

Geometric Class Field Theory

Assaf Marzan

January 3, 2026

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Generalities	4
2.1.1	Equivalence between Torsors and Invertible Modules	4
2.1.2	Symmetric Powers of Schemes and Torsors	5
2.1.3	Etale Fundamental Groups and Tame Fundamental Groups	7
2.2	Algebraic Preliminaries on Ramification	8
2.3	Tame Ramification and Ramification of G -Torsors	9
2.4	Symmetric Powers of Local Systems on Curves	12
2.5	Generalized Picard Scheme	13
2.6	The Abel-Jacobi Morphism and its Fibers	15
2.7	Blowup of Smooth Schemes	15
2.8	Compactification of Blowup of Symmetric Powers of a Curve	16
3	Ramification of Sheaves after Blowup	17
3.1	Reduction Lemmas	18
3.2	Proof of Theorem 28	19
3.3	Proof of Theorem 29	20
4	Proof of Theorem 2	20

1 Introduction

In this thesis, we give an elementary proof of a certain important geometric theorem occurring in Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We (usually) work over a perfect field k , C is a

projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k , with genus g . One of the main geometric ingredients in the approach, is showing why a local system \mathcal{F} with ramification bounded by a modulus \mathfrak{m} on $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ descends via the Abel-Jacobi $\Phi : U \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ to $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$. The approach, innovated by Deligne, relies on analyzing the symmetric powers $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ of \mathcal{F} on the symmetric powers $U^{(d)}$ of U , and showing that for sufficiently large d , $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ descends to $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ via the degree d Abel-Jacobi map $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$. The geometric-fibers of Φ_d (for $d \geq \deg \mathfrak{m} + 2g - 1$) over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g} & \text{if } \mathfrak{m} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where g is the genus of the curve C . The unramified case ($\mathfrak{m} = 0$) is relatively simple, as the Abel-Jacobi map is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers, which follows from the fact that it is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by using the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group,

one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of $U^{(d)}$ ($= C^{(d)}$) and that of $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ ($= \text{Pic}_C^d$).

The ramified case ($\mathfrak{m} > 0$) is more subtle, as the Abel-Jacobi map is not proper anymore, and one needs to analyze the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ "along the boundary" of $U^{(d)}$ in $C^{(d)}$.

Previous work has generalized Deligne's approach to the ramified case, most notably by Guignard [Gui19] and Takeuchi [Tak19]. Their approaches differ. To descend, Guignard proves that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ to any line in the fiber of the degree d Abel-Jacobi map is a constant étale sheaf. He achieves this by demonstrating that the restriction is at most tamely ramified and invoking the triviality of the tame fundamental group of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . His analysis relies on local geometric class field theory. It is also worth noting that Guignard's method generalizes to relative curves over arbitrary base schemes. Takeuchi, on the other hand, constructs a compactification of $U^{(d)}$ by blowing up $C^{(d)}$ along certain well-chosen centers. This compactification, denoted by $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$, has $U^{(d)}$ as an open subscheme with a codimension 1 closed subscheme H as complement. He then shows that the Abel-Jacobi map extends to a proper morphism from $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ to $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$, which is a fibration in projective spaces. Thus, by the homotopy exact sequence for the etale fundamental group, one gets an isomorphism between the etale fundamental group of $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ and that of $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$. To conclude the descent, Takeuchi analyzes the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ along the boundary H of $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$, showing that it is tamely ramified there, which suffices. His methods relies on the theory of Witt vectors and refined Swan conductors.

For an account of these approaches, see [Gui19] and [Tak19]. For a full approach following Deligne's method in the unramified case, and the tamely ramified case see [Ten15], and [Tót11].

In this thesis, we combine techniques and ideas from the approaches, and from [Ten15], to give an elementary proof of the ramified case of Deligne's approach to geometric class field theory. We follow Takeuchi's construction of the compactification $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ of $U^{(d)}$ by blowing up $C^{(d)}$ and calculate the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ along the boundary H of $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ directly, avoiding the use of Swan conductors.

In the rest of the introduction, we state the main theorem of geometric class field theory [Theorem 1](#), and its reduction to [Theorem 2](#), which we prove in this thesis.

Let k be a perfect field, and let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k , with genus g . Geometric class field theory gives a geometric description of abelian coverings of C by relating it to isogenies of the generalized picard schemes.

Fix a modulus \mathfrak{m} , i.e. an effective Cartier divisor of C and let U be its complement in C . The pairs (\mathcal{L}, α) , where \mathcal{L} is an invertible \mathcal{O}_C -module and α is a rigidification of \mathcal{L} along \mathfrak{m} , are parametrized by a k -group scheme $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, called the rigidified Picard scheme. The Abel-Jacobi morphism

$$\Phi : U \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$$

is the morphism which sends a section x of U to the pair $(\mathcal{O}(x), 1)$. The fundamental result of geometric class field theory can be formulated as:

Theorem 1 (Geometric Class Field Theory). *Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k , and let \mathcal{F} be an étale sheaf of Λ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on U , with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Then, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) multiplicative étale sheaf of Λ -modules \mathcal{G} on $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$, locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of \mathcal{G} by Φ is isomorphic to \mathcal{F} .*

The notion of a multiplicative locally free Λ -module of rank 1 is due to [Gui19] and corresponds to isogenies $G \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}$ with constant kernel Λ^\times . This concept corresponds to multiplicative characters of $H^1(\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ in the formulation of [Tak19], and generalizes Hecke eigensheaves in the context of [Ten15].

Let d be a positive integer. We denote by $U^{(d)}$ the d -th symmetric power of U over k . For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on U , we denote by $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ the d -th symmetric power of \mathcal{F} on $U^{(d)}$. The degree d Abel-Jacobi morphism is defined as the map

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

which sends a section $x_1 + \dots + x_d$ of $U^{(d)}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{O}(x_1 + \dots + x_d), 1)$.

The method of descent shows that to prove **Theorem 1**, it suffices to prove the following reduced version (see the last page of [Gui19], Section 8.3 of [Ten15], or the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [Tak19] for details on this reduction):

Theorem 2. *Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k , and let \mathcal{F} be an étale sheaf of Λ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on U , with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Then, for sufficiently large integer d , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) étale sheaf of Λ -modules \mathcal{G}_d on $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$, locally free of rank 1, such that the pullback of \mathcal{G}_d by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$.*

Using the equivalence between G -torsors and locally free Λ -modules of rank 1 ($G = \Lambda^\times$, see [Proposition 4](#)), **Theorem 2** can be reformulated in terms of G -torsors as follows:

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \Lambda^\times$ be a finite abelian group (Λ as before), and let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor on U , with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Then, for sufficiently large integer d , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) G -torsor \mathcal{Q}_d on $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$, such that the pullback of \mathcal{Q}_d by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$.*

To prove **Theorem 3** we follow the work of [Tak19], there he analyzed the ramification of $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ after blowing up $C^{(d)}$, we analyze this ramification using elementary methods, drawing techniques and ideas from the works of [Gui19] and [Tak19], and [Ten15].

Notation and conventions.

- S is a base scheme.
- $C \rightarrow S$ is a relative curve. i.e. smooth morphism of schemes of relative dimension 1, with connected geometric fibers of genus g , which is Zariski-locally projective over S . Note that the genus g is a locally constant function on S .
- Most of the time we will assume that $S = \text{Spec } k$, where k is a perfect field.

- A modulus \mathfrak{m} on $C \rightarrow S$, is defined as an effective Cartier divisor of C over S (i.e., a closed subscheme of C which is finite flat of finite presentation (hence locally free) over S).

1. Say something about the ramification condition.
2. Add acknowledgements to yakov, family, etc.

2 Preliminaries

where is the first tiem you introduce \mathcal{P} instead of P , mark it down, and explain In this section we recall the necessariy work, including work from [Gui19], [Ten15] and [Tak19].

2.1 Generalities

2.1.1 Equivalence between Torsors and Invertible Modules

Let \mathcal{E} be a topos and let Λ be a commutative ring object in \mathcal{E} . Let $G = \Lambda^\times$ denote the internal group object of units of Λ . The following proposition establishes the fundamental dictionary between the geometric theory of principal homogeneous spaces and the algebraic theory of invertible modules. This equivalence allows us to transport the monoidal structure from the category of modules (with the tensor product over Λ) to the category of torsors (with the contracted product over G), strictly within the categorical framework.

Proposition 4. *There is a canonical equivalence of monoidal categories between the category of G -torsors in \mathcal{E} and the category of locally free Λ -modules of rank 1 in \mathcal{E} :*

$$\Phi : \mathbf{Tors}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(\mathcal{E}, \Lambda)$$

The equivalence is defined by the associated module functor:

$$P \longmapsto P \times^{\Lambda^\times} \Lambda := \Lambda^\times \backslash (\Lambda \times P)$$

where the quotient is taken with respect to the diagonal action of Λ^\times on $\Lambda \times P$. The inverse functor associates to an invertible module L its sheaf of basis frames $\underline{\text{Isom}}_\Lambda(\Lambda, L)$.

In light of this canonical equivalence, we will pass freely between the language of G -torsors and that of locally free Λ -modules throughout the text.

For a topos \mathcal{E} , a group object G in \mathcal{E} and an object X in \mathcal{E} , there is a canonical identification between $(G\mathcal{E})_X$ and $G(\mathcal{E}_X)$, given by endowing X with the trivial G -action.

We denote by $\mathbf{Tors}(X, G)$ the category of G -torsors over X in $G\mathcal{E}_X$. Similarly, for a ring object Λ in \mathcal{E} , we denote by $\mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$ the category of locally free Λ -modules of rank 1 over X in \mathcal{E}_X . The above equivilance of categories becomes

$$\Phi_X : \mathbf{Tors}(X, \Lambda^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda)$$

For a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{E} , the equivalence is functorial with respect to:

- **Torsor Pullback:** $f^{-1}P = P \times_X Y$ (Fiber product).
- **Module Pullback:** $f^* \mathcal{L} = \Lambda_Y \otimes_{f^{-1}\Lambda_X} f^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ (Extension of scalars).

The following diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Tors}(X, G) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_X} & \mathbf{Pic}(X, \Lambda) \\ f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \mathbf{Tors}(Y, G) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_Y} & \mathbf{Pic}(Y, \Lambda) \end{array}$$

More about G -torsors

Say something about the toposes of Etale and etale, maybe add them up in the notation. We recall some propositions about G -torsors that will be useful later.

Proposition 5 ([Gui19], Proposition 2.12). *Let G be a finite abelian group, let S be a scheme, and let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor over an S -scheme X in S_{Et} . Then the etale sheaf \mathcal{P} is representable by a finite etale X -scheme.*

Corollary 6 ([Gui19], Corollary 2.13). *Let G be a finite abelian group, let S be a scheme, and let X be an S -scheme. Then the category of G -torsors over X in S_{Et} is equivalent to the category of G -torsors over X (the terminal object) in X_{et} .*

2.1.2 Symmetric Powers of Schemes and Torsors

This section reviews the construction of quotients for schemes and torsors under finite group actions, specifically focusing on symmetric powers. To ensure these quotients exist as schemes, we utilize the framework of admissible actions from [SGA1]. Our treatment here closely follows the exposition in [Gui19]. The definitions and results presented below are adapted from their work. This foundation provides the necessary criteria for admissibility and base change required to define the symmetric powers of a scheme X and a G -torsor \mathcal{P} over X .

Let S be a scheme.

Definition 7 ([SGA1], V.1.7.).

- Let T be an object of a category \mathcal{C} endowed with a right action of a group Γ . We say that the **quotient** T/Γ exists in \mathcal{C} if the covariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \text{Sets} \\ U &\mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, U)^{\Gamma} \end{aligned}$$

is representable by an object of \mathcal{C} .

- Let T be an S -scheme. An action of a finite group Γ on T is **admissible** if there exists an affine Γ -invariant morphism $f : T \rightarrow T'$ such that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{T'} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_T$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ to $(f_* \mathcal{O}_T)^{\Gamma}$.

Proposition 8. *The following holds:*

1. ([SGA1] V.1.3). Let T be an S -scheme endowed with an admissible right action of a finite group Γ . If $f : T \rightarrow T'$ is an affine Γ -invariant morphism such that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_{T'} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_T$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ to $(f_* \mathcal{O}_T)^\Gamma$, then the quotient T/Γ exists and is isomorphic to T' .
2. ([SGA1], V.1.8). Let T be an S -scheme endowed with a right action of a finite group Γ . Then, the action of Γ on T is admissible if and only if T is covered by Γ -invariant affine open subsets.
3. ([SGA1], V.1.9). Let T be an S -scheme endowed with an admissible right action of a finite group Γ , and let S' be a flat S -scheme. Then, the action of Γ on the S' -scheme $T \times_S S'$ is admissible, and the canonical morphism

$$(T \times_S S')/\Gamma \rightarrow (T/\Gamma) \times_S S'$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 9 ([SGA1], IX.5.8). Let G be a finite abelian group, let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor over an S -scheme X in $S_{\text{\'et}}$. Assume that \mathcal{P} and X are endowed with right actions from a finite group Γ such that the morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ is Γ -equivariant, and that the following properties hold:

- (a) The right Γ -action on \mathcal{P} commutes with the left G -action.
- (b) The right Γ -action on X is admissible, and the quotient morphism $X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ is finite.
- (c) For any geometric point \bar{x} of X , the action of the stabilizer $\Gamma_{\bar{x}}$ of \bar{x} in Γ on the fiber $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{x}}$ of \mathcal{P} at \bar{x} is trivial.

Then the action of Γ on \mathcal{P} is admissible, and \mathcal{P}/Γ is a G -torsor over X/Γ in $S_{\text{\'et}}$.

Symmetric Powers of Schemes

Let X be an S -scheme and let $d \geq 0$ be an integer. The group S_d of permutations of $\llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$ acts on the right on the S -scheme $X^{\times sd} = X \times_S \cdots \times_S X$ by the formula

$$(x_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} \cdot \sigma = (x_{\sigma(i)})_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}.$$

Proposition 10 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.27). If X is a scheme, Zariski locally quasi-projective over S , then the right action of the symmetric group S_d on the d -fold fiber product $X^{\times sd}$ is admissible. Consequently, the quotient $\text{Sym}_S^d(X) = X^{\times sd}/S_d$ exists as a scheme over S .

Remark. When the base S is understood from context, this quotient is also denoted by $X^{(d)}$.

Guingard shows that when $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ and $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ then $\text{Sym}_S^d(X)$ is representable by an affine S -scheme (See [Gui19] Remark 2.28).

Proposition 11 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.28). If X is flat and Zariski-locally quasi-projective over S , then $\text{Sym}_S^d(X)$ is flat over S . Moreover, for any S -scheme S' , the canonical morphism

$$\text{Sym}_{S'}^d(X \times_S S') \rightarrow \text{Sym}_S^d(X) \times_S S'$$

is an isomorphism.

Symmetric Powers of Torsors

change below the exposition to be more accurate... Let S be a scheme, let X be an S -scheme and let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. Let G be a finite abelian group, and let $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor over X in $S_{\text{ét}}$. It is easy to show that the sheaf \mathcal{P} is representable by a finite étale X -scheme. (For example [Gui19] Proposition 2.12)

For each $i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$ let $p_i : X^{\times s^d} \rightarrow X$ be the projection on i -th factor, and let us consider the G -torsor

$$p_1^{-1}\mathcal{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes p_d^{-1}\mathcal{P} = G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$$

over $X^{\times s^d}$, where $G_d \subseteq G^d$ is the kernel of the multiplication morphism $G^d \rightarrow G$. The object $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$ of $S_{\text{ét}}$ is too representable by an S -scheme which is finite étale over $X^{\times s^d}$. The group S_d acts on the right on $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$ by the formula

$$(p_i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} \cdot \sigma = (p_{\sigma(i)})_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}.$$

This action of S_d commutes with the left action of G on $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$.

Proposition 12 ([Gui19] Proposition 2.32.). *If X is Zariski-locally quasi-projective on S , then the right action of S_d on $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$ is admissible, so that the quotient $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$ of $G_d \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\times s^d}$ by S_d exists as a scheme over S . Moreover, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{P}^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_S^d(X)$ is a G -torsor, and the morphism*

$$p_1^{-1}\mathcal{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes p_d^{-1}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow r^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$$

where $r : X^{\times s^d} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_S^d(X)$ is the canonical projection, is an isomorphism of G -torsors over $X^{\times s^d}$.

consider replacing \mathcal{P} with P because it is a scheme Add proposition about how it is being a scheme

2.1.3 Etale Fundamental Groups and Tame Fundamental Groups

We recall the definition and basic properties of the etale fundamental group, following stacks project [Stacks, Tag 0BQ6]

Proposition 13 ([Stacks, Tag 0C0J]). *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a flat proper morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected and reduced. Assume S is connected and let \bar{s} be a geometric point of S . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

of fundamental groups.

Corollary 14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper smooth morphism of finite presentation whose geometric fibres are connected. Assume S is connected and let \bar{s} be a geometric point of S . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow 1$$

of fundamental groups.

add about tameness?

2.2 Algebraic Preliminaries on Ramification

change? We recall the basic definitions and properties of the ramification of discrete valuations. We start with the general case of discrete valuation rings and their integral closures within finite separable field extensions. Then, we move to the specific setting of complete discrete valuation rings within Galois extensions, where we describe the ramification filtration of the Galois group via both lower and upper numbering. We follow [Stacks, Tag 0EXQ], and [Ser79].

Ramification of Discrete Valuation Rings

Let A be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K . Let L/K be a finite separable field extension. Let $B \subset L$ be the integral closure of A in L . Picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & L \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

By [Stacks, Tag 032L] the ring extension $A \subset B$ is finite, hence B is Noetherian. By [Stacks, Tag 00OK] the dimension of B is 1, hence B is a Dedekind domain, see [Stacks, Tag 034X]. Let $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$ be the maximal ideals of B (i.e., the primes lying over \mathfrak{m}_A). We obtain extensions of discrete valuation rings

$$A \subset B_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$$

and hence ramification indices e_i and residue degrees f_i . We have

$$[L : K] = \sum_{i=1, \dots, n} e_i f_i$$

by [Stacks, Tag 02MJ] applied to a uniformizer in A . We observe that $n = 1$ if A is henselian (by [Stacks, Tag 04GH] and the fact that B is a domain), e.g. if A is complete.

Definition 15. Let A be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K . Let L/K be a finite separable extension. With B and \mathfrak{m}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ as above, we say the extension L/K is

1. unramified with respect to A if $e_i = 1$ and the extension $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}_i)/\kappa_A$ is separable for all i ,
2. tamely ramified with respect to A if either the characteristic of κ_A is 0 or the characteristic of κ_A is $p > 0$, the field extensions $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}_i)/\kappa_A$ are separable, and the ramification indices e_i are prime to p , and
3. totally ramified with respect to A if $n = 1$ and the residue field extension $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}_1)/\kappa_A$ is trivial.

If the discrete valuation ring A is clear from context, then we sometimes say L/K is unramified, totally ramified, or tamely ramified for short.

Classical Ramification Filtration in the Galois Case

We now recall the classical ramification filtration in the Galois case. Assume A, B are complete DVRs. And that L/K is Galois with Galois group G . In that case there is uniformizer $\pi \in B$ such that $B = A[\pi]$

We have the ramification filtration of G by lower numbering $(G_i)_{i \geq -1}$, defined by

$$G_i = \{\sigma \in G \mid v_B(\sigma(x) - x) \geq i + 1 \text{ for all } x \in B\}$$

where v_B is the valuation on L associated to B . In particular, $G_{-1} = G$ and G_0 is the inertia group of the extension L/K . We have that L/K is unramified if and only if G_0 is trivial, and L/K is tamely ramified if and only if G_1 is trivial. It is easy exercise that in the definition of G_i it is enough to check the condition for the uniformizer π of B , if we define $i_K^L(\sigma) = v_B(\sigma(\pi) - \pi)$ for $\sigma \in G$, then we have $G_i = \{\sigma \in G \mid i_K^L(\sigma) \geq i + 1\}$. The groups G_i are normal in G and are trivial for large enough i . In a tower of fields $K \subset E \subset L$, where $H = \text{Gal}(L/E)$ we have

$$G_i \cap H = H_i$$

for all $i \geq -1$, which corresponds to the fact that $i_E^L = i_K^L|_{\text{Gal}(L/E)}$. Ramification groups also behave well with respect to quotients: $G_i H / H = (G/H)_j$, where

$$j = \frac{1}{e_{L/E}} \sum_{\tau \in H} \min(i_K^L(\tau), i + 1) - 1$$

i.e. the quotient of a ramification group is itself a ramification group, but with a different index. In the literature, one reindexes the ramification groups by defining the Herbrand function $\phi_{L/K} : [-1, \infty) \rightarrow [-1, \infty)$:

$$\phi_{L/K}(i) = \frac{1}{e_{L/K}} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \min(i_K^L(\sigma), i + 1) - 1 = \int_0^i \frac{1}{[G_0 : G_t]} dt$$

It is continuous, increasing, piecewise linear function, hence a bijection. It satisfies $\phi_{L/K} = \phi_{E/K} \circ \phi_{L/E}$ for $K \subset E \subset L$, and $G_i H / H = (G/H)_{\phi_{L/E}(i)}$. Thus, defining the ramification groups by upper numbering as $G^i = G_{\phi_{L/K}^{-1}(i)}$, we have:

$$G^i H / H = (G/H)^i$$

for all $i \geq -1$.

2.3 Tame Ramification and Ramification of G -Torsors

Regarding Tame Ramification we follow [Stacks, Tag 0BSE]. It is worth mentioning [KS10] for the different notions of tameness in higher dimensions, and to what extent they agree.

Tame Ramification of etale covering in Codimension 1

Definition 16. Assume we are given:

1. a locally Noetherian scheme X ,
2. a dense open $U \subset X$
3. a finite étale morphism $f : Y \rightarrow U$

such that for every prime divisor $Z \subset X$ with $Z \cap U = \emptyset$ the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ of X at the generic point ξ of Z is a discrete valuation ring. Setting K_ξ equal to the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ we obtain a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(K_\xi) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}) & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

of schemes. In particular, we see that $Y \times_U \mathrm{Spec}(K_\xi)$ is the spectrum of a finite separable algebra L_ξ/K_ξ . Then we say Y is unramified over X in codimension 1, resp. Y is tamely ramified over X in codimension 1 if L_ξ/K_ξ is unramified, resp. tamely ramified with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$ for every (Z, ξ) as above, ([Definition 15](#)). More precisely, we decompose L_ξ into a product of finite separable field extensions of K_ξ and we require each of these to be unramified, resp. tamely ramified with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi}$.

Ramification of G -Torsors over Curves

Let G be a finite abelian group. Let k be a perfect field and let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k , with genus g . Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_i n_i P_i$ be a modulus (i.e. an effective Cartier divisor) on C and let $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. Let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor in $U_{\text{ét}}$. By [Proposition 5](#), \mathcal{P} is representable by a finite étale U -scheme.

Let $P \in \mathfrak{m} \subset C$ a closed point. Then $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ is a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K_P . Completing at the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_P we obtain a complete discrete valuation ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{C,P}}$ with fraction field \widehat{K}_P .

EDIT AND REFINE THIS ENTIRE THING.

Definition 17 (Version 1: Pure Openness). Let C be a curve over a field k . Let $P \in C$ be closed point. $U = C - P$ be the complement. Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U . let $\eta \in P$ be the generic point of P (it is irreducible of codim=1 in C) Then \mathcal{F} has ramification bounded by d on P if and only if $\mathcal{F}|_\eta$ is a field extension of ramification bounded by d .

We want to explain why $\mathcal{F}|_\eta$ is a finite field extension of $k(C)$. We are in the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i^* \mathcal{F} & & \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{i} & C \end{array}$$

So, we are asking, what are local system \mathcal{G} on P . $P = \mathrm{spec}(k(P))$

Version 2: With étale coverings.

what is a local system on X , what is a local system on $P \rightarrow C$, what is a local system on $\mathrm{spec} \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow C$

locally constant sheaves are given by: [Stacks, Tag 09Y8]

EDIT AND REFINE THIS ENTIRE THING The goal is to 1. define what ramification of local system means in different settings 2. explore basic properties and ways to calculate.

Definition 18. Let G be a finite abelian group and let $d \geq 0$ be a rational number. A G -torsor over $\text{Spec}(L)$ (in $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{ét}}$), corresponding to a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_L \rightarrow G$, is said to have ramification bounded by d if $\rho(G_L^d) = \{1\}$. A G -torsor over $\text{Spec}(L)$ with ramification bounded by 0 (resp. 1) is said to be unramified (resp. tamely ramified).

Explain why a G torsor over $\text{Spec}(L)$ in $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{ét}}$ correspond to a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_L \rightarrow G$

Explanation: Let L^{sep} be a separable closure of L , and let G_L be the Galois group of L^{sep} over L then

1. the small étale topos of $\text{Spec}(L)$ is isomorphic to the topos of sets with continuous left G_L -action.
2. By 2.13, the category of G -torsors over $\text{Spec}(L)$ in $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{ét}}$ is isomorphic to the category of G -torsors in the small étale topos $\text{Spec}(L)_{\text{ét}}$.
3. Correspondingly, for each finite abelian group G , the group of isomorphism classes of the category $Tors(\text{Spec}(L), G)$ is isomorphic to the group of continuous homomorphisms from G_L to G .

We want to show 3 explicitly.

What is an isomorphism class of "G-sets with continuous left G_L action" before, we understand **What is an isomorphism class of "sets with continuous left G_L action"** is a continuous G action

We want to show How, from a G -Torsor P in the $\text{Spec}(L)_{\text{ét}}$ we get a set with ccontinuous homomorphism from G_L

Example-Explain!!!

Remark 3.10. If $P \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ is a G -torsor in $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{ét}}$, then we have a finite decomposition

$$P = \coprod_{i \in I} \text{Spec}(L_i)$$

where each L_i is a finite separable extension of L , and are pairwise isomorphic. The G -torsor P has ramification bounded by d if and only if for each i (or, equivalently, for some i) the extension L_i/L has ramification bounded by d , in the sense G_L^d acts trivially on the finite set $\text{Hom}_L(L_i, L^{sep})$.

Definition 19. let X be good enough (irreducible, regular at codim=1? smooth?), U open subscheme and Z the complement which is irreducible closed subscheme of $\text{codim} = 1$. let η be the generic point of Z . and let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U as in:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{F} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \eta & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X \longleftrightarrow U \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Example-Explain!!!

Then we say \mathcal{F} is unramified on Z if and only if $\mathcal{F}|_{\eta}$ is unramified.

Note that

Theorem 20. let X, U, Z, \mathcal{F} be as in [definition 19](#). If \mathcal{F} is unramified on Z , then \mathcal{F} is pulledback from a local system on X

Next we have a general proposition.

Proposition 21. Let X be a normal Noetherian scheme, and let $Z \subset X$ an irreducible closed subscheme of codimension 1 (a prime divisor). Let $U = X \setminus Z$ be the open complement, and η the generic point of Z . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism, where Y is also a normal Noetherian scheme. Suppose there exists an irreducible component $Z' \subset f^{-1}(Z)$ with generic point η' such that f is unramified at η' .

Then:

Proof. For clarity, we draw the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z \times_X Y & \longrightarrow & Y & \longleftarrow & U \times_X Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{\text{closed}} & X & \xleftarrow{\text{open}} & U
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

We have that $\eta' \rightarrow \eta$ is unramified. hence \mathcal{F} unramified on η if and only if $f^*\mathcal{F}$ is unramified on η (from proposition in the beginning)

□

2.4 Symmetric Powers of Local Systems on Curves

don't need modulus in this section, etc. Let k be a perfect field. Let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over k , with genus g . Let \mathfrak{m} be a modulus on C and let $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. Let G be a finite abelian group and let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor on U with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Let $d \geq \deg m$.

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U^{(d_1)} \times_k U^{(d_2)} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & U^{(d_1)} \\
 \downarrow p_2 & & \\
 U^{(d_2)} & &
 \end{array}$$

pullbacking $\mathcal{P}^{(d_i)}$ along the projections we get a G -torsor

$$\mathcal{P}^{(d_1)} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}^{(d_2)} = p_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{(d_1)} \otimes p_2^{-1}\mathcal{P}^{(d_2)}$$

On $U^{(d_1)} \times_k U^{(d_2)}$

Note that the plus map $C^{(d_1)} \times_k C^{(d_2)} \xrightarrow{+} C^{(d_1+d_2)}$ is induced from

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{d_1} \times_k C^{d_2} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C^{d_1+d_2} \\ \downarrow r_1 \times r_2 & & \downarrow r \\ C^{(d_1)} \times_k C^{(d_2)} & \xrightarrow{+} & C^{(d_1+d_2)} \end{array}$$

Hence, by [Proposition 12](#) (and replacing C with U above) we get canonical identification:

$$(+^{-1})(\mathcal{P}^{(d_1+d_2)}) \cong \mathcal{P}^{(d_1)} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}^{(d_2)}$$

Corollary 22. Suppose $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at η . Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point θ of $E_{\mathfrak{m}} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \subset C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \times_k C^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$ and thus $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point ϑ which one.

2.5 Generalized Picard Scheme

In this section, we recall the notion of generalized Jacobian varieties and study their fundamental properties. The material presented here is primarily adapted from [\[Gui19\]](#) and [\[Tak19\]](#). For further background on the general theory of abelian varieties and Jacobians, the reader may also consult [\[Mil08\]](#). Let S be a scheme and let C be a projective smooth S -scheme whose geometric fibers are connected and of dimension 1. Let \mathfrak{m} be a modulus on C , defined as an effective Cartier divisor of C/S (i.e., a closed subscheme of C which is finite flat of finite presentation over S). We denote the projection $C \times_S T \rightarrow T$ by pr for any S -scheme T .

The Functor of Points

Let d be an integer. For an S -scheme T , we consider the set of data (\mathcal{L}, ψ) where:

- \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf of degree d on C_T .
- $\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}$ is a trivialization of \mathcal{L} along the modulus.

Two such pairs (\mathcal{L}, ψ) and (\mathcal{L}', ψ') are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of invertible sheaves $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_T} & & \\ & \swarrow \psi' & & \searrow \psi & \\ \mathcal{L}'|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} & \xrightarrow{f|_{\mathfrak{m}_T}} & \mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{m}_T} & & \end{array}$$

We define the presheaf $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text{pre}}$ on Sch/S by assigning to T the set of isomorphism classes of such pairs. Let $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$ denote the étale sheafification of this presheaf.

Representability and Structure

The fundamental properties of this functor are as follows:

1. $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ is represented by an S -scheme. (Note: If \mathfrak{m} is faithfully flat over S , the presheaf is already an étale sheaf).
2. $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^0$ is a smooth commutative group S -scheme with geometrically connected fibers, referred to as the *generalized Jacobian variety* of C with modulus \mathfrak{m} .
3. For any d , $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ is a $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^0$ -torsor.

In the case where $\mathfrak{m} = 0$, we recover the standard Jacobian variety, denoted simply as Pic_C^d .

Relation to the Standard Jacobian

We now examine the behavior of the generalized Picard scheme under the variation of the modulus. By viewing the structure along the modulus as an additional rigidification, we obtain natural transition maps corresponding to the inclusion of moduli.

Let \mathfrak{m}_1 and \mathfrak{m}_2 be moduli such that $\mathfrak{m}_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_2$. There exists a natural map

$$\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}_2}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}_1}^d$$

obtained by restricting the isomorphism ψ . Since \mathfrak{m}_2 is a finite S -scheme, this map is a surjection as a morphism of étale sheaves. In particular, for any modulus \mathfrak{m} , there is a natural surjective morphism of étale sheaves:

$$\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^d.$$

Local Freeness and Base Change

Let \mathfrak{m} be a modulus which is everywhere strictly positive. Let g denote the genus of C , which is a locally constant function on S . We restrict our attention to degrees d satisfying the condition:

$$d \geq \max\{2g - 1 + \deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}. \quad (2)$$

Assuming S is quasi-compact, such a d always exists.

Fix an integer d satisfying the condition above. Let T be an S -scheme and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf of degree d on C_T . One can show that the pushforwards $\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$ and $\text{pr}_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m}))$ are locally free sheaves and their formations commute with any base change. Explicitly, for any morphism of S -schemes $f : T' \rightarrow T$, the base change morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$f^*\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_*f^*\mathcal{L}$$

and

$$f^*\text{pr}_*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_*f^*(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})).$$

In particular, following [Gui19], if \mathcal{L} is invertible \mathcal{O}_C -module with degree d satisfying 2 on each fiber of f then, $\text{pr}_*\mathcal{L}$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank $d - g + 1$.

For further background and verification of these constructions, we refer the reader to Milne's notes on Abelian Varieties ([Mil08]).

2.6 The Abel-Jacobi Morphism and its Fibers

Let $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ be the complement of the modulus in C . The effective Cartier divisors of degree d which are prime to \mathfrak{m} are parameterized by the symmetric power $\text{Sym}_S^d(U) = U^{(d)}$ over S (See [Gui19] Proposition 4.12, [Mil08] Theorem 3.13). For any such divisor $D \in U^{(d)}$, the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ admits a canonical trivialization along \mathfrak{m} . Specifically, the canonical section 1_D is regular and non-vanishing on \mathfrak{m} because $\text{supp}(D) \cap \text{supp}(\mathfrak{m}) = \emptyset$. This section restricts to a nowhere-vanishing section on the subscheme \mathfrak{m} , thereby determining a trivialization $\psi_D^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_C(D)|_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This is done functorially in families, yielding a morphism from the symmetric power to the generalized Picard scheme (over S):

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d, \quad D \mapsto [(\mathcal{O}_C(D), \psi_D)], \quad (3)$$

When $\mathfrak{m} = 0$, $d \geq \max\{2g - 1, 0\}$ and C admits a section over S , $C^{(d)}$ is a projective space bundle over Pic_C^d . It is proper, surjective with geometrically connected fibers.

Guignard ([Gui19] Theorem 4.14) proves that for $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ and d satisfying (2), the Abel-Jacobi morphism Φ_d is surjective smooth of relative dimension $d - \deg \mathfrak{m} - g + 1$, with geometrically connected fibers.

When $S = \text{spec}(k)$, the geometric-fibers of Φ_d are well understood:

Theorem 23. *Assuming $S = \text{spec}(k)$ and $d \geq \max\{2g - 1 + \deg \mathfrak{m}, \deg \mathfrak{m}\}$. Then, the geometric-fibers of the Abel-Jacobi morphism*

$$\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

over any point are isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-\deg \mathfrak{m}-g+1} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ \mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g} & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

In both cases Φ_d is a fibration in affine spaces or projective spaces, depending on whether \mathfrak{m} is non-zero or zero.

Proof. see [Ten15] Propositions 3.13-3.14, or [T6t11] Prop 2.1.4:

□

2.7 Blowup of Smooth Schemes

complete this section In this section we prove some auxiliary lemmas and propositions about blowups and local systems.

Proposition 24. *Let X, Y be smooth over k . $x \in X, y \in Y$ closed points. Let $\text{Bl}_x(X), \text{Bl}_y(Y)$, $\text{Bl}_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y)$ be the respective blowups. Let $\eta_X, \eta_Y, \eta_{X \times Y}$ be the generic points of the exceptional divisor of the respective blowups. Then, there exists a scheme \tilde{U} and maps f_1, f_2 making the diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{U} & \\ f_1 \swarrow & & \searrow f_2 \\ \text{Bl}_x(X) \times_k \text{Bl}_y(Y) & & \text{Bl}_{(x,y)}(X \times_k Y) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

such that:

1. f_2 is open immersion
2. f_1 is open map (?open immersion?)
3. $\eta_{X \times Y} \in \tilde{U}$
4. $\eta_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{f_1} \eta_X \times \eta_Y$

Let $P^{[d]} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$ be the corresponding G -torsor over $U^{(d)}$.

A conclusion maybe:

Lemma 25. *Let $p : C^{(d)} \times_k C^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{\pm} C^{(n)}$ be the plus map, restricting p to $U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)}$ we get a map*

$$p : U^{(d)} \times_k U^{(n-d)} \xrightarrow{p} U^{(n)}$$

Then,

$$p^*(\mathcal{P}^{(n)}) \cong \mathcal{P}^{[d]} \boxtimes_k \mathcal{P}^{(n-d)}$$

define box product somewhere

Lemma 26. *rephrase this lemma* Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be two moduli of the form $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are distinct points. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be local systems on $U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}, U^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$, at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ respectively. Then the local system $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 := p_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ on is at most tamely ramified at the point $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1} \times \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ of

2.8 Compactification of Blowup of Symmetric Powers of a Curve

We recall that our objective is to descend the local system $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ from $U^{(d)}$ to $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$ along the Abel-Jacobi map Φ_d :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ U^{(d)} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_d} & \text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d \end{array}$$

(Here, the purple arrow emphasizes that the morphism is of sheaves on the étale site).

However, we encounter an obstruction: in the case we are considering ($\mathfrak{m} > 0$), the fibers of Φ_d are affine spaces (of the same degree) rather than the better-behaved projective spaces. This hint that a solution to this problem is to compactify the morphism to yield projective fibers.

This section describes the result of the compactification constructed by [Tak19] via the method of blowup.

Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i P$ with $\deg P = d_P$ be a modulus on C , and let d satisfy (2). Takeuchi ([Tak19]) defines $Z_0 = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d)$ as the closed subscheme of $C^{(d)}$ defined by the map $C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ adding \mathfrak{m} . He also defines $X_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$ as the blowup of $C^{(d)}$ along Z_0 . Let $E_0 = E_{\mathfrak{m}, d} = Z_0(\mathfrak{m}, d) \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$ be the exceptional divisor of the blowup. It is irreducible of codimension 1, and we let $\eta_0 = \eta_{\mathfrak{m}, d}$ be its generic point.

Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{c.i} & C^{(d)} \end{array}$$

Incorporating $U^{(d)}$, the local system $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ and the Abel-Jacobi map, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & U^{(d)} \xrightarrow{\Phi_d} \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & \nearrow & \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{c.i} & C^{(d)} & & \end{array}$$

In Section 3 of [Tak19] Takeuchi constructs, for large enough d a compactification denoted by $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ and proves the following: **exactly determined the fate of that d**

Theorem 27 (Takeuchi). *The scheme $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ is an open subscheme of $X_{\mathfrak{m},d}$ containing $U^{(d)}$. The morphism $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ which makes $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ a projective space bundle over $\text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$. Furthermore, the complement of $U^{(d)}$ in $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ is isomorphic to the fiber product $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$.*

Proof. Add outline of construction and proofs □

Diagrammatically we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{F}^{(d)} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & U^{(d)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\Phi}_d & \downarrow \Phi_d \\ \overline{\{\eta_0\}} = E_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{m},d} & & \text{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{c.i} & C^{(d)} & & \end{array}$$

Also note that $E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} = Z_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$

3 Ramification of Sheaves after Blowup

do we assume here $S = k$? The main theorem of this section is

Theorem 28. Let Λ be a finite ring of cardinality invertible in k , and let \mathcal{F} be an étale sheaf of Λ -modules, locally free of rank 1 on U , with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Considering $U^{(d)}$ as an open subscheme of the blowup $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ of $C^{(d)}$, we have that for sufficiently large integer d , $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified on $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)} = E_0 \times_{C^{(d)}} \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$.

Following the notation of [Section 2.8](#), For any modulus $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, we define $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$ as the closed subscheme of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ defined by \mathfrak{n} as a point of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$.

We then define $X_{\mathfrak{n}}$ as the blowup of $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ at $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}$, and we denote by $E_{\mathfrak{n}} = Z_{\mathfrak{n}} \times_{C^{(d)}} X_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the exceptional divisor of this blowup, it is irreducible of codimension 1. We denote by $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the generic point of $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\{\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}\}} = E_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{n}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathfrak{n}} \\ Z_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})} \end{array}$$

[Theorem 28](#) easily follows from:

Theorem 29. Let \mathcal{F} be a local system on U with ramification at P bounded by $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ is tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of $E_{\mathfrak{n}}$

In the upcoming section, we perform the reduction and derive [Theorem 28](#) from [Theorem 29](#). We then prove [Theorem 29](#) in the section that follows.

3.1 Reduction Lemmas

The first lemma is from [\[Tak19\]](#), we include their proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 30 ([\[Tak19\]](#), Lemma 4.1). Let C be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k . Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i P_i$ where P_1, \dots, P_r are distinct closed points of \mathfrak{m} . Let U be the complement of \mathfrak{m} in C . And let $d_i = \deg P_i$. Take $d \geq \mathfrak{m}$. Then: The morphism $\pi : C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$, taking the sum, is étale at the generic point of the closed subvariety $\{n_1 P_1\} \times \cdots \times \{n_r P_r\} \times C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ of $C^{(n_1 d_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r d_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$.

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed (hence $d_i = 1$ for all i). Since the map $\pi : C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ is finite flat, it is enough to show that there exists a closed point Q of $n_1 P_1 + \dots + n_r P_r + C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ over which there are $\deg \pi$ points on $C^{(n_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(n_r)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$. Choose Q as a point corresponding to a divisor $n_1 P_1 + \dots + n_r P_r + P_{r+1} + \cdots + P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$, where $P_1, \dots, P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$ are distinct points of $U(k)$. \square

The following lemma is adapted from [\[Tak19\]](#) (Lemma 4.1)

Lemma 31. Let C be a projective, smooth, and geometrically connected curve over a perfect field k . Let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i P_i$ be an effective divisor where P_1, \dots, P_r are distinct closed points. Let $U = C \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ and let $d \geq \deg \mathfrak{m}$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_l$ are pairwise coprime submoduli of \mathfrak{m} such that $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{j=1}^l \mathfrak{n}_j$. Consider the summation morphism:

$$\pi : C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_l)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \longrightarrow C^{(d)}$$

defined by $(D_1, \dots, D_l, D_{extra}) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^l D_j + D_{extra}$.

Then π is étale at the generic point of the closed subvariety

$$V = \{\mathfrak{n}_1\} \times_k \cdots \times_k \{\mathfrak{n}_l\} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$$

inside the domain $C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_l)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$.

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed (hence $\deg P_i = 1$ for all i). By miracle flatness π is finite flat. Thus, it is enough to show that there exists a closed point Q of $\mathfrak{n}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{n}_l + C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \subset C^{(d)}$ over which there are $\deg \pi$ points on $C^{(\mathfrak{n}_1)} \times_k \cdots \times_k C^{(\mathfrak{n}_l)} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$. (Because it will be unramified at this point and thus also at the generic point of V .) Choose Q as a point corresponding to a divisor $\mathfrak{n}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{n}_l + P_{r+1} + \dots + P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$, where $P_1, \dots, P_{r+d-\deg \mathfrak{m}}$ are distinct points of $U(k)$. \square

Plan for Corollary

1. State precisely.
2. Make sure all the notions are well defined.
3. Prove.
4. State in maximum generality as in previous lemma.

Corollary 32. Suppose $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at η . Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point θ of $E_{\mathfrak{m}} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})} \subset C^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})} \times_k C^{(d-\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ and thus $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point η which one.

Proof. Compete and make precise. \square

Lemma 33. Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be two moduli of the form $\mathfrak{n}_1 = k_1 P_1$, $\mathfrak{n}_2 = k_2 P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are distinct points. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$ are at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}_2}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}_1 + \deg \mathfrak{n}_2)}$ is at most tamely tamified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2}$.

Proof. Complete \square

Lemma 34. Let $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}' \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be coprime sub moduli of \mathfrak{m} where $\mathfrak{n}' = k_P P$. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}, \mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n}')}$ are at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n} + \deg \mathfrak{n}')}$ is at most tamely tamified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{n}'}.$

Proof. Complete \square

3.2 Proof of Theorem 28

Proof of Theorem 28. Let \mathcal{F} be as in Theorem 28, $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_P P$ with $\deg P = d_P$. Then by Theorem 29 for every $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ of the form $\mathfrak{n} = k_P P$, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{n})}$ is at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}}$. By Lemma 34, $\mathcal{F}^{(\deg \mathfrak{m})}$ is then at most tamely ramified at $\eta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. And thus by lemma Lemma 31 (maybe do another step here directly, in addition to the lemma?) $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at the generic point of H . \square

3.3 Proof of Theorem 29

In this section we prove Theorem 29 complete - this is not finished

We will work this out along an example: Let $X = \mathbb{G}_m = \text{spec } R[t, t^{-1}]$ and Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^n} G_m$ be the n 'th power map. It is a $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ torsor. The ring map is $R[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} R[t, t^{-1}]$ which corresponds to ring extension: $R[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow R[t^{\frac{1}{n}}, t^{-\frac{1}{n}}]$. The field of fractions of \mathbb{G}_m is $K(t)$ and the corresponding map between fields of $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$ is $K(t) \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} K(t)$. which corresponds to the field extension: $K(t) \hookrightarrow K(t^{\frac{1}{n}}) = K(t)[X]/(X^n - t)$. Where $K = \text{Frac } R$

The points $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ correspond to the local rings $\mathcal{O}_0 = K[t]_{(t)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} = K[\frac{1}{t}]_{(\frac{1}{t})}$ of $K(t)$, which are DVRs. The corresponding valuations of $K(t)$ are given by:

$$v_0\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \text{maximal exponent } n \text{ s.t. } t^n \mid \frac{f}{g}, \quad v_{\infty}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \deg g - \deg f$$

So the diagram of the G -torsor $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m$ over \mathbb{G}_m is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{G}_m & & \\ \downarrow (\cdot)^n & & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The bounded ramification condition is given by:

$$\text{ram } P_{\eta} \leq k \tag{5}$$

We wish to understand

In the general case of a G torsor $P \rightarrow C$ we have similarly: $K(C) \cong K(t)$ the function ring of C for some variable t and a finite field extension $K/\mathbb{F}_p(t')$. If the basefield K contains n 'th roots of unity, then the torsor is the same... and continue here: \mathcal{O}_{P_1} the same.. and continue.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

We work over $S = \text{spec } k$, for k perfect.

By Proposition 4, its equivalent to prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \Lambda^{\times}$ be a finite abelian group (Λ as before), and let \mathcal{P} be a G -torsor on U , with ramification bounded by \mathfrak{m} . Then, for sufficiently large integer d , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) G -torsor \mathcal{Q}_d on $\text{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^d$, such that the pullback of \mathcal{Q}_d by Φ_d is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{(d)}$.*

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases, when $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ and when $\mathfrak{m} > 0$.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{m} = 0$. By Section 2.6, for d large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism $\Phi_d : C^{(d)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^d$ is proper surjective and smooth, with geometrically connected fibers, each isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g}$. Hence by Corollary 14 it induces an exact sequence of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\mathbb{P}_{k^{\text{sep}}}^{d-g}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(\text{Pic}_C^d) \rightarrow 1$$

But $\mathbb{P}_{k^{sep}}^{d-g}$ is simply connected ([Ten15] Example 4.9, [Tót11] Example 1.4.12), hence its etale fundamental group is trivial, and we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(C^{(d)}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\mathrm{Pic}_C^d)$$

Implying the theorem in this case.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{m} > 0$. In this case by [Theorem 27](#), for d large enough, the Abel-Jacobi morphism $\Phi_d : U^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$ extends to a proper surjective and smooth map, with geometrically connected fibers isomorphic to projective spaces,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_d : \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$$

Hence we get an isomorphism of etale fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1^{et}(\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}) \cong \pi_1^{et}(\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)$$

By [Theorem 28](#), $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified on the boundary divisor $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)}$.

Thus, by [Lemma 35](#) below, we have: $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ extends to a locally constant sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$ on $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$, which by the isomorphism of etale fundamental groups above, corresponds to a unique locally constant sheaf \mathcal{G}_d on $\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d$, such that $\tilde{\Phi}_d^* \mathcal{G}_d \cong \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$. Restricting back to $U^{(d)}$, we get $\Phi_d^* \mathcal{G}_d \cong \mathcal{F}^{(d)}$, as required. \square

Lemma 35. *If $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ is a locally constant sheaf on $U^{(d)}$ which is tamely ramified along the boundary divisor $H = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \setminus U^{(d)}$, then $\mathcal{F}^{(d)}$ extends to a locally constant sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(d)}$ on $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$.*

Proof. The lemma we are referencing above can be proved in two routes:

Route 1 - Showing $\ker\{\pi_1^{ab}(U^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{ab}(\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)\}$ is pro- p group.

Route 2 - Showing

$$\pi_1^{t,ab}(U^{(d)}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{t,ab}(\mathrm{Pic}_{C,\mathfrak{m}}^d)$$

is isomorphism to its image. here one needs to be precise.

\square

References

- [SGA1] Alexander Grothendieck. *Revêtements étalés et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Vol. 224. Lecture notes in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local Fields*. Graduate texts in mathematics; 67. Springer, 1979.
- [Mil08] James S. Milne. *Abelian Varieties (v2.00)*. Available at www.jmilne.org/math/. 2008.
- [KS10] Moritz Kerz and Alexander Schmidt. “On different notions of tameness in arithmetic geometry”. In: *Math. Ann.* 346.3 (2010), pp. 641–668. URL: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-009-0409-6>.
- [Tót11] Péter Tóth. “Geometric Abelian Class Field Theory”. Master of Science thesis. Universiteit Utrecht, May 2011. URL: <https://math.bu.edu/people/rmagner/Seminar/GCFTthesis.pdf>.

- [Ten15] Avichai Tendler. *Geometric Class Field Theory*. 2015. arXiv: [1507.00104 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00104). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00104>.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2018.
- [Gui19] Quentin Guignard. “On the ramified class field theory of relative curves”. In: *Algebra & Number Theory* 13 (May 2019). Revised version submitted on 29 May 2019, pp. 1299–1326. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2019.13.1299](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1299). arXiv: [1804.02243 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02243).
- [Tak19] Daichi Takeuchi. “Blow-ups and the class field theory for curves”. In: *Algebraic Number Theory* 13.6 (2019), pp. 1327–1351. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1327>.