Graded by Group B

Group C – 84/90

Methodology: 25/30

Objective: There is no concise objective as to why this analysis is being performed or what is the significance of the result. Description of issues was not stated clearly but stated proper null hypothesis in the paper.

Statistical Method: Method chosen seems appropriate for specific demographics of the data.

Technical Correctness: 29/30

Performed correct analysis, although very repetitive, with proper description of details.

Presentation: 30/30

Well organized report with no grammatical errors and easy to understand description of the whole project and analysis.

Data Visualization: Data has been visualized appropriately and neatly.

Group D - 78/90

Methodology: 20/30

Objective: Well stated objective, but no significant conclusion was provided.

Reasonably chosen inference but lacked description of issues of the whole process.

Technical Correctness: 28/30

Performed correct analysis but instead of linear fit, a cubic fit would have given better results. And inferred the results well.

Presentation: 20/30

Multiple spelling mistakes.

Good graphical display of the data and results.

The report is not well organized and not easy to understand because of the formatting.