respectively. Then,  $\mathcal{P}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_1)} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_2)}$  is tamely ramified on  $\eta_1 \times \eta_2 \in C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_1)} \times C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m}_2)}$*

*Proof.* Write proof here □

Next, we prove:

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $X, Y$  be smooth over  $k$ .  $x \in X, y \in Y$  closed points. Let  $\text{Bl}_x(X), \text{Bl}_y(Y), \text{Bl}_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y)$  be the respective blowups. Let  $\eta_X, \eta_Y, \eta_{X \times Y}$  be the generic points of the exceptional divisor of the respective blowups. Then, there exists a scheme  $\tilde{U}$  and maps  $f_1, f_2$  making the diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{U} & \\ f_1 \swarrow & & \searrow f_2 \\ \text{Bl}_x(X) \times_k \text{Bl}_y(Y) & & \text{Bl}_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

such that:

1.  $f_2$  is open immersion
2.  $f_1$  is open map (?open immersion?)
3.  $\eta_{X \times Y} \in \tilde{U}$
4.  $\eta_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{f_1} \eta_X \times \eta_Y$

Let  $P^{[d]} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$  be the corresponding  $G$ -torsor over  $U^{(d)}$ .

**Lemma 13.** Let  $p : C^{(d)} \times_k C^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{\pm} C^{(n)}$  be the plus map, restricting  $p$  to  $U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)}$  we get a map

$$p : U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{p} U^{(n)}$$

Then,

$$p^*(\mathcal{P}^{(n)}) \cong \mathcal{P}^{[d]} \boxtimes_k \mathcal{P}^{(n-d)}$$

**Proposition 14.** Combinining [Proposition 11](#), [Proposition 12](#) and [Lemma 13](#) we get that if  $P^{[d_1 n_1]}$ ,  $P^{[d_2 n_2]}$  are tamely ramified on  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  respectively, then  $P^{[d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2]}$  is tamely ramified on  $\eta$  the generic point of the exceptional divisor of the blowup of  $C^{(d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2)}$  by  $\mathfrak{m} = n_1 P_1 + n_2 P_2$ .

### A few notes

1. takeuchi's paper [[Tak19](#)] proves a more general version of [Proposition 12](#) for arbitrary ramification, not necessarily smooth over a field. more generally, he proves:

**Proposition 15.** If  $\text{ram}P_{\eta_1}^{[d_1 n_1]} = k_1$  and  $\text{ram}P_{\eta_2}^{[d_2 n_2]} = k_2$  Then  $\text{ram}P_{\eta}^{[d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2]} = \max(k_1, k_2)$  where  $\eta$  is the generic point of the exceptional divisor  $E$  of the blowup of  $C^{(d_1 n_1 + d_2 n_2)}$  by  $\mathfrak{m} = n_1 P_1 + n_2 P_2$

2. more generally, the above works for any finite number of points  $P_i$  with multiplicities  $n_i$ . the generalizaiton is easy.

ass somewhere the defintiion of box product

**Lemma 16.** Let  $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$  be two moduli of the form  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are distinct points. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be local systems on  $U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}$ ,  $U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$ , at most tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$  respectively. Then the local system  $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 := p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_2)$  on is at most tamely ramified at the point  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \times \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$  of

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a local system on  $U^{(d)}$

## 2.5 Compactification of Blowup of Symmetric Powers Curve

We recall that our objective is to descend the local system  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  from  $U^{(d)}$  to  $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$  along the Abel-Jacobi map  $\Phi_d$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ U^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_d} & \text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d \end{array}$$

(Here, the purple arrow emphasizes that the morphism is of sheaves on the étale site).

However, we encounter an obstruction: in the case we are considering ( $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ ), the fibers of  $\Phi_d$  are affine spaces (of the same degree) rather than the better-behaved projective spaces. This hint that a solution to this problem is to compactify the morphism to yield projective fibers.

This section describes the result of the compactification constructed by [Tak19] via the method of blowup.

Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_P P$  with  $\deg P = d_P$  be a modulus on  $C$ , and let  $d$  satisfy (1). Takeuchi ([Tak19]) defines  $Z_0 = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d)$  as the closed subscheme of  $C^{(d)}$  defined by the map  $C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$  adding  $\mathfrak{m}$ . He also defines  $X_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$  as the blowup of  $C^{(d)}$  along  $Z_0$ . Let  $E_0 = E_{\mathfrak{m}, d} = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d) \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$  be the exceptional divisor of the blowup. It is irreducible of codimension 1, and we let  $\eta_0 = \eta_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$  be its generic point.

Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m}, d} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{c.i} & C^{(d)} \end{array}$$

Incorporating  $U^{(d)}$ , the local system  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  and the Abel-Jacobi map, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m}, d} & \longleftarrow & U^{(d)} \xrightarrow{\Phi_d} \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & \nearrow & \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{c.i} & C^{(d)} & & \end{array}$$

In Section 3 of [Tak19] Takeuchi constructs, for large enough  $d$  a compactification denoted by  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  and proves the following: exactly determined the fate of that  $d$

**Theorem 17** (Takeuchi). *The scheme  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  is an open subscheme of  $X_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$  containing  $U^{(d)}$ . The morphism  $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$  extends to a morphism  $\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$  which makes  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  a projective space bundle over  $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$ . Furthermore, the complement of  $U^{(d)}$  in  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  is isomorphic to the fiber product  $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ .*

*Proof.* Add outline of construction and proofs □

Diagrammatically we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \\
E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & U^{(d)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\Phi}_d & \downarrow \Phi_d \\
\overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m}, d} & & \text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
Z_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{c.i.}} & C^{(d)} & &
\end{array}$$

Also note that  $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} = Z_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$

### 3 Ramification of Sheaves after Blowup

**do we assume here  $S = k$ ?** The main theorem of this section is

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in  $k$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an étale sheaf of  $\Lambda$ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on  $U$ , with ramification bounded by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Considering  $U^{(d)}$  as an open subscheme of the blowup  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$  of  $C^{(d)}$ , we have that for sufficiently large integer  $d$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  is tamely ramified on  $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)} = E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ .*

Following the notation of [Section 2.5](#), For any modulus  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , we define  $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$  as the closed subscheme of  $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{n}$  as a point of  $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ .

We then define  $X_{\mathfrak{n}}$  as the blowup of  $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$  at  $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , and we denote by  $E_{\mathfrak{n}} = Z_{\mathfrak{n}} \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{n}}$  the exceptional divisor of this blowup, it is irreducible of codimension 1. We denote by  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$  the generic point of  $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ . Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\{\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}\}} = E_{\mathfrak{n}} & \hookrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{n}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathfrak{n}} \\
Z_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}
\end{array}$$

[Theorem 18](#) easily follows from:

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a local system on  $U$  with ramification at  $P$  bounded by  $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$  is tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$  of  $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$*

In the upcoming section, we perform the reduction and derive [Theorem 18](#) from [Theorem 19](#). We then prove [Theorem 19](#) in the section that follows.

#### 3.1 Reduction Lemmas

The first lemma is from [\[Tak19\]](#), we include their proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 20** ([Tak19], Lemma 4.1). *Let  $C$  be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i P_i$  where  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  are distinct closed points of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $U$  be the complement of  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $C$ . And let  $d_i = \deg P_i$ . Take  $d \geq \mathfrak{m}$ . Then: The morphism  $\pi : C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ , taking the sum, is étale at the generic point of the closed subvariety  $\{n_1 P_1\} \times \cdots \times \{n_r P_r\} \times C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$  of  $C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed (hence  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$ ). Since the map  $\pi : C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$  is finite flat, it is enough to show that there exists a closed point  $Q$  of  $n_1 P_1 + \dots + n_r P_r + C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$  over which there are  $\deg \pi$  points on  $C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ . Choose  $Q$  as a point corresponding to a divisor  $n_1 P_1 + \dots + n_r P_r + P_{r+1} + \cdots + P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$ , where  $P_1, \dots, P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$  are distinct points of  $U(k)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 21.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$  is tamely ramified at  $\eta$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$  is tamely ramified at the generic point  $\theta$  of  $E_{\mathfrak{m}} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$*

*Proof.* Compete and make precise.  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$  be two moduli of the form  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are distinct points. Assume  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$  are at most tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1 + \deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$  is at most tamely tamified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2}$ .*

*Proof.* Complete  $\square$

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}' \subset \mathfrak{m}$  be coprime sub moduli of  $\mathfrak{m}$  where  $\mathfrak{n}' = k_P P$ . Assume  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}, \mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}')}$  are at most tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}'}$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n} + \deg \mathfrak{n}')}$  is at most tamely tamified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{n}'}$ .*

*Proof.* Complete  $\square$

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 18

*Proof of Theorem 18.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be as in Theorem 18,  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_P P$  with  $\deg P = d_P$ . Then by Theorem 19 for every  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  of the form  $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$  is at most tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ . By Lemma 23,  $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$  is then at most tamely ramified at  $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . And thus by lemma Lemma 20 (maybe do another step here directly, in addition to the lemma?)  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  is tamely ramified at the generic point of  $H$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Proof of Theorem 19

In this section we prove Theorem 19 complete - this is not finished

We will work this out along an example: Let  $X = \mathbb{G}_m = \text{spec } R[t, t^{-1}]$  and Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^n} G_m$  be the  $n$ 'th power map. It is a  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  torsor. The ring map is  $R[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} R[t, t^{-1}]$  which corresponds to ring extension:  $R[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow R[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, t^{-\frac{1}{n}}]$ . The field of fractions of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is  $K(t)$  and the

corresponding map between fields of  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  is  $K(t) \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} K(t)$ . which corresponds to the field extension:  $K(t) \hookrightarrow K(t^{\frac{1}{n}}) = K(t)[X]/(X^n - t)$ . Where  $K = \text{Frac } R$

The points  $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$  correspond to the local rings  $\mathcal{O}_0 = K[t]_{(t)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} = K[\frac{1}{t}]_{(\frac{1}{t})}$  of  $K(t)$ , which are DVRs. The corresponding valuations of  $K(t)$  are given by:

$$v_0\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \text{maximal exponent } n \text{ s.t. } t^n \mid \frac{f}{g}, \quad v_{\infty}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \deg g - \deg f$$

So the diagram of the  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m$  over  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m & & \\ \downarrow (.)^n & & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array} \quad (3)$$

The bounded ramification condition is given by:

$$\text{ram } P_{\eta} \leq k \quad (4)$$

We wish to understand

In the general case of a  $G$  torsor  $P \rightarrow C$  we have similarly:  $K(C) \cong K(t)$  the function ring of  $C$  for some variable  $t$  and a finite field extension  $K/\mathbb{F}_p(t')$ . If the basefield  $K$  contains  $n$ 'th roots of unity, then the torsor is the same... and continue here:  $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}$  the same.. and continue.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

We work over  $S = \text{spec } k$ , for  $k$  perfect.

By Proposition 4, its equivalent to prove:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G = \Lambda^{\times}$  be a finite abelian group ( $\Lambda$  as before), and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G$ -torsor on  $U$ , with ramification bounded by  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then, for sufficiently large integer  $d$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism)  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_d$  on  $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$ , such that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_d$  by  $\Phi_d$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ .*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases, when  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$  and when  $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ .

**Case 1:**  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ . By Section 2.3, for  $d$  large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism  $\Phi_d : C^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^d$  is proper surjective and smooth, with geometrically connected fibers, each isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g}$ . Hence by Corollary 7 it induces an exact sequence of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(\text{Pic}_C^d) \rightarrow 1$$

But  $\mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g}$  is simply connected ([Ten15] Example 4.9, [Tót11] Example 1.4.12), hence its etale fundamental group is trivial, and we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\text{Pic}_C^d)$$

Implying the theorem in this case.

**Case 2:**  $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ . In this case by [Theorem 17](#), for  $d$  large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism  $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$  extends to a proper surjective and smooth map, with geometrically connected fibers isomorphic to projective spaces,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

Hence we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)$$

By [Theorem 18](#),  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  is tamely ramified on the boundary divisor  $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)}$ .

Thus, by [Lemma 24](#) below, we have:  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  extends to a locally constant sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$  on  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ , which by the isomorphism of etale fundamental groups above, corresponds to a unique locally constant sheaf  $\mathcal{G}_d$  on  $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ , such that  $\tilde{\Phi}_d^*\mathcal{G}_d \cong \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$ . Restricting back to  $U^{(d)}$ , we get  $\Phi_d^*\mathcal{G}_d \cong \mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *If  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  is a locally constant sheaf on  $U^{(d)}$  which is tamely ramified along the boundary divisor  $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$  extends to a locally constant sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$  on  $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ .*

*Proof.* The lemma we are referencing above can be proved in two routes:

**Route 1** - Showing  $\ker\{\pi_1^{ab}(U^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{ab}(\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)\}$  is pro- $p$  group.

**Route 2** - Showing

$$\pi_1^{t,ab}(U^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{t,ab}(\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)$$

is isomorphism to its image. here one needs to be precise.

$\square$

## References

- [Mil08] James S. Milne. *Abelian Varieties (v2.00)*. Available at [www.jmilne.org/math/](http://www.jmilne.org/math/). 2008.
- [Tóth11] Péter Tóth. “Geometric Abelian Class Field Theory”. Master of Science thesis. Universiteit Utrecht, May 2011. URL: <https://math.bu.edu/people/rmagner/Seminar/GCFTthesis.pdf>.
- [Ten15] Avichai Tendler. *Geometric Class Field Theory*. 2015. arXiv: [1507.00104 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00104). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00104>.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2018.
- [Gui19] Quentin Guignard. “On the ramified class field theory of relative curves”. In: *Algebra & Number Theory* 13 (May 2019). Revised version submitted on 29 May 2019, pp. 1299–1326. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2019.13.1299](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1299). arXiv: [1804.02243 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02243).
- [Tak19] Daichi Takeuchi. “Blow-ups and the class field theory for curves”. In: *Algebraic Number Theory* 13.6 (2019), pp. 1327–1351. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327>.