Bare Demo of IEEEtran.cls for IEEE Journals

Samuel Gan, Member, IEEE, John Doe, Fellow, OSA, and Jane Doe, Life Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—The abstract goes here.

Index Terms—IEEE, IEEE
tran, journal, $\ensuremath{\text{ET}_{\!E\!Y}}\xspace$, paper, template.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS paper addresses [short problem description]. Motivation: explain why the problem matters in practice and research. Provide a succinct statement of challenges (e.g., occlusion, domain shift, limited annotations). These people are crazyyyy: [1]

A. Problem statement

Formally define input and output (e.g., given image I, predict labels / masks / poses). Briefly state the evaluation goal and constraints.

B. Key contributions

- A short list (3–5 items) of the main contributions (novel model components, datasets, benchmarks, analysis).
- New loss / architecture / training strategy and empirical gains on standard benchmarks.
- Release of code / dataset (if applicable).

C. Paper outline

Briefly describe the structure: Section II surveys related work, Section III describes the method, Section IV details datasets and metrics, Section V shows experiments and analysis, and Section VIII concludes.

II. RELATED WORK

Organize the literature by categories (classical approaches, deep-learning architectures, loss / metric advances, datasets/benchmarks). Emphasize the most closely related works and contrast them with our approach.

Insert thanks in the footnote for whoever about the .
J. Doe and J. Doe are with Anonymous University.
Manuscript received April 19, 2005; revised August 26, 2015.

- A. Classical methods
- B. Deep learning methods
- C. Closest works

III. METHOD

- A. Overview
- B. Model architecture
- C. Loss functions
- D. Optimization and training
- E. Implementation details

IV. Datasets and Evaluation Protocol

- A. Datasets
- B. Evaluation metrics
- C. Baselines and protocol

V. EXPERIMENTS

- A. Main quantitative results
- B. Ablation studies
- C. Qualitative results
- D. Limitations

VI. ANALYSIS

- A. Computational cost
- B. Robustness and generalization
- C. Interpretability

VII. DISCUSSION

Practical implications, deployment considerations, and potential ethical concerns.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Concise recap of contributions, main empirical findings, and future directions.

APPENDIX A ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

I.e. hyperparameters, hardware, architecture diagram, training time.

APPENDIX B MORE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Could spam photos and results here for quantitative and qualitative comparisons

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

REFERENCES

[1] C. Wei, W. Wang, W. Yang, and J. Liu, "Deep Retinex Decomposition for Low-Light Enhancement," Aug. 2018.

Michael Shell Biography text here.

PLACE PHOTO HERE

John Doe Biography text here.

Jane Doe Biography text here.