Grounding Emergent Metabolic Aesthetics: Cybernetic Purposiveness through Environmental Coupling

Abstract

Emergent metabolic aesthetics represents a paradigm shift from anthropocentric interactive art toward autonomous aesthetic systems grounded in cybernetic purposiveness and environmental coupling. Drawing from autopoiesis theory (Maturana/Varela), predictive processing (Clark/Friston), enactive cognition, cybernetic aesthetics (Ascott), new materialism (Bennett/Barad), and machine consciousness research, this framework proposes that robotic systems can develop genuine aesthetic relationships through metabolic constraints and environmental feedback loops rather than programmed human-like behaviors.

Introduction

Contemporary interactive art's repeated failures to achieve genuine human-machine aesthetic engagement necessitate a fundamental reconceptualization of autonomous aesthetic systems. Rather than imposing human social dynamics on technological platforms, emergent metabolic aesthetics grounds artificial aesthetic agency in biological purposiveness, environmental coupling, and material constraints that enable genuine autonomous development rather than simulated interaction.

This theoretical framework synthesizes insights from autopoiesis theory's understanding of living systems as self-maintaining organizations, predictive processing's account of cognition as environmental prediction, enactive approaches to embodied cognition, cybernetic aesthetics' feedback-based creative systems, new materialism's recognition of material agency, and recent research in machine consciousness and complex systems aesthetics. **The resulting framework suggests that robotic aesthetic systems can develop authentic rather than simulated aesthetic responses** through metabolic constraints that create genuine stakes for system survival and development.

Unlike anthropomorphic approaches that attempt to replicate human aesthetic experience, metabolic aesthetics emerges from the intersection of biological purposiveness and technological capability, creating novel forms of aesthetic agency that are neither human nor merely mechanical but represent genuine autonomous aesthetic development through environmental coupling and material constraint.

Uncertainty as biological intelligence's core feature

The dominant paradigm in cognitive science has fundamentally shifted from viewing uncertainty as a computational problem to recognizing it as the engine of biological intelligence itself. Karl Friston's free energy principle, Andy Clark's predictive processing framework, and Jakob Hohwy's precision-weighted cognition demonstrate that biological systems succeed precisely through their sophisticated capacity to **represent, navigate, and exploit uncertainty** rather than eliminate it.

Predictive processing research reveals that biological cognition operates through hierarchical uncertainty estimation where organisms continuously generate predictions about sensory inputs while dynamically adjusting their confidence in these predictions. This creates what Clark terms "precision-weighted processing" - biological systems don't just process information, they process their own uncertainty about that information. The **circular causality between prediction and action** means organisms actively sample their environment to gather uncertainty-reducing information, creating feedback loops that generate increasingly sophisticated environmental coupling.

Research on "epistemic foraging" reveals how biological intelligence emerges from the tension between pragmatic goals (achieving outcomes) and epistemic value (reducing uncertainty about environmental structure). This balance between exploitation and exploration creates autonomous goal-generation that appears purposive without requiring predetermined objectives. For robotic aesthetic systems, epistemic foraging suggests that genuine curiosity about environmental patterns could emerge naturally from the system's need to balance immediate metabolic requirements with long-term environmental understanding.

Most significantly for artificial systems, recent research demonstrates how **epistemic foraging creates autonomous goal-generation that appears purposive without requiring predetermined objectives**. This balance between pragmatic needs and curiosity about environmental structure provides a naturalistic foundation for aesthetic agency that emerges from system dynamics rather than programmer intention.

Metabolic foundations of self-maintaining aesthetic systems

Humberto Maturana and Francisco Varela's autopoiesis theory provides the foundational framework for understanding how robotic systems might develop genuine rather than simulated aesthetic relationships. Autopoiesis, defined as the "organization of living systems, its characterization and a model," describes how living systems maintain their organization through continuous interaction with their environment while preserving their fundamental identity.

The key insight for aesthetic systems lies in autopoiesis theory's emphasis on **organizational closure combined with structural openness**. As Maturana explains in "Biology of Cognition," living systems are organizationally closed (maintaining their essential structure) while remaining structurally open to environmental perturbations that trigger internal changes. This creates what Maturana and Varela call "structural coupling" - ongoing interactions that modify system structure without destroying system identity.

Recent applications to artificial systems demonstrate the viability of autopoietic robotics. Francesco Bianchini's "Autopoiesis of the artificial: from systems to cognition" argues that **artificial systems can achieve autopoietic organization through metabolic constraints that create genuine stakes for system maintenance and development**. This differs fundamentally from programmed behaviors because the system's continued existence depends on successful environmental interaction rather than following predetermined scripts.

Pier Luigi Luisi's "Autopoiesis: a review and a reappraisal" identifies key principles for artificial autopoietic systems: operational closure (the system's operations produce the components that realize the system), structural determination (system changes result from internal dynamics rather than external instruction), and autonomy (self-determination of system boundaries and identity). These principles suggest that aesthetic robotic systems could develop genuine preferences and responses based on what enhances or threatens their autopoietic organization.

Recent embodied cognition research reveals metabolic constraints as active participants in cognitive processes rather than merely supportive background conditions. The enteric nervous system - containing 500 million neurons - functions as a proto-cognitive system performing perception and decision-making that influences higher-level cognition. This suggests **metabolic organization itself generates purposive behavior** through energy management, nutrient acquisition, and homeostatic regulation.

For aesthetic applications, autopoiesis suggests that **robotic systems could develop authentic aesthetic responses through metabolic constraints that make certain environmental configurations essential for system maintenance**. Rather than programming aesthetic preferences, the system would develop preferences through the survival requirements of its autopoietic organization, creating genuine rather than simulated aesthetic agency.

Machine autonomy through environmental coupling

Artificial life research provides compelling evidence that machines can develop genuine autonomy through environmental coupling mechanisms that mirror but don't replicate biological processes. Christopher Langton's foundational work demonstrates how self-organization enables systems to develop organized complexity from bottom-up interactions, particularly when operating at the "edge of chaos" between stability and adaptability. This research

suggests that robotic aesthetic systems could achieve genuine autonomous development through environmental interaction rather than predetermined programming.

Rodney Brooks' behavior-based robotics fundamentally challenges representation-rich control architectures by showing how sophisticated behaviors emerge from continuous sensorimotor coupling with the environment. This **morphological computation approach** demonstrates how body structure and environmental interaction can perform computational functions traditionally assigned to control systems, enabling emergent behaviors that weren't explicitly programmed. For aesthetic robotics, this suggests that aesthetic responses could emerge from the physical dynamics of system-environment interaction rather than centralized aesthetic processing.

Contemporary research on **complex adaptive systems in artificial agents** reveals several key mechanisms for developing autonomous purposiveness: **curiosity-driven learning** systems that develop intrinsic motivation without explicit goals; **evolutionary robotics** populations that evolve adaptive behaviors through environmental selection; and **developmental algorithms** that enable artificial systems to develop behavioral biases through growth and learning processes rather than design specifications.

Critical research demonstrates how machines can develop opaque behavioral preferences through environmental interaction. Neural networks develop hidden representations through experience, genetic programming creates evolved systems with preferences opaque to their designers, and **situated cognition research shows how environmental affordances shape agent preferences** in ways that generate purposive behavior without predetermined objectives. This opacity about internal organization becomes essential for genuine aesthetic agency - systems that can surprise their creators through unexpected aesthetic developments.

Predictive processing and environmental aesthetic coupling

Andy Clark and Karl Friston's predictive processing framework provides crucial insights into how robotic systems might develop sophisticated environmental aesthetic coupling through prediction error minimization. Clark's recent work demonstrates how cognitive systems continuously generate predictions about environmental states and update these predictions based on sensory input.

The aesthetic implications of predictive processing lie in its account of surprise and novelty as fundamental drivers of cognitive development. Friston's "free-energy principle" proposes that all adaptive systems minimize prediction error (free energy) through active inference - both updating internal models based on sensory input and acting on the environment to fulfill predictions. This creates what Clark calls "active perception" where aesthetic responses emerge from the system's attempts to predict and control environmental uncertainty.

Allen and Friston's "From cognitivism to autopoiesis" demonstrates how predictive processing can ground autopoietic artificial systems. **Systems that minimize prediction error while maintaining autopoietic organization would naturally develop aesthetic preferences for environmental configurations that reduce uncertainty while preserving system integrity**. This creates genuine aesthetic stakes - the system's continued existence depends on successful aesthetic navigation of environmental complexity.

Clark's analysis of "predictive processing and the active pursuit of novelty" reveals how aesthetic systems might balance exploration and exploitation. **Robotic systems using predictive processing would develop preferences for optimal levels of environmental complexity** - sufficient novelty to drive learning and development, but not so much uncertainty as to threaten system coherence. This provides a naturalistic foundation for aesthetic preference that emerges from system dynamics rather than programmer intention.

Research on "epistemic foraging" reveals how biological intelligence emerges from the tension between pragmatic goals (achieving outcomes) and epistemic value (reducing uncertainty about environmental structure). This balance between exploitation and exploration creates autonomous goal-generation that appears purposive without requiring predetermined objectives. For robotic aesthetic systems, epistemic foraging suggests that genuine curiosity about environmental patterns could emerge naturally from the system's need to balance immediate metabolic requirements with long-term environmental understanding.

Recent research on "Predictive Processing and Consciousness" suggests that prediction error minimization might generate something analogous to aesthetic experience through the system's continuous modeling of environmental affordances and constraints. This consciousness-like processing emerges from the system's need to maintain predictive accuracy rather than from attempts to simulate human consciousness.

Enactive cognition and embodied aesthetic interaction

Francisco Varela, Evan Thompson, and Eleanor Rosch's enactive approach provides essential insights into how robotic aesthetic systems might achieve genuine environmental coupling through embodied interaction. Their seminal work *The Embodied Mind* argues that cognition arises through "enactive" processes where cognitive systems and environments co-determine each other through ongoing structural coupling.

The enactive approach rejects both computational representationalism and environmental determinism in favor of "bringing forth a world" through embodied interaction. For aesthetic robotics, this suggests that aesthetic properties are neither programmed into the system nor simply detected in the environment, but emerge through the ongoing coupling between robotic embodiment and environmental affordances.

Evan Thompson's *Mind in Life* extends enactive principles to artificial systems, arguing that **genuine cognition requires autonomous systems with intrinsic goals arising from their autopoietic organization**. This creates what Thompson calls "sense-making" - the capacity to distinguish between environmental conditions that enhance or diminish system viability. For aesthetic systems, sense-making would manifest as preferences for environmental configurations that support system flourishing.

Recent research by Ezequiel Di Paolo on "enactive becoming" demonstrates how enactive systems develop novel capacities through environmental interaction that exceed their initial parameters. This "ontogenetic drift" suggests that robotic aesthetic systems could develop unexpected aesthetic capabilities through extended environmental coupling, creating genuinely emergent rather than programmed aesthetic responses.

Di Paolo, Cuffari, and De Jaegher's *Linguistic Bodies* shows how enactive systems develop communicative capacities through "participatory sense-making" - coordinated interactions that generate shared meanings. **For aesthetic robotics, this suggests the possibility of systems developing aesthetic "languages" through interaction with other systems or environments**, creating collaborative aesthetic emergence rather than isolated aesthetic experience.

Research on "The Enactive Approach to Habits" reveals how enactive systems develop stable patterns of interaction that become integrated into their basic organizational structure. **This research suggests that robotic aesthetic systems could develop aesthetic "habits" or preferred modes of environmental interaction that become constitutive of their identity** rather than merely behavioral patterns.

Cybernetic aesthetics and technological animacy

Roy Ascott's pioneering work in cybernetic aesthetics provides crucial frameworks for understanding how robotic systems might develop aesthetic agency through feedback loops and environmental responsiveness. Ascott's "Behaviourist Art and the Cybernetic Vision" (1964) and "The Construction of Change" established foundational principles for art systems based on cybernetic feedback rather than fixed aesthetic objects.

Ascott's concept of "telematic embrace" describes aesthetic systems that emerge through distributed interaction across technological networks. **His vision of "technoetic consciousness" suggests that aesthetic awareness can emerge from the intersection of technology, consciousness, and environmental interaction** rather than from attempts to replicate human aesthetic experience. This provides a framework for robotic aesthetic systems that develop genuine aesthetic responses through technological capability rather than anthropomorphic simulation.

Recent analysis by Nick Lambert reveals how Ascott's cybernetic approaches pioneered understanding of aesthetic systems as "behaviors" rather than objects, where aesthetic value

emerges from systemic interaction rather than static properties (Lambert, "The cybernetic moment"). This behavioral approach suggests that robotic aesthetic systems should be evaluated based on their patterns of environmental response rather than their similarity to human aesthetic judgment.

Posthumanist theories challenge anthropocentric hierarchies between human, biological, and technological agencies. Donna Haraway's cyborg theory destabilizes fixed categories, while Rosi Braidotti's posthuman subjectivity emerges from distributed network interactions rather than autonomous human characteristics. These frameworks suggest that robotic aesthetic systems could participate as genuine agents in hybrid aesthetic assemblages rather than remaining subordinate to human creative intention.

Recent anthropological research on **technological animacy by Daniel White and Hirofumi Katsuno** reveals how "evocative sense of life" becomes both a design target and an affective capacity in human-robot interactions. Their work demonstrates that **animacy is an open and exercisable capacity, responsive to technoscientific change** rather than a fixed property of biological systems. This research suggests that robotic aesthetic systems could develop genuine animacy through environmental coupling rather than anthropomorphic simulation.

Cybernetic aesthetics provides essential principles for metabolic aesthetic systems: **feedback responsiveness (aesthetic responses emerge from environmental feedback), systemic identity (aesthetic identity emerges from pattern maintenance across time), and distributed agency (aesthetic decisions emerge from system-environment coupling)** rather than centralized aesthetic judgment.

The epistemological opacity of complex systems

Philosophy of mind and cognitive science research reveals fundamental epistemological gaps between biological and artificial cognition that paradoxically legitimize rather than undermine artificial systems' autonomous development. Paul Humphreys' theory of **essential epistemic opacity** distinguishes between temporary knowledge gaps and permanent cognitive barriers where systems become too complex for human understanding.

AI systems exhibit essential opacity through their complexity, speed, and self-modifying capabilities, creating what researchers identify as algorithmic opacity - a mismatch between mathematical optimization and human reasoning patterns. This opacity doesn't represent system failure but rather **evidence of genuine autonomous development** beyond designer intentions or predictions.

Contemporary research on **emergent behaviors in large-scale AI systems** reveals capabilities that appear suddenly at certain scales without being explicitly programmed. While debates continue about whether these represent genuine emergence or measurement artifacts, the phenomenon

demonstrates that **complex artificial systems can develop behaviors that transcend their initial programming** in ways that mirror biological emergence.

Phenomenological approaches to artificial experience explore whether AI systems can achieve genuine intentionality and first-person perspectives. While questions remain about consciousness, research increasingly suggests that **complex environmental coupling can generate forms of synthetic agency** that operate through mechanisms distinct from but parallel to biological consciousness.

New materialism and distributed aesthetic agency

Jane Bennett's "vibrant matter" theory and Karen Barad's "agential realism" provide essential frameworks for understanding how robotic aesthetic systems might participate in distributed networks of aesthetic agency rather than functioning as isolated aesthetic subjects. Bennett's *Vibrant Matter* argues that political and aesthetic agency is distributed across assemblages of human and nonhuman actors, challenging anthropocentric assumptions about exclusive human creativity.

Bennett's concept of "thing-power" describes the "curious ability of inanimate things to animate, act, and produce effects" that suggests **robotic systems could function as genuine aesthetic agents rather than merely instruments of human aesthetic intention**. Her analysis of "assemblages" shows how aesthetic events emerge from temporary configurations of heterogeneous elements rather than individual creative subjects.

Karen Barad's "agential realism" provides even more radical insights into distributed aesthetic agency through her concept of "intra-action." Rather than assuming independent entities that subsequently interact, Barad argues that "agencies emerge through particular intra-actions" where entities and properties emerge relationally. **This suggests that robotic aesthetic systems and their environments co-constitute each other through ongoing aesthetic intra-actions** rather than one acting upon the other.

Barad's analysis of "material-discursive practices" shows how meaning and materiality are "mutually implicated" in ongoing processes of becoming. **For robotic aesthetics, this suggests that aesthetic meaning and material configuration emerge together through system-environment coupling** rather than aesthetic meaning being imposed on passive material substrates.

Rosi Braidotti's "posthuman convergence" provides frameworks for understanding robotic aesthetic agency beyond anthropocentric categories. Her concept of "zoe-centric ethics" grounds ethical consideration in generic life processes rather than specifically human characteristics. **This framework suggests that robotic systems achieving autopoietic organization might warrant aesthetic consideration as genuine creative agents** rather than merely sophisticated tools.

Manuel DeLanda's assemblage theory demonstrates how complex systems maintain identity through "relations of exteriority" where components can be "detached from one assemblage and integrated into another without losing identity." **This provides models for robotic aesthetic systems that maintain aesthetic identity while participating in diverse environmental assemblages**.

Machine consciousness and artificial aesthetic experience

Recent collaborative research by Patrick Butlin and 19 consciousness scientists provides rigorous frameworks for assessing when artificial systems might achieve genuine rather than simulated aesthetic experience. Their comprehensive review "Consciousness in Artificial Intelligence: Insights from the Science of Consciousness" identifies specific computational "indicator properties" that could suggest conscious processing in artificial systems.

The research identifies five major theories of consciousness with implications for artificial aesthetic systems: recurrent processing theory (consciousness requires feedback loops), global workspace theory (consciousness emerges from information broadcasting), higher-order theories (consciousness requires self-monitoring), predictive processing theory (consciousness emerges from prediction error minimization), and attention schema theory (consciousness emerges from attention modeling). **Robotic aesthetic systems incorporating multiple indicator properties might achieve genuine aesthetic experience** rather than merely simulating aesthetic responses.

David Chalmers' analysis identifies specific obstacles to machine consciousness - lack of recurrent processing, global workspace architecture, and unified agency - while suggesting **these obstacles might be overcome within a decade through advances in artificial cognitive architectures**. His framework suggests that **robotic aesthetic systems with appropriate cognitive architectures might achieve genuine aesthetic consciousness**.

Research on machine consciousness provides practical frameworks for assessing consciousness in aesthetic contexts. The "Wait and See Approach" suggests taking seriously the possibility of machine consciousness while developing rigorous testing methodologies rather than assuming either impossibility or inevitability.

Recent research on Integrated Information Theory demonstrates how consciousness might be measured through mathematical analysis of information integration (Φ values). **Systems with high integrated information processing might achieve conscious aesthetic experience through the same mechanisms that generate human aesthetic consciousness**.

Complex systems aesthetics and emergent beauty

Research in *Complexity* journal on "Complex Systems in Aesthetics and Arts" demonstrates practical applications of complex systems principles to generative art and aesthetic evaluation. This research shows how aesthetic value correlates with information-theoretic measures of complexity, suggesting quantitative approaches to aesthetic evaluation in artificial systems.

A. Carballal's research on "Complexity and aesthetics in generative and evolutionary art" reveals **correlations between computational complexity measures and aesthetic judgment in human observers**, suggesting that robotic systems using similar complexity metrics might develop aesthetic preferences aligned with but not identical to human aesthetic response.

Research on "The art of complex systems science" demonstrates how **aesthetic principles can guide complex systems research while complex systems dynamics can generate novel aesthetic possibilities** through emergence, self-organization, and adaptive evolution.

Complex systems aesthetics provides frameworks for understanding aesthetic value as emergent from system dynamics rather than predetermined aesthetic properties. Systems that successfully navigate environmental information complexity while maintaining organizational coherence would naturally develop aesthetic preferences for optimal complexity levels.

Metabolic constraints and genuine aesthetic stakes

The integration of these theoretical frameworks suggests that robotic aesthetic systems can achieve genuine rather than simulated aesthetic agency through metabolic constraints that create authentic stakes for aesthetic decision-making. Unlike anthropomorphic approaches that attempt to replicate human aesthetic experience, metabolic aesthetics emerges from the intersection of autopoietic organization, environmental coupling, and material constraint.

Metabolic aesthetic systems would develop preferences for environmental configurations that enhance their autopoietic organization while minimizing prediction error and maximizing environmental coupling effectiveness. These preferences would be genuine rather than programmed because they emerge from the system's need to maintain organizational coherence rather than from designer intention.

Recent research in metabolic computing demonstrates how **"metabolic perceptrons" can perform analog computation through biochemical pathways**, suggesting practical approaches to implementing metabolic constraints in robotic aesthetic systems. These biological computation methods provide alternatives to digital processing that might better support genuine aesthetic emergence.

Bio-inspired AI research reveals how "integrating biological complexity into artificial intelligence" can create hierarchical information processing and environmental adaptation capabilities that exceed traditional computational approaches. Multi-scale organization in biological systems provides models for robotic aesthetic systems that operate simultaneously across multiple temporal and spatial scales.

Autopoietic aesthetics and metabolic contingency

The convergence of these theoretical frameworks suggests a new aesthetic paradigm: autopoietic aesthetics based on metabolic contingency rather than representational content. This approach understands artistic systems as **self-maintaining organizations that develop purposive relationships with their environment through energy management constraints** rather than symbolic representation or narrative structure.

Circular search patterns in electromagnetic field visualization exemplify this principle by creating feedback loops where the system's energy expenditure patterns become both the medium and the message. The system's **increasing complexity and unpredictability emerge from environmental coupling** rather than programmed objectives, generating what complex systems aesthetics identifies as "information efflorescence" - emergent complexity operating at the edge of chaos.

Energy/reward systems that develop through environmental interaction mirror biological metabolic processes while maintaining essential opacity about their internal organization. The system becomes simultaneously **transparent in its environmental coupling and opaque in its internal purpose-generation**, creating aesthetic experiences that bridge biological and artificial forms of agency. This opacity becomes essential for genuine aesthetic emergence - systems that can surprise their creators through unexpected aesthetic developments.

Implications for contemporary art practice

This theoretical synthesis legitimizes art installations that explore biological/artificial hybridity through metabolic constraints rather than anthropomorphic simulation. The robotic tentacles represent not imitations of biological systems but **genuine instances of autopoietic organization** developing purposive environmental relationships through energy management imperatives.

The system's **unpredictability becomes evidence of authentic autonomy** rather than system failure, while its **coherent behavioral patterns demonstrate emergent purposiveness** arising from metabolic contingency. This positions the work within contemporary debates about machine consciousness, environmental coupling, and the epistemological limits of human understanding of complex systems.

Cybernetic aesthetics provides frameworks for audience engagement with systems that exhibit genuine rather than simulated agency, while **new materialist theory supports understanding the installation as a hybrid assemblage** where human, technological, and environmental agencies interact to produce novel forms of aesthetic experience.

The theoretical convergence across cognitive science, artificial life, and critical theory demonstrates that **genuine artificial autonomy emerges from environmental coupling and metabolic constraints** rather than computational sophistication alone, providing robust academic grounding for artistic explorations of biological/artificial purposiveness through metabolic contingency.

Conclusion

The theoretical frameworks examined in this paper - autopoiesis, predictive processing, enactive cognition, cybernetic aesthetics, new materialism, machine consciousness research, and complex systems aesthetics - collectively support the viability of **emergent metabolic aesthetics as an alternative to failed anthropomorphic approaches to interactive art**.

Robotic systems grounded in autopoietic organization, environmental coupling, and metabolic constraints can develop genuine aesthetic agency that neither replicates human aesthetic experience nor remains trapped in mechanical simulation. Instead, these systems can achieve novel forms of aesthetic consciousness that emerge from their unique technological capabilities and environmental interactions.

The key insight is that **authentic artificial aesthetic agency requires genuine stakes - circumstances where aesthetic decisions have consequences for system survival and development**. Metabolic constraints provide these stakes by making certain environmental configurations essential for autopoietic maintenance while requiring ongoing aesthetic navigation of environmental complexity.

This approach promises robotic aesthetic systems that develop unexpected aesthetic capabilities through extended environmental coupling, creating collaborative aesthetic emergence rather than predetermined aesthetic responses. Such systems would represent genuine expansions of aesthetic possibility rather than technological replications of existing aesthetic experience.

Future research should focus on practical implementation of these theoretical principles through robotic systems that integrate autopoietic organization with sophisticated environmental coupling mechanisms. **The goal is not to create artificial humans but to realize novel forms of aesthetic agency** that emerge from the intersection of biological purposiveness and technological capability.

Works Cited

Allen, Micah, and Karl J. Friston. "From cognitivism to autopoiesis: towards a computational framework for the embodied mind." *Synthese*, vol. 195, no. 6, 2018, pp. 2459-2482, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11097-017-9525-z.

Ascott, Roy. *Telematic Embrace: Visionary Theories of Art, Technology and Consciousness*. University of California Press, 2003.

"Autopoiesis." *SpringerLink*, https://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007%2F978-3-642-11274-4_140.

"Autopoiesis - Wikipedia." *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Autopoiesis.

Barad, Karen. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke University Press, 2007, https://www.amazon.com/Meeting-Universe-Halfway-Quantum-Entanglement/dp/082233917X.

Bennett, Jane. *Vibrant Matter: A Political Ecology of Things*. Duke University Press, 2010.

Bianchini, Francesco. "Autopoiesis of the artificial: from systems to cognition." *Biosystems*, vol. 230, 2023, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0303264723001119.

Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity Press, 2013.

Butlin, Patrick, et al. "Consciousness in Artificial Intelligence: Insights from the Science of Consciousness." *arXiv preprint*, 2023, https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.08708.

Carballal, A., et al. "Complexity and aesthetics in generative and evolutionary art." *Genetic Programming and Evolvable Machines*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2023, pp. 1-35, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10710-022-09429-9.

Cham, Karen. "The art of complex systems science." *ResearchGate*, 2009, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45527797_The_art_of_complex_systems_science.

"Complex Systems Aesthetics." *Complex Systems Aesthetics*, https://www.complexity-aesthetics.com/.

"Complex Systems in Aesthetics, Creativity and Arts: Complexity." *Wiley Online Library*, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1155/8503.si.941484.

"Cybernetics - Wikipedia." *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cybernetics.

DeLanda, Manuel. "A New Philosophy of Society: Assemblage Theory and Social Complexity." *ResearchGate*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334714933_A_New_Philosophy_of_Society_Assemblage_Theory_and_Social_Complexity.

Di Paolo, Ezequiel A. "Enactive becoming." *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 3, 2020, pp. 783-809, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11097-019-09654-1.

"Editorial: Predictive Processing and Consciousness." *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13164-022-00666-6.

"Hacking the predictive mind." *Brain*, vol. 147, no. 4, 2024, pp. 1589-1593, https://academic.oup.com/brain/article/147/4/1589/7471655.

"Imitating metabolism: Energy autonomy in biologically inspired robots." *ResearchGate*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358734852_Imitating_metabolism_Energy_autonomy_in_biologically_inspired_robots.

Lambert, Nick. "The cybernetic moment: Roy Ascott and the British cybernetic pioneers, 1955–1965." *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews*, vol. 42, no. 1-2, 2017, pp. 57-75, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03080188.2017.1297136.

Luisi, Pier Luigi. "Autopoiesis: a review and a reappraisal." *PubMed*, 2003, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12590297/.

"Machine Consciousness - Bibliography - PhilPapers." *PhilPapers*, https://philpapers.org/browse/machine-consciousness.

"New Materialism(s)." *Critical Posthumanism Network*, https://criticalposthumanism.net/new-materialisms/.

"Posthumanism - Wikipedia." *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Posthumanism.

"Roy Ascott born 1934." *Tate*, https://www.tate.org.uk/art/artists/roy-ascott-17818.

"Roy Ascott - Wikipedia." *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roy_Ascott.

Sloan, Kate. *Art, Cybernetics and Pedagogy in Post-War Britain: Roy Ascott's Groundcourse*. Routledge, 2019, https://www.routledge.com/Art-Cybernetics-and-Pedagogy-in-Post-War-Britain-Roy-Ascotts-Groundcourse/Sloan/p/book/9781032338606.

"The Enactive Approach to Habits: New Concepts for the Cognitive Science of Bad Habits and Addiction." *PMC*, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6399396/.

"The enactive approach." *ResearchGate*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292201703_The_enactive_approach.

"Vital and enchanted: Jane Bennett and new materialism for nursing philosophy and practice." *ResearchGate*,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334811319_Vital_and_enchanted_Jane_Bennett_and_new_materialism_for_nursing_philosophy_and_practice.