

1 **Chapter Title:**

2 **Optimizing Xenium *In Situ* for Spatially Resolved Gene Expression Profiling in *Medicago*
3 *truncatula* Roots and Nodules**

4

5 **Authors:**

6 **Min-Yao Jhu, Jo Heffer, Alex Deamer, Ania Piskorz**

7

8 **Abstract**

9 Elucidating the intricacies of nodule organogenesis at a spatial-temporal level is pivotal for
10 advancing our grasp of developmental biology. This knowledge paves the way for precise
11 agricultural modifications, enabling the incorporation of beneficial traits into crops while
12 avoiding adverse effects. However, exploring the elaborate gene regulatory networks during
13 plant organogenesis within the context of native spatial tissues poses significant challenges.

14 Spatial transcriptomics technologies developed for animal systems are often not directly
15 transferable to plant tissues due to the heterogeneous nature of plant cell walls, high
16 autofluorescence, and large vacuoles in plant cells. To address these challenges, we present an
17 optimized protocol for applying the Xenium *in situ* platform to formalin-fixed paraffin-
18 embedded (FFPE) sections of plant tissues, including *Medicago truncatula* roots and nodules.

19 Key technical adaptations include customized tissue preparation, optimized section thickness,
20 hybridization conditions, post-Xenium staining, imaging, and downstream image analysis, all
21 tailored specifically for plant samples. To mitigate autofluorescence and enhance detection
22 sensitivity, we employed strategic codeword selection during probe design. Furthermore, we
23 developed a modular probe design approach combining a 380-gene standalone panel with a
24 100-gene add-on panel. This design allows flexibility for addressing diverse research questions

25 and includes orthologous gene sequences from two *Medicago* ecotypes, ensuring compatibility

26 for downstream functional validation using mutant lines available in both genetic backgrounds.

27 We validated the protocol across nodules at multiple developmental stages—1, 2, 3, 4, 14, and

28 28 days post-inoculation (DPI)—using both the 50-gene panel targeting mature nodule cell

29 identity and the extended 480-gene panel, which includes markers across different cell types

30 and developmental stages, as well as genes of interest identified from prior single-cell and bulk

31 RNA-seq analyses. This optimized workflow provides a reproducible and scalable method for

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

32 high-resolution spatial transcriptomics in plant tissues and establishes a robust foundation for
33 adaptation to other plant species and developmental systems.

34

35 Key Words

36 *Medicago truncatula*, Xenium *in situ*, spatial transcriptomics, nodulation, gene expression, root
37 nodules, nitrogen fixation, plant tissue sectioning

38

39 2 Introduction

40 Certain plants have developed extraordinary adaptive traits that allow them to thrive under
41 conditions such as nutrient deficiency, notably through the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen
42 (N_2) via symbiosis with bacteria in root nodules. These capabilities present a substantial
43 opportunity to boost agricultural productivity (1). However, unraveling the genetic foundations
44 conferring these adaptive advantages has been challenging through traditional genetics or bulk
45 RNA sequencing methods. This difficulty arises because the emergence of such traits often
46 involves altering the regulatory mechanisms of genes that are otherwise conserved, leading to
47 novel spatial or temporal gene expression patterns—a phenomenon observed across both the
48 plant and animal kingdoms. For example, genes that typically play a role in lateral root
49 development have been repurposed in some plants to facilitate the formation of nitrogen-fixing
50 nodules (2).

51 The potential agricultural benefits of these adaptive traits are immense; but identifying the
52 precise genetic mechanisms that underline their development poses a formidable challenge.
53 These traits often result from evolutionary changes that modify the developmental fate of
54 particular cell types. For instance, cells in the cortex, endodermis, and pericycle can be
55 reprogrammed from their original role in lateral root formation to create nitrogen-fixing
56 nodules. Pinpointing the transcriptional differences in cell lineage that lead to such divergent
57 developmental outcomes is crucial in unraveling how distinct organ structures and
58 functionalities emerge. Traditional approaches to studying cell lineage regulation in plant
59 development have primarily focused on analyzing individual genes, often employing targeted
60 mutations. However, these methods, including bulk RNA sequencing, lack the resolution to
61 observe changes in gene expression patterns at the cellular level. Creating a detailed atlas
62 covering the organogenesis of *Medicago* nodules, which form a mutualistic symbiosis with
63 nitrogen-fixing rhizobia, is essential for understanding the complex interplay of cell types

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [TA1]: I struggle a lot with this kind of statement.

Commented [TA2]: Consider explicitly using the term 'neofunctionalization' here.

Commented [TA3]: Or around here.

Commented [TA4]: This is a jargon. I suggest to avoid jargons. Say what you mean. Which concept is being omitted by the jargon?

64 within. Grasping the development and differentiation of various cell lineages into distinct cell
65 types and functions is a pivotal initial step in deciphering the gene regulatory networks that
66 drive the formation of this specialized organ.

67 Single-cell transcriptomics has revolutionized plant research by allowing scientists to group
68 cells into populations with similar molecular characteristics, facilitating a deeper understanding
69 of cell types and their conditions (3). As these technologies evolve, offering higher throughput
70 and sensitivity, they enable cells to be categorized with unprecedented detail. However, this
71 advancement also introduces challenges, particularly when we encounter cell populations that
72 fall outside our existing knowledge of plant cell histology and physiology. As discussed in
73 previous studies (4), examining the molecular identity of these cell populations and their spatial
74 arrangement within plant tissues is essential to fully comprehend their roles and interactions.
75 One of the go-to methods for mapping the spatial distribution of cell population markers
76 discovered through single-cell transcriptomics is using transgenic reporter lines, which express
77 fluorescent proteins driven by the predicted promoters of target genes. Although effective for
78 showing single gene activity, this method struggles with complex tissues because cell identity
79 often depends on multiple genes. Additionally, generating transgenic plants is time-consuming,
80 and the artificial expression may not reflect true gene activity due to missing genomic context,
81 like enhancer-promoter interactions. While *in situ* hybridization offers a partial solution by
82 addressing some limitations, it lacks the ability to analyze many genes at once due to low
83 multiplexing capabilities. Hence, comprehensive spatial gene expression analysis, crucial for
84 understanding cell functions and interactions, requires examining a broad spectrum of genes at
85 the single-cell level.

86 The advent of spatial transcriptomics is set to overcome these obstacles by providing detailed
87 insights into both the molecular composition and the physical locations of cells within complex
88 tissues (5, 6). Spatial transcriptomics techniques, such as spatially barcoded arrays (7–9) and
89 multiplexed fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (4, 10), enable the study of extensive gene sets
90 along with their spatial contexts. This advancement is particularly significant in plant research,
91 opening new possibilities for exploring the complex interplay of plant cells and tissues (4, 7–
92 10). However, the task of investigating complex gene regulatory networks during plant
93 organogenesis, within their native spatial contexts, remains challenging. Adapting spatial
94 transcriptomics methods from animal to plant tissues is especially difficult due to the unique
95 structure of plant cell walls and the presence of large vacuoles within plant cells.

Commented [TA5]: There are also significant methodological challenges:

- it depends on protoplasting, very limiting and introduces biases
- cell type identity is context dependent; the identity of a given cell is also defined by the identity of neighbour cells and the whole tissue in which it is situated. Data indicate that cell identity is affected within hours of protoplasting. Again, this is likely to introduce biases not present in the spacial-transcriptomics.

Commented [TA6R5]: You hint the latter in the next sentence, I guess.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

96 In response to these difficulties, we present a new protocol using Xenium *in situ*, a highly
97 multiplexed fluorescence *in situ* sequencing technique, optimized for plant tissues. This method
98 enables subcellular precision and high sensitivity, even in Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded
99 (FFPE) sections, providing a detailed spatiotemporal view of gene expression. By analyzing
100 50 genes, including cell-type-specific markers, across different stages of nodule development
101 in *Medicago truncatula*, this protocol delineates primary tissue layers and cell types, offering
102 new insights into the spatial organization of gene activity.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

103 Xenium *in situ* allows for the spatial resolution of gene expression patterns previously
104 identified in single-cell RNA sequencing datasets, enhancing our understanding of complex
105 tissue dynamics. This breakthrough technique surpasses the limitations of traditional
106 approaches, enabling the study of plant organogenesis at an unprecedented level of detail. It
107 not only provides a powerful tool for developmental biology research but also opens new
108 possibilities for translating these findings into agricultural innovations that enhance crop
109 resilience and yield.

Formatted: Font: Italic

110

111 3 Materials

112 2.1 Probe Design

113 2.1.1 Software and Resources

- 114 • 10x Genomics Xenium Designer
- 115 • *Medicago truncatula* genome annotation
- 116 • Gene expression datasets (e.g., bulk RNA-seq, scRNA-seq)
- 117 • List of 50-480 target genes (transcript IDs and gene names)

118

119 2.2 Tissue Fixation and Paraffin Block Preparation

120 2.2.1 Reagents and Solutions

- 121 • **1× PBS Buffer:** 10 mM phosphate, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl (pH 7.2–7.4)
- 122 • Fixative (4% paraformaldehyde)
- 123 • Glutaraldehyde (GA, 25%)
- 124 • Ethanol (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 100%)
- 125 • Histo-Clear II
- 126 • Paraplast Xtra (melted, 58–60 °C)
- 127 • MQ water (autoclaved and de-gassed)

128

129 **2.2.2 Equipment and Tools**

- 130 • Glass vials
131 • Vacuum desiccator
132 • Embedding molds
133 • Heating plate (60 °C)
134 • Dissecting microscope
135 • Ice or cooling plate
136 •

137 **2.3 Paraffin Sectioning and Section Slide Preparation**

138 **2.3.1 Reagents and Solutions**

139 **2.3.2 Equipment and Tools**

- 140 • Microtome with disposable knives
141 • Heating plate (42°C)

142

143 **2.4 Probe Hybridization and Processing Xenium Slides**

144 **2.4.1 Reagents and Solutions**

145 **2.4.2 Equipment and Tools**

- 146 • Xenium analyser

147

148 **3 Methods**

149 **3.1 Probe Design for Xenium Panel**

150 **3.1.1 Target Gene Selection**

- 151 1. Select genes based on experimental questions (e.g., nodulation).
152 2. Use transcriptomic data to identify marker or enriched genes.

153 **3.1.2 Panel Submission and Review**

- 154 1. Format the target list in a 10x template (CSV).
155 2. Submit to Xenium Design Portal.
156 3. Review QC feedback and adjust the target list if needed.
157 4. Finalize design and order panel (allow ~3–4 weeks for delivery).

158 **3.2 Tissue Fixation and Paraffin Block Preparation**

159 **3.2.1 Buffer Preparation**

160 **Day1: Preparing Fixative Solution**

- 161 1. To prepare 30mL fixative solutions, add **80 µL 1N NaOH** to **24 mL of water** in a glass
162 beaker. This step helps adjust the pH for dissolving paraformaldehyde (PFA).
- 163 2. Warm the solution slightly in a microwave. Heat for **10 seconds**, repeating 4 times, and
164 mix well after each round.
- 165 3. Add **1.2 g PFA** to the warm solution. Stir continuously until the PFA fully dissolves.
166 The solution may appear cloudy initially but will clear upon complete dissolution.
- 167 4. Cool the PFA solution on ice until it reaches 4°C.
- 168 5. Add **300 µL glutaraldehyde (25%)** and stir gently.
- 169 6. Finally, add **6 mL of 5× PBS** to the mixture to achieve the desired buffer strength.
- 170 7. Dispense the fixative into clean glass vials and keep them on ice to maintain freshness.

171 Notes: make sure to cool down PFA solution before adding GA.

172

173 **3.2.2 Harvesting and Tissue Fixation**

174 **Day1: Fixing Plant Samples**

- 175 1. Harvest *Medicago truncatula* nodules at the desired developmental stage.
 - 176 2. Place plant tissues into the prepared fixative. Ensure the fixative volume is at least **10×**
177 **the tissue volume** for effective penetration.
 - 178 3. Apply a vacuum (~500 mm Hg or ~0.065 MPa) to the samples while keeping them on
179 ice. Hold the vacuum for **20 minutes**, release it slowly, and repeat this process twice.
180 This step removes air pockets and allows the fixative to penetrate the tissues fully.
 - 181 4. Incubate the samples at **4 °C overnight** (at least 12–16 hours) to allow complete
182 fixation.
- 183 Notes: The vacuum time and strength might need to be adjusted depending on the samples that
184 you are working with. Please observe the condition of your sample; a fully penetrated sample
185 should sink to the bottom after the vacuum.

186

187 **3.2.3 Tissue Dehydration**

188 **Day 2: Dehydration**

- 189 1. Remove the fixative from the tissues using a pipette.
- 190 2. Pass the tissues through a graded ethanol series at room temperature (RT) to dehydrate
191 them:
- 192 ○ 10% ethanol: **30 minutes**
 - 193 ○ 30% ethanol: **30 minutes**
 - 194 ○ 50% ethanol: **30 minutes**
 - 195 ○ 70% ethanol: **30 minutes**
 - 196 ○ 90% ethanol: **30 minutes**
 - 197 ○ 100% ethanol: **1 hour × 3**
- 198 3. After the final ethanol step, transfer the samples to a fresh 100% ethanol solution and
199 incubate at **4 °C overnight**.

200 Note: Ensure the paraplast is melted at 58–60 °C overnight in preparation for the infiltration
201 steps.

202

203 **3.2.4 Paraffin Infiltration**

204 **Day 3–6: Infiltration**

- 205 1. Remove ethanol from the samples and replace it with Histo-Clear in a stepwise manner:
- 206 ○ **Day 3:**
 - 207 ▪ 3:1 ethanol:Histo-Clear, 1 hour
 - 208 ▪ 1:1 ethanol:Histo-Clear, 1 hour
 - 209 ▪ 1:3 ethanol:Histo-Clear, 1 hour
 - 210 ▪ 100% Histo-Clear, 1 hour × 3
 - 211 ○ Immerse tissues in a 1:3 mixture of paraplast:Histo-Clear and incubate
212 **overnight at 60 °C**.
- 213 2. Continue replacing the solution with increasing concentrations of paraplast:
- 214 ○ **Day 4:** 1:2, 1:1, 3:1 paraplast:Histo-Clear (3 hours each), ending with 100%
215 paraplast overnight at 60 °C.

- 216 ○ **Day 5–6:** Replace with fresh 100% paraplast every 3 hours, incubating
217 overnight at 60 °C.

218 Note: Always ensure sufficient melted paraplast for each infiltration step.

219 **3.2.5 Paraffin Embedding**

220 **Day 7: Embedding**

- 221 1. Pre-warm embedding moulds on a heating plate set to **60 °C**.
222 2. Stir the tissues gently in melted paraplast to ensure an even coating, then pour the
223 mixture into the mould.
224 3. Use a needle or forceps to orient the plant tissues for sectioning.
225 4. Allow the mould to cool on a bench or a cooling plate until the bottom solidifies, then
226 transfer to the ice to speed up solidification.
227 5. Once fully hardened, remove the paraffin block from the mould and store it at **4 °C** in
228 a sealed bag.

229

230 **3.3 Paraffin Sectioning and Section Slide Preparation**

231 **Day 8: Sectioning**

232 1. **Trim the Paraffin Block:**

- 233 ○ Using a razor blade, trim the paraffin block to create a trapezoid-shaped cutting
234 surface.
235 ○ Orient the block so that cutting proceeds from the long side of the trapezoid
236 toward the short side. This ensures the ribbon contains adjacent sections of the
237 sample.

238 2. **Mount the Block on the Microtome:**

- 239 ○ Secure the paraffin block onto the microtome holder with the longer parallel
240 face positioned at the bottom.
241 ○ Adjust the microtome settings to ensure the block surface is perfectly parallel
242 to the blade.

243 3. **Cut Thin Sections:**

- 244 ○ Using a disposable microtome knife, cut sections at a thickness of **8–10 µm**.

- 245 ○ Carefully collect the sections into ribbons. Ensure the shiny (smooth) side of
246 the ribbons faces down.

247 Note: section thickness might need to be adjusted based on tissue types. For Xenium analysis,
248 the ideal section thickness is between 5 μm to 10 μm .

249 **4. Collect and Store Ribbons:**

- 250 ○ Place the paraffin ribbons in a clean paper box to keep them dust-free and
251 organized until mounting.

252 **Day 8: Mounting Sections on Slides**

253 **5. Select Sections for Mounting**

- 254 ○ Examine the paraffin ribbons under a dissecting microscope to identify **high-**
255 **quality sections** suitable for mounting.

256 **6. Stretch Sections on Slides**

- 257 ○ Apply a **drop of autoclaved and de-gassed MQ water** to the center of a clean
258 glass slide.
259 ○ Transfer the selected sections into the water droplet on the slide. The water will
260 stretch and flatten the sections.
261 ○ Place the slide on a **42 °C hot plate** to assist in further flattening the sections.
262 Typically, **4 sections** can be placed on a single slide.

263 **7. Dry the Slides**

- 264 ○ Tilt the slides gently to remove excess water.
265 ○ Allow the tissue sections to dry until they appear opaque, ensuring no water
266 remains on or under the sections.
267 ○ Place the slides in a slide drying rack and incubate for **3 hours at 42 °C** in an
268 oven or on a heating plate.
269 ○ Transfer the slides to a desiccator and leave them to dry overnight at **room**
270 **temperature** for complete drying.

271 **8. Storage and Further Use**

- 272 ○ After overnight drying, proceed with the **deparaffinization and**
273 **decrosslinking protocol.**

- 274 ○ Alternatively, store the slides with dry tissue sections at **room temperature in**
275 **a desiccator** for up to **4 weeks**.

276 ○

277 **3.3.1 Optional Tissue Block Trimming & Scoring**

278

279 **3.3.2 Paraffin sectioning**

280 Section nodules into thin slices (5, 8, 10 µm) using a microtome.

281 **3.3.3 H&E Staining for Quality Check**

282

283 **3.3.4 Section Placement on Xenium Slides**

284

285 **3.4 Probe Hybridization and Processing Xenium Slides**

286

287 **3.4.1 Deparaffinization & Decrosslinking**

288

289 **3.4.2 Probe Hybridization**

290

291 **3.4.3 Post Hybridization Wash**

292

293 **3.4.4 Ligation**

294

295 **3.4.5 Amplification**

296

297 **3.4.6 Post Amplification Wash**

298

299 **3.4.7 Autofluorescence Quenching**

300

301 **3.4.8 Nuclei Staining**

302

303 **3.5 Post-Xenium Processing**
304 **3.5.1 Post-Xenium H&E Staining**
305
306 **3.5.2 Post-Xenium Confocal Imaging**
307
308 **3.5.3 Plant Cell Image Segmentation**
309
310 **3.5.4 Plant Cell Transcript Realignment**
311
312

313 **4 Notes**
314 1. Probe Design Considerations:
315 Gene selection is crucial for successful Xenium profiling. Avoid genes with very short
316 transcripts (<500 bp), low expression, or extensive isoform overlap. Use reference expression
317 datasets to prioritize genes showing tissue- or stage-specific enrichment.
318 2. Fixative Freshness:
319 Paraformaldehyde fixative should be prepared fresh each time for optimal crosslinking
320 efficiency. Always cool the PFA solution on ice before adding glutaraldehyde to prevent
321 degradation.
322 3. Vacuum Infiltration Efficiency:
323 Apply and release the vacuum gradually to prevent tissue damage. Monitor whether tissues
324 sink—this indicates successful infiltration. Adjust vacuum time based on tissue density or
325 species.
326 4. Tissue Orientation During Embedding:
327 Proper orientation is critical for downstream sectioning. When embedding root or nodule
328 samples, place them vertically to maximize the number of longitudinal sections obtained per
329 block.
330 5. Section Thickness Optimization:
331 Xenium performs best on 5–10 μm sections. Thicker sections ($>10 \mu\text{m}$) may compromise probe
332 penetration, while thinner sections may lead to structural collapse or tissue loss during
333 processing.
334 6. Ribonuclease-Free Handling:

335 Always use autoclaved or filtered MQ water, RNase-free tips, and clean slides to reduce
336 contamination. Use gloves and avoid talking directly over open slides during tissue mounting.

337 7. Section Mounting Tips:

338 When flattening sections in MQ water, avoid overheating. A 42 °C hotplate helps prevent tissue
339 shrinkage or distortion. Let slides dry fully before processing.

340 8. Slide Storage Before Hybridization:

341 Store dried slides in a dust-free, low-humidity environment such as a desiccator. Slides can be
342 stored up to 4 weeks, but longer storage may reduce signal strength.

343 9. Autofluorescence Quenching:

344 Plant tissues, especially root nodules, often exhibit high autofluorescence. Always include
345 quenching steps prior to imaging to improve signal-to-noise ratio.

346 10. Quality Control (QC) with H&E or DAPI:

347 Before proceeding with expensive hybridization steps, perform H&E or DAPI staining to
348 ensure tissue integrity and RNA preservation. This step also helps in adjusting section thickness.

349 11. Tissue Compression During Sectioning:

350 If sections appear wrinkled or compressed, ensure the microtome blade is sharp and the paraffin
351 block is at the correct cutting temperature. Chilling the block slightly before sectioning can
352 help.

353

354

355

356 5 References

357 1. Jhu M-Y and Oldroyd GED (2023) Dancing to a different tune, can we switch from
358 chemical to biological nitrogen fixation for sustainable food security? PLoS Biol
359 21:e3001982-

360 2. Schiessl K, Lilley JLS, Lee T, et al (2019) NODULE INCEPTION Recruits the Lateral
361 Root Developmental Program for Symbiotic Nodule Organogenesis in *Medicago*
362 *truncatula*. 29:3657-3668.e5

363 3. Conde D and Kirst M (2022) Decoding exceptional plant traits by comparative single-
364 cell genomics. Trends Plant Sci 27:1095–1098

- 365 4. Nobori T, Oliva M, Lister R, et al (2023) Multiplexed single-cell 3D spatial gene
366 expression analysis in plant tissue using PHYTOMap. *Nat Plants* 9:1026–1033
- 367 5. Janesick A, Shelansky R, Gottscho AD, et al (2023) High resolution mapping of the
368 tumor microenvironment using integrated single-cell, spatial and in situ analysis. *Nat*
369 *Commun* 14:8353
- 370 6. Pour M and Yanai I (2022) New adventures in spatial transcriptomics. *Dev Cell*
371 57:1209–1210
- 372 7. Liu C, Leng J, Li Y, et al (2022) A spatiotemporal atlas of organogenesis in the
373 development of orchid flowers. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50:9724–9737
- 374 8. Fu Y, Xiao W, Tian L, et al (2023) Spatial transcriptomics uncover sucrose post-phloem
375 transport during maize kernel development. *Nat Commun* 14:7191
- 376 9. Peirats-Llobet M, Yi C, Liew LC, et al (2023) Spatially resolved transcriptomic analysis
377 of the germinating barley grain. *Nucleic Acids Res* 51:7798–7819
- 378 10. Guillotin B, Rahni R, Passalacqua M, et al (2023) A pan-grass transcriptome reveals
379 patterns of cellular divergence in crops. *Nature* 617:785–791

380

381

382

383 **Acknowledgements**

384 We acknowledge the support from the 10x Genomics team for their assistance with custom
385 Xenium panel design and data interpretation.

386

387 **Figure Captions:**

388 **Figure 1. Materials for tissue processing and embedding in paraffin blocks.**

389 **Figure 2. Positioning of nodule sections on a slide.**

390 **Figure 3. H&E section**