

```
// Time Complexity Analysis  
// Searching for optimization...  
public void analyze(List<Data> data)  
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) { //  
        process(data.get(i));  
    }  
}
```



# Big-O Time Complexities

---

```
const map = new Map();  
// Access: O(1) average  
map.set("key", "value");  
// CPython lists are dynamic arrays  
// Java HashMap uses Red-Black trees (O(log n)) in worst case  
// Measuring performance
```

Java Collections

Python Built-ins

JS Standard Objects

Performance

Typical average-case complexities with good hash functions and balanced trees.

# What We'll Cover

---

## ⌚ Analysis Scope

We focus on **average-case** time complexities for standard implementations. We assume **good hash functions** (minimizing collisions) and **balanced trees** (like Red-Black trees) where applicable.

## ☰ Data Structures

Comparison covers four main categories across languages:

- Lists / Sequences
- Sets & Uniqueness
- Maps / Dictionaries
- Queues & Deques

### Notation Key:

- n = container size  
m = size of other collection  
k = slice size / subset

01

## Java Collections

ArrayList, LinkedList, HashMap, TreeMap, Sets

02

## Python Built-ins

CPython implementations: list, dict, set, tuple

03

## JavaScript Structures

V8/Engine Typical: Array, Object, Map, Set

04

## Cross-Language Summary

Key takeaways and performance patterns

# Java Collections Time Complexities

Typical average-case performance. \*Amortized time.

$O(1)$  Constant

$O(\log n)$  Logarithmic

$O(n)$  Linear

## List Interface

STRUCTURE	ACCESS	SEARCH	INS/REM	NOTES
ArrayList	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Append is $O(1)*$ . Slow insert/remove at middle (array shift).
LinkedList	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$ insert/remove only if node reference is known (ends).

## Set Interface

STRUCTURE	ADD	CONTAINS	REMOVE	NOTES
HashSet	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	Unordered. Worst $O(n)$ on heavy collisions.
LinkedHashSet	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	Preserves insertion order. Slightly more memory.
TreeSet	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	Sorted order. Red-Black tree backed.

## Map Interface

STRUCTURE	GET/PUT	CONTAINS	ITERATE	NOTES
HashMap	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	No order guarantee. Key must implement hashCode>equals correctly.
LinkedHashMap	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	Insertion or access order. Good for LRU caches.
TreeMap	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$	Sorted by key. Lower throughput than HashMap.

## Queue / Deque

STRUCTURE	ADD FIRST/LAST	POLL FIRST/LAST	PEEK	NOTES
ArrayDeque	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	Circular buffer. Faster than Stack. No nulls.
LinkedList	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	More memory overhead per node than ArrayDeque.
PriorityQueue	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$	Heap structure. Accesses min/max element.

# 🐍 Python Built-ins Time Complexities

O(1) Constant

O(k) k=slice size

O(n) Linear

Values for CPython (Standard Implementation). Dictionary/Set are Hash Table based.

## >List (Dynamic Array)

OPERATION	AVERAGE TIME	NOTES
Index [i]	O(1)	Fast random access.
append()	O(1)	Amortized. Expansion is O(n).
pop()	O(1)	Remove from end.
pop(0) / insert(0)	O(n)	Shifts all elements.
...	...	Linear search

## Dict (Hash Map)

OPERATION	AVERAGE TIME	NOTES
Get / Set Item	O(1)	Very fast avg case.
Delete Item	O(1)	del d[k]
k in d	O(1)	Key membership.
Iteration	O(n)	Over keys/values.
...	...	Depends on dict size

## Set (Hash Set)

OPERATION	AVERAGE TIME	NOTES
Add / Remove	O(1)	No duplicates allowed.
x in s	O(1)	Fast membership check.
Union (s t)	O(m+n)	Depends on size of both.
Difference (s-t)	O(n)	Depends on size of s.

## Tuple & Deque

OPERATION	AVERAGE TIME	NOTES
Tuple Index	O(1)	Same as list, but immutable.
Tuple Iteration	O(n)	Linear scan.
Deque append	O(1)	Both ends (left/right).
Deque pop(0)	O(1)	Much faster than list pop(0).

JavaScript Time Complexities					
		0(1) Constant	0(log n) Logarithmic		
		0(n) Linear			
Typical performance in modern engines (V8, SpiderMonkey).					
Array	Object (as Map)	Set	Map		
OPERATION	TIME	NOTES	OPERATION	TIME	NOTES
<b>Index Access [i]</b>	0(1)	Direct memory access.	<b>Property Access</b>	0(1)	Average case. Hash-map backed.
<b>push() / pop()</b>	0(1)	End of array. Amortized.	<b>Insert / Delete</b>	0(1)	Can degrade if used as sparse array.
<b>shift() / unshift()</b>	0(n)	Front of array (re-indexing required).	<b>key in obj</b>	0(1)	Prototype chain check included.
<b>includes() / find()</b>	0(n)	Linear search.	<b>Object.keys()</b>	0(n)	Creates new array of keys.
OPERATION	TIME	NOTES	OPERATION	TIME	NOTES
<b>get() / set()</b>	0(1)	Optimized hash table. Any key type.	<b>add()</b>	0(1)	Stores unique values only.
<b>delete()</b>	0(1)	Constant time removal.	<b>delete()</b>	0(1)	Removes specific value.
<b>has(key)</b>	0(1)	No prototype conflicts.	<b>has(value)</b>	0(1)	Much faster than Array.includes().
<b>Iteration</b>	0(n)	Guaranteed insertion order.	<b>Iteration</b>	0(n)	Guaranteed insertion order.
Advanced Programming tutorial by Dr. Nabajyoti Medhi, PhD, CSE					