

Assignment - 4

Ans-1) A shared Family Notebook.

Imagine The Race Condition:

- 1) Person A checks list and sees "milk, eggs"
- 2) At the same time, Person B checks list and also sees "milk, eggs"
- 3) Person A adds "bread" write down "milk, eggs, bread."
- 4) Person B adds "butter" and, working from their original mental copy, overwrites the list with "milk, eggs, butter."
- 5) Result: The item "bread" is lost. The final list is wrong because both people were updating the shared resource w/o coordination.

How Mutual Exclusion addresses it.

The solution: A lock on the notebook.

A lock is placed on the notebook. The rule is: you must have the lock to read or write the list.

<u>Ans-2)</u> Feature	Petersen's Solution	Comments
Implementation complexity	High. The programmer must manually and correctly code the entire algorithm for each critical section.	Low. The OS provides ready-to-use <code>wait()</code> and <code>signal()</code> operation.
Hardware Dependency	High. Relies on atomic hardware instructions for correctness and is vulnerable to modern CPU memory reordering.	Low for the user. The hardware dependent complexity is hidden from the user.

Answer-3) One advantage of using monitors is that they integrate the lock and condition variable, making the code less error-prone.

- with semaphores, a programmer can easily cause deadlock by incorrectly ordering the wait operations.
- with monitors, the lock is automatically acquired on entry and released during condition waits. This atomic "check-and-wait" operation prevents the deadlock scenario common in sphere-based solutions, leading to more robust code on multi-core systems where concurrent access is the norm.

Ans-4) How starvation occurs

In the common "Readers - preference" solution, a continuous stream of new readers can enter the critical section as long as at least one reader is inside. A waiting writer must wait for all readers to finish, which may never happen if new readers keep arriving.

One method to Prevent it.

Implement a "fair" semaphore using a turnstile (like a semaphore).

- Both readers and writers must wait on this turnstile semaphore.
- A writer who acquires it will block all new readers from starting until the writer has finished.
- This ensures a first-come, first-served order, preventing a stream of readers from indefinitely starving a writer.

Ans-5) Practical Drawback: Severe Reduction in Resource Utilization

The common method to eliminate "Hold and wait" is to require a process to request all needed resources at once before it starts.

The drawback is that resources allocated

to a process may sit idle for longer period. For example, a process that needs a scanner now and a plotter later must lock both at the start. The plotter is unavailable to other processes while the process uses the scanner, leading to ~~slow~~ poor overall system performance and throughput.

Ans-6) a) The global graph is formed by connecting the local fragments.

- From S1: $P1 \rightarrow P2$ and $P3 \rightarrow P4$
- From S2: $P2 \rightarrow P5$ and $P5 \rightarrow P6$
- From S3: $P6 \rightarrow P1$

$P1 \rightarrow P2 \rightarrow P5 \rightarrow P6 \rightarrow P1$

- b) Yes, a deadlock exists. The processes involved in the circular wait are P1, P2, P5 and P6.

c) A suitable algorithm is the Path-Pushing algorithm. In this method, a site that suspects a deadlock initiates a probe message. This probe travels along the edges of the wait-for graph. If the probe ever returns to the

Ans 7) a) Expected Time = (Probability_{local} × Time_{local}) + (Probability_{remote} × Time_{remote})
 $= (0.7 \times 5\text{ms}) + (0.3 \times 25\text{ms}) = 3.5 + 7.5 = 10\text{ms}$

b) Strategy: Client-side caching

Justification: After a file is accessed remotely once, subsequent reads are served from the local cache. This reduces the effective probability of a remote access, dramatically lowering the average access time from 25ms to 5ms for cached files.

Ans-9) a) Total overhead: $200ms + (9 \times 50ms) = 650ms$

b) The 1-second RPO requires a checkpoint every 1 second. Using one full checkpoint as a base and nine faster incrementals is the cheapest valid way to create this continuous recovery chain.

Ans-10) a) 1 full + 9 incremental checkpoints
Overhead = $200 + (9 \times 50) = 650ms$.

b) 1-second RPO requires a checkpoint every second.

- 1 full checkpoint creates a valid recovery base.
- 9 incremental checkpoints maintain the RPO at minimum cost.
- This is the cheapest valid strategy meeting the RPO requirements.